



Concept Minutes Plenary Meeting (PV) Faculty Student Council FGw, 9th of October 2020

Present	Olaiya Aro, David Batelaan, Subu Choudhury, Lotus Friede, Sara Kemper, Chimira Obiefule, Tammie Schoots, Gabriel Sojo Perez, Zazie van Dorp, Liesje Verhave, Robbert Verheul,
Absent	Kauthar Bouazzati,
Guest	-
Secretary	Angelina Senchi

Agenda

1. **Opening**
2. **Mail & Action Points**
3. **Confirming Minutes**
4. **Announcements**
5. **Confirming Agenda**
6. **Updates**
Chair, Vice Chair, CSR Delegate and DB members give updates on their activities.
7. **OV memo (attachment: 0), informing**
Preparation for a memo for next OV on the 29th of October
8. **Kwaliteitsgelden (attachment: 1), informing**
9. **Meeting Piece Humanities in Context (HiC) (attachment: 1), informing.**
[Redacted]
10. [Redacted]
11. [Redacted]
12. **Wvttk**
13. **Final Points & closing**

Opening

1 Batelaan opens the meeting at 15:05.

1. Mail & Action Points

2 Senchi informs the council of important emails that have come in and gone out. She
3 discusses which emails require further action and will share the notable mail document with
4 the council later that day.

5 Batelaan goes over the action points stripping the ones that have been executed.

2. Confirming minutes

6 The council goes over the minutes of the 2nd of October page by page. Batelaan
7 confirms the minutes.

3. Announcements

8 Friede will be leaving the meeting earlier. Obiefule, currently absent, will join the meeting a
9 few minutes later. Bouazzati is absent.

4. Confirming Agenda

10 Verheul suggest to add making a group picture to the agenda, Van Dorp counters by
11 suggesting to do it after right after the break. Batelaan notes that the council will be taking
12 the picture after the break and that it won't be necessary to add is as an agenda point. The
13 agenda is confirmed.

5. Updates

14 Van Dorp informs the council about mail contact she's had with Marian and the things
15 she's learned during her meeting with Emma Fuchs. For instance: the frequency at which
16 the CoH meets – every six weeks.

17 Batelaan offers the council member to meet up over coffee to possibly discuss issues
18 they run into, like work pressure. He also lets them know that they'll be scheduling official
19 evaluation talks for next month.

20 Obiefule dives into the ongoing issues at the CSR. She tells about the lack of a good
21 procedure for reappointing the Chief Diversity Officer. She does not condone the procedure
22 of the reappointment, but, after conversing with others, she does feel that it wouldn't be
23 beneficial to create any animosity between the CSR and the appointed person. Verhave
24 advises her that a good relationship doesn't necessarily lead to positive actions and it could
25 still be helpful for them to express the concerns they have. Regarding this issue, Kemper
26 informs the council of a Folia article about the flawed workings of the current central
27 ethics committee at the UvA. Obiefule reacts that the issue of the central ethics committee
28 also caused a lot of confusion during the CSR's OV. Obiefule notes that she will continue
29 updating on this issue.

30 Sojo Perez diverges that the budget is a big topic to tackle and therefore considered it
31 wise to divide to workload. Due to the lack of time he suggests to set up a general advice
32 and would appreciate it if the different work groups could join in to check for topics in the
33 budget that they would like to have discussed before his meeting with Gerard Nijsten.

34 Friede relays information on several meetings she's had in the past week and how with
35 the meeting piece she's tried to translate concrete actions that could be taken. Van Dorp



1 notes that OER and the budget are big topics and that if the OOD and OFH require more
2 help they should ask the council for further assistance. Friede also notes how the lack of
3 time given by the board is rather problematic. Verhave agrees with this and notes how this
4 has happened for already three advice requests, she suggest to make it an action point for
5 the DB members to take this up with Fred Weerman and Marian Wilts during their next
6 TTO **[ACTION POINT]**. After Sojo Perez enquired after the possibility of an extension on
7 the deadline for both the OOD's and OFH's advices, Van Dorp responds that she would
8 prefer for them to send an individual request for extension. Especially, because some time
9 has already passed since they were first informed of the shorter timeline.

