



## Minutes PV 4<sup>th</sup> of September 2020

Present	Olaiya Aro, David Batelaan, Subu Choudhury, Lotus Friede, Sara Kemper, Chimira Obiefule, Tammie Schoots, Gabriel Sojo Perez, Zazie van Dorp, Liesje Verhave, Robbert Verheul
Absent	Kauthar Bouazzati
Guest	-
Notulist	Angelina Senchi

### Agenda

- 1 1. Opening
- 2 2. Mail
- 3 3. Confirming minutes 11<sup>th</sup> of August 2020
- 4 4. Announcements
- 5 5. Confirming agenda 4<sup>th</sup> of September
- 6 6. CSR Update from delegate
- 7 7. Technical Chair OVs
- 8 8. Council structure
- 9 9. Appointment FSR member Application Committee GSH & CoH
- 10 10. Establishing Work Agreements (and HR)
- 11 11. Begeleidingscommissie 7-7-4
- 12 12. 1.5 Meter Photo Moment and Meet Up
- 13 13. W.v.t.t.k. (Any other business)
- 14 14. Closing

15 **1. Opening**

16 Batelaan opens the meeting at 15:08, Bouazzati is absent

17 **2. Mail**

18 No updates

19 **3. Confirming minutes 11<sup>th</sup> of August 2020**

20 Batelaan inquires after any comments regarding the minutes at the Peelvennen. Van Dorp  
21 notes that on page 1 Schoots' attendance should be changed to 'online attendance'. There are no  
22 further comments. Batelaan confirms the minutes.

23 **4. Announcements**

24 Van Dorp shares an update on the VO that was held that day and gives a brief insight into  
25 the agenda points that will be coming up in the first OV on the 17<sup>th</sup> September. The  
26 'kwaliteitsafspraken' have been removed from the OV's agenda and a separate appointment  
27 regarding this particular point will be set up between the FSR fileholder and those responsible at  
28 the faculty for this topic.

29 Van Dorp inquires whether everyone can be available during the OV scheduled on Tuesday  
30 morning the 8<sup>th</sup> of December from 09:00 – 11:00. Choudhury notes she won't be able to attend at  
31 that particular time.

32 Sojo Perez announces that he will be leaving the meeting half an hour earlier due to  
33 scheduling conflict.

34 Obiefule announces that she has to leave the meeting at the end time that was scheduled for  
35 this PV.

36 **5. Confirming agenda 4<sup>th</sup> of September**

37 Batelaan enquires whether there are any questions or comments regarding the agenda or if  
38 any points should be added. No comments are made. Batelaan confirms the agenda at 15:14

39 **6. CSR update from delegate**

40 Obiefule notes she doesn't have any urgent updates regarding the CSR and explains that she  
41 has been in training the past couple of days getting to know the CSR and its procedures. She will  
42 update the FSR when something new comes up.

43 **7. Technical Chair OVs**

44 Van Dorp updates everybody about the search for a Technical Chair at the Ovs. She and  
45 Senchi have sent an email to the CSR to inquire after a list of options for technical chairs who the  
46 FSR can contact. Van Dorp has also contacted old members and people that have previously  
47 chaired a meeting, unfortunately without much success. Aro notes that Emma might know  
48 someone, to which Van Dorp replies she had thought of that as well. Van Dorp notes she welcomes  
49 any tips should any of the council members have them.

50 **8. Council Structure**

51 Van Dorp and Batelaan announce the council will be discussing different council structure  
52 options before commencing a vote on this subject. The options are: file holder system or a  
53 working group system. The up- and downsides of both systems are discussed. Most importantly:  
54 with the workgroups people will meet weekly in clusters, have a coordinator and the several files  
55 will be spread out over the working groups; with the file holder system individuals will be  
56 appointed a file which they will report on during the PV.

