



Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 1 April 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, , Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercoouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath
Absent	Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Job Vermaas (<i>Administrative secretary</i>).

[A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.](#)

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:04 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The DB will handle the emails instead of Abbey. There will be no mail overviews until Abbey is back.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of the 16th of March were not sent with the PV agenda before the minutes. They are sent to the council for them to read. In the end they were not discussed, so adopting these minutes will move to the PV of the 8th of April.

4. Checking the action list

There are no action points.

5. Announcements

Francesco will be on vacation next week. Noor will take over the chairing the PV. Francesco is reachable for important matters only.

From the 27th of April till the 3th of May the council will take the week off, because it is an education free week. The CvB & COR will be informed.

The DB has received complaints about behavior in meetings. Francesco reminds the council to be mindful of their professional behavior. He adds that the trust people can be reached, if council members would wish to do so.

Francesco says that there was an OV yesterday and there will be an OV in two weeks. This means that the DB will have an AO tomorrow. This will be a wvttk.

Francesco informs the council of the UvA-VU Taskforce, which ask for two people from the council to attend their meetings. Saskia thinks these should be fileholders. Pieter-Joost asks if it is Dutch speaking. Francesco proposes to discuss this further in O&B. This is made an action point:

260401-01 O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.

Francesco says that next week the council needs to vote on the BAC for the student assessor. This needs to be one person from the CSR and one person not from the FSR. This second person is usually an FSR chair, so we need to ask around.

30 There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: DB, delegates, central student assessor

Pieter-Joost tells us that another draft for the housing plan was presented by Richard Goldstein. Currently informal feedback is still possible, so Pieter-Joost is considering bringing it to the upcoming PV. He also had contact around the Profiling Fund, which has not changed since it has been presented to the council in
35 December. The BVO is concerned about the large allowance for medezeggenschap, combined with a lack of rules on when members get this allowance. They propose to put in a minimum amount of meetings attended before you get your allowance. It is not feasible to include this for this round of changes to the profiling fund, but maybe it is doable in the future. It might be possible to include this with the new requirements for study associations, which will happen at an earlier date than the regular changes to the profiling fund.

7. Setting the agenda

40 The RvT profile advice is added to the agenda. The agenda has been set.

8. RvT Profile Advice

Francesco says no feedback has been received, so we can go ahead and advise positively.

<p>Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of advising positively on the profile of the RvT. In favour: Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercoouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath Against: Blanco: Quorum: 12 50 <i>Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of advising positively on the profile of the RvT.</i></p>
--

Francesco says the advice will be sent the day after the PV.

9. BOB-cycle

Francesco wants to remind the council of the BOB-cycle, because it sometimes goes wrong. The purpose of the cycle is that deliberation needs to happen in a way that is effective. In Dutch B (*beeldvormend*) means
55 informing, O (*oordeelvormend*) means discussing and B (*besluitvormend*) means deciding. The structure is that meeting pieces should first be discussed in the committee. If they are brought to the PV, it needs to be an informing piece. This means the issue is laid out in a way everyone can understand. It is about understanding it and asking clarification questions. No discussions can be held at that moment. After that comes the discussing stage. Here everyone has space for their input. Also the missing information from the previous PV
60 is brought. This stage can happen multiple times. Then we move on to the deciding part. Here the submitter sums up the held conversations and we get to a vote. Everybody should be clear on what we are voting on.



Florence has a question. Sometimes it was not very clear at the start of the year when she made an informing meeting piece, but it was changed to discussing by the DB without informing the member. She asks if it could be clarified by the DB to that member. Additionally, she asks to make it possible to change meeting pieces after the deadline in these cases. She thinks this might be a good pro memori.

Francesco agrees that that has happened. From now on it should just be informing, or informing/discussing for time sensitive matters.

10. UvA Procurements

Kacper has been in contact with a lot of people from the FMG faculty. The contract with Cirfood is expiring, so a new provider for UvA is possible. They are looking for support from different councils. Additionally, a lot of independent food places around campus, like CREA & Kriterion source their food from sources which don't support the Israeli occupation. This also concerns software.

