Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 31 August 2020

**Present**
Nina Hol, Elias Hidoussi, Ivan Mušo, David Steenmeijer, Evrim Hotamis, Tijmen Dietvorst, Daan Rademaekers, Taimoor Baig, Sofiya Koba, Assamaual Saidi, Kaleem Ullah, Chimira Obiefule, Julia Nguyen, Evelyn Pomasqui;

**Absent**

**Guest(s)**
Rijk Van BEEK Student assessor, Robin Wacanno (Secretaris FSR FNWI);

**Minutes**
Olav Abbring Ambtelijk Secretaris.

---

**Concept agenda**

1. **Opening**
   *Nina Hol opens the meeting at 11:07h and welcomes the meeting participants.*

2. **Mail**
   *No comments on the mail*

3. **Adapting concept minutes**
The concept minutes of the 1st of July 2020, the 19th of August and the 26th of August have been set without changes.

4. **Checking the action list**
The action list gets updated.

   **200819-01:** The members of the profiling fund committee stated that there are no regulations against it. Nina tried to contact JZ, but they did not respond.

5. **Announcements**
The absentees and people leaving early are listed.

Kaleem announces that the new CSR will need to update the website and he asks if the members of the CSR can write something for the website. The message from the individual member should be around 50-100
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The action list gets updated.

   **200819-01:** The members of the profiling fund committee stated that there are no regulations against it. Nina tried to contact JZ, but they did not respond.

5. **Announcements**
The absentees and people leaving early are listed.

Kaleem announces that the new CSR will need to update the website and he asks if the members of the CSR can write something for the website. The message from the individual member should be around 50-100
words. Send it to. The individual members of the CSR20-21 will send Kaleem a text introducing themselves which will be posted on the website or social media of the CSR [action].

Taimoor asks if there is an update regarding the situation with the objection against the election results. Nina states that currently there is no decision on this from the CSB. However the decision on the objection should be send in soon. She will be in contact with the CSB to actively ask for an update.

Mandates
Julia mandates Elias
14 votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
No questions on the updates.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set without changes.

8. CSR Working agreements
Nina announces that she has looked into the regulations and she didn’t find any evidence that the CSR can’t themselves create regulations on the withholding of funds for its members. As this is not written nor forbidden in the WHW, the University regulations or the regulations for the CSR 2019.

Assamaual states that he is against article 2.6 regarding losing the right to vote when not being present three consecutive meetings. He states that a council member should always have a right to vote. He states that maybe the same rule should apply as for the committee meetings. If someone is not present more than 60% of the meetings than the funds a CSR members receives can be withheld. Elias argues that this cannot be done from the start. He adds that he wants to keep the clause to guarantee that people do their job. Assamaual argues that consecutive attendance doesn’t imply that someone is up to date. Assamaual adds that an old chair of the CSR stated that taking away these rights is a very heavy measure. Sofiya states that CSR members have an obligation to be present during meetings to represent the students. By not being present you do not fulful that obligation. Evrim states that he doesn’t think this is true. There are parties that have it in their policy plan to not be present during meetings at all. He adds that he supports the idea that the voting rights are an integral part of being a CSR members. Also regarding the delegates, the system is that delegates are appointed to represent the FSRs. Every faculty has different schedules and this can clash with the pre-set schedule of the CSR. Because of this the system doesn’t work he argues. He adds that he read the feedback that maybe the FSR FdG should appoint a different delegate. However for the current FSR that isn’t possible, but this doesn’t take away their right to be represented in the CSR. David argues that being a member of the CSR is an obligation and adds that the chair of a previous council didn’t say that it shouldn’t be done, but that it might not result in the expected effect. David argues that maybe the quorum should be lowered if someone doesn’t attend multiple meetings. Tijmen agrees with the idea that the goal should be that people strive to be active in the CSR. However he doesn’t want to obstruct a protest vote. Although it is not a part of this year, it might clash with future developments in medezeggenschap. If lowering the quorum is possible than to him this might be better. Nina argues that PVs are meant to discuss and share information. If someone doesn’t show up for a longer period of time than this doesn’t happen. Daan agrees, however the minutes can be used to stay updated. Elias argues that minutes cannot be compared to being present and being able to interpret the context of the meeting. Also Elias states that if there is a situation when someone isn’t present for a longer
time than the medezeggenschap can be hindered. And he does agree that the faculty should be represented, but it doesn’t make sense that someone was chosen of whom it was clear from the start that he cannot attend most meetings. Evrim closes with stating that there is a difference with someone who is directly voted in and someone who is a delegate. A delegate is chosen to check if the decisions in the CSR are feasible on the faculty level. Secondly he argues that because of his workload: having an internship and being a member of the FSR this gives him a different schedule than many other CSR members. By adding the rule that voting rights can be taken away even something as trivial as the time of the PV can be used in a political way. Assamaual repeats that he thinks that taking away voting rights is an undemocratic measure. He repeats that he is in favour of looking into adjusting the quorum or a financial penalty. Taimoor states that the current discussion is just repeating itself. He proposes to have a midterm election so council members will have incentive to keep working and the DB members will have incentive to keep proving themselves. Nina states that this is a different discussion, however if Taimoor writes and sends in a meeting piece about it this can be discussed during a different PV. Elias states that he is not against taking away this to remove voting rights. He just finds it very important to have an active CSR.