57 Friede suggests a hybrid form between the file holder- and working group structure, so  
58 people from different working groups can work on the same files. Verhave cuts in to say that  
59 there is always an opportunity to help each other and gives an example of OER as a subject that  
60 might need more help on it than just those few people in the working group. Van Dorp adds that  
61 the those in the actual working group are the coordinators of the file, but outside help is always  
62 useful - as long as is clear where the actual responsibility lies. Batelaan continues that the working  
63 groups are not necessarily completely separate entities, but will always be very much interlinked.  
64 Sojo Perez mentions that working in a way where the coordinator has a set responsibility for the  
65 coordination of set files whereas those actually working the files can do so more freely and help  
66 each other out. He then inquires whether the files will be dealt out during this PV. Van Dorp then  
67 clarifies that during this PV the FSR will only decide on the structure and the next PV the files will  
68 be divided.

69 Aro mentions the possibility of swapping files or workgroups after the first half of the year,  
70 to which Verhave replies that this might require too much 'inwerken' (training) and that with  
71 Friede's proposed hybrid structure people would still have the opportunity to have some variety  
72 in topics.

73 Verheul asks whether the files of all the working groups are available to the members of  
74 other working groups, he relates he wants to check which working group would fit De Vrije  
75 Student the most, but would also like to have the opportunity to look at other files to make his  
76 own decision. Van Dorp compares it to the questions of Friede and Sojo Perez and indicates that  
77 she thinks it would be okay to look into each other's files, mostly because the working groups are  
78 there to provide structure and so the coordinator can easily delegate and communicate.  
79 According to Van Dorp when a council member enquires about a file, they should have the  
80 freedom to do so. Batelaan adds that the possibility exists to be in multiple working groups; there  
81 is no maximum to a working group, but rather a minimum of three to four people. Verhave  
82 mentions to Verheul, regarding physical information, that there will be a maillist, the Week of the  
83 FSR and weekly working group meetings; information will never be hidden from anyone in the  
84 council. Van Dorp adds that these meetings and any progress of the Working Groups will also be  
85 summarized and shared during the PV's.

86 Kemper checks whether she is correct in stating that the working group structure is more  
87 fluid than the more rigid file holder system and that the coordinator is the one that gives more  
88 structure to the files handled by the working group.

89 Van Dorp explains that like the Week of the FSR there will be an email thread per working  
90 group and how the coordinator will set up meetings and agendas to create an overview and  
91 provide insight into all the information that is being shared regarding the files they are  
92 responsible for. Verhave then adds that a big positive side to the working group structure is that  
93 smaller discussions can now be taken out of the PV and into the more organized smaller meetings,  
94 so the PV can be handled more efficiently in that regard. This way we can really discuss the topics  
95 instead of the technicalities.

96 Choudhury asks whether there is a particular reason last year's council went with the file  
97 holder system, to which Verhave replies that this was due to the limited amount of active council  
98 members and it would be impossible to do a working group structure. She also mentions that the  
99 CSR also works with a working group structure, which Obiefule confirms.

100 Batelaan commences the voting procedure:

101

102 **Voting proposal:** The FSR 2020-2021 proposes to install a **working group** structure

103 The proposal is **adopted**, the FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to have a working group  
104 structure [\[BESLUIT/DECISION\]](#)

105

106 Batelaan explains that in the coming week council members will have time to decide which  
107 working groups they'd like to take part in.

## 108 9. Appointment FSR member for Application Committee CoH & 109 GSH

110 Batelaan briefly explains what it means to be a member of the application committee.  
111 Van Dorp further highlights that we need one FSR member for each committee, therefore: a total  
112 of two FSR members. Batelaan and Van Dorp indicate that this position is separate from the daily  
113 board and that the CoH concerns bachelor education and GSH master education. Both committees  
114 appoint a student member that will help with policy shaping and implementation and thus it  
115 would be good for the FSR to be part of the committee selecting this individual. Verhave further  
116 explains that helping select a student member of the CoH and GSH, who will be present during all  
117 their meetings, will help gain us some perspective. It could be useful to the FSR to help find  
118 someone who wants to actively participate in meetings and is willing to communicate with us.