10 Verhave **[I'm terribly sorry Liesje but I completely zoned out here and made some**
11 **horribly incomprehensible notes. If you could inform me what your important points**
12 **to mention were I can still add them to the minutes.]**

6. OV memo (attachment: 0)

13 The council goes over the OV memo which has been screenshared by Batelaan

14 Van Dorp relays that the document will hold the questions they would like to pose to
15 the board and that they'll be voting on them during the next PV. She implores everyone to
16 add their questions to the document before Friday so they can send over the memo as soon
17 as possible. Especially, considering the deadline is actually on Thursday next week but they
18 hope the board will be more lenient because they've been ignorant of deadlines too.

19 Aro asked if the Wandkrant could possibly in the Nieuwsflits. After Kemper voices her
20 concern about causing friction if both the FSR and board ignore deadlines, Van Dorp
21 responds by saying this won't be a frequent occurrence by the FSR and she'll write an
22 email with an apology to notify them of their mild transgression. This way also setting an
23 example for the board.

7. Kwaliteitsgelden (attachment: 1)

24 Sojo Perez introduces the meeting piece, saying the Faculty Board requested the FSR
25 during a meeting to come up with a memo to give feedback. Aro enquires about some
26 missing suggestions, like: the laptops, mental health and other facilities, in the memo. Van
27 Dorp thanks her for noticing and says they should indeed still be added to the memo. Sojo
28 Perez agrees that it'd be good to add in all the proposals even the ones the board wasn't
29 too positive about during their last meeting.

30 [REDACTED]

31 [REDACTED]

32 [REDACTED]

33 [REDACTED]

34 [REDACTED]

35 [REDACTED]

36 [REDACTED]

Voting proposal: The FSR FGw 2020-2021 proposes to apply the recommendations in
37 the memo concerning kwaliteitsgelden, which will take into account the
38 recommendations made by Aro.

The proposal is **adopted**. The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will apply the recommendations in
39 the memo concerning kwaliteitsgelden **[DECISION/BESLUIT]**.



8. Meeting Piece Humanities in Context (HiC) (attachment: 1)

1 Verhave introduces the meeting piece and informs that it is an updated version of a
2 meeting piece she's worked on in the previous one. This way the meeting piece also relays
3 info on how HiC came about next to the current status. During a meeting Verhave was
4 informed that HiC will be using the CROHO label from *Cultuurwetenschappen* to start the
5 program, because HiC itself was denied a CROHO label by the minister when the university
6 informally requested it. The denial was due to the broadness of the program and the lack of
7 clear career opportunities it demonstrates. She continues that *Cultuurwetenschappen*
8 currently has a new Program Director, Arno Witte, and the plan is to change the language
9 to English. Apparently, *Cultuurwetenschappen* as a program isn't doing too well and they'd
10 already been workin on reprofiling it. They've expressed their hope of using HiC as a way
11 to reshape their program, set the tone for HiC and make their own program more
12 attractive. Verhave has suggested their OC to form stance on the subject of HiC; to show
13 their approval or disapproval. This way the FSR would be clearer on where they'd stand
14 too. Batelaan suggests to perhaps do it the other way around: let the FSR share their stance
15 on the subject so the OCs know why the FSR disapproves of this plan and the OCs could
16 possibly make a well-informed decision. Verhave agrees and offer to possibly to set set up
17 an unsolicited advice on the subject and add the OCs in CC so they'll be informed of the
18 FSR's stance. She adds that she also felt that the new program directors knew more about
19 HiC than the OCs, which is odd and shouldn't be the case. Kemper asks if they're even
20 allowed to use *Cultuurwetenschappen's* CROHO label this way. Both Batelaan, Van Dorp and
21 Verhave note that there is a lot of leniency when it comes to rebranding a program that has
22 a CROHO label. Van Dorp and Batelaan propose to write the unsolicited advice on the
23 matter and to do so by going through every step of the BOB-cycle so the council can garner
24 a deeper understanding of the subject. Kemper suggests to inform Folia on the attempts to
25 undermine the denial of a CROHO label for the HiC program. Van Dorp and Batelaan both
26 think it'd be good to first write an unsolicited advice and after reach out to, for instance,
27 the press, ASVA and Humanities Rally. In order for Verhave to continue onto the
28 *Oordeelvormend* part of the BOB -cycle the council will go over the six questions in the
29 meeting piece:

