



Concept Minutes Plenary Meeting (PV) Faculty Student Council FGw, 8th of April 2021

Present	David Batelaan, Sophia Bombeld, Subu Choudhury, Lotus Friede, Sara Kemper, Chimira Obiefule, Gabriel Sojo Perez, Zazie van Dorp, Robbert Verheul, Mikayla Vieira Ribeiro.
Absent	Tammie Schoots, Liesje Verhave
Guest	
Secretary	Angelina Senchi

Agenda

- 1. Opening**
- 2. Mail & Action List**
- 3. Confirming Minutes (210301) & Agenda**
- 4. Announcements**
- 5. Updates**
- 6. Diversity Commitment (attachment: 1)**
- 7. Humanities in Context (HiC) (attachment: 1 - presentation)**
- 8. Dean Profile (attachment: 1)**
- 9. *Onderwijsprijs 2021* [Education Prize 2021] (attachment: email)**
- 10. Wvttk**
- 11. Final Points & closing**

1. Opening

1 Van Dorp opens the meeting at 11:01.

2. Mail and Action List

2 Van Dorp goes over the 'to-dos' in the mail list and the action list. Considering some action points involve
3 Folia, Sojo Perez questions whether the council, due to the magazine's recent outrageous actions in relation to
4 the suicide case, wants to associate itself with this medium.

5 Van Dorp proposes to add two *pro memorie*: one, for the FSR to always appoint two editors for the formal
6 letters they sent out; two, for the writers of the letters to always notify their editors when they can get to work
7 on the pieces.

3. Confirming Minutes & Agenda

8 Van Dorp goes over the minutes page by page and asks whether the councilor members have any remarks.
9 The minutes (210401) are confirmed. Next, Van Dorp sets the agenda.

4. Announcements

10 Schoots and Verhave will be absent during this meeting. Schoots has mandated Sojo Perez. Verhave has
11 mandated Van Dorp. Obiefule will join the meeting later.

5. Updates

12 **Chair:** Van Dorp explains that there is a lot of vagueness regarding the clustering of the OCs and that the
13 intention is to organize a meeting with the OR next week regarding this issue. They also intend to schedule a
14 meeting with Media Studies, but she will get back to both these meetings at WVTTK. Lastly, Van Dorp notes
15 that she will write her chair update the following day.

16 **Vice-chair:** Batelaan reminds the councilors about the *borrel* they'll be having the next day. He has also been
17 in contact with the OC *Cultuurwetenschappen* regarding a strategy for the HiC letters.

18 **OOD:** Sojo Perez shares that OOD attended a working group on mental health, here, Obiefule explains, they
19 discussed the current mental health (aid) status at the faculties, the difficulties they run into and the role of
20 the central level in terms of guidance. Obiefule continues that the file was started from scratch this year and
21 that they're currently still in the process of collecting information. Furthermore, they're aiming to set up a
22 formal letter detailing and clarifying what the needs are for the university regarding mental health. Sojo
23 Perez also briefly explains the situation regarding the detestable way Folia handled an article on mental
24 health and suicide at the university. He feels that the FSR should seriously (re)consider where to publish
25 their articles. Moreover, Sojo Perez and Kemper attended a national meeting with the humanities faculties
26 organized by Rijksuniversiteit Groningen regarding the investment of government funds in relation to the
27 advice by the *Commissie-Van Rijn*. They learned most faculties are running into similar issues (e.g., lack of
28 suitable solutions to problems, not considering burnouts and work pressure). Currently the plan is to
29 organize another meeting, where they would like to have more people from UvA's humanities faculty (e.g.,
30 teachers) present. Also, they're looking into the possibility of writing an open letter.

