



## **Concept Minutes Plenary Meeting (PV) Faculty Student Council FGw, 1<sup>st</sup> of March 2021**

Present	David Batelaan, Sophia Bombeld, Subu Choudhury, Lotus Friede, Sara Kemper, Gabriel Sojo Perez, Zazie van Dorp, Liesje Verhave, Robbert Verheul, Mikayla Vieira Ribeiro.
Absent	Chimira Obiefule, Tammie Schoots
Guest	
Secretary	Angelina Senchi

### **Agenda**

- 1. Opening**
- 2. Mail & Action List**
- 3. Confirming Minutes & Agenda**
- 4. Announcements**
- 5. Updates**
- 6. Strategic Narrative Humanities in Context (attachment: 1)**
- 7. Language Change Humanities in Context (attachment: 1)**
- ~~8. Curriculum Design Humanities in Context (attachment: 1)~~**
- 9. Wvttk**
- 10. Final Points & closing**

## 1. Opening

1 Batelaan opens the meeting at 13:02 and initiates an introduction round for the new council assistants  
2 Mikayla Vieira Ribeiro and Sophia Bombeld.

## 2. Mail and Action List

3 Senchi refers to the email list in the Week of the FSR and briefly discusses an email that came in regarding  
4 first-year students not being given priority to attend lectures physically. Next, Batelaan goes over the action  
5 list.

## 3. Confirming Minutes & Agenda

6 This week the council doesn't have any minutes to discuss. Agenda point 8 regarding the curriculum for  
7 Humanities in Context (HiC) will be moved to next week's PV; Batelaan confirms the agenda.

## 4. Announcements

8 Schoots is absent during this meeting and has mandated Sojo Perez. Obiefule has another engagement with  
9 the CDO team and will leave the meeting after 20 minutes. Choudhury will also leave the meeting earlier due  
10 to another meeting with IIS. Senchi asks council members if they know of any other councils that possibly  
11 have a license for Deepl Pro at the university.

## 5. Updates

12 **Chair:** Van Dorp had a meeting with Karen Maex where she shared the council's observations regarding  
13 Fred Weerman's functioning. She also attended a meeting with Sanne Klaver where they learned that Klaver  
14 had already adopted all of the FSR's suggestions regarding study guidance in the document they set up –  
15 they also added an extra point regarding international students. Van Dorp says Klaver will send over the  
16 final version to the FSR for their perusal before publishing it so council. Furthermore, Van Dorp and  
17 Batelaan had a recorded conversation (FredVlog) with Weerman about lowering work pressure for students  
18 and teachers. Consequently, Van Dorp shares that she is also personally affected by the workload and -  
19 pressure which led her to having an anxiety attack. She thanks councilors with helping her out during that  
20 time and urges everyone to speak frankly on matters of mental health and work pressure. She stresses that  
21 they're there for each other.

22  
23

24 **Vice-chair:** Batelaan attended a meeting with Van Wesemael regarding the recommendations for digital  
25 education. This afternoon he will attend a meeting with Jonneke Bekkenkamp from the OR and he will also  
26 start working together with Verheul on arranging the *borrelpakketten*.

27 **BaMaCom:** The working group discussed the upcoming elections, visitation reports, Humanities in Context  
28 and the meeting with Netta Meijer about the Honors Program. Furthermore, they set up a social media post  
29 regarding the create a course challenge and will soon attend a meeting with the 7-7-4 Guidance Committee  
30 again.

31 **OOD:** The working group has been working on the university's diversity workshops and the new  
32 governmental plan to discount and/or refund tuition fees in relation to a meeting by Schoots. This week they  
33 will work on the OER ACASA in relation to proctoring and they will have a look at the draft letter Van  
34 Wesemael will send over regarding digital education.

35 **Other:** Kemper notes that she's sent out a request for the election period to not be changed.



