



Concept Agenda Plenary Meeting 14th of April 2026

Date: 14-04-2026 (15:20-17:20) PCH 6.20.
Aanwezig: Alivia Peterli, Io Carpiso, Aditya Pandey, Kevin Eng (online), Jasmine Lee, Noah de Campos Neto, Zep van de Visse (online)
Afwezig: Florence Lynch, Thomas Groot, Stef Talboo, Constanza Planas, Nimaye Nambiar
Gast:
Notulist: Tahira Mohammad

Agenda

1. **Opening**
2. **Speech on current affairs.**
3. **Mail, agenda, and action list (5 minutes)**
4. **Announcements (2 minutes)**
5. **Confirmation agenda (2 minutes)**
6. **Confirmation minutes (2 minutes)**
7. **Updates (10 minutes)**
The Chair, Vice Chair, Committees and CSR delegate share updates.
8. **Voting – Merch and two subsidies.**
9. **Discussing – PV format (10 minutes) (attachments: 0)**
The FSR discusses a proposed format for upcoming PVs.
10. **Update – Study advisor conversation (5 minutes) (attachments: 0)**
The FSR discusses an update regarding study advisors and potential action.
11. **Discussion – Fair play agreement (10 minutes)**
The FSR discusses and clarifies its stance on the fair play agreement.
12. **OV of the week (10 minutes)**
13. **Social justice/action of the week**
14. **Questions (10 minutes)**
15. **Final points and closing**

Notulen

16. Opening

Peterli opens the meeting at 15:20.

17. Speech on current affairs.

Carpiso shares a speech as Vice-Chair on the current state of affairs within the council.

18. Mail, agenda, and action list (5 minutes)

Mohammad shares updates on the inbox.

- The council discusses the volunteers request for the Humanities after Dark event at the Allard Pierson Museum on the 23rd of April
- The council discusses the response by the Faculty Board on the implementation of a buffer period. O&O will draft a response.
- Groot and Nambiar will attend the informative session on Monday the 20th of April on the Advice Request concerning the GSH Track Proposals
- The council discusses the Dean Transfer on the 23rd of April. Pandey will arrange a gift to bring to the occasion.

19. Announcements (2 minutes)

Some council members share updates on their medical situation.

20. Confirmation agenda (2 minutes)

The agenda is confirmed.

21. Confirmation minutes (2 minutes)

Due to medical reasons, there are no minutes to be confirmed.

22. Updates (10 minutes)

The Chair, Vice Chair, Committees and CSR delegate share updates.

Pandey provides O&M updates. O&M has offered to organize the transfer weekend. O&M proposes to hold a discussion on various ways to highlight elections on campus. The council holds a brainstorm session and discusses the legality of organizing an election event. Van de Visse suggests consulting the Elections Committee on the legal nature of such events.

Eng provides O&O updates. Eng proposes to discuss what final points the council wants to bring to the final OVs.

Carpiso shares that there are no O&F updates.

There are no CSR updates.

23. Voting – Merch and two subsidies.

There are two subsidy applications. The council discusses the first application submitted by study association SES (European Studies). Lee shares the details of the subsidy application. Peterli asks for the council's stance on the application. Eng states that the FSR needs to be involved in a certain capacity in the events that it funds. Carpiso proposes for the FSR to have a decisive say in the speakers' selection of the event, for the event to be open to all FGw students, and to be free entrance. The council holds a vote.

Decisions

260414

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	-	3	2	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Inter	-	-	1	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
UvASociaal	1	-	-	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
020	1	-	-	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Lijst Groot-Adriaanse	-	-	1	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
De Campos Neto	1	-	-	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Sp*tlight	2	-	-	-

The FSR FGw 2025-2026 votes to fund for 200 euro's the event of study association SES on the conditions that the FSR O&M Committee provides support and quality control, the inclusion of the FSR in the promotion material, and for the event to be open to all FGw students and free of charge. The vote has passed.

Lee shares the details of the second subsidy application. Van de Visse shares some background (alleged) information. The council holds a discussion on potential alternatives. Lee, in conjunction with O&M, will contact the applicant and share that the FSR is willing to volunteer to realize the publication of the vocal, and will request whether they can clarify the

specifics on which the fund will be spent on. Additionally, O&M will request clarification on the educational nature of the event.