119 Kemper asks whether it is a popular position and that if the FSR is looking for someone who  
120 is willing to collaborate with it that it might be interesting to look in their own circles to see if  
121 there is someone who they think might be a good fit for this position. Van Dorp notes that it is a  
122 very good point for next year to have a closer look at what Kemper is saying. Especially, because  
123 there is a lot of unclarity regarding these meetings and procedures of the CoH and GSH.

124 Friede wonders how much time this position would cost the FSR member and if an FSR  
125 member could possibly fill the student member position. Verhave cuts in to clarify that this is not  
126 allowed.

127 Van Dorp inquires who would like to be the candidates for the position on the application  
128 committee of the CoH and the GSH. Sojo Perez indicates that he would be interested in the  
129 position for the GSH. Verheul then asks the amount of time this position will take for those  
130 interested in the position. Verhave answers it will probably take up one to two days of interviews  
131 and one day actually selecting the person. She thinks it would be approximately spread out  
132 over three days total.

133 Batelaan asks who wants to candidate for the position on the application committee of the  
134 GSH; Sojo Perez and Verheul come forward as candidates. Batelaan asks who wants to candidate  
135 for the position on the application committee of the CoH; Friede comes forward.

136 After some consideration Sojo Perez decides to stand down as candidate for the application  
137 committee, saying it would be better for Verheul as a master student to fill the position.

138

139 **Voting proposal:** The FSR 2020-2021 proposes to elect **Robbert Verheul** as the FSR  
140 member to the Application Committee of the GSH.

141 The proposal is **adopted**, the FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as the FSR  
142 member to the Application Committee of the GSH. [\[BESLUIT/DECISION\]](#)

143

144 **Voting proposal:** The FSR 2020-2021 proposes to elect **Lotus Friede** as the FSR member  
145 to the Application Committee of the CoH.

146 The proposal is **adopted**, the FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as the FSR member  
147 to the Application Committee of the CoH. [\[BESLUIT/DECISION\]](#)

148 **10. Establishing Work Agreements (and HR)**

149 Batelaan says he will start discussing HR because it will take up less time. He goes on  
150 explaining that because it is a living document it can be changed anytime and that the voting  
151 procedure regarding HR is not too complicated. Verhave wants to discuss some parts of the HR  
152 starting with point 3.3.a. regarding the agenda and the meeting pieces being sent 48 hours in  
153 advance of a meeting and that it now differs from the work agreement. She wonders whether the  
154 FSR would like to change HR or the Work Agreements. Van Dorp replies that changing HR would  
155 have her preference: changing it to sending the meeting pieces only 24 hours in advance. Van  
156 Dorp proposes to vote on this change. The proposal is adopted, Batelaan will change HR.

157 Aro also asks whether 3.3.b. should be changed, seeing that if the council has a meeting on  
158 Monday meeting pieces have to be sent on Friday. Verhave also wanted enquire about why the  
159 weekend would then count as 24 hours and if this could possibly be scrapped. Kemper notes that  
160 she saw in HR that important emails should be handled within 48 hours and that she thought the  
161 idea was that this way, due to the weekend counting as 24 hours, council members wouldn't have  
162 to respond to emails in the weekend. She indicates that if point 3.3.b. would be scrapped, then  
163 'technically' members would have to respond in the weekend. Kemper also notes that she agrees  
164 with the point made by Aro. Verhave notes that 3.3.a. is about meetings and not necessarily  
165 emails. That way it wouldn't affect the first point, but she proposes to possibly add a point. Aro  
166 suggests that 3.3.b. could be left out, because it would give one the opportunity to work in the  
167 weekend should they feel the need to. Sojo Perez notes that there probably won't be too many  
168 meetings on Monday anyway.

169 Verhave notes that for email votes on Monday it would be nice to have the weekend count  
170 as 24 hours but for delivering meeting pieces it would be okay to hand them in 24 hour in  
171 advances, that would mean in the weekend when it comes to Mondays. Kemper agrees with  
172 Verhave but does stress that if it is possible to keep the weekend free of council responsibilities.