## 6. Office Policy

Senchi and Batelaan explain that the councilors can make use of the office again. They stress that the university requests us to work from home as much as possible and that they'd like to heed that advice, but that they also realize how strenuous it must be for the councilors to do all of their activities (studies and council work) from home. Therefore, councilors are allowed to use the council room again; preferably with only one or two people at a time - in exceptional cases: a maximum of four people is the rule. Senchi will edit the current office schedule so people can reserve time slots again.

## 7. Digital Education (attachment: 1)

Batelaan explains the various proposals on how the council could work on the topic of digital education. He goes over three options: 1) sending out a survey to students to review the digital education; 2) writing an open letter on digital education; and 3) writing an unsolicited advice to the board. The councilors share their opinions on the different options. Van Dorp comments that, should they create a survey, it should have a disclaimer which explains that the evaluation isn't about how teachers educate digitally but about how the students 'experience' digital education. In addition to this Kemper expresses her fear of a survey only reaching a limited pool of people. Verheul and Van Dorp on the other hand feel like a survey is a great way of reaching students. Verhave doesn't disagree with this, but she does warn that logistically setting up and analyzing a Corona-related evaluation might take a considerable amount of time. Sojo Perez comments that he prefers sending out an open letter or advice. Regarding the open letter, Kemper notes that instead of sending the letter out to different organizations it'd be better to ask organizations for their input as an addition to the letter. Van Dorp questions sending out the letter to other councils, because to her it seems that other councils are more in favor of digital education than the FSR FGW is. Furthermore, she worries that it'd take up too much time. Yet, she also feels it might be nice to combine the idea of a survey and a letter. Verheul agrees that the evaluation [results] should go with the letter. Regarding the unsolicited advice, Batelaan stresses that this would guarantee a response from the board. Batelaan points out some of the disadvantages of sending out an unsolicited advice but Verhave cuts in and points out that most of these are irrelevant to the case. The council plans to first send out an evaluation and later an unsolicited advice, which will be shared on their socials.

The council discusses who they'll address the advice to. Van Dorp notes that the fDB is the council's official communication partner, but that they could always add the CvB in CC. Kemper agrees with Van Dorp but is also of the opinion that the issue is a university wide one. Van Dorp and Sojo Perez both feel like it is better to stay focused on the humanities faculty instead of fighting (maybe) too big of a battle. Friede comments in response to Kemper's statement that she believes that the FGW has a special position in the discussion about digital education; that they're perhaps more opposed to it than other faculties. Yet, she agrees with Kemper that it might be beneficial to get more people involved on the subject. Regarding the survey, Verhave notes that the council has already asked the board to set up an evaluation concerning the issue of digital education and that perhaps they should consider collaborating with the board - unless, of course, the council wants to set up a survey individually. Sojo Perez adds that councilors should be very strategic about how they spend their energy.

The council discusses how they plan on reaching and/or involving students. Van Dorp would first like to know if the council is or isn't leaning towards setting up a survey. No clear answer is given to her question and Kemper cuts in to ask whether they've already received the Faculty Strategic Plan. Kemper feels that they should wait for the plan to arrive before sending out an advice/letter. Friede then asks for clarification on whether they are addressing digital education as a Corona-related topic or addressing digital education as a general issue. Van Dorp notes that she would like the subject to be about future digital education, not Corona-related education. Friede and Verheul agree with Van Dorp's statement.

1 Batelaan summarizes the points and states that the majority of the council feel that sending out an  
2 unsolicited advice is the best course of action. He continues by asking what the council's opinion is on using  
3 a survey. Verheul is in favor of a survey, but Sojo Perez believes the council is adequate enough to establish a  
4 representative stance on the subject. Van Dorp then asks the councilors if they should organize a focus  
5 group instead of a survey. Sojo Perez feels that having such a conversation once would be sufficient. Verhave  
6 offers that the council looks at the information that is already available to them and organizes a focus group  
7 to gain input for the information they're still missing. Van Dorp summarizes the discussion and concludes  
8 that the council will work on drafting an unsolicited advice and organizing a focus group.  
9 Sojo Perez offers to help Batelaan on the file. Senchi warns Batelaan and Sojo Perez that they're really busy  
10 this month and that they might not have the time to start working on the file as quickly as they hope.