6. Diversity Commitment (attachment: 1)

31 Sojo Perez screenshares the meeting piece. Obiefule introduces the subject and explains that the
32 commitment's purpose is to facilitate accountability and to create a fundamental starting point from which
33 councilors and staff can work on (the) diversity (file). Therefore, the document is educational and
34 corrective; it teaches councilors that are unfamiliar with the concept of diversity and can, at the same time,
35



1 be used as a check. Each faculty will have the opportunity to draft their own version of the diversity
2 commitment, so it will be able to cater to the specific needs/procedures of that specific council. They can
3 derive inspiration/take pointers from the commitment by the CSR, but, in turn, the CSR could also take note
4 of the faculty specific commitment points and add them to the CSR commitment – a symbiosis. Furthermore,
5 there is also the workers agreement that should help protect those affected by diversity, inclusion and social
6 safety.

7 Obiefule explains that they're still in the process of finalizing the commitment and its logistics, but wonders
8 the councilors view on creating a central general commitment versus having a faculty specific commitment.
9 Sojo Perez comments that he is in favor of having faculty specific commitments too, because certain issues
10 are more/less relevant to particular faculties. Obiefule shares that the CSR considered having a general
11 document might be more efficient. Van Dorp comments that she, too, is in favor of having a faculty specific
12 commitment for pragmatic procedural reasons, but also so they decide to work more extensively on certain
13 issues in the document. Batelaan agrees with Van Dorp and notes how the CSR structure varies from the FSR
14 FGW's council structure, so they'd have to adapt the document to reflect this too.

15 Verheul wonders about the choice to put the word *representatives* between quotation marks, he feels like
16 this implies the councilors aren't representatives even though they have been elected as such. Obiefule
17 explains to Verheul that they are still discussing how to define certain terms in the document, she explains
18 that how one person would define safe space or representation might differ from how another might define
19 it. She explains that the same word/phenomenon can have a different meaning to/impact on different
20 people/groups. Using quotation marks is a way, for them, to acknowledge that the elections or
21 representation as it is might not be as equal as it seems. Kemper asks Obiefule whether this particular
22 conversation, concerning elitism, should only be held within the council level or also in the student parties.
23 Obiefule explains that the election segment in the document is the least elaborate one and they're still in the
24 process of gathering information, sharing ideas and conversing about it in the working group.

25 Verheul has another question, he notes that there are a lot of dimensions to diversity, like the individual
26 dimension, and wonders whether they also discussed political diversity. Obiefule replies that this was
27 indeed discussed and explains how this also ties into the issue of some political stances being harmful to
28 marginalized bodies. Van Dorp comments that they could perhaps incorporate political diversity in a way
29 where diversity of opinion is fine, as long as it is in accordance with the rest of the diversity commitment's
30 points. Verheul comments that he agrees that they should guarantee a safe space for everybody. Obiefule
31 notes that they are wary of certain terms too easily being taken hostage and abused by privileged people,
32 she adds that the Diversity Commitment is a very precarious and sensitive document and, thus, they're very
33 careful in their phrasing and definitions.

34 Sojo Perez refers to the discussion points in the meeting piece and says they can improve diversity by
35 facilitating and promoting diversity workshops. Also, by collaborating with organizations with similar
36 diversity-themed aspirations. Kemper suggests including a definition of the term *positionality* and to also
37 push the board to do more. She notes that the responsibility of creating a safe space shouldn't fall on the
38 council and the board should be activated in this regard. Sojo Perez replies to Kemper by saying that the
39 board's lack of action or responsibility shouldn't limit the council from working on improving diversity and
40 taking the initiative. Obiefule also replies that a glossary will be added for the terminology used.
41 Furthermore, Obiefule notes that the commitment isn't only a way to protect marginalized students at the
42 university but that it is also a starting point for how they can protect these students within their own
43 councils.

44 Van Dorp comments that the Diversity Commitment will be very useful during *Inwerken* and that the council
45 should look into applying for a bias training to approach other subjects in an intersectional way.

