Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 22 February 2021

**Present**
Assamaual Saidi, Chimira Obiefule, Daan Rademaekers, David Steenmeijer, Elias Hidoussi, Evelyn Pomasqui, Evrim Hotamis, Ivan Mušo, Julia Nguyen, Nina Hol, Sofiya Koba, Taimoor Baig, Tijmen Dietvorst;

**Absent**
Kaleem Ullah;

**Guest(s)**
Rijk van Beek (Student Assessor);

Olav Abbring *Ambtelijk Secretaris.*

**Concept agenda**
1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Adapting the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
7. Setting the agenda
8. Information security policy
9. Government announcement about half tuition payment
10. Language policy
11. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
12. Input requests: FSR's / to the media
13. Evaluating the PV
14. Questions + closing the meeting

1. **Opening**
*Nina Hol opens the meeting at 11:08h and welcomes the meeting participants.*

2. **Mail**
*The emails that the CSR received are read.*

3. **Adapting concept minuets**
The concept minutes of the 15th of February have been set without changes.

4. **Checking the action list**
The action points are checked. See attachment.

5. **Announcements**
Nina has met with members of the project ‘vinger aan de pols’ The CSR has right on consent on some points that they are discussing and they would like to meet with the CSR to discuss these points. This meeting will be planned by the DB.
*Mandates*
Kaleem mandates Evelyn.
13 eligible votes. The quorum is met.
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor

Please remember to add the updates in the document.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda point ‘election timeline’ has been added to the agenda.

The agenda is set without any further changes.

8. Information security policy

There are no questions on the proposals.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 20-21 decides in favour of consenting to the information security policy and sending the response letter to the CvB.

- In favour: 13
- Against: 0
- Blanco: 0
- Abstain: 0

The council decides in favour of consenting to the information security policy and sending the response letter to the CvB (decision).

9. Government announcement about half tuition payment

The government introduced new regulations. These include 8.5 billion euros for education. A part of this will go towards the Universities. The file holders of education would like to hear what the thoughts of the CSR are regarding these measures. If the CSR would be critical about something it should be that these benefits are for next year’s students. It should be brought up how this year’s students and this year’s graduates can be compensated. It will be looked into what the government has said regarding the benefits for international students. It should be asked if it will be a lump sum. A study voucher should not be accepted. This item should be made part of the transfer to next year’s CSR.

Sofiya joins the meeting

The take away is: there is criticism on it. A MP will be written. This will include actions that CSR can take and a general stance of the CSR.

10. Language policy

The goal of the meeting piece is to advocate to have every document that is send to be available in English. This is a good idea, but the working relation should be taken into account. If this is pursued it should be look into what happens if the CSR doesn’t consent, because of this reason. Currently the medezeggenschap is already obstructed, because committees receive documents that they cannot understand. The University is already a bi-lingual university, this should also be brought into practice. It has happened that a member of the CSR could not join a working group, because they specifically asked for a Dutch speaking student.

Elias will also contact the previous board members to help with this discussion, look into the WHW, contact Juridische Zaken and contact Annabel from the RvA.

The follow up will be contacting the CvB to discuss the topic. Look into getting this approved and having the possibility to automatically inform people who contact the CSR that they want to receive documents in
English. A preference is to contact the CvB directly first to look into approving this. It can be brought up during the W.V.T.T.K. of the OV.

“art. 9.40 lid 5 WHW: (possibility to overrule negative consent from medezeggenschap)

Indien het college van bestuur of de decaan voor de voorgenomen beslissing geen instemming van het medezeggenschapsorgaan heeft gekregen, kan het de geschillencommissie, in afwijking van het vierde lid, toestemming vragen om de beslissing te nemen. De geschillencommissie geeft slechts toestemming, indien de beslissing van het medezeggenschapsorgaan om geen instemming te geven onredelijk is of indien de voorgenomen beslissing van het college van bestuur of de decaan gevergd wordt door zwaarwegende organisatorische, economische of sociale redenen. Voor zover het gaat om een geschil over de hoofdlijnen van de begroting en de geschillencommissie niet voor 1 januari van het jaar waarop de begroting betrekking heeft, aan het college van bestuur toestemming heeft gegeven een beslissing te nemen, kan het college van bestuur totdat de geschillencommissie een besluit over het verlenen van toestemming heeft genomen, voor het doen van uitgaven in dat jaar beschikken over ten hoogste vier twaalfde gedeelten van de bedragen die in de overeenkomstige begrotingsonderdelen van het voorafgaande jaar waren opgenomen. ”