### **8. Universiteitskwartier (attachment: 1)**

11 Kemper screenshares the meeting piece and goes over the document with the council while answering  
12 questions along the way. She relays that the UB Singel will be getting an upgrade and students won't be  
13 staying there too long in the interim period while other campus building developments are taking place. She  
14 explains that students frequenting the UB Singel as a place for lectures is dependent on the timeline of the  
15 renovations; when renovations take longer, so does the temporary solution of placing staff and students at  
16 the UB Singel. Verhave asks how the sale of P.C. Hoofthuis (PCH) influences the plan. Kemper explains that  
17 the sale of PCH influences the amount of funding they have for renovations. Though, PCH can only be sold  
18 after the renovations are done. She explains that it seems paradoxical, but that the relationship is  
19 interdependent. She explains that studies like History and European Studies will be moved from Bushuis to  
20 PCH, while they're working on developing the Research Building.  
21 Kemper asks the council if they should involve BG5 in the unsolicited advice. Van Dorp and Sojo Perez both  
22 feel that if there's no information available on the subject that they should leave it out of the equation.  
23 Kemper explains some of the developments regarding BG5 and how it affects the rest of the building plans.  
24 Batelaan stresses that they should simply be clear on their stance that the *Universiteitskwartier*  
25 developments shouldn't affect students in a negative way. Friede refers to some negative opinion pieces on  
26 selling buildings like PCH to hotels and comments that if they plan on selling PCH that they should consider  
27 the ethics of who exactly they'll be selling it to. She feels that PCH should remain part of the public sphere.  
28 Kemper briefly touches upon the historical value PCH has and that it was built especially for the University  
29 of Amsterdam.  
30 Kemper will set up the unsolicited advice and share it via Google Docs.

### **9. Strategic Narrative Humanities in Context (attachment: 1)**

31 Kemper briefly summarizes the Strategic Narrative and compares it to a promotion plan. The document  
32 highlights how the board perceives the problem of a lack of students in smaller programs, according to them  
33 this is due to a lack of interdisciplinarity and societal relevance. It also highlights money flow issues and  
34 their plan to use Humanities in Context (HiC) to fund smaller programs. Sojo Perez comments on the  
35 frivolity of the document and how it is deceitful and insulting towards the existing platforms at the faculty.  
36 Van Dorp agrees with Sojo Perez' statement and comments how the FGw critically addresses societal issues  
37 instead of solely collaborating with 'partners' who tease the university with a show of funds. Councilors feel  
38 like the document doesn't provide any real input, nor is the HiC curriculum complete enough to demonstrate  
39 how HiC will differ from current programs. Councilors feel the document is offensive to humanities and even  
40 self-derogatory as it comes from the Humanities Faculty itself. Friede notes that the belittling tone of the  
41 document could be discussed in an opinion piece in, for instance, Folia to create a discussion on why the  
42 faculty doesn't respect itself – start a societal discussion on it. Sojo Perez also feels that they are using HiC as



1 a slight of hand to get funding in a new neo-liberal way instead of honestly fixing what is broken. He wants  
2 to see the university become whole again so it can fend for itself instead of holding up their hands to ask for  
3 money by 'pitching a new idea'. Kemper agrees that it feels like symptom suppression and she'd rather see  
4 the investments be put into declining studies instead of creating new ones. The council will write an opinion  
5 piece for Folia about the HiC Strategic Narrative and the disparaging way the Humanities Faculty views its  
6 own existing program **[ACTION POINT]**.

