



Concept minutes Plenary Meeting 7th of February 2024

Aanwezig	Hidde Heijnis, Noah Benjamins, Ivar Kracht (online), Angelina Senchi, Carlos van Eck, Giovanni Prins (online), Huy Le, Teun van Kasteel, Rembrandt Tip
Afwezig	Sarah Boll, Sean Berg
Gast	-
Notulist	Liesje Verhave

Agenda

1. Opening

2. Mail and action list (15 min)

3. Confirmation minutes (5 mins)

4. Announcements (1 min)

5. Confirmation agenda (3 min)

6. Updates (10 min)

The chair, vicechair, **workgroups**, and CSR delegate share updates.

7. **Discussing: OV prep** (45 min) (attachment: 4)

The FSR prepares the OV.

8. Final points and closing

- 29 **1. Opening**
30 Senchi opens the meeting at 15:08.
- 31 **2. Mail and action points (15 min)**
32 The FSR discusses the mailing list. Heijnis and Benjamins will discuss a date for setting a meeting with
33 the study advisors [ACTIONPOINT]. Furthermore, we discuss sending an FSR member to the selection
34 committee for the next central student assessor. Heijnis and Senchi will apply before the 20th of
35 February [ACTIONPOINT]. Senchi received the possible planning for the museum night event. Senchi
36 asks the council if someone can join the Klankbordgroep Diversity Officer, Van Eck will join the
37 meeting.
38
- 39 **3. Confirmation minutes (5 min)**
40 The FSR discusses the minutes from the PV of the 2nd of February. The minutes are confirmed.
41
- 42 **4. Confirmation agenda (3 min)**
43 The agenda is confirmed.
44
- 45 **5. Announcements**
46 Berg and Boll are absent.
47
- 48 **6. Updates (10 min)**
49 *Chair, vice-Chair, workgroups, and CSR-delegate share updates.*
50
51 Benjamins updates again regarding the update of the faculty rights in the budget discussion. He will
52 double-check certain points with the lawyer and report back to the FSR.
53
54 Van Kasteel will be the contact person regarding faculty attendance.
55
56 Senchi and Heijnis attended the chair meeting. Next week Wednesday Senchi will also attend the chair
57 meeting with the Rector. Senchi will get in contact with Noah Pelikaan about a thesis regarding
58 institutional hypocrisy [ACTIONPOINT].
59
60 *The council takes a break at 15:36.*
61
- 62 **9. Discussing: OV prep (45 min) (attachment: 4)**
63 *The FSR prepares the OV.*
64
65 Senchi goes over the OV agenda. The council discusses the action list from the OV. We want to change the
66 action point regarding WIB into an *OV stand van zaken* update. Benjamins adds that an action point from
67 the first OER meeting is that we would discuss BSA. Verhave brings up the meeting the fDB wants with the
68 FSR regarding mandatory attendance. Heijnis responds that we should have a council stance on this issue.
69 Senchi asks the council if we want to mention the sending deadlines for OV pieces at the beginning of the
70 OV or afterward. Van Eck suggests doing it at the beginning of the OV. Heijnis will mention this point. Senchi
71 will mention the absent FSR members.
72

73 Boll, Berg, Prins, and Kracht will be absent during the OV.
74
75 The FSR discusses the agenda point of the discussion piece regarding examination.
76
77 Senchi comments that the fDB is extremely zooming into the subject of examination even if the discussion
78 is of a broader scale. Furthermore, they make a comment regarding the OR. Senchi thinks they are
79 interpreting the letter of the OR in the wrong way and using it in the wrong way. Heijnis says on page 3
80 and 4 points of the OR are coming forward, but the board is now only discussing examination. Heijnis goes
81 on to explain some other pages of the discussion piece. Which discusses how examination requests are
82 dealt with in different clusters.
83
84 Benjamins explains that page 5 mainly discusses the differences in examinations in different programs and
85 what the possible causes can be of these different circumstances. Benjamins is not happy with what they
86 wrote about student wellbeing. Furthermore, the discussion piece mentions critique in a way that is
87 diminishing student well-being. The people mentioned who wrote these pieces of critique are also
88 debatable experts. The goal should be to create a policy that leads to better well-being for students and
89 staff. Van Eck continues that the next pages discuss changes for students, education, society, and within the
90 university. It discusses the consequences of the current policy. Senchi responds that the lack of clarity in
91 the policy creates the possibility of students negotiating deadlines and potential study delays. Tip mentions
92 that in the societal changes for example regarding the housing market and the wealth gap is not mentioned.
93
94 Senchi mentions that also deadlines are not respected by teachers so therefore students get used to this
95 interaction with deadlines. Furthermore, flexible deadlines do not work in the 4-week blocks. Senchi
96 prefers leaving the responsibility to the teacher of deadlines and giving the teacher options to which
97 options they can choose.
98
99 Kracht identifies two problems the aspect of social safety and social guidance and on the other hand the
100 general issue of having to deal with the extension of deadlines. He sees the danger of the analysis – Kracht
101 says too much attention is placed on a societal analysis without mentioning the consequences of capitalism.
102 If social safety is discussed for this issue, structural systemic inequality should also be discussed and not
103 just individual responsibility for the students.
104
105 Van Kasteel mentioned that the list on the page with the possible preventive matters points 4 and 5 are
106 contradictory and should be mentioned during the OV.
107
108 Senchi says the students that are continuously asking for deadlines could be inventoried and referred to
109 the study advisors.
110
111 **7. Final points and closing**
112
113 Senchi closes the meeting at 17:00.
114
115
116
117

118

119 **Decisions**

120

121 **230925**

122

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

123

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit de brief van de CSR getiteld "Unsolicited advice -third part collaboration 230921" mede te ondertekenen.

124

125 **231002**

126

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

127

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de inhoud van agenda punt 8 "Relations between the voetnoot te plaatsen bij het agendapunt dat het zwartlakken heeft plaatsgevonden op verzoek van het fDB.

128

129

130 **231009**

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

131

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de brief "support for the unsolicited advice from the CSR regarding third party collaborations" te delen met de CSR.

132

133

134 **231030**

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

135

De FSR-FGw 2023-2024 besluit om het statement aangedragen door Van Beersum te delen op sociale media als formeel statement met betrekking tot de situatie in Israël en Palestina.

136

137 **221115**

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

138

The FSR-FGw 2023-2024 will send out a negative advice to the fDB regarding FGw Budget.

139

231129

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	5	-	2	-

140

The FSR-FGw 2023-2024 decides to send out the *Unsolicited Advice Onkostenvergoeding 231129*.

141

142 **231206**

143

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

144

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A and format part B to the fDB.

145

146

147 **231213**

148

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	1	-

149 The FSR FGw 23-24 decides to send a negative advice on the proposed changes to part-time
150 BA education.

151 **240119**

152

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	6	-	-	1

153 The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send an email giving a positive advice on the FGw budget
154 after the adaptations were made.

155

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	7	-	-	-

156 The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to endorse the letter of FSR-FdG to send the letter
157 formally requesting access to Canvas for the FSR's.

158 **240202**

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	5	-	1	1

159 The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send the unsolicited advice concerning the connection to
160 Israeli universities to the fDB.

161