



## **Minutes Plenary Meeting (PV) Faculty Student Council FGw, 7<sup>th</sup> of July 2021**

Present	Julia Ballak, Sara Kemper, Farah Malash, Ciprian Piraianu, Carlos van Eck, Mikayla Vieira Ribeiro, Tea Svendsen, Sara Verveer
Absent	Juliet Hondtong, June Ouwehand, Jelle Mars
Guest	Zazie van Dorp (Technical Chair), David Batelaan
Secretary	Angelina Senchi

### **Agenda**

- 1. Opening**
- 2. Announcements**
- 3. Confirming Agenda**
- 4. Appointment CSR Delegate, *voting***
- 5. Wvttk (any other business)**
- 6. Final Points & closing**

## 1. Opening

1 Van Dorp opens the meeting at 13:04 and briefly explains what a plenary meeting entails: a weekly formal  
2 meeting where councilors discuss, vote on and strategize on the files that are important at that particular  
3 moment. Furthermore, it's a moment to prepare for the formal meetings (OVs) with the board and an  
4 opportunity to check in with fellow council members.

## 2. Announcements

5 Senchi check with the attendees whether they feel comfortable with her recording the meeting for  
6 registration purposes; Van Dorp explains all recordings will be deleted as soon as the minutes are  
7 confirmed. Kemper requests a round of introductions, Van Dorp agrees to this request: all attendees briefly  
8 introduce themselves.

## 3. Confirming Agenda

9 Van Dorp explains that at this point in the meeting they usually go over the minutes from the previous  
10 meeting to check whether everyone agrees with how the meeting is reflected in the report. As this is the  
11 2021-2022 council's first meeting, they don't have any minutes to confirm yet. Van Dorp then goes over the  
12 agenda and offers the attendees the opportunity to make changes to it or to announce an additional agenda  
13 point. No changes are made. Van Dorp confirms the agenda

## 4. Appointment CSR Delegate, voting

14 Van Dorp notes that this agenda topic is meant to further inform the attendees on the appointment of a CSR  
15 Delegate and the voting procedure, considering they are supposed to be voting on the matter at this  
16 particular meeting. Currently, contrary to normal policy, no application or motivational letters have been  
17 sent in for the delegate position, therefore Van Dorp suggests having a discussion on how to proceed and  
18 asks everyone for their input. Kemper asks whether everyone is aware of what it entails to be a CSR  
19 Delegate, Svendsen replies that she would like to discuss the position in more detail. Batelaan explains the  
20 two levels of *medezeggenschap* (transl. codetermination): central level and faculty level. At the central level  
21 the CSR is the student organ of the codetermination, whereas the FSR fulfills this role at the faculty level. The  
22 CSR exists out of twelve representatives: six people who are directly elected to the CSR and six people who  
23 are delegated by the faculty student councils. The CSR Delegate will have more responsibilities, thus more  
24 work, but they will also have more influence on the executive level and have the opportunity to work on  
25 different files or to have more sway on files that are also presented at the faculty level. Moreover, the grant  
26 the delegate receives is higher than a regular council member due to the increased responsibilities. Plus, Van  
27 Dorp adds, being a delegate to the CSR gives one just as much say as being an elected member would.  
28 Kemper offers an example: she notes that the housing file is relevant at both the faculty and central level and  
29 that as an FSR member she has attended plenty of meetings on the matter as well as read loads of  
30 documents, but housing is arranged via the budget on a central level, which means that only the CSR can  
31 effectually influence the decisions that are made regarding the file whereas the FSR can only make  
32 suggestions considering the faculty board doesn't handle this particular kind of decision-making. Batelaan  
33 and Van Dorp note that essentially the logic is: double council, double work, double pay. Batelaan expects  
34 the workload to be around 25 – 30 hours a week, which would be similar to the (vice-)chair position.  
35 Van Dorp asks whether anyone would be interested in the position. Kemper comments that she'd be willing  
36 to take up the position if none of the other councilors were to make themselves available; she explains that,  
37 due to her current study plans, she's rather restricted in her availability time-wise but that she would really  
38 like to have more say in matters like the housing file. Senchi asks the other attendees to voice their concerns  
39 and reasons for not taking up the position, perhaps she, Van Dorp, Batelaan and Kemper could take away

1 some of their issues. Svendsen, like Kemper, fears that she won't have enough time to take on the position.  
2 Senchi offers options, like taking up double files (files that are the same for the FSR and CSR, which means  
3 you' only have to attend one instead of several meetings for individual files) or smaller files to save time. Van  
4 Dorp checks whether any of the other attendees are interested in the position, no one responds. Thus, Van  
5 Dorp proposes to schedule a 10-minute break at this moment, then Svendsen and Kemper can use the recess  
6 to mull over their decision to become a delegate and after they can all continue their discussion.

7

8 *Break*

9

10 When returning from their break Svendsen informs everyone she has decided against taking up the position  
11 and Kemper notes that she is willing to become the new CSR Delegate. Which means the FSR FGw 2021-  
12 2022 has just one candidate to apply for the position.

13

14 *Vieira Ribeiro joins the meeting*

15

16 Van Dorp briefly summarizes the meeting they've had up until this point for Vieira Ribeiro. Subsequently,  
17 Van Dorp explains how the council sometimes uses, so-called, 'temperature-checks' to gage the councilors  
18 opinion on certain stances or procedures. When councilors feel positively about something they raise their  
19 hands up and wiggle their fingers, when they feel negatively they lower their hands down and wiggle their  
20 fingers, and when they don't have an opinion or are neutral on the issue at hand they keep their hands level.  
21 Van Dorp issues a temperature check to gage whether everyone feels comfortable with voting on Kemper,  
22 possibly, becoming the CSR Delegate; the majority agrees to voting. A closed voting procedure commences,  
23 all attendees privately share their vote with Senchi.