Pieter-Joost asks if the purpose of this agenda point is to sign a letter. Alies asks if it is about Coca Cola or more general. Kacper says Coca Cola is an example of a more general issue, and that there are a lot of examples mentioned. Alies asks who decides what is a legitimate thing. Francesco asks what the aim is of this letter. Kacper aims to establish a faculty workgroup, in cooperation with FS, as to what companies we can get from next year. He adds that some sort of ethical review is also possible. Vincent asks if this is a proposal for FMG only or for UvA as a whole. In addition he is wondering what kind of step the FMG can achieve in the procurement process, because this is a central UvA issue and not a faculty issue. Kacper says the FMG staff want this. Francesco says procurements are central and he would like us to discuss it further, but agrees that a more tangible approach is needed. He proposes adding work to have a policy of which companies to work with to the central UvA procurement policy process. Saskia agrees that it would be good to work on the general procurement process. Wiktor says that to answer the questions that we have here, he would find it useful if Kacper would go back to the initiative takers and ask what they want to achieve. Kacper will come back with a discussing piece later.

11. House Rules Consent Request

Francesco apologizes for not adding a meeting piece to this agenda point. He says the House Rules have been a topic for over a year. In December the council gave no consent because of seven question points. The council sent a letter to the OV saying that they were not giving consent. Subsequently they, clarified things in the AO. The council also asked for a legal advice from legal affairs. We now got a response from CvB.

Francesco says that regarding spending a night in UvA buildings we asked for a different phrasing. They added more clearly what their policy is. But the new phrasing is not what the council asked for. Regarding identification the staff is aware on the policies with flyers. The 48 hour notice for protests and having a contact person will not be a basis for banning demonstrations. This will also be included in the FAQ. Francesco says that the council wanted medezeggenschap to be included as a stakeholder on diversity, because we have that formal role. He believes this was not done. Pieter-Joost says they did put it in at a different point. Francesco sees it and agrees. There also was a point regarding serious disruptions and the explanation of actions. They want an FAQ because it is a very long document which was made at different times by different people.

Francesco asks the council on their opinions regarding the proposal by the CvB.

105 Pieter-Joost believes we can finally consent. In the O&F meeting they agreed that they gave explanations to
our questions and that there are no real concerns for us not to give consent. Francesco disagrees with the new
wording on the point of overnight stays, because he believes they made it worse than before. In the
explanatory note it says a 'ban on overnight stays on UvA grounds' because the safety of students cannot be
110 guaranteed and buildings are blocked. Now it says proportionality principle is there for everything except
this. The CSR asked to clarify the principle of proportionality, because the legal advice stated that there needs
to be a case by case evaluation. Pieter-Joost says that it is very clear that when there is an occupation they
would do it on a case by case basis. But there is no exception for overnight stays, because it is never safe. Also,
in conversations with the CvB, it was very clear that they will not always immediately choose for the eviction
of an encampment. Francesco says that he understands, but that he believes the conversation we had with the
115 CvB was different. Saskia says that while it is nice that they have done it this way in practice, this new
sentence means that they will not look at it in proportionality. She believes our role is not to evaluate practice,
but to evaluate the letter of the regulation. Wiktor says he would not like to drag this process on even longer,
but he believes legal clarification on this last point to be important. He says we should consider a specific legal
advice on this point in particular, if it would guarantee that we have a satisfactory conclusion to this issue.
120 Vincent says he understands proportionality, but there are also absolute rules. If you allow proportionality in
the case of overnight stays you allow the door to be opened, while in principle it has to be closed. You have to
always prevent it. He is certain that that is not going to be changed. He believes we have gotten as far as a
compromise as possible. Pieter-Joost says that we have talked about this in every OV and every letter. He
believes this is all we are going to get. We were very close to giving consent last time. He feels like we
125 shouldn't drag this on any longer. Francesco agrees that he wants this to be done, but would rather just have
the previous version. The current change is not an answer to what we asked. It actually changes the reading of
the previous point. They only just added not having proportionality to it now. Saskia says we should get a
legal advice on this one line. Victor says that it is just going to be a perspective of someone. Wiktor says it will
give us clarity to proceed and will sway peoples opinion in this council to vote in favour or against. But lets
130 first talk to the UvA and ask them to reverse the last point.

