Concept minutes Plenary Meeting 6th of December 2023

Aanwezig
Angelina Senchi, Hidde Heijnis, Sean Berg, Rembrandt Tijp, Carlos van Eck

Afwezig
Huy Le, Teun van Kasteel, Ivar Kracht, Noah Benjamins, Giovanni Prins, Sarah Boll

Gast

Notulset
Lieje Verhave

Agenda

1. Opening

2. Mail and action list (15 min)

3. Confirmation minutes (5 mins)

4. Announcements (1 min)

5. Confirmation agenda (3 min)

6. Updates (10 min)
   The chair, vicechair, workgroups, and CSR delegate share updates.

7. Deciding: OER consent/advice (20 min) (attachment: 1)
   The FSR discusses the advice regarding OER.

8. Deciding: Part-time BA advice (20 min) (attachment: 4)
   The FSR looks at the part-time BA advice request.

9. Discussing: OV prep (20 min) (attachment: 3)
   The FSR pre-discusses the OV.

10. PR of the Week (5 min)
   The FSR discusses what they want to post on social media this week.

11. Final points and closing

12.
1. Opening

Heijnis opens the meeting at 13:08.

2. Mail and action points (15 min)

The FSR discusses the action list. Afterwards the FSR discusses the mail list. [ACTIONPOINT] Heijnis will attend the Anti-Kantine meeting. Heijnis sends the survey about medezeggenschap in the chat for everyone to fill out.

3. Confirmation minutes (5 min)

The FSR discusses the minutes of the 29th of November. No suggestions are made. The minutes are confirmed.

4. Confirmation agenda (3 min)

The agenda point about the part-time advice is changed from deciding to discussing. The coordinators will decide how to take up the point regarding the OV. Agenda is confirmed.

5. Announcements

Tip announces that he is feeling sick so he might leave early. Van Eck also needs to leave early because of his class. Because of absences Kracht gave his mandate to Van Eck. Van Kasteel and Benjamins gave their mandate to Heijnis.

6. Updates (10 min)

Chair, Vice-Chair, workgroups, and CSR-delegate share updates.

Tip updates that they discussed the advice request surrounding the part-time bachelor. They arrived at a different conclusion than Kracht. As most partakers are older and more interested in studying than completing the program. The advice request portrays not completing the programs as a big issue, but for these participants finishing the degree might not be their personal goal or something that influences their employment.

Senchi updates that she was at the social safety panel of the AP, and the social safety officer was also there. The social safety officer is working on incorporating our memo into the current plan of action and we will have a meeting with her soon. Senchi has heard concerning messages that most contact points for social safety complaints are not active or properly in use. We were unaware of this issue. A humanities student filed a complaint about imagery present in books carried in the library. The faculty and the library are seen as separate issues, but students interact with both but then it is not taken seriously because both organizations see it as not being their issue. Senchi will take up this point in the upcoming meeting about social safety [ACTIONPOINT].

Van Eck updates about the remaining FSR budget. Van Eck proposes to order hoodies or mugs to spend the remaining budget. Van Eck asks Senchi if the budget still can be spent on menstrual products. Senchi is unsure if they can get the proposal approved before January. Senchi responds that we can already order...
the products from our budget, but then we might have an oversupply of menstrual products. Van Eck says that we can always bring them to the study associations. Heijnis proposes to then spread them around regardless because the university removing them is a bad look. Senchi will send the relevant information and factsheets to Van Eck to write a coherent proposal [ACTIONPOINT].

7. Deciding: OER consent/advice (20 min) (attachment: 1)

The FSR discusses the advice regarding OER. Heijnis introduces the letter. He explains that the DB had already pre-discussed it with Van Kasteel and Benjamins the previous Friday. Heijnis explains logic behind the current letter is more informative of the process of the FSR and that we believe that sending a consent letter is premature as the OER process has not been completed yet, especially considering the absence of the minutes of the OER OV.

