Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 14 September 2020

Present  
Nina Hol, Ivan Mušo, David Steenmeijer, Tijmen Dietvorst, Evrim Hotamis, Daan Rademaekers, Sofiya Koba, Assamaual Saidi, Kaleem Ullah, Julia Nguyen, Chimira Obiefule, Taimoor Baig, Evelyn Pomasqui, Elias Hidoussi;

Absent  

Guest(s)  
Rijk Van Bock Student assessor;

Minutes  
Olav Abbring Ambtelijk Secretaris.

Concept agenda  
1. Opening  
2. Mail  
3. Adapting the concept minutes  
4. Checking the action list  
5. Announcements  
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor  
7. Setting the agenda  
8. Proctoring update  
9. Huawei cooperation on AI  
10. Library spaces  
11. W.v.t.T.k. / Any other business  
12. Input requests: FSR’s / Media  
13. Evaluating the PV  
14. Questions + closing the meeting

1. **Opening**  
*Nina Hol opens the meeting at 11:04h and welcomes the meeting participants.*

2. **Mail**  
No comments on the mail

3. **Adapting concept minutes**  
The concept minutes of the 7th of September have been set without changes.

   Ivan joins the meeting  
   Kaleem joins the meeting

4. **Checking the action list**  
The action list gets updated.

   200907-01 Taimoor states that he will be the contact person regarding the ProctorIO case for the FSR FEB. Nina will update Taimoor on the proctoring case.

   200907-02 Ivan has received a reaction that it might be difficult to reserve a room on the set time, because classes are scheduled. Ivan will further investigate the possibility.

   200831-01 Kaleem received most introductions.

   200831-02 Done.

   200819-01 This item will be put on the agenda.
5. Announcements
The absentees and people leaving early are listed.

Mandates
No mandates.
14 votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
No questions on the updates.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set without changes.

8. Proctoring update
Nina has been having contact with the lawyer. The lawyer informed Nina on a data breach in the program that has been posted on internet, this can be brought up during the lawsuit. Elias states that there have been observations that ProctorIO is used without much consistency and asks if this will be brought up to. Nina states that it could be brought up. Tijmen states that because the appeal is happening the stance is changed a bit. Either the student council should have a say on if Proctoring may be used, or the students themselves should have this right of consent. Julia states that she heard that if a student turns off their computer ProctorIO is still active and ProctorIO can get access to all passwords entered in Google Chrome during that period. Sofiya states that ProctorU was not used after the first week also she states that at FEB a student cannot choose a different exam, but they can choose to take the exam on a laptop at the UvA. Evrim asks what the options are regarding a settlement. Nina states that this will be discussed with the lawyer.

9. Huawei cooperation on AI
Sofiya, Julia and Assamaual will be the file holders for this file. Currently the topic has been discussed in the committee, however, it is not added as an official file for the O&O committee. Evrim asks why only Huawei is targeted in this discussion and argues that all computer chips are fabricated in the same sweatshops. Assamaual reacts that Huawei shares their information with the Chinese government.

David states that usually when the UvA has a cooperation with a private company an ethical committee needs to look at the cooperation. However because this cooperation is with UvA Holding there was no such check by an ethical committee. Assamaual states that it might help to have a central ethical committee. Tijmen states that having a central ethical committee will not help if a cooperation with UvA Holding and a private party is engaged in. The student assessor clarifies that the cooperation is with Huawei Finland, not with Huawei China. Further discussion will be held in the O&F committee.

10. Library spaces
The library has new opening hours this week. The managing staff received the complaints and are discussing these. This item will be further discussed in the O&F committee.

Elias explains that currently an issue with capacity is that for some buildings the maximum capacity is reached by the attendance during lectures, because of this the libraries cannot be opened in those buildings. David explains that he understood during a GALOP meeting it was explained that 20% capacity is not reached.
because of the lack of lectures that are available, this contradicts that the buildings are fully filled. If this is looked into the libraries could be opened. Elias replies that to get to some rooms in the libraries the hallways are a limiting factor, because the 1.5m cannot be maintained. He will need to talk with facility services to get more information on this.

11. CSR attendance regulations
Nina announces that Juridische zaken contacted her. They states that the profiling fund this cannot be denied to someone who is elected. The committee grant that is given can be revoked.

Regarding the voting rights they stated that there is no hierarchy between the CSR and their individual members. So currently it is not possible to take away this right. However the CSR has been invited to join a working group to discuss the regulations. David asks if the quorum can be lowered. Nina replies that this is an internal affair, so if the CSR sets this up in the regulations this can be done.

12. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business
None.

13. Input requests for the FSR's / to the media
Nina will have an interview with Folia later today.

14. Evaluating the PV
Good meeting.

15. Questions + closing the meeting
No questions
12:30 Nina closes the meeting.

Decisions

Action list

200907-01 Nina or Taimoor will update with the FSR FSB about their involvement on the Proctoring case.
200907-02 The DB will look into the possibility to have a physical PV meeting.
200831-01 The individual members of the CSR20-21 will send Kaleem a text introducing themselves which will be posted on the website or social media of the CSR before this Friday 11-9 before 5pm.
200831-02 The DB will look into making a shared calendar, when Microsoft Teams is in use.
200819-01 Nina will check with the employees of JZ if withholding money from inactive committee members can be done legally.
200701-03 Ömür and Pjotr will write the letter stating that the CSR supports the letter of no confidence regarding the dean of FGw, the complaints committee and the ombudsperson and will send it to Olav who will send it to the CvB.
191205-02 Olav inquires which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.
The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.

The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.

All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.

The DB takes good care of the plants.

The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.

Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.

The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.