“If the Executive Board or the Dean has not obtained the consent of the participation body for the intended decision, it may, notwithstanding paragraph 4, ask the Arbitration Committee for permission to take the decision. The Arbitration Committee will only give permission if the participation body's decision not to give its consent is unreasonable or if the intended decision of the Executive Board or the dean is necessitated by compelling organizational, economic or social reasons. If the dispute is about the outline of the budget and the Arbitration Committee has not given the Executive Board permission to make a decision before January 1 of the year to which the budget relates, the Executive Board may, until the Arbitration Committee has made a decision about granting permission, use up to four twelfths of the amounts that were included in the corresponding budget items of the previous year to make expenditures in that year.”

11. Election timeline

The goal of the meeting piece is to vote on what moment the CSR would like to have the elections and bring this to the CSB.

Having a longer voting period might higher the turn-out rates. However, in the meeting piece it is shown that during the last two days of the election period not as many people vote.

The FSR FdG experience a difficult transfer period, if the elections are postponed. Last year this might have been the case, because the new FSR could not be involved in certain decisions. The CSR can focus on informing the participating parties when the transfer period will happen.

It was understood that the elections of the COR are not linked to the elections of the CSR.

Voting proposal: The CSR 20-21 decides in favour keeping the election dates as they are set now.

In favour: 1
Against: 11
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 2

No majority in favour. The next proposal is voted on.
Voting proposal: The CSR 20-21 decides in favour of postponing the elections and having them from the 31st of May to the 4th of June.

In favour: 10
Against: 0
Blanco: 4
Abstain: 0

The CSR 20-21 decides in favour of postponing the elections and having them from the 31st of May until the 4th of June (decision).

Sofiya will contact the CSB to inform them on the CSR’s decision that they would like to postpone the elections and have them from the 31st of May until the 4th of June (action).

12. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business

The CSR has received the concept chapter on the quality agreements from the legal affairs department for year review. The employee working on it would like to receive a reflection from the GV on the quality agreements before the 1st of March so they can add it to the year review. It could be proposed to send a response on the 12th of March, after the GV can discuss it. The CSR can send their own response next week, but officially respond on the 12th of March. Nina will mail with Nafisa to discuss how the COR is going to approach the reflection from the GV of the quality agreements for the year review (action).

Rijk is working with a cooperation ‘Samenwerkingsverband voorgezet onderwijs Amsterdam-Diemen’ that works with students that need extra help in their studies. They would like to recruit students to assist in giving extra exam training to students. The UvA has consented to relay this request and take up contact with study associations. Rijk will send the text that has been written, that can also be shared in the CSR’s network to the ambtelijk secretaris.

13. Input requests for the FSR’s / to the media

No input requests.

14. Evaluating the PV

The CSR members evaluate the PV.

15. Questions + closing the meeting

11:53 Nina closes the meeting.

Decisions

210222-01 The CSR 20-21 decides in favour of consenting to the information security policy and sending the response letter to the CvB.

210215-02 The CSR 20-21 decides in favour of postponing the elections and having them from the 31st of May to the 4th of June.

Action list

210222-01 Sofiya will contact the CSB to inform them on the CSR’s decision that they would like to postpone the elections and have them from the 31st of May until the 4th of June.

210222-02 Nina will mail with Nafisa to discuss how the COR is going to approach the reflection from the GV of the quality agreements for the year review.

210125-01 The committee chairs will look into changing their committee’s page on the Studentenraad website.
**210125-02** The PR committee will take a picture during the next PV meeting.

**210215-01** The ambtelijk secretaris will send the letter to the COR and will ask for them to respond to the letter on Friday.

---

**Pro memoria**

**140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

**141208-04** The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

**161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

**161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

**170201-04** The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

**171108-04** The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

**190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

**201002-01** All DB-members send their updates before Friday 20h. Delegates send their updates before Monday 9h.

**201020-02** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.