Voting proposal: The FSR FGw 2023-2024 proposes to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A and format part B to the fDB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activistenpartij</th>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposal is accepted. The The FSR FGw 23-24 decides to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A and format part B to the fDB.

The council takes a break at 13:43.
The council continues the meeting at 14:00.

8. Deciding: Part-time BA advice (20 min) (attachment: 4)

The FSR looks at the part-time BA advice request.

Berg, Kracht, and Tip discussed last Monday about the part-time advice request. They reviewed a lot of the data about the students partaking in the program. As it’s a small group of students with low graduation percentages. Around 40 percent of these students are taking culture and language tracks. One of the OC’s recommended includes a tutor to guide these students. Berg explains that the board is unlikely to want to invest financially in the program if they want to scrap it. Tip adds that there should be more of a plan, it only shows a few possible points of action but not a formulated position from the board. It seems a lot of students stop their part-time programs because of other commitments, for example, full-time jobs. Senchi responds that the success rate is low because the faculty policy on the programs is insufficient.

Heijnis responds that studying part-time allowed him to explore his options and find out what he wanted to do full-time. Senchi also says that the measure that they want to take is quite extreme. Instead of keeping
the program they want to scrap everything. She is more in favor of keeping the programs and improving them actively. This might not be the cost-efficient option, but it might be the more logical one.

Heijnis says the program should not be a lot of extra costs now because the number of students participating is low and they don’t develop any other groups for the part-time students. Tip responds that the issue is the facility/service costs are the same for part-time and full-time students. Senchi says that the letter should focus on the faulty logic of the board in the current plan.

Berg also says that cutting the part-time program also cuts the accessibility of the university. It should be accessible to everyone. Berg asks if we should ask the OCs if they can estimate the number of hours that tutors would work on guiding this program. Verhave responds that we can compare it to the tutors at a similarly sized program because contacting the OCs now might be late in the process. Heijnis says they should in the end not scrap but improve the program in its current form. Heijnis continues we should also question the budgeting for similar rate service costs for part-time and full-time students. It might be possible to change these costs as the part-time students are not present at the university full-time. Tip adds that in this program they propose scrapping the small ones and developing the bigger ones. The ones they’re looking to scrap are the languages – which goes against the sector plan as these programs incur low costs and have high developments. Their points are hypocritical. Senchi says this is a good point to refer to in the letter. Verhave adds that Tip and Berg should also include references to the OC advices.

9. Discussing OV prep (20 min) [attachment: 3]

The council discusses the OV agenda. Heijnis asks Berg if he is interested in being one of the speakers on the agenda point of internationalization. Heijnis will also work on this subject. Berg will not be present during the OV because of class. We will pre-discuss it on Wednesday. Senchi has been present for all the meetings concerning tutoring but would like to take a step-back during the content discussions so she would like to be a second speaker on the subject. Senchi might want to do a ludieke actie during the CoH/GSH agenda point to ask what they discussed at every point because they do not want to share the minutes of the meetings. Van Kasteel and Benjamins should be the speakers on the onkostenvergoeding as they worked on the advice letter.

10. Final points and closing

Senchi asks if the council members are interested in attending Dies Natalis.

The PV closes at 14:46.
Decisions

**230925**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit de brief van de CSR getiteld "Unsolicited advice -third part collaboration 230921" mede te ondertekenen.

**231002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de inhoud van agenda punt 8 "Relations between the voetnoot te plaatsen bij het agendapunt dat het zwartlakken heeft plaatsgevonden op verzoek van het fDB.

**231009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de brief "support for the unsolicited advice from the CSR regarding third party collaborations" te delen met de CSR.

**231030**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om het statement aangedragen door Van Beersum te delen op sociale media als formeel statement met betrekking tot de situatie in Israël en Palestina.

**221115**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 will send out a negative advice to the fDB regarding FGw Budget.

**231129**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send out the Unsolicited Advice Onkostenvergoeding 231129.

**231206**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A and format part B to the fDB.