173 Van Dorp summarizes the changes that should be made: that members should hand in their  
174 meeting pieces 24 hours beforehand when it concerns a plenary meeting; that the weekend will  
175 count as one day (24 hours). Van Dorp asks whether people have more comments and if the  
176 interpretation of the rules is clear. Kemper agrees to change 3.3.a. to 24 hours because 48 hours  
177 might be too strict, but also notes that if 3.3.b. is only applicable to meeting pieces she won't mind  
178 scrapping it as long as everyone agrees that council member should not be expected to answer to  
179 something in the weekends when it's not urgent.

180 Verhave also enquires about 4.1.b. about there having to be a moderator present during  
181 meetings. She wonders if this is about specific meetings or in genera. Batelaan said that this was  
182 the way FSR-FGw 18-19 used to work and suggests that the current council could still use it in  
183 case a discussion becomes too heated. He explains it isn't necessary for every meeting, but could  
184 be useful and, therefore, hasn't been scrapped.

185 Verhave wants to stress a couple of points. For instance: the fact that the PV is public and  
186 everyone can join in except for confidential parts; that anyone can request an anonymous vote;  
187 that media outlets from the FSR have to be checked and approved by the whole FSR before  
188 sending it out.

189 Van Dorp also wants to point out that even though, as chair, media communication has to go  
190 through her everyone will still have agency over their own files. It simply means that the chair is  
191 the go-to representative when it concerns the FSR as a whole.

192 Friede then suggests to add digital meetings to HR and how to handle, for example,  
193 mandates. Verhave suggests that Friede writes down her ideas to discuss them during the PV.  
194 [ACTION POINT]. Batelaan notes he will add the discussed changes to the HR [ACTION POINT]

195 Van Dorp discusses the comments on the work agreement file and indicates most questions  
196 are about the council room and the division of the hours. She further indicates that with the  
197 working group structure the council members will have set meetings that could possibly be held  
198 in the council room. After Senchi has set up the final schedule for the council room more details  
199 will be added to the work agreement so it will become better to grasp for everyone.

200 Kemper asks about the rules in the schedule file. Senchi then commences to further explain  
201 the rules of the university regarding the corona measures and the attendance of individuals.  
202 Senchi explains individuals are only allowed to visit the university twice a week, there is an  
203 exception to this when people want to meet up as a group because then people are only allowed  
204 to come in once a week. This is all dependent upon the 20% rule, which states people can only be  
205 there 20% of the time, for 20% of the building capacity and 20% of the team capacity. For the  
206 council this means only 2-3 people are allowed to be there at the same time and these individuals  
207 can only visit at a maximum of twice a week. Obiefule wonders if these rules also apply to her  
208 because she also has office hours for the CSR, Senchi urges her to contact the responsible person  
209 and that she will send over the contact details to Obiefule.

210 Batelaan commences a vote on accepting the work agreements. The vote is adopted. Van  
211 Dorp proposes to vote on the changed HR during the next PV. The council agrees.

## 212 **11. Begeleidingscommissie 7-7-4**

213 Verhave gives a brief introduction to the meeting piece about the new yearly lay-out pilot.  
214 She mentions that currently they're looking into joining the committee responsible for the  
215 implementation of this pilot. Verhave points out that one of the biggest points of discussion  
216 regarding the joining of this committee in the past year was: because it might send a wrong  
217 message. Namely, that the FSR agrees with the new lay out even though it has fought so hard  
218 against it. She warns that joining the committee might actually be something that could be used  
219 against the FSR when it becomes critical of the 7-7-4 layout. Verheul comments that the opposite  
220 might be true as well: that if the FSR doesn't join the committee that it could be said that the FSR  
221 has had its chance to let their voice be heard in the committee and decided not to join. Verhave  
222 agrees with what Verheul says.

223 Verheul enquires who will decide on matters regarding the layout, to which Verhave replies  
224 that the committee advises on the matter and the dean, Fred Weerman, will make the ultimate  
225 decision- 16:31 Sojo Perez leaves the meeting. Van Dorp indicates it might be better to have a  
226 critical voice present in the committee and there is always an option to step down.