46

1 OOD will continue working on the Diversity Commitment and schedule another moment to discuss it at the
2 PV. Batelaan urges all councilors to add their comments to the Google document.
3

7. Humanities in Context (attachment: 1 – presentation)

4 Van Dorp and Sojo Perez present the agenda point in Verhave's absence. Sojo Perez explains that the
5 purpose is to establish what the goals are of their criticism and to come up with an alternative to the current
6 HiC plan. First, they discuss the option of having HiC as a Master program. Van Dorp comments that this
7 option seems more sensible, but it won't solve the internship problem. Friede notes that with a MA they
8 should still be very critical of how this will affect the research building and other MA programs (instead of
9 BA programs). Vieira Ribeiro notes that the aim of a MA is specialization and HiC does the opposite. Verheul
10 supports Vieira Ribeiro's statement and adds that MA is also supposed to be more scientific and HiC misses
11 scientific depth. Batelaan comments on the issue of the financial support ('broad shoulders') remaining.
12 Kemper adds that the current financing system is unsustainable and HiC would only be delaying the
13 inevitable. Verheul also fears that favoring a HiC Master would also indirectly seem like support for this type
14 of education, which the council doesn't support. Overall consensus seems to be against a HiC MA.

15 Secondly, the option of HiC centralizing one track. Currently, HiC has three tracks but their design is
16 unfinished. Focusing on one track would address the issue of having a more specific Bachelor program, this
17 way they'd be keeping *Cultuurwetenschappen* as BA Global Cultural Studies. Kemper comments that the OC
18 *Cultuurwetenschappen* is positive about only going through a language change, but then they'd have to take
19 into account how interdisciplinarity (and its funding) comes into play. Councilors utter the possibility of
20 having Environmental Studies start out as a minor so it could possibly develop into a Bachelor (separate
21 from BA Global Cultural Studies). Friede comments that Environmental Studies sounds awfully familiar to
22 Future Planet Studies, Sojo Perez replies that the similarity doesn't have to negatively impact it if it is
23 oriented on culture and literature. Batelaan feels that Environmental Humanities could be very popular as a
24 minor. Overall consensus is to look more closely into having Global Cultural Studies as a bachelor and the
25 other tracks as minors. Councilors feel that starting out small would be better and more controlled.

26 Thirdly, the possibility of splitting the three HiC tracks up into three minors. Sojo Perez notes that having a
27 Bachelor (like Global Cultural Studies) and setting up minors doesn't have to be mutually exclusive. In
28 answer to a question by Verheul, councilors agree that *Cultuurwetenschappen* does have to undergo a
29 change. Bombeld comments that turning the tracks into minors is a good way to assess how the intended
30 didactic methods work – exposing errors and trying out methods, before rushing into a Bachelor. Sojo Perez
31 is skeptical of how HiC minors would address the main goal of attracting more students and funds. Yet, he
32 also feels there is still time to market this.

33 Overall councilors are very critical of having HiC as a Bachelor. Protecting the students, considering the
34 details and option to create programs by combining two departments and creating space for the
35 representation of different faculties in these departments (e.g., Humanities and Medical Studies, where they
36 focus on ethics in the world of medicine) are important things to consider regarding the HiC discussion
37 according to the councilors.

38 Van Dorp asks the council member to send their additional comments and suggestions to Verhave.
39

8. Dean Profile (attachment: 1)

40 Kemper screenshares the document and asks, if councilors have any content related commentary, to send it in
41 this week. Kemper comments that they don't want HiC to be mentioned in the document as a finished project,
42 but that they'd be willing to keep it as a denominator for interdisciplinarity and the funds being directed



1 there. Friede argues that there are more issues being discussed at the faculty than HiC and she feels that it is
2 unnecessary to mention HiC in the document – just like they don't mention the other ongoing topics at the
3 faculty. Sojo Perez counterargues that they could also add in more, for instance, financial topics besides HiC.
4 Van Dorp cuts in by saying they should either leave it out or nuance it. Van Dorp will check if the CvB will get
5 back to the FSR's suggestions before they publish the profile **[ACTION POINT]**. The council would like to keep
6 the paragraph added by Sojo Perez regarding the dean standing by certain opinions in external meetings. Sojo
7 Perez goes over some more added paragraphs to check for council opinion. Some comments are made about
8 the lack of specificity concerning how open they are to people from different backgrounds. The council feels
9 that it seems like tokenism and that the profile should elaborate on what they mean whilst being honest to the
10 candidates about the space they'll possibly enter as dean of the faculty. Obiefule suggests quoting parts from
11 the Diversity Report. The Dean Profile advice will be a negative advice, the council decides. Similar to the
12 Budget Advice the council stance will remain negative, unless they apply the council's suggestions. The editors
13 for the formal letter will be Van Dorp and Friede.

