Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 13 May 2020

Present	Pjotr van der Jagt, Alicja Kępka, Hahae Son, Maya Moreno, Tara Olsen, Ömür Güven Kirli, Daniëlle de Nobel and Anna de Koning;
Absent	Luca Babovic, Artem Gryshchenko, Saachi Samani, June Ouwehand, Mariia Lisova and Pelle Padmos;
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Olav Abbring *Ambtelijk Secretaris*

Concept agenda

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Adapting the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
7. Setting the agenda
8. **Decentral selection**
9. **Election update**
10. **Proctoring**
11. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
12. Input requests: FSR's / Media
13. Evaluating the PV
14. Questions + closing the meeting

1. Opening
Pjotr opens the meeting at 11:18h and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
5 *No comments on the mail*

3. Adapting concept minuets
The concept minutes of the 6th of May 2020 have been set with the following change:

9. decentral selection

~~Danielle states that the decentral selection will be set for next year's selection. Maybe the advice on this subject can be postponed, because the Dutch government stated that for this year a lottery would be sufficient~~
10 ~~and then an evaluation can be held to make the decision more thorough and search for possible alternatives. No one is against postponing this, this will be incorporated in the piece next week.~~

Danielle states that the decentral selection will be set for next year's selection. She supports the choice to send in an advice to evaluate the way the decentral selection is held, stating that at her faculty this has been
15 mandatory for years, but every year the argument is being used that there is an insufficient amount of time between the selection and the showing of its effects. This advice will be incorporated in the piece next week.

4. Checking the action list
The action list gets updated.
Done in attachment

5. Announcements
The absentees and people leaving early are listed.
<u>Mandates</u>
June mandates Anna.
9 votes so a quorum is met.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
 No questions on the updates.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set without changes.

8. Decentral selection
It is brought up that the CSR has a more centralized view on this situation and it is discussed if the CSR should inquire if these advises are shared by the FSRs. Tara contacted the FSRs and they stated that they all gave a positive advice on the decentral selection documents, but that the definite advice needs to come from the CSR. She also adds she didn't directly get a response if the advises of the CSR are deemed necessary.

- Artem joins the meeting –

1) All materials should be given three weeks in advance for FMG Psychology and Communication Science

Artem states that at his FSR the point was not raised to extend the period. He states that no definite stance was taken on this, but he adds that his intuition is that the FSR might agree on this.

Tara states that this advice was specified to two FMG programs, because she wants to be careful not to include the medicine program, because she isn't certain if they would prefer the three weeks. The two FNWI programs already hand out the material three weeks in advance. Hahae argues that, because the companies are able to conduct trainings even though the material is handed out 10 days in advance it would be better to hand out the study material longer in advance. Daniëlle emphasizes that for medicine the final result is done in a ranking system, not in a pass/fail system. She argues that if the study material is handed out three weeks in advance this will create more workload and pressure on the students, and the overall results will be better so it will be even harder to get selected. Tara adds that for all programs the selection is done by a ranking.

2) AMC Medicine should put in a recommended amount of time for self-studying

Tara states that the recommended study time is also noted on canvas. Daniëlle states that she didn't know that. Daniëlle will inform if this changes the view of the FSR on the subject.

The voting for this agenda point has been postponed, there will be more feedback from the FSRs gathered before a vote will take place.

Artem will inform with his FSR what their opinion is on advice 1 and Daniëlle will inform with her FSR what their opinion is on advice 2, knowing that the recommended amount of time for self-studying is also posted on the canvas **[action]**.