30 Question 1 - Batelaan isn't opposed to interdisciplinarity, but it is currently lacking in
31 programs. It'd be wise to look into the lack of it in existing programs instead setting up
32 new interdisciplinary programs. Sojo Perez agrees. Friede adds that interdisciplinarity
33 should be at the endo of one's studies, not at the start. Kemper agrees with Aro that it is
34 used too often as an excuse for having more access to the labor market and there are
35 already a lot of courses at AUC that lend themselves well for interdisciplinarity but aren't
36 open to even the most obvious students. Verhave's concern is one of a lack of a concrete
37 academic method, which could cause a disjointed bachelor experience.

38 Question 2 - Aro offers that it should be connected to an important theme, like: climate
39 and sustainability. Sojo Perez responds that for most of these subjects there are already
40 courses available. Batelaan feels like they are currently only battling the symptoms instead
41 of the disease. Verheul and Van Dorp both agree on this program probably not attracting
42 the students they'd like. Verhave relays that there hasn't been any research on how it
43 would actually attract the kind of student body they're looking for. Both the FSR and OR
44 have asked them to do more research on this.



1 Question 3 – Verhave expresses her concern of it looking too much like HBO and
2 possible poor choices in selecting external partners. Schoots agrees with this statement
3 and adds that the combination of them being vague on what defining what humanities
4 and a focus on external parties might negatively influence what the studies should be
5 about. Van Dorp proposes to use Schoots remarks in the OV minutes on this topic as a
6 critique. Batelaan not opposed to external partners, but probably avoid or be tread very
7 carefully when it comes to corporate partners. Kemper mentions ING and TomTom as
8 partners the university’s looking into and possibly will invite into the research building.
9 Schoots thinks it’d be wise to make distinction between what plan they have internally and
10 externally. She believes they don’t have an internal plan and do have an external plan,
11 which might be why communication is strange on the topic of plans.

12 Other points: Verhave mentions her concern for the lack of connection to the Master
13 programs and how it could cause problems regarding specialization. Some of the OCs are
14 okay with the plan, but it might be problematic if it leads to courses that aren’t okay with
15 the plan being swallowed up by it. Aro adds that the university has to responsibility to
16 protect its students and therefore they should be able to guarantee the protection and
17 safety of students if they decide to work with external partners. Verhave notes that this also
18 goes for privacy reasons too and, as Van Dorp mentions, intellectual property. Sojo Perez
19 expresses his concern of a person’s education turning into something that is being shaped
20 by external parties instead of by the individual itself. Verhave will write an *oordeelvormend*
21 meeting piece on HiC **[ACTION POINT]**.

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

26 [REDACTED]

27 [REDACTED]

28 [REDACTED]

29 [REDACTED]

30 [REDACTED]

31 [REDACTED]

32 [REDACTED]

33 [REDACTED]

34 [REDACTED]

35 [REDACTED]

36 [REDACTED]

37 [REDACTED]

38 [REDACTED]

39 [REDACTED]

40 [REDACTED]

41 [REDACTED]

42 [REDACTED]

43 [REDACTED]

44 [REDACTED]