9. **Onderwijsprijs 2021 [Education Prize 2021] (10 mins) (attachment: email)**

14 Van Dorp explains what the Education Prize entails and asks who'd like to become a member of the jury.

15

16 *Verheul leaves the meeting.*

17

18 Obiefule comments on decolonial courses being more deserving of such a prize. Sojo Perez and Verhave are
19 the only councilors who'd like to join the jury, they will decide among themselves who'll be the best candidate.

10. **Wvttk**

20 Van Dorp schedules two meetings (clustering and OR).

11. **Final Points & Closing**

21 No final points. Meeting is closed at 13:06.

Action List

- 1 201210-03 Regarding the Humanities in Context letters:
- 2 a) In March-April: the council will write a formal advice on HiC bachelor (in response to
- 3 the board's advice request)
- 4 b) The council will write an unsolicited advice on HiC in general (e.g., going into OER and
- 5 the treatment of Medezeggenschap) after the HiC letters (Strategic Narrative,
- 6 Curriculum and Language Change) have been sent out.
- 7 ~~c) (210401) The council will schedule a follow-up meeting on that abides by the~~
- 8 ~~following criteria:~~
- 9 ~~a. The meeting will be held at least one week before the HiC letters deadline~~
- 10 d) (210401) The council will send over the final letters to Senchi for the final edit at least
- 11 three days before the HiC deadline
- 12 210222-01 Regarding *Inwerken*:
- 13 a) The council will create a Year Review document for the current and future council to
- 14 evaluate the year and learn from it.
- 15 a. Kemper will translate the Housing Bi-Annual Report.
- 16 210222-02 Regarding Carlos Reijnen:
- 17 a) Verhave will contact Carlos Reijnen about the Honors Program.
- 18 
- 19 
- 20 
- 21 210322-02 Regarding the Dean Profile Concept Advice request:
- 22 a) (210401) If the CvB doesn't adopt the FSR's suggestions to the Dean Profile document,
- 23 the council will write an article for Folia to relay the FSR's vision on what the Dean
- 24 Profile should be like.
- 25 b) (210408) Van Dorp will check whether the CvB will contact the council before
- 26 publishing the profile to let the FSR know whether their suggestions have been
- 27 adopted – check if they're open to having a dialogue after having a received the advice.
- 28 210322-03 Writers for formal FSR letter will notify their editors to make sure the documents are
- 29 finalized before the deadline.
- 30 210322 -04 Regarding the Contemplation Rooms:
- 31 ~~a) Batelaan will look for older meeting pieces containing suggestions for decorating the~~
- 32 ~~Contemplation Rooms~~
- 33 b) Batelaan will write a meeting piece on Contemplation Rooms to discuss at a PV.
- 34 210401 Van Dorp will create a document which details all of the upcoming file subjects for the
- 35 coming period.