7 Sojo Perez expresses his skepticism of the trickle-down system and comments that he'd rather see  
8 platforms, like Humanities Lab, where students and alumni are given the opportunity to pitch their ideas  
9 and attract investors. General perception is that the faculty is also not in favor of such methods, but they feel  
10 they have to play ball because the current neo-liberal system is orchestrated that way. Van Dorp notes  
11 providing existing programs with the same kind of investments would help them generate more funds on  
12 their own too. Verhave stresses that the reason HiC has so many funds is due to its interdisciplinary nature;  
13 the investment can't be spent on existing programs because the funds are only available to improve  
14 interdisciplinarity.

## 10. #NietMijnSchuld protest (attachment: 1)

15 Van Dorp and Verhave explain the current loan-system opposed to the previous grant-system  
16 set up by the government for students. They explain that this protest is organized to express the  
17 student's discontent with the current system using the statement that it isn't their fault. Some  
18 councilors feel that there might not be enough information available about the event to vote on  
19 collaborating with the organizers. Friede feels like they can trust the organizers after Verhave  
20 shares some more details about the organizers. Verheul expresses that he is against joining the  
21 protest and collaborating with this initiative.  
22 Batelaan commences the voting procedure.

23  
24 **Voting proposal:** The FSR FGw proposes to become a collaborator to the  
25 #NietMijnSchuld protest of March 13, 2021.  
26 The vote is **adopted**. The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will become a collaborator to the  
27 #NietMijnSchuld protest of March 13, 2021.

## 11. Wvttk

28 Van Dorp will have a phone-call with Karen Maex, *Rector Magnificus*, to discuss Fred Weerman's functioning  
29 as dean in one of their yearly conversations; she asks councilors if they have anything that Van Dorp should  
30 relay in her phone call with Maex. Councilors mention points, like: lack of emotional awareness, effort to  
31 improve communication, lack of transparency (for instance: regarding 7-7-4) and an odd approach to  
32 certain subjects.

33  
34 *Addo joins meeting*

35  
36 Friede details the *Medezeggenschapsmiddag* they're organizing in collaboration with the OR for the program  
37 committees and asks which councilors are available to join to help host the event and guide the discussions  
38 in the breakout rooms. Schoots, Batelaan, Van Dorp and Sojo Perez offer to join. Friede and Van Dorp will  
39 provide them with more information once they've had their preparatory meeting with the OR regarding the  
40 event. Furthermore, they'll enquire whether the meeting is in English and/or Dutch.  
41

1 Choudhury is working on setting up a mental health questionnaire and asks councilors if they have any input  
2 to provide before she meets on it with OOD. Suggestions are: taking into account whether students live with  
3 their parents (again), whether students are/had to return to abroad, to make the questionnaire anonymous  
4 by default but give respondents the option to leave their personal info for a follow up, to set up questions  
5 relevant to some of the files the council is currently working on.

6 *Senchi leaves the meeting*

7

8 The council discusses whether they want to support the initiative *MagIkNaarSchool* a petition set up by UvA  
9 student who pleads for students to be granted the opportunity to return to the university – reopening higher  
10 education. The council discusses the subject and decides that after diving into the more deeply on an  
11 individual note to vote on the matter via email.

## **12. Final Points & Closing**

12 No final comments are made. Batelaan closes the meeting at 15:27.



## Action List

- 1 201016-03 The DB members will work with Obiefule on setting up proposals for the central level  
2 regarding *kwaliteitsgelden*.
- 3 201204 The council will meet up as soon as government restrictions allow 10-15 people to get  
4 together again  
5 [REDACTED]
- 6 [REDACTED]  
7 [REDACTED]  
8 [REDACTED]  
9 [REDACTED]
- 10 210222-01 Regarding *Inwerken*:
- 11 a) the council will create a Year Review document for the current and future council to  
12 evaluate the year and learn from it.  
13 a. Kemper will translate the Housing Bi-Annual Report.
- 14 210222-02 Regarding Carlos Reijnen:
- 15 a) Verhave will contact Carlos Reijnen about the Honors Program.
- 16 210301 The council will write an opinion piece for Folia about the HiC Strategic Narrative and the  
17 disparaging way the Humanities Faculty views its own existing program.