1 [Redacted]
2 [Redacted]
3 [Redacted]
4 [Redacted]
5 [Redacted]
6 [Redacted]
7 [Redacted]
8 [Redacted]
9 [Redacted]
10 [Redacted]
11 [Redacted]
12 [Redacted]
13 [Redacted]
14 [Redacted]
15 [Redacted]
16 [Redacted]
17 [Redacted]
18 [Redacted]
19 [Redacted]
20 [Redacted]
21 [Redacted]
22 [Redacted]
23 [Redacted]
24 [Redacted]
25 [Redacted]
26 [Redacted]
27 [Redacted]
28 [Redacted]
29 [Redacted]
30 [Redacted]
31 [Redacted]
32 [Redacted]
33 [Redacted]
34 [Redacted]
35 [Redacted]
36 [Redacted]
37 [Redacted]
38 [Redacted]
39 [Redacted]
40 [Redacted]
41 [Redacted]
42 [Redacted]
43 [Redacted]
44 [Redacted]



1 [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]
27 [REDACTED]
28 [REDACTED]
29 [REDACTED]
30 [REDACTED]
31 [REDACTED]
32 [REDACTED]
33 [REDACTED]

11. Wvttk

34 Schoots explains that there is a student that reached about a proctoring exam without
35 any opt-out possibilities and she wonders whether the OOD working group could pick this
36 up especially considering the faculty has stated that they won't be using proctoring
37 devices. Schoots will send the information to the entire council. Obiefule states that the
38 CSR is also aware of more of these cases and that they're working on this. The DB members
39 will confront the dean during the next TTO about proctoring **[ACTION STUDENT]**.

40 Kemper offers that whoever from the FSR wants to join the meeting on students
41 housing can reach out to her.

12. Final Points & Closing

42 No final points mentioned.

1

Batelaan closes the meeting at 17:19.



Besluiten/Decisions

- 1 200811-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elect Zazie van Dorp as Chair of the council.
- 2 200811-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects David Batelaan as Vice-Chair of the council.
- 3 200811-03 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as Safe Person of the council.
- 4 200811-04 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as Safe Person of the
5 council.
- 6 200811-05 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as Treasurer of the council.
- 7 200904-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to have a working group structure.
- 8 200904-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as the FSR member to the
9 Application Committee of the GSH.
- 10 200904-03 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as the FSR member to the
11 Application Committee of the CoH.
- 12 200904-04 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to join the Guidance Committee 7-7-4
- 13 200911-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as member of the Guidance
14 Committee 7-7-4.
- 15 200911-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Liesje Verhave as member of the Guidance
16 Committee 7-7-4
- 17 200911-03 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 1 OER/OC + Diversity
18 (OOD) and appoints Chimira Obiefule, Subu Choudhury, Kauthar
19 Bouazzati, Lotus Friede and Olaiya Aro as its members.
- 20 200911-04 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 2 Organization, Finance
21 and Housing (OFH) and appoints Tammie Schoots, Gabriel Sojo Perez and
22 Sara Kemper as its members.
- 23 200911-05 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 3 BA/MA, Communications,
24 PR and Associations (BaMaCom) and appoints Liesje Verhave, Subu
25 Choudhury, Sara Kemper, Robbert Verheul and Lotus Friede as its members.
- 26 200911-06 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as member of the Daily Board for
27 the FSR-FGw 2020-21.
- 28 200911-07 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Liesje Verhave as member of the Daily Board
29 for the FSR-FGw 2020-21.
- 30 200911-08 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as member of the Daily
31 Board for the FSR-FGw 2020-21.
- 32 200918-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to adopt the sustainability policy 2020-
33 2021.
- 34 200918-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 decides to adopt the dates for the vacation letter.
- 35 200925-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2012 accepts the changes made in the OER addendum
36 letter set up by Friede.
- 37 201002-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the letter regarding the name change of the
38 BA Archaeology.
- 39 201002-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will have a podcast on UvA Radio.
- 40 201009-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will apply the recommendations in the memo
41 concerning kwaliteitsgelden.



