



## Concept minutes Plenary Meeting ~~6~~<sup>1</sup> of December 2023

Aanwezig Angelina Senchi, Hidde Heijnis, Sean Berg, Rembrandt Tip, Carlos van Eck  
Afwezig Huy Le, Teun van Kasteel, Ivar Kracht, Noah Benjamins, Giovanni Prins, Sarah Boll  
Gast -  
Notulist Liesje Verhave

### Agenda

- 2
- 3 **1. Opening**
- 4 **2. Mail and action list** (15 min)
- 5 **3. Confirmation minutes** (5 mins)
- 6 **4. Announcements** (1 min)
- 7 **5. Confirmation agenda** (3 min)
- 8 **6. Updates** (10 min)  
9 The chair, vicechair, **workgroups**, and CSR delegate share updates.
- 10
- 11 **7. Deciding: OER consent/advice** (20 min) (attachment: 1)  
12 *The FSR discusses the advice regarding OER.*
- 13
- 14 **8. Deciding: Part-time BA advice** (20 min) (attachment: 4)  
15 *The FSR looks at the part-time BA advice request.*
- 16
- 17 **9. Discussing: OV prep** (20 min) (attachment: 3)  
18 *The FSR pre-discusses the OV.*
- 19
- 20 **10. PR of the Week** (5 min)  
21 *The FSR discusses what they want to post on social media this week.*
- 22
- 23 **11. Final points and closing**

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30



31

32 **1. Opening**

33

34 Heijnis opens the meeting at 13:08.

35 **2. Mail and action points (15 min)**

36 The FSR discusses the action list. Afterwards the FSR discusses the mail list. [ACTIONPOINT] Heijnis will  
37 attend the Anti-Kantine meeting. Heijnis sends the survey about *medezeggenschap* in the chat for everyone  
38 to fill out.

39

40

41 **3. Confirmation minutes (5 min)**

42 The FSR discusses the minutes of the 29<sup>th</sup> of November. No suggestions are made. The minutes are  
43 confirmed.

44 **4. Confirmation agenda (3 min)**

45 The agenda point about the part-time advice is changed from deciding to discussing. The coordinators will  
46 decide how to take up the point regarding the OV. Agenda is confirmed.

47

48 **5. Announcements**

49

50 Tip announces that he is feeling sick so he might leave early. Van Eck also needs to leave early because of  
51 his class. Because of absences Kracht gave his mandate to Van Eck. Van Kasteel and Benjamins gave their  
52 mandate to Heijnis.

53 **6. Updates (10 min)**

54 *Chair, vice-Chair, workgroups, and CSR-delegate share updates.*

55

56 Tip updates that they discussed the advice request surrounding the part-time bachelor. They arrived at a  
57 different conclusion than Kracht. As most partakers are older and more interested in studying than  
58 completing the program. The advice request portrays not completing the programs as a big issue, but for  
59 these participants finishing the degree might not be their personal goal or something that influences their  
60 employment.

61

62 Senchi updates that she was at the social safety panel of the AP, and the social safety officer was also there.  
63 The social safety officer is working on incorporating our memo into the current [plan of action](#) and we will  
64 have a meeting with her soon. Senchi has heard concerning messages that most contact points for social  
65 safety complaints are not active or properly in use. We were unaware of this issue. A humanities student  
66 filed a complaint about imagery present in books carried in the library. The faculty and the library are seen  
67 as separate issues, but students interact with both but then it is not taken seriously because both  
68 organizations see it as not being their issue. Senchi will take up this point in the upcoming meeting about  
69 social safety [ACTIONPOINT].

70

71 Van Eck updates about the remaining FSR budget. Van Eck proposes to order hoodies or mugs to spend the  
72 remaining budget. Van Eck asks Senchi if the budget still can be spent on menstrual products. Senchi is  
73 unsure if they can get the proposal approved before January. Senchi responds that we can already order

Deleted: H

75 the products from our budget, but then we might have an oversupply of menstrual products. Van Eck says  
76 that we can always bring them to the study associations. Heijnis proposes to then spread them around  
77 regardless because the university removing them is a bad look. Senchi will send the relevant information  
78 and factsheets to Van Eck to write a coherent proposal [ACTIONPOINT].  
79  
80  
81

82 **7. Deciding: OER consent/advice (20 min) (attachment: 1)**

83 *The FSR discusses the advice regarding OER.*  
84

85 Heijnis introduces the letter. He explains that the DB had already pre-discussed it with Van Kasteel and  
86 Benjamins the previous Friday. Heijnis explains logic behind the current letter is more informative of the  
87 process of the FSR and that we believe that sending a consent letter is premature as the OER process has  
88 not been completed yet, especially considering the absence of the minutes of the OER OV.  
89

90 **Voting proposal:** The FSR FGw 2023-2024 proposes to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A  
91 and format part B to the fDB.  
92

