



16th meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Agenda

Notes by the

chair are

written in

cursive and

are part of

the agenda

and the minutes, after publication.

Date
Present

January 13rd 2026 13:00 – 15:00
Carla Gesell, Wytze Adriaanse,
Danial Haider, Livni Beck, Angie-lee
Kruize, Tom Scheer, Vincent Nap,
Anastacia Piersma, Alexandra
Jurasova

Location
Absent
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, REC A,
Johannes Hüttner, Fabian Que

Guest
Online

Secretary
Anouchka van Wier

1. Opening of the meeting

The meeting was opened at 13:00. No changes were made to the agenda.

2. Setting the agenda

3. OV Recap

- Members noted a high frequency of "we will come back to it" responses. Concerns were raised regarding "information asymmetry," specifically regarding the civiel effect and the fact that the Board did not disclose Radboud's absence/vacation beforehand.
- The Council expressed frustration that a roundtable on AI with law firms was held without student involvement. The Board appeared to downplay the significance of AI in the legal field.
- Carla will meet with Pim to address these communication gaps. The Council agreed to ask more probing questions in the future to force more detailed explanations from the Board.
- Vince will oversee the ALF reconstruction process; Carla will notify Pim of this appointment.
- Noor noted that current AI literacy efforts are focused on staff, not students. The Council believes the focus of the Board is on preserving student skills in writing and research despite AI, while the Council believes focus should be on educating students on AI skills.

4. VSP Chair

Dani explained he initially wanted to request clarification on the situation. However, since Wytze had released a statement that morning announcing his departure from the party, Dani stated that he fully supports this decision and considers it a proper response. Wytze referred to his written statement and emphasized that he does not wish to add anything further. Livni questioned the necessity of including this personal/party-political matter in the formal minutes, suggesting that such inquiries could have been handled personally. Carla highlighted that while the events in question did not occur within the Council itself, members were elected as representatives of their parties. Therefore, party actions are relevant to their roles as representatives, though she cautioned against personal attacks on individual members.



Carla concluded that the Council is not the appropriate venue to pass judgment, but acknowledged that while a party does not "directly reflect" the individuals in the Council, its reputation and actions inevitably "affect" the Council's standing.

5. Voting Motivation

Danial inquired on the motivation for voting against reposting the pro-peace protest. Livni expressed a preference for the Council to refrain from taking purely political stances to avoid inconsistency. Livni noted that by choosing what topics concerning demonstration protest and wakes to request to be shared from the counsels platform, but refraining to do so with other topics (like Iranian or Venezuelan protests) is in itself a political choice. Tom challenged the argument that certain topics (such as political statements or party issues) are "unrelated" to the Council's work. He argued that dismissing these topics as "outside the Council's part" is an evasion of responsibility. Noor emphasized that as a representative body, the Council has a duty to give student initiatives a platform, implying that the Council's role extends to being a megaphone for the student community's concerns, even if they are not strictly administrative.

6. Updates

a. CSR

- i. There is a lack of will at the central level to implement changes in the model OER. Specifically, there is resistance to integrating a uniform AI policy across the OER. Faculties are currently hesitant to act, often "hiding behind" the WIB status. Although the Model OER is typically revised every 5 years, it has now been 10 years since the last major update. The CSR will continue to push for a revision.
- ii. Interstedelijk studentenoverleg in February
- iii. OER training on faculty level
- iv. OV
 1. Lack of students at AI round table
 2. Updates on internationalization: "The new version of the WIB has been sent to the Council of State. The first step towards the discussion in Parliament is taking place. There is a comprehensive summary online."
 3. Studying with a disability
 4. Dutch courses
 5. Art 24: In the second semester, the CvB expects the consent request for the profiling fund regulations, house rules, decentralized selection regulations, the educational vision, housing strategy, the privacy policy and the annual report of 2025. For upcoming topics, the CSR finds follow-up on Dutch courses and the kaderbrief. The CSR would also like to continue to work on the connection between decentral and central level changes for the model OER, the educational vision, UvA strategy and deadnaming.

b. O&O:

- i. The questionnaire regarding knowledge clips received limited responses. It was decided that a direct discussion with FSR members who have completed law courses would be more fruitful than pursuing further survey data.



- ii. A concern was raised by the Programme Committee (PC) regarding students with chronic illnesses or disabilities. The option to appeal certain BIG-related requirements appears to have been removed. This will be raised during the Q&A session.

c. O&F

- i. Plans are being finalized for the study association borrel. The team is debating ticket pricing (specifically whether to lower it for AIM members to increase their attendance) and the use of wristbands to identify different study associations. The final budget and promotion materials will be ready next week. The team is also looking into how much financial contribution can be secured from other participating associations.
- ii. Johannes is following up on a student initiative for a book fair and will meet with library staff next week.
- iii. Johannes is resuming the file regarding the installation of fridges in student areas.

7. Open Office February

Carla will distribute a When2Meet to coordinate the first "Open Office" hours of the new semester.

8. Other points/questions

- a. Vince inquired on the protocol for glass and plastic deposits (statiegeld). The money collected from office waste will be pooled for a "Council Get-Together" fund. Carla will launch a poll to formalize this.
- b. Carla introduced a proposal for a new social and outreach project: a Charity Run together with other faculties. To cover costs and raise charity funds, Carla suggested reaching out to law firms for sponsorship. The earliest the run could take place is April. This is strategically timed to coincide with the run-up to the Student Council Elections. Carla will bring this proposal to the next Chairs' Meeting to gauge interest from other faculty councils and ensure a coordinated approach.

9. Closing the meeting

14:09

In the case of absence and/or mandate, please send an e-mail to livni.fsr.fdr@gmail.com.

Action-list

Who?	What?	When?
Carla	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Present Charity Run proposal at the Chair's meeting 2. Ask Pim on communication gaps on absence of board members 3. Ask Pim on Vince formally joining the ALF reconstruction project as FSR representative 4. Send when2meet for February Open Office 	ASAP



Johannes	Meet with library on book fair, green offices	Next week?
Vince	Update Council on internationalization status	This week