Pro Memori

- 1 190904-01 When communicating the AS must always be placed in the cc.
2 190904-02 A list of improvements for the *Heidag* must be prepared for next year.
3 190904-04 The FSR - DB action list must be discussed for OV's, both internally and during
4 the VO.
5 190911-01 The Technical Chair always needs to be invited for PV's prior to an OV.
6 190911-02 The Council needs to deliver all documents required before the start of the PV.
7 190911-02 As soon as the website is updated the FSR decides on who will be responsible for updating
8 the minutes.
9 191001-01 The AS makes sure at the end of the year all private information of the Councilors is
10 deleted.
11 190916-05 The Council promotes their facebook page actively.
12 191005-01 All documents will be saved in the P-drive.
13 200128-01 Freya and Nicolle make an *eindejaarslijst* for the next FSR 2020-21.
14 200128-02 The AS adds the living documents to the P-drive.
15 200325-01 Nicolle deletes the Zoom PV recordings at the end of the year.
16 200310-01 Sustainability is always taken into account while working on files.
17 200525-01 The Council adds documents in .word or .pdf for the record or *verslaglegging* in the Week
18 of the FSR.
19 200525-02 In case of absence – and especially for members with voting rights – the Councilors should
20 be informed on time and mandate someone.
21 200525-03 The council refers to itself as FSR FGw and uses pronoun 'it'.
22 200911-01 When PV's are scheduled on Mondays the council will go over section 3.3.b. of the HR again
23 200911-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 will look into possible actions when more information regarding
24 the UvA-Huawei collaboration is brought forward by the CSR.
25
26 201126 When referring to certain job titles and technical terms the council will use Dutch
27 terminology to avoid confusion between the council and board.
28 201023 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will translate the HR to English before the next council year.
29 201210 OOD will look into the role of intersectionality within the UvA diversity policy.
30 201204 The council will meet up as soon as government restrictions allow 10-15 people to get
31 together again
32 210408 Regarding formal letters by the council
33 a) For every formal letter the council writes, at least, 2 editors will be appointed to check
34 the letter for (but not limited to) argumentative, stylistic, vocabulary, grammar and
35 structural flaws in order to improve it.
36 b) The writer(s) of the formal letters will notify the editors in time for the deadline or PV
37 to check its contents.

Besluiten/Decisions

- 41
42 200811-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elect Zazie van Dorp as Chair of the council.
43 200811-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects David Batelaan as Vice-Chair of the council.
44 200811-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as Safe Person of the council.

1	200811-04	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as Safe Person of the council.
2	200811-05	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as Treasurer of the council.
3	200904-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to have a working group structure.
4	200904-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Robbert Verheul as the FSR member to the Application Committee of the GSH.
5		
6	200904-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as the FSR member to the
7		Application Committee of the CoH.
8	200904-04	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to join the Guidance Committee 7-7-4
9	200911-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Sara Kemper as member of the Guidance Committee 7-7-4.
10	200911-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Liesje Verhave as member of the Guidance Committee 7-7-
11		4
12	200911-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 1 OER/OC + Diversity (OOD) and
13		appoints Chimira Obiefule, Subu Choudhury, Kauthar Bouazzati, Lotus Friede and
14		Olaiya Aro as its members.
15	200911-04	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 2 Organization, Finance and Housing
16		(OFH) and appoints Tammie Schoots, Gabriel Sojo Perez and Sara Kemper as its members.
17	200911-05	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms working group 3 BA/MA, Communications, PR and
18		Associations (BaMaCom) and appoints Liesje Verhave, Subu Choudhury, Sara Kemper,
19		Robbert Verheul and Lotus Friede as its members.
20	200911-06	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Lotus Friede as member of the Daily Board for the FSR
21		FGw 2020-21.
22	200911-07	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Liesje Verhave as member of the Daily Board for the FSR
23		FGw 2020-21.
24	200911-08	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 elects Gabriel Sojo Perez as member of the Daily Board for the
25		FSR FGw 2020-21.
26	200918-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to adopt the sustainability policy 2020-2021.
27	200918-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 decides to adopt the dates for the vacation letter.
28	200925-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2012 accepts the changes made in the OER addendum letter set up by
29		Friede.
30	201002-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the letter regarding the name change of the BA
31		Archaeology.
32	201002-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will have a podcast on UvA Radio.
33	201009-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will apply the recommendations in the memo concerning
34		kwaliteitsgelden.
35		
36		
37		
38		
39	201016-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence <i>“What is the procedure for appointing a new dean? What’s the timeline regarding the process of finding a new dean? Could you forward us this tijdpad/timeline within two weeks? How will the FSR FGw be involved in this process?”</i>
40		for the OV memo.
41		
42		
43	201016-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence <i>Due to Corona we want to make sure that</i>
44		<i>students still get updated about what is going on within the council, because of this we would</i>
45		<i>like to ask you to add the content of the Wandkrant to the FGw Studentennieuws e-mail.”</i> to
46		the OV memo.