The council discusses the FSR Merchandise proposals drafted by O&M. The council discusses the water bottle options. The council holds a vote.

Decisions

260414

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Activistenpartij	3	-	2	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Inter	-	-	1	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
UvASociaal	1	-	-	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
020	1	-	-	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Lijst Groot-Adriaanse	-	-	1	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
De Campos Neto	1	-	-	-

	Voor	Tegen	Onthouden	Blanco
Sp*tlight	2	-	-	-

The FSR FGw 2025-2026 votes to buy 24 branded bottles of the Doppoer Steel 800 ml for 394 euros. The vote has passed.

The council holds a ten minute break.

24. Discussing – PV format (10 minutes) (attachments: 0)

The FSR discusses a proposed format for upcoming PVs.

Peterli introduces the agenda point. In order to make the PVs more efficient, changes have been implemented in regards to reducing the discussion of the 'Updates' and 'Action List'. Peterli furthermore proposes the implementation of drafting 'Meeting Pieces' for new agenda points, in order to facilitate a more efficient discussion. Pandey proposes to start implementing the Informing-Discussing-Deciding labels to the agenda points, which specify whether agenda points are meant to be informative, to be discussed upon, or to be decided upon.

25. Update – Study advisor conversation (5 minutes) (attachments: 0)

The FSR discusses an update regarding study advisors and potential action.

Peterli updates on the meeting with the Study Advisors. Peterli explains that the Study Advisors hold general meetings where they bring forth specific cases for discussion and advice. Peterli proposes the FSR to draft a policy on how to adequately respond to students' complaints about the study advisors, with the aim of enhancing students' trust in the Faculty's system. The council discusses what this policy should consist of. Peterli proposes the FSR to create a buddy system where an FSR member can function as a buddy to students who are uninformed about the Faculty's facilities for advice, confidential support and similar cases. Peterli will make a start to the policy draft and further work on it in conjunction with O&M. Carpiso proposes to request ASVA to provide advice on the draft version of the policy. Pandey proposes to introduce the idea to other FSRs as well, with the aim of them also drafting a similar policy.

26. Discussion – Fair play agreement (10 minutes)

The FSR discusses and clarifies its stance on the fair play agreement.

De Campos Neto introduces the agenda point. The council discusses what the Fair Play Agreement entails. De Campos Neto asks why the Fair Play Agreement is not in effect, to what extent the FSR can have a say in this Agreement, and whether the FSR can retrieve this Agreement and encourage other FSRs and the CSR to do so as well. Peterli explains that the Fair Play Agreement is an Agreement between all the student parties that states that they will treat their fellow parties in a respectful manner and will not bribe students for votes. In addition, the Fair Play Agreement facilitates a socially safe campus environment. Currently, all student parties have signed the Fair Play Agreement except for the Activistenpartij. Carpiso asks why this is a CSR only issue, since all FSRs consist of multiple student parties. De Campos Neto points out that the CSR voted to not enact the Fair Play Agreement. Peterli says that the FSR can look into whether this Agreement can be put into effect at the Faculty of Humanities. Pandey shares that the lack of a Fair Play Agreement can result in a (socially) unsafe and dysfunctional council, as has been the case this council year. The council agrees that the CSR delegate will represent the FSR FGw's stance on this matter within the CSR. Lee asks what the argument against the Fair Play Agreement is. Van de Visse agrees that the Agreement should be reintroduced. The CSR delegate will look into the Fair Play Agreement, and its current effect at the University as well as on a Faculty level [ACTIONPOINT]. De Campos Neto asks whether it can be ensured that the party that has not signed the Agreement will not repeat what they did last year in regards to the treatment of student party UvASociaal. Van de Visse is unsure what is being referred to, but can confirm that it concerned a controversial situation. De Campos Neto asks whether the social safety of the election campaigns can be ensured. The council holds a discussion on the social safety risk.

27. OV of the week (10 minutes)

28. Social justice/action of the week

29. Questions (10 minutes)

30. Final points and closing

The meeting ends at 17:20.