## Pro Memori

1	190904-01	When communicating the AS must always be placed in the cc.
2	190904-02	A list of improvements for the <i>Heidag</i> must be prepared for next year.
3	190904-04	The FSR - DB action list must be discussed for OVs, both internally and during the VO.
4		
5	190911-01	The Technical Chair always needs to be invited for PV's prior to an OV.
6	190911-02	The Council needs to deliver all documents required before the start of the PV.
7	190911-02	As soon as the website is updated the FSR decides on who will be responsible for updating the minutes.
8		
9	191001-01	The AS makes sure at the end of the year all private information of the Councilors is deleted.
10		
11	190916-05	The Council promotes their facebook page actively.
12	191005-01	All documents will be saved in the P-drive.
13	200128-01	Freya and Nicolle make an <i>eindejaarslijst</i> for the next FSR 2020-21.
14	200128-02	The AS adds the living documents to the P-drive.
15	200325-01	Nicolle deletes the Zoom PV recordings at the end of the year.
16	200310-01	Sustainability is always taken into account while working on files.
17	200525-01	The Council adds documents in .word or .pdf for the record or <i>verslaglegging</i> in the Week of the FSR.
18		
19	200525-02	In case of absence – and especially for members with voting rights – the Councilors should be informed on time and mandate someone.
20		
21	200525-03	The council refers to itself as FSR FGw and uses pronoun 'it'.
22	200911-01	When PVs are scheduled on Mondays the council will go over section 3.3.b. of the HR again
23	200911-02	The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 will look into possible actions when more information regarding the UvA-Huawei collaboration is brought forward by the CSR.
24		
25		
26	201126	When referring to certain job titles and technical terms the council will use Dutch terminology to avoid confusion between the council and board.
27		
28	201023	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will translate the HR to English before the next council year.
29	201210	OOD will look into the role of intersectionality within the UvA diversity policy
30		
31		

## Besluiten/Decisions

32		
33	200811-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elect Zazie van Dorp as Chair of the council.
34	200811-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects David Batelaan as Vice-Chair of the council.
35	200811-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as Safe Person of the council.
36	200811-04	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as Safe Person of the council.
37	200811-05	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as Treasurer of the council.
38	200904-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to have a working group structure.
39	200904-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as the FSR member to the Application Committee of the GSH.
40		
41	200904-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as the FSR member to the Application Committee of the CoH.
42		
43	200904-04	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to join the Guidance Committee 7-7-4
44	200911-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as member of the Guidance Committee 7-7-4.
45	200911-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Liesje Verhave as member of the Guidance Committee 7-7-4
46		



- 1 200911-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 1 OER/OC + Diversity (OOD) and  
2 appoints Chimira Obiefule, Subu Choudhury, Kauthar Bouazzati, Lotus Friede and  
3 Olaiya Aro as its members.
- 4 200911-04 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 2 Organization, Finance and Housing  
5 (OFH) and appoints Tammie Schoots, Gabriel Sojo Perez and Sara Kemper as its members.
- 6 200911-05 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 3 BA/MA, Communications, PR and  
7 Associations (BaMaCom) and appoints Liesje Verhave, Subu Choudhury, Sara Kemper,  
8 Robbert Verheul and Lotus Friede as its members.
- 9 200911-06 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as member of the Daily Board for the FSR  
10 FGw 2020-21.
- 11 200911-07 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Liesje Verhave as member of the Daily Board for the FSR  
12 FGw 2020-21.
- 13 200911-08 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as member of the Daily Board for the  
14 FSR FGw 2020-21.
- 15 200918-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to adopt the sustainability policy 2020-2021.
- 16 200918-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to adopt the dates for the vacation letter.
- 17 200925-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2012 accepts the changes made in the OER addendum letter set up by  
18 Friede.
- 19 201002-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the letter regarding the name change of the BA  
20 Archaeology.
- 21 201002-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will have a podcast on UvA Radio.
- 22 201009-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will apply the recommendations in the memo concerning  
23 kwaliteitsgelden.
- 24  
- 25 
- 26  
- 27 
- 28 201016-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence *“What is the procedure for appointing a new  
29 dean? What’s the timeline regarding the process of finding a new dean? Could you forward us  
30 this tijdpad/timeline within two weeks? How will the FSR FGw be involved in this process?”*  
31 for the OV memo.
- 32 201016-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence *Due to Corona we want to make sure that  
33 students still get updated about what is going on within the council, because of this we would  
34 like to ask you to add the content of the Wandkrant to the FGw Studentennieuws e-mail.”* to  
35 the OV memo.
- 36 201016-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence *“When will the meetings of the 8-8-4 steering  
37 committee start? Can we get an update on this within two weeks?”* to the OV memo.
- 38 201016-04 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence *“Could we have more reservable spots at  
39 PCH? Could we have a long-term plan in regards to study spots in general?”* to the OV  
40 memo.
- 41 201016-05 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence *“Since Tammie Schoots and Nelson Addo are  
42 in a legal process, how can the DB ensure that there won’t be a conflict of interest?”* to the OV  
43 memo.
- 44 201016-06 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence *“Can you promise us that we will have the  
45 mandatory 6 weeks to respond to every advice or consent request?”* to the OV memo.
- 46 201030-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will write a negative advice on the Faculty Budget 2021.