1
2

Action List

- 3 200904-01 Friede will write down and discuss additions to the HR concerning digital
4 meetings.
- 5 ~~200904-03 Van Dorp sets up a Datumprikker for photo op.~~
- 6 200911-01 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 will look into possible actions when more
7 information regarding the UvA-Huawei collaboration is brought forward by
8 the CSR.
- 9 ~~200918-01 Friede discusses the crisis monitor information, also in terms of social safety,
10 with her work group (OOD).~~
- 11 ~~200918-02 Verheul contacts Tjibbe Valkenburg about the ASVA membership and bank
12 account information request that was sent by mail.~~
- 13 ~~200918-03 Van Dorp schedules an extra meeting for those who won't be able to attend
14 the introduction meeting with Carlos.~~
- 15 ~~200918-04 Verheul contacts Valkenburg about the email the council received about
16 campaign reimbursements~~
- 17 200918-07 Sojo Perez will work on the policy and draft up a point to reflect Obiefule's
18 and Schoots' comments on the privileged aspect of sustainability. This point
19 will be voted on during the next PV and possibly added to the document.
- 20
21
22
- 23
24
- 25 200925-01 OFH will contact Irene Zwiop (Onderzoeksdirecteur) regarding the Huawei-
26 UvA collaboration.
- 27 ~~200925-02 Kemper will enquire if #viruswaanzin will speak at or is affiliated with the
28 protest #ikwilnaarschool.~~
- 29 ~~200925-03 Kemper will draft up a positive advice regarding the instemmingsverzoek for
30 the Archaeology name change.~~
- 31 200925-04 The OOD will write a meeting piece about the intersectionality of the diversity
32 policy at the UvA.
- 33 200925-05 Aro, Obiefule and Van Dorp will set up a meeting with Fuchs regarding Afro-
34 Dutch studies.
- 35
36
- 37 200925-07 Batelaan and Van Dorp will ask Marian Wilts for the Wandkrant to be part of
38 the FGw Studentennieuws email update.
- 39 ~~200925-08 OFH will contact the head of facility services to enquire what the policy is for
40 study associations visiting their offices.~~
- 41 201002-01 Aro will join the meeting for the work group *Studeren met een*
42 *functiebeperking*.
- 43 201002-02 Kemper and Sojo Perez will write a meeting piece on the additions to the
44 sustainability policy.



- 1 [redacted] [redacted]
2 [redacted]
3 [redacted] [redacted]
4 [redacted]
5 [redacted] [redacted]
6 [redacted]
7 201009-01 The DB members will address the lack of time given by the board to handle
8 advice requests at the TTO.
9 [redacted] [redacted]
10 [redacted]
11 201009-03 Verhave will write an *oordeelvormend* meeting piece on HiC.
12 [redacted]
13 [redacted]
14

Pro Memori

- 15 190904-01 When communicating the AS must always be placed in the cc.
16 190904-02 A list of improvements for the *Heidag* must be prepared for next year.
17 190904-04 The FSR - DB action list must be discussed for OVs, both internally and during
18 the VO.
19 190911-01 The Technical Chair always needs to be invited for PV's prior to an OV.
20 190911-02 The Council needs to deliver all documents required before the start of the PV.
21 190911-02 As soon as the website is updated the FSR decides on who will be responsible
22 for updating the minutes.
23 191001-01 The AS makes sure at the end of the year all private information of the
24 Councilors is deleted.
25 190916-05 The Council promotes their facebook page actively.
26 191005-01 All documents will be saved in the P-drive.
27 200128-01 Freya and Nicolle make an *eindejaarslijst* for the next FSR 2020-21.
28 200128-02 The AS adds the living documents to the P-drive.
29 200325-01 Nicolle deletes the Zoom PV recordings at the end of the year.
30 200310-01 Sustainability is always taken into account while working on files.
31 200525-01 The Council adds documents in .word or .pdf for the record or *verslaglegging*
32 in the Week of the FSR.
33 200525-02 In case of absence – and especially for members with voting rights – the
34 Councilors should be informed on time and mandate someone.
35 200525-03 The council refers to itself as FSR FGw and uses pronoun 'it'.
36 200911 When PVs are scheduled on Mondays the council will go over section 3.3.b. of
37 the HR again
38