- 1 201016-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence “When will the meetings of the 8-8-4 steering committee start? Can we get an update on this within two weeks?” to the OV memo.
- 2
- 3 201016-04 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence “Could we have more reservable spots at PCH? Could we have a long-term plan in regards to study spots in general?” to the OV
- 4
- 5 memo.
- 6 201016-05 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence “Since Tammie Schoots and Nelson Addo are
- 7
- 8 in a legal process, how can the DB ensure that there won’t be a conflict of interest?” to the OV
- 9 201016-06 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the sentence “Can you promise us that we will have the
- 10
- 11 mandatory 6 weeks to respond to every advice or consent request?” to the OV memo.
- 12 201030-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will write a negative advice on the Faculty Budget 2021.
- 13 201106-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will send the negative advice letter regarding the Faculty Budget
- 14
- 15 201106-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adopts the proposed amendments to the OER part A and faculty
- 16
- 17 part B.
- 18 201106-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will use the name ‘Humanities in Context’ for their UvA Radio
- 19
- 20 podcast.
- 21 201112-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adds the changes and additions to the HR (except for the
- 22
- 23 anonymous voting article).
- 24 201112-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 adds the changes and additions to article 6.6.c of the HR
- 25
- 26 concerning fully anonymous voting.
- 27 201112-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 confirms and accepts the Sustainability Policy, including the
- 28
- 29 changes made to point 7 and 8.
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37 201119-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will demand an addendum by the fDB to the CoH Yearly Plan.
- 38 201119-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 agrees to the points stated in the letter [attachment: kwaliteitsgelden PV 201119] by Sojo Perez on to send it to the fDB.
- 39
- 40 201119-03 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will use the content for Wandkrant nr. 1 (council year 2020-21).
- 41 201126-01 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will to apply the changes to the letter in response to the board’s
- 42
- 43 reaction to the negative budget (2021) advice.
- 44 201126-02 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will apply the changes to the Memo (OV 201208 – Jos van Geel,
- 45
- Ad Interim Director *Bedrijfsvoering*) and accepts it being sent to the fDB for further discussion at the OV.

1	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2		[REDACTED]
3	201217-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will to accept option 3 (Sojo Perez will head OOD as DB member, leaving Schoots and Kemper as possible coordinators of OFH) as a temporary solution.
4		
5	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
6		[REDACTED]
7		[REDACTED]
8		[REDACTED]
9	210114 -01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will use the positive advice letter on the faculty budget 2021 set up by Kemper.
10		
11	210114-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 appoints Liesje Verhave, Zazie van Dorp and Robbert Verheul as members of the Sollicitatiecommissie for a new council assistant.
12		
13		
14	210114-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will sign the petition 'University of Amsterdam staff and students support Boğaziçi University'.
15		
16	210121-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will write an (unsolicited) advice about the Green Paper OCs.
17	210121-02	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 write a letter about the Green Paper OCs to the OCs.
18	210121-03	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will e-mail the CSR about the Election Regulations detailing that they need to include a part about not being allowed to bribe potential voters by promising them gift cards or objects and making the Fair Play Agreements formal.
19		
20		
21	210121-04	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will propose for the OER article to state: " <i>Bij online toetsing wordt géén gebruik gemaakt van software voor online surveillance (proctoring) die strijdig is met de privacybelangen van de student</i> ".
22		
23		
24	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
25		[REDACTED]
26	210208-01	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will send the edited unsolicited advice Greenpaper OCs.
27	210301	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will become a collaborator to the #NietMijnSchuld protest of March 13 th , 2021.
28		
29	210407	The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will send Zazie van Dorp as a delegate for the <i>Benoemingsadviescomissie</i> to recruit a new dean.
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		