1	201106-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will send the negative advice letter regarding the Faculty Budget 2021.
2		
3	201106-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the proposed amendments to the OER part A and faculty part B.
4		
5	201106-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will use the name 'Humanities in Context' for their UvA Radio podcast.
6		
7	201112-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adds the changes and additions to the HR (except for the anonymous voting article).
8		
9	201112-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adds the changes and additions to article 6.6.c of the HR concerning fully anonymous voting.
10		
11	201112-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms and accepts the Sustainability Policy, including the changes made to point 7 and 8.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	201119-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will demand an addendum by the fDB to the CoH Yearly Plan.
27	201119-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 agrees to the points stated in the letter [attachment: kwaliteitsgelden PV 201119] by Sojo Perez on to send it to the fDB.
28		
29	201119-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will use the content for Wandkrant nr. 1 (council year 2020-21).
30	201126-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will to apply the changes to the letter in response to the board's reaction to the negative budget (2021) advice.
31		
32	201126-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will apply the changes to the Memo (OV 201208 – Jos van Geel, Ad Interim Director <i>Bedrijfsvoering</i> ) and accepts it being sent to the fDB for further discussion at the OV.
33		
34		
35		
36		
37	201217-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will to accept option 3 (Sojo Perez will head OOD as DB member, leaving Schoots and Kemper as possible coordinators of OFH) as a <b>temporary</b> solution.
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43	210114 -01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will use the positive advice letter on the faculty budget 2021 set up by Kemper.
44		



- 1 210114-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 appoints Liesje Verhave, Zazie van Dorp and  
2 Robbert Verheul as members of the Sollicitatiecommissie for a new council  
3 assistant.
- 4 210114-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will sign the petition 'University of Amsterdam staff  
5 and students support Boğaziçi University'.
- 6 210121-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will write an (unsolicited) advice about the Green Paper OCs.  
7 210121-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 write a letter about the Green Paper OCs to the OCs.  
8 210121-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will e-mail the CSR about the Election Regulations detailing that  
9 they need to include a part about not being allowed to bribe potential voters by promising  
10 them gift cards or objects and making the Fair Play Agreements formal.
- 11 210121-04 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will propose for the OER article to state: "*Bij online toetsing  
12 wordt géén gebruik gemaakt van software voor online surveillance (proctoring) die strijdig  
13 is met de privacybelangen van de student*".
- 14 [REDACTED]
- 15 [REDACTED]
- 16 210208-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will send the edited unsolicited advice Greenpaper OCs.  
17 210301 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will become a collaborator to the #NietMijnSchuld protest of  
18 March 13 2021.  
19  
20  
21  
22