Julia joins the meeting

Julia adds that she as a fourth year student, who as an international is not eligible for a fifth year which makes the work of the CSR clash with her schedule. She might not be able to fulfil the 60% attendance and implementing such a rule can hinder her work as a CSR member.

Assamaual adds that the set time for the PV needs to be updated to the newly chosen time (Monday 11:00 – 13:00). Nina states that this is a technical change and because the new PV time is agreed on this will be changed in the working agreements.

Voting proposal: The CSR 19-20 accepts article 2.16 in the working agreements for the year 2020-2021.
In favour: 8
Against: 5
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

The council decides in favour accepting the article 2.16 in the working agreements for the year 2020-2021. (Decision)

Rijk leaves the meeting
Taimoor leaves the meeting

Voting proposal: The CSR 19-20 accepts the working agreements for the year 2020-2021.
In favour: 11
Against: 2
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 0

The council decides in favour of the working agreements for the year 2020-2021. (Decision)

9. Social diversity

This topic still needs to be discussed in the committee. This will be done before the discussing of this topic during this PV.
10. UvA’s cooperation with Huawei
This topic still needs to be discussed in the committee. This will be done before the discussing of this topic during this PV.

11. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business
It is asked how the committee meetings can be conducted. Daan states that the UvA has premium 'Microsoft teams' for everyone. The AS adds that if a committee needs a zoom link they can send a message to the AS.

Nina states that the CSB is still in the middle of handling the legal dispute regarding the election results. Nina will contact the CSB to inform with them on the result of the legal dispute regarding the election results [action].

Sofiya asks if the FSR can use their budget from the last council year? Nina states that (at least for her FSR) the budget is set from calendar year to calendar year. So the money that is set on the budget is still useable until the end of the current year. However this may differ for Sofiya’s FSR.

12. Input requests for the FSR’s / to the media
There is a group chat with all chairs of the FSRs and the CSR. If something needs to be discussed there it can be send to Nina.

13. Evaluating the PV
Daan states that he would like to see a meeting piece if a discussion should be held.
David states that he found the discussion on the voting rights a bit long and he was surprised that CSR members didn’t know about the possibility to retrieve voting rights after losing them.
Kaleem suggests that a shared google calendar should be made where all the meetings can be added. The DB will look into making a shared google calendar [action].

14. Questions + closing the meeting
No questions
13:19 Nina closes the meeting.

Decisions
200831-01 The CSR 19/20 decides in favour of the working agreements for the year 2020-2021.

Action list
200831-01 The individual members of the CSR20-21 will send Kaleem a text introducing themselves which will be posted on the website or social media of the CSR.
200831-02 The DB will look into making a shared calendar.
200831-03 Nina will contact the CSB to inform with them on the result of the legal dispute regarding the election results.
200831-04 The DB will look into making a shared google calendar.
200826-01 Ivan will send out a datumprikker/doodle to the new CSR for the moment of the PV.
200819-01 Pjotr will check with the members of the profiling fund if withholding money from inactive committee members can be done legally.
200819-02  Pjotr and Olav will look into changing the regulations regarding scheduling committee meetings.

200701-03  Ömür and Pjotr will write the letter stating that the CSR supports the letter of no confidence regarding the dean of FGw, the complaints committee and the ombudsperson and will send it to Olav who will send it to the CvB.

191205-02  Olav inquires which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.

Pro memory list PV CSR

140908-04  The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.
140908-05  A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.
141208-04  The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.
150420-01  All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.
160502-01  The DB takes good care of the plants.
161017-04  The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.
161017-05  The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04  The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04  The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
180207-01  Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.
181203-01  The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.
190213-11  Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.
190904-01  The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
190904-02  The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.