227 Kemper acknowledges the complexity of the situation and that it might be wise to explain  
228 the nuance, the FSR's standpoint, in the PV or in Folia to clarify to the students that the FSR wants  
229 to lend its voice to the committee but that this doesn't mean it is in favor of the 7-7-4 layout.

230 Verhave inquires whether there might be alternatives to joining this committee. Van Dorp  
231 indicates she is in favour of Kemper's proposal of joining the committee and possibly writing an  
232 article to demonstrate the FSR's standpoints, Batelaan agrees with this and also mentions it  
233 would be good to reach out to the OR to see how they experience the changes. Kemper adds that  
234 it might be wise to not have the same people on the committee as the ones writing the article  
235 even though the FSR shares one opinion. Verhave also likes the idea of writing an article.

236

237 **Voting proposal:** The FSR 2020-2021 proposes to **join the Guidance Committee 7-7-4**  
238 The proposal is **adopted**, the FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to join the Guidance Committee  
239 7-7-4 [[BESLUIT/DECISION](#)]  
240

241 Batelaan asks who would like to come forward as candidates for the guidance committee.  
242 Verhave adds they are looking for two members. Kemper, Sojo Peres and Verhave come  
243 forward as candidates. Batelaan proposes to vote on these candidates at a later moment. The  
244 council agrees. Voting is postponed to next week.  
245

## 246 **12. 1.5 Meter photo moment and meet up**

247 Van Dorp explains that for the studentenraad website, normally, a photo would be published  
248 with council members' names and functions and that she would like to see if the council is  
249 interested in setting up a photo op to make a corona-proof group picture and portraits.  
250 Choudhury wonders what would be a solution to her not being able to physically attend. Van Dorp  
251 indicates that she would be photoshopped in the picture and that possibly at a later moment in  
252 the year another picture can be made. The council agrees with the photo op. Van Dorp will set up  
253 a datumprikker for it [[ACTION POINT](#)].

## 254 **13. W.v.t.k. (Any other business)**

255 Kemper gives a quick update about her attending the working group regarding the design of  
256 OMHP and BG5. According to Kemper the changes being made are really positive. For instance,  
257 the BG5 building will create mixed offices for teachers and students. Her only concern is that in  
258 the new design they have decreased the number of small classrooms even though the capacity  
259 remains the same, this might signal a shift to bigger seminar groups. This will be discussed during  
260 another working group in October. She indicates she noticed a lot of the professors were also  
261 quite vocal about this change and she expresses that she hopes to provide a better update next  
262 time. She goes on explaining they're planning on creating a lot of unofficial study areas. Yet, it is  
263 unclear what the exact number of study spaces will be in OMHP. She says that she will inquire  
264 after graphs that might give more insight into these numbers. Kemper answers a question by  
265 Verheul, saying these won't be the only study spaces but that study landscapes will also be  
266 created and there's going to be de Nieuwe UB, about which she could send over more details  
267 should Verheul want to know more. Verheul urges Kemper to make sure there will be enough  
268 power plugs in the new design.

269 Schoots asks, even though the contract between Huawei and the UvA doesn't apply to our  
270 faculty, if it would be possible for the FSR to inquire, maybe with at CSR through Obiefule, after  
271 more information regarding this collaboration and to possibly formulate a stance on this matter  
272 as a council. Especially regarding the procedure and to show solidarity with the beta-faculty.  
273 Obiefule indicates that the CSR is still awaiting more information and will then be able to ask  
274 more questions and start formulating an opinio. Obiefule adds that when she knows more she  
275 will bring out an update to the FSR. Schoots responds by asking whether any plans have already  
276 been set up. Chimira aswers saying that the status for now is that the CSR is still waiting before  
277 taking any actions or making any decisions. Van Dorp comments that perhaps it is a good idea to  
278 wait until Obiefule has more information, but that it might be good to monitor it closely and not  
279 wait for weeks on end. Once more information is available the FSR can take actions based on that  
280 information. Verhave agrees and notes that should Obiefule receive any documents or proposals  
281 that these could possibly be forwarded to the FSR council. Obiefule agrees. Verheul also indicates  
282 that it might be wise to inquire after what the actual stance of the faculty is before forming an  
283 opinion. Verhave says it might be wise to gather some more information during the next TTO to

283 see what the FDB is planning to do faculty wide. This way the FSR will also know how it will affect  
 284 the students it represents [ACTION POINT]. Kemper agrees with Verhave, especially, because she  
 285 observed that it might also have something to do with Neurolinguistics and A.I.. Van Dorp relates  
 286 that the TTO will be next week before the PV and that she'll give an update at next week's PV.