Francesco proposes to discuss it further in O&F and says it can be brought up in the AO. Pieter-Joost proposes
to vote on it next week, because this has been going on for two years already. I think we just have to keep it
moving a bit. Francesco responds that he understand his point but is against this because he is on vacation. He
135 adds that the COR also still has to answer.

12. Privacy Policy Consent Request

Sebastian says this was discussed in the OV. Regarding the absence of AI the response was that the privacy
rules are the same. Regarding what they can do during data breaches the answer was that a crisis team will be
formed. A few questions were not answered. Saskia says that regarding deadnaming, the policy is written
right but in practice it often doesn't work. She has friends who have changed their name in the system, but it
140 didn't work. Francesco proposes to add implementing this properly to the letter of consent. Saskia says that
this is not necessary, as long as we let them know it should be enforced. Sebastian says we don't ask them to
change the policy, so we can just vote without having written a letter before.

145 **260401-02** Sebastian will make the privacy policy consent letter before next week

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of consenting to the privacy policy consent request.
In favour: Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der
Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastian Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, , Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren,
Vaisakh Karuvath



150

Against:

Blanco:

Quorum: 12

Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of consenting to the privacy policy consent request.

13. Sustainability

155 Noor introduces her informing piece on sustainability goals and the ESG framework. A few concerns came up. Regarding the set up of the sustainability office, there are currently two student co-managers and they would like to turn this into one staff member. She has concerns about students influence, also because the students are unhappy with the current supervisor.

160 Francesco says that in the ESG framework the reduction of 25% is unfeasible and the other goals are vague. Noor agrees that the last four goals are quite vague, and it is unclear how to measure the outcome they plan to achieve. Vincent says that you usually set up an ESG Framework to get subsidies or tax breaks. He asks if there is any reason as such. Noor responds that there is no direct reason, but that Richard is doing this out of his PwC experience. Saskia says she is concerned about the permanent employed position at the green office, instead of students, because she is critical of the goals of focussing on awareness and behavioural change and because besides the goal of more student participation in the framework, there are no steps written of how to achieve that. Noor says that the reason for having a staff member was continuity, because currently every year there is a new board. If they have a staff member that could help with goal setting. She also doesn't get how they want to focus a lot on student participation and then when it comes to the green office they don't want to do this anymore. Pieter-Joost says it can also be seen as a good thing, in the sense that they want more staff members focused on this employed at the university. Francesco says they are already employed positions. Saskia agrees with the goal of continuity, but thinks the logic of getting a paid employee in a bottom up student initiative doesn't make sense. She says the green office is student founded, student led and student organized. To her it feels more like the UvA is killing the green office, but she doesn't think it can be changed at this point. Francesco says it has a student led character. They also want to reduce it from two students working 12 hours to one staff member working 18 hours. Vincent says that you have to look at both sides. He says this is more than fine. Francesco says that currently co-managers do all communication with all student organisations. He believes student-student communication is different than staff-student communication..

180 Noor asks what kind of goals we would like the UvA to work on? And how can the goals be concretized. We already asked FSR's for input. Vincent says law had no issues with the framework. Noor says it would be nice to bring it up in the next meeting on sustainability.

14. Unsolicited Advice Procedure

185 Francesco says that at the last PV there was discussion about how we want to handle unsolicited advices. He discussed this in the DB and wanted to discuss it with the whole council. Vincent says it is good that we are discussing this. It is important regarding the OV incident, where the CvB told the council they were shocked and felt threatened. Vincent believes this to be especially important because this is what he warned for in the last PV. It is harmful to those relations. He believes there needs to be some type of format where we can do substantive text editing. He also thinks the other unsolicited advice was a great letter, which shows it can also go very well. Wiktor says that as far as he can remember the last sentence, which was taken badly by the CvB, was not criticized by the council. He says the CvB had no feedback on other points within the letter. Another point he makes is that while it was badly phrased it was not our intention to threaten them, but to inform them of the feelings of a certain section within student population, which he says were also mentioned in the