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

93

94

95 The proposal is **accepted**. The The FSR FGw 23-24 decides to send the advice letter concerning the OER  
96 part A and format part B to the fDB.  
97

97

98 The council takes a break at 13:43.  
99

99

100 The council continues the meeting at 14:00.  
101

101

102

103 **8. Deciding: Part-time BA advice (20 min) (attachment: 4)**

104 *The FSR looks at the part-time BA advice request.*  
105

105

106 Berg, Kracht, and Tip discussed last Monday about the part-time advice request. They reviewed a lot of the  
107 data about the students partaking in the program. As it's a small group of students with low graduation  
108 percentages. Around 40 percent of these students are taking culture and language tracks. One of the OC's  
109 recommended includes a tutor to guide these students. Berg explains that the board is unlikely to want to  
110 invest financially in the program if they want to scrap it. Tip adds that there should be more of a plan, it  
111 only shows a few possible points of action but not a formulated position from the board. It seems a lot of  
112 students stop their part-time programs because of other commitments, for example, full-time jobs. Senchi  
113 responds that the success rate is low because the faculty policy on the programs is insufficient.

114 Heijnis responds that studying part-time allowed him to explore his options and find out what he wanted  
115 to do full-time. Senchi also says that the measure that they want to take is quite extreme. Instead of keeping



116 the program they want to scrap everything. She is more in favor of keeping the programs and improving  
117 them actively. This might not be the cost-efficient option, but it might be the more logical one.

118 Heijnis says the program should not be a lot of extra costs now because the number of students  
119 participating is low and they don't develop any other groups for the part-time students. Tip responds that  
120 the issue is the facility/service costs are the same for part-time and full-time students. Senchi says that the  
121 letter should focus on the faulty logic of the board in the current plan.

Commented [RT1]: Per year, so the costs are higher for part time students relative to the amount of tuition they pay

122 Berg also says that cutting the part-time program also cuts the accessibility of the university. It should be  
123 accessible to everyone. Berg asks if we should ask the OCs if they can estimate the number of hours that  
124 tutors would work on guiding this program. Verhave responds that we can compare it to the tutors at a  
125 similarly sized program because contacting the OC's now might be late in the process. Heijnis says they  
126 should in the end not scrap but improve the program in its current form. Heijnis continues we should also  
127 question the budgeting for similar rate service costs for part-time and full-time students. It might be  
128 possible to change these costs as the part-time students are not present at the university full-time. Tip adds  
129 that in this program they propose scrapping the small ones and developing the bigger ones. The ones  
130 they're looking to scrap are the languages – which goes against the sector plan as these programs incur low  
131 costs and have high developments. Their points are hypocritical. Senchi says this is a good point to refer to  
132 in the letter. Verhave adds that Tip and Berg should also include references to the OC advices.

Deleted: '

133

134 9. **Discussing: OV prep** (20 min) (attachment: 3)

135 *The FSR pre-discusses the OV.*

136

137 The council discusses the OV agenda. Heijnis asks Berg if he is interested in being one of the speakers  
138 on the agenda point of internationalization. Heijnis will also work on this subject. Berg will not be  
139 present during the OV because of class. We will pre-discuss it on Wednesday. Senchi has been present  
140 for all the meetings concerning tutoring but would like to take a step-back during the content  
141 discussions so she would like to be a second speaker on the subject. Senchi might want to do a *ludieke*  
142 *actie* during the CoH/GSH agenda point to ask what they discussed at every point because they do not  
143 want to share the minutes of the meetings. Van Kasteel and Benjamins should be the speakers on the  
144 *onkostenvergoeding* as they worked on the advice letter.

Deleted: We

145

146

147

## 148 **10. Final points and closing**

149

150 Senchi asks if the council members are interested in attending Dies Natalis.

151

152 The PV closes at 14:46.

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168

**Decisions**

**230925**

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

169 De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit de brief van de CSR getiteld "Unsolicited advice -third part  
170 collaboration 230921" mede te ondertekenen.

**231002**

171  
172

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

173 De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de inhoud van agenda punt 8 "Relations between  
174 the voetnoot te plaatsen bij het agendapunt dat het zwartlakken heeft  
175 plaatsgevonden op verzoek van het fDB.

**231009**

176

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

177 De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de brief "support for the unsolicited advice from the CSR  
178 regarding third party collaborations" te delen met de CSR.

179

**231030**

180

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

181 De FSR-FGw 2023-2024 besluit om het statement aangedragen door Van Beersum te delen op  
182 sociale media als formeel statement met betrekking tot de situatie in Israël en Palestina.

**221115**

183

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

184 The FSR-FGw 2023-2024 will send out a negative advice to the fDB regarding FGw Budget.

**231129**

185

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	5	-	2	-

186 The FSR-FGw 2023-2024 decides to send out the *Unsolicited Advice Onkostenvergoeding*  
187 *231129*.

**231206**

188  
189

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

190 The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A and  
191 format part B to the fDB.

192