24

25 **Voting proposal:** The FSR FGw 2021-2022 proposes to appoint Kemper as CSR delegate for the FSR  
26 FGw 2021-2022.

27 The proposal is **adopted**. The FSR FGw 2020-2021 appoints Kemper as CSR delegate for the FSR FGw  
28 2021-2022.

29

30 Kemper thanks everyone for their vote and comments that she looks forward to starting the upcoming council  
31 year.

## 5. WTTK (Any Other Business)

32 Senchi checks whether the attendees have received an email regarding their food preferences for the  
33 *inwerkweekend*.

## 6. Final Points & Closing

34 Van Batelaan congratulates everybody with their council membership and shares that hopes to see them all  
35 at *inwerken*. The meeting is closed at 13:49.

## Action List

- 1 210222-01 Regarding *Inwerken*:
- 2 a) The council will create a Year Review document for the current and future council to
- 3 evaluate the year and learn from it.
- 4 ~~a. Kemper will translate the Housing Bi-Annual Report.~~
- 5 b. Sojo Perez, Van Dorp and Batelaan will finalize the Year Review
- 6 before the end of August.
- 7
- 8 b) (210506) Choudhury will send out a form to all *inwerkweekend* attendees to convey
- 9 their food preferences and/or allergies.
- 10 210520-01 The incumbent council will schedule a meeting with the possible DB candidates (2021-
- 11 2022) to discuss how they envision council structure and their opinion on a DB vote
- 12 during the first PV.
- 13 210603-01 The FSR FGw 2021-2022 will have a conversation to establish their stance regarding the
- 14 democratization of the dean position.
- 15 210603-03 Batelaan, Kemper and Verhave will remove all FSR FGw *Wandkranten* before the start of
- 16 the next council year.
- 17 210610 The FSR FGw 2021-2022 will discuss mandating Kemper and Verhave (council members
- 18 FSR FGw 2020-2021) so they can attend the 774-committee meeting in September 2021.
- 19 210617-02 Vieira Ribeiro will have a meeting with Letje Lips regarding the finished diversity and
- 20 inclusivity survey during the 2021-2022 academic year.
- 21 210642-01 The FSR FGw 2021-2022 will schedule a meeting with Marie Therese Seignette at the start
- 22 of the upcoming academic year (2021-2022) for a social safety update.



## Pro Memori

- 1 190904-01 When communicating the AS must always be placed in the cc.  
2 190904-02 A list of improvements for the *Heidag* must be prepared for next year.  
3 190904-04 The FSR - DB action list must be discussed for OV's, both internally and during  
4 the VO.  
5 190911-01 The Technical Chair always needs to be invited for PV's prior to an OV.  
6 190911-02 The Council needs to deliver all documents required before the start of the PV.  
7 190911-02 As soon as the website is updated the FSR decides on who will be responsible for updating  
8 the minutes.  
9 191001-01 The AS makes sure at the end of the year all private information of the Councilors is  
10 deleted.  
11 190916-05 The Council promotes their facebook page actively.  
12 191005-01 All documents will be saved in the P-drive.  
13 200128-01 Freya and Nicolle make an *eindejaarslijst* for the next FSR 2020-21.  
14 200128-02 The AS adds the living documents to the P-drive.  
15 200325-01 Nicolle deletes the Zoom PV recordings at the end of the year.  
16 200310-01 Sustainability is always taken into account while working on files.  
17 200525-01 The Council adds documents in Word or .pdf for the record or *verslaglegging* in the Week  
18 of the FSR.  
19 200525-02 In case of absence – and especially for members with voting rights – the Councilors should  
20 be informed on time and mandate someone.  
21 200525-03 The council refers to itself as FSR FGw and uses pronoun 'it'.  
22 200911-01 When PVs are scheduled on Mondays the council will go over section 3.3.b. of the HR again  
23 200911-02 The FSR-FGw 2020-2021 will look into possible actions when more information regarding  
24 the UvA-Huawei collaboration is brought forward by the CSR.  
25  
26 201126 When referring to certain job titles and technical terms the council will use Dutch  
27 terminology to avoid confusion between the council and board.  
28 201023 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 will translate the HR to English before the next council year.  
29 201210 OOD will look into the role of intersectionality within the UvA diversity policy.  
30 201204 The council will meet up as soon as government restrictions allow 10-15 people to get  
31 together again  
32 210408 Regarding formal letters by the council  
33 a) For every formal letter the council writes, at least, 2 editors will be appointed to check  
34 the letter for (but not limited to) argumentative, stylistic, vocabulary, grammar and  
35 structural flaws in order to improve it.  
36 b) The writer(s) of the formal letters will notify the editors in time for the deadline or PV  
37 to check its contents.  
38 210506 The council will vote on the final version of the *inwerkmap* on the 17<sup>th</sup> of June 2021  
39 210701 The council will ask the board to send over Word-files, when the council is required to/feel  
40 the need to supply them with in-text textual edits/commentary.

1 **Besluiten/Decisions**

- 2 210707 The FSR FGw 2020-2021 appoints Kemper as CSR delegate for the FSR FGw 2021-2022.