## 287 14. Closing

288 Batelaan closes the meeting at 16:53

### Besluiten

289 200811-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elect Zazie van Dorp as Chair of the council.  
 290 200811-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects David Batelaan as Vice-Chair of the council.  
 291 200811-03 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as Safe Person of the council.  
 292 200811-04 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as Safe Person of the council.  
 293 200811-05 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as Treasurer of the council.  
 294 200904-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to have a working group structure.  
 295 200904-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as the FSR member to the  
 296 Application Committee of the GSH.  
 297 200904-03 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as the FSR member to the  
 298 Application Committee of the CoH.  
 299 200904-04 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to join the Guidance Committee 7-7-4

### Actielijst

300 200508-07 Ömür and Tjibbe will talk about the present/funding of the Maagdenhuis  
 301 Archive.  
 302 ~~200603-05 After the elections results Roxane will make a new datumprikker to see who~~  
 303 ~~attends the weekend.~~  
 304 ~~200620-02 Roxane/ Tjibbe write a draft letter for CoH director. Liesje/Ömür check the~~  
 305 ~~letter.~~  
 306 ~~200620-01 Devrim makes an promoted AS vacancy post for facebook.~~  
 307 ~~200626-01 Rijk responds to the student mail concerning the minor registration.~~  
 308 200626-02 Rijk asks the DB for the respond concerning new study spaces in the new UK.  
 309 ~~200626-03 Rijk informs Marian about the FSR Mugs.~~  
 310 200626-04 Rijk schedules a moment with Roxane for the visit of Weerman during  
 311 *inwerkweekend.*  
 312 200626-04 Rijk schedules a moment with Roxane for the visit of Weerman during  
 313 *inwerkweekend.*  
 314 200811 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 Chair and Vice-Chair, Van Dorp and Batelaan, will set  
 315 up a procedure to appoint the new DB members.  
 316 200904-01 Friede will write down and discuss additions to the HR concerning digital  
 317 meetings  
 318 200904-02 Batelaan will add changes to HR  
 319 200904-03 Van Dorp sets up a Datumprikker for photo op  
 320 200904-04 FSR inquires after information regarding the UvA's collaboration with Huawei  
 321 during TTO

### Pro memori

322 190904-01 When communicating the AS must always be placed in the cc.  
 323 190904-02 A list of improvements for the *Heidag* must be prepared for next year.

324	190904-04	The FSR - DB action list must be discussed for OV's, both internally and during the VO.
325		
326	190911-01	The Technical Chair always needs to be invited for PV's prior to an OV.
327	190911-02	The Council needs to deliver all documents required before the start of the PV.
328	190911-02	As soon as the website is updated the FSR decides on who will be responsible for updating the minutes.
329		
330	191001-01	The AS makes sure at the end of the year all private information of the Councilors is deleted.
331		
332	190916-05	The Council promotes their facebook page actively.
333	191005-01	All documents will be saved in the P-drive.
334	200128-01	Freya and Nicolle make an <i>eindejaarslijst</i> for the next FSR 2020-21.
335	200128-02	The AS adds the living documents to the P-drive.
336	200325-01	Nicolle deletes the Zoom PV recordings at the end of the year.
337	200310-01	Sustainability is always taken into account while working on files.
338	200525-01	The Council adds documents in .word or .pdf for the record or <i>verslaglegging</i> in the Week of the FSR.
339		
340	200525-02	In case of absence – and especially for members with voting rights – the Councilors should be informed on time and mandate someone.
341		
342	200525-03	The council refers to itself as FSR FGw and uses pronoun 'it'.