9. Election update

Online magazine
- Dutch version is done and online, the English version will follow soon
  - https://magazines.uva.nl/magazine/studentenraadsverkiezingen-2020/studentenraadsverkiezingen/

Stucómon cards
- They are done!
  - *Hahae will review cards and make necessary corrections*

Voting compass/Kieswijzer
- Last week we finalized list of questions, we have ~30 left

Elections Kahoot (online gameshow)
- **Idea:** Make a Kahoot with 10 to 15 basic questions about student council and elections in order to educate the general student body about elections. Make Facebook event and use ticketing option to filter out people like current/past SR members.
  - Possibly have a trial session the same week to test if it works
- **Cost:** 70 euros (taken from elections budget)
  - Winner gets a 50 euro Bol.com voucher
  - 1 month Kahoot subscription for up to 50 players = 20 euro
- **Date:** May 29
- If May 29 goes well, we can have another Kahoot on June 5 right before elections week

10. Proctoring

For the whole document counts that the students have a right to their privacy, by the implementation of Proctoring this right is breached to a certain degree and although the implementation of the specific rules is done per program and the choice to use proctoring is up to the exam committees, the overall choice of implementing proctoring and the setting of the general rules is done by the CvB. Because of this the CSR deems it important that they discuss the implementation and the use of proctoring thoroughly with the CvB.

The entails of the Proctoring MP is discussed, the following is added or said about the current statements in the MP:

Points of concern from the Student Manual on Proctoring

General concerns. These aren't general concerns, but promises made. A different title for this section will be chosen.

It will be added that the situation of students with extra time during exams isn't mentioned. This will be put in a different list, also including the FEB exam that went wrong. This will be added in a list 'additional concerns'

It will be emphasized that the fear isn't mainly that the security of ProctorIO will be breached, although this isn't guaranteeable either. The problem is that once recording needs to be reviewed the data will be much less secured. The question will be added how it will be guaranteed that the information will also be safe from outside sources once it is in possession of a lecturer for a review. With the question it also needs to be asked to what point the UvA is liable when the data is downloaded if it needs to be reviewed.

On the subject of hardware/software it will be stated that the solutions which are stated are mainly for Dutch students. It will be asked how international students are accommodated if their hardware/software doesn't meet the requirements for ProctorIO. And it will be stated that it is asked to preferably not use a VPN, but that some international students won't be able to access the needed internet pages without a VPN.

The question regarding the lapses of internet failure will be rephrased into asking for more specific information. For instance what the minimum amount of time a student can be disconnected is and what will be considered when the internet failure happens.

A question will be added inquiring the specific points an examination board will consider when they weigh if proctoring needs to be used. Also a more specific definition of the 'large' exams will be asked.

Additional information on proctoring:
Tara has had a talk with the dean of the FdR, there it was stated that Proctoring will not be used during block 5.

Maya states that there was an exam at FEB that used ProctorIO, there were a lot of technical issues with it, now there are discussions that this exam might be invalid, but it is not yet certain. It is added that it was heard that there might also have been another exam that was deemed invalid due to the use of ProctorIO.

At Tilburg University Proctoring will not be used at the Law faculty. This was achieved, mainly because of the good communication they had with the CvB, and it was added that the ongoing petition also helped.

11. W.V.T.K. / Any other business

No WVTTK.

12. Input requests for the FSR's / to the media

Specific question for FMG and FdG on agenda point 9.
Every FSR needs to pick a mediator for the Fair Play agreement.

13. Evaluating the PV

Pretty good, nice discussion.

14. Questions + closing the meeting

No questions
13:18 Pjotr closes the meeting.

Decisions
200513-01   - no decisions -

Action list

130	**200513-01**	Artem will inform with his FSR what their opinion is on advice 1 and Daniëlle will inform with her FSR what their opinion is on advice 2, knowing that the recommended amount of time for self-studying is also posted on the canvas.
	200506-01	Maya will include the arguments and pictures/logos of this week's PV into next week's Meeting Piece on the gender neutral bathrooms.
135	~~200422-02~~	~~Pjotr and Alicja will inform with the CvB who are in the central crisis team.~~
	200311-01	Saachi, Luca, Pelle and June fill in the overview, sent by Pjotr via e-mail as a googledoc file, with files being handled by the CSR.
	191205-02	Olav inquires, via LOVUM which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.
140		

Pro memorie list PV CSR

	140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
145	**140908-05**	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.
	141208-04	The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.
	150420-01	All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.
150	**160502-01**	The DB takes good care of the plants.
	161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.
	161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
155	**170201-04**	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
	171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
	180207-01	Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.
160	**181203-01**	The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.
	190213-11	Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.
	190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
165	**190904-02**	The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.