Concept minutes Plenary Meeting 14th of February 2024

Aanwezig   Noah Benjamins, Angelina Senchi, Carlos van Eck, Huy Le, Teun van Kasteel, Rembrandt Tip, Sean Berg
Afwezig    Sarah Boll, Ivar Kracht, Hidde Heijnis, Giovanni Prins
Gast       -
Notulist   Liesje Verhave

Agenda

1. Opening

2. Mail and action list (15 min)

3. Confirmation minutes (5 mins)

4. Announcements (1 min)

5. Confirmation agenda (3 min)

6. Updates (10 min)
   The chair, vicechair, workgroups, and CSR delegate share updates.

7. Discussing: Evaluation (30 min) (attachment: -)
   The FSR discusses the current workings of the council.

8. Discussing: Instemmingsverzoek OER'en ACASA (30 min) (attachments: 7)
   The FSR prepares the consent request regarding OER ACASA.

9. Discussing: FSR budget (10 min) (attachment: 1)
   The FSR discusses spending our own budget.

10. Final points and closing
1. **Opening**
   Senchi opens the meeting at 15:19.

2. **Mail and action points** (15 min)
   The council discusses the e-mail and action list. [ACTIONPOINT] Benjamins and Heijnis will respond to the study advisor from the history department.
   [ACTIONPOINT] Van Eck will reach out to the CSR to discuss the declaration for the evaluation weekend budget.

3. **Confirmation minutes** (5 min)
   Confirming the minutes will be done next week.

4. **Confirmation agenda** (3 min)
   The agenda is confirmed.

5. **Announcements**
   Benjamins will need to leave the meeting after the first hour.

6. **Updates** (10 min)
   *Chair, vice-Chair, workgroups, and CSR-delegate share updates.*
   Benjamins updates that he went to the meeting regarding study advisors – they were annoyed we didn’t go to them but the issues went through the board because of the budget discussion. Benjamins explained to them how the subject came up in the discussion. Also, most programs don’t have any issues with study advisors. The only waiting time issues are present at European Studies and History and they are aware of this and are hesitant to take on more study advisors because they expect a drop in students soon.

   Senchi suggests asking to have a check-in meeting every few months with for example Hermien to check in on how everything is going. [ACTIONPOINT]

   Senchi updates that she had a meeting with the Rector Magnificus. They are planning to work together with some of the other FSRs to continue the decolonial dialogues. This can then take place on the faculty or central level. They also discussed the relations between the FSR and the board. Senchi mentioned that we often receive documents late and sometimes the board does not communicate updates to us for example regarding WIB. The profiling fund will for sure go up next year and the councils will also receive extra funds with *terugwerkende kracht*.

7. **Discussing: Evaluation** (20 min) (attachment: 1)
The FSR discusses the current workings of the council.

Conclusions:
- We would like to bring more subjects to the board and the OV.
- Suggest doing something fun together.
- We will structure the PV meeting more as a workgroup session and discuss/work on subjects together instead of requesting subjects to be prepared in advance.

The consequences of this are that the importance of attending the PV increases, so there is less pressure to prepare for the meeting during a busy week. Furthermore, the PV minutes will become more of a report and decision list.

8. **Discussing: Instemningsverzoek OER’en ACASA** (15 min) (attachment: 7)

The FSR prepares the consent request regarding OER ACASA.

On point 3.9 the phrasing seems like the option for individual feedback seems to disappear:
- The FSR asks what falls into the categorization of written exams?
- Demand: when it comes to essays/papers students should have the right to apply/request for an individual meeting. Up to the teacher if they want to do it for multiple choice exams. The effort for doing the exam should match the effort in feedback and discussing the result. Collective meetings can be pressuring for students who did not do well on the exam or have certain mental hurdles for going to a collective meeting.

On point 5.6:
- This was discussed during the general OER discussion as well.
- Proposal: change 'initial inhoudelijke reaction' to include referencing to a tentative yes or no.
- Or include in the policy of the commission that 'initial inhoudelijke reaction' means a tentative yes or no.
- The FSR would like to request the policy document of the ethics commission. Who is in the commission?

More information is available:
https://aihr.uva.nl/about-aihr/ethics-committee/ethics-committee.html#Review-procedure-for-standard-research

9. **Discussing: FSR budget** (10 min) (attachment: 1)

The FSR discusses spending our own budget.

Van Eck explains that there are still more things we should do regarding the budget. During the next PV, we will work on a social media post and poster to advertise our budget. Also, discuss the possibility of having a screen in the council room.
10. Final points and closing

Verhave asks Van Kasteel about the SOCO for council assistants. He responds that there is an interview scheduled on Friday.
Decisions

**230925**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit de brief van de CSR getiteld “Unsolicited advice -third part collaboration 230921” mede te ondertekenen.

**231002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de inhoud van agenda punt B “Relations between the voetnoot te plaatsen bij het agendapunt dat het zwartlakken heeft plaatsgevonden op verzoek van het fDB.

**231009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR FGw 2023-2024 besluit om de brief “support for the unsolicited advice from the CSR regarding third party collaborations” te delen met de CSR.

**231030**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

De FSR-FGw 2023-2024 besluit om het statement aangedragen door Van Beersum te delen op sociale media als formeeel statement met betrekking tot de situatie in Israël en Palestina.

**221115**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR-FGw 2023-2024 will send out a negative advice to the fDB regarding FGw Budget.

**231129**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR-FGw 2023-2024 decides to send out the *Unsolicited Advice Onkostenvergoeding 231129.*

**231206**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activistenpartij</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send the advice letter concerning the OER part A and format part B to the fDB.
The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send a negative advice on the proposed changes to part-time BA education.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activistenpartij</th>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send an email giving a positive advice on the FGw budget after the adaptations were made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activistenpartij</th>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to endorse the letter of FSR-FdG to send the letter formally requesting access to Canvas for the FSR's.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activistenpartij</th>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FSR FGw 2023-2024 decides to send the unsolicited advice concerning the connection to Israeli universities to the fDB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activistenpartij</th>
<th>Voor</th>
<th>Tegen</th>
<th>Onthouden</th>
<th>Blanco</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>