antisemitism report. He says that he is surprised that they were shocked by the whole thing. Vincent says that he agrees that the OV should be a place where the views of students are voiced, but that the point of the letter was to get updates. He also says that we have talked about this a lot and the positions of students are clear. He adds that Richard himself said that this is not how we should receive unsolicited advices. Florence says she would like to respond as the main instigator of this letter. She believes there is a specific context for why it was phrased that way. She says she is in contact with people who are very critical of the Horizon collaborations, and has had conversations with Peter Paul before, outside her council capacity, about these things coming off as a threat. In these conversations she also noted that this is a realistic thing. She understands that the tone was too hard but says she did not have a malicious intent. In terms of how to write unsolicited advices she believes bringing amendments to the letter works quite well. She would feel far more comfortable with that than writing every letter with feedback rounds, because she believes it makes the process too bureaucratic. Pieter-Joost says he believes the letter was a total opposite of the discussion the council had before. He believes there needs to be one moment when those comments can be left, for example by an editorial round in a google docs. He believes that makes it easier to have a discussion in the deciding phase. Saskia says it is important that students can raise points and that we don't have to reach consensus, only a majority of council members. There needs to be allowed space for that. Vincent proposes to make the procedure more efficient. He says the council is polarized between two halves, so whenever there is an unsolicited advice two people should go back & forth in letters, to make it more nuanced documents. Saskia says that not everything has to be nuanced, and there should not be a one size fits all for how we write it. She believes polarization has to be allowed to exist because students are also polarized. Francesco doesn't like what happened in the way it happened. He sees that intention was good, but understands why the CvB took this quite personally, so the wording is important. He did think it was not the best thing to raise it in a meeting with the press. Francesco says he is the one who signed the letter, so he can also understand the council member's frustration with him. He believes we can still have strongly worded letter, without it feeling threatening. He believes it is hard to do something everyone can agree on. He adds that the decision making process last time was not bad, since the council followed the BOB-cycle, but sees that maybe we can have more discussion than last time. He sees having amendments as a possibility and believes editorial rounds are also tough, because in the end the question remains if you have to vote on it or find a middle ground. Vincent says that editorial rounds would be utterly tedious. He also thinks it is way too short if we only have a vote. Pieter-Joost thinks we should add one more time that someone can leave comments, because it is hard to write a good discussion letter. We don't have to make that too long of a process. Francesco thinks it is important to take into account the opinions the whole council regarding tone. He says that maybe the BOB cycle can be a bit longer. He says having amendments also changes the way we work.

Vincent says he can make a draft for procedures we can change, and will bring an informing piece next week.

Saskia says she brought this agenda point. It was not about this specific advice, but about the fact that she was really frustrated by the DB changing the way we do unsolicited advices without discussing this with the council. Pieter-Joost doesn't really see Saskia's critique on what the DB has changed since last time. It is still the BOB Cycle. We didn't change anything. Francesco is also unclear about this. Saskia says it was said on Discord by the DB that they reflected on how unsolicited advices are written, regarding RvT advice. She says that was a decision by DB, not democratically by the whole council. Pieter-Joost says we didn't do that last time. He says that we may have communicated it badly, but that is not what we believe in and not what happened in practice. Francesco also apologizes for the bad communication.



15. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

240 Francesco says that tomorrow there is an AO. We will continue our conversation on Horizon, we will discuss the point of deadnaming, (which is an issue at the Studielink website), the CvB will bring Decentral selection and they will ask us questions on the profiling fund. Francesco asks Pieter-Joost if we want to discuss the housing plan, regarding study spaces, kitchens and other points. Florence thinks this is important and proposes to have a meeting in O&F to discuss it and prepare it for the PV, and prepare a piece for OV. Pieter-Joost says we should just prepare some questions. Francesco says we should not be looking for commitments. Kacper wants to ask them some questions about procurements. Francesco proposes that the DB asks them for updates in the AO and adds that the OV is a bit too soon to already have stances in PV. Pieter-Joost says the profiling fund stances should also be discussed in the PV, because the OV is the last time to have influence on the profiling fund. Wiktor says we should get a button for the council elections again on Canvas.

16. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

No input.

17. Evaluating the OV [Confidential]

The CSR confidentially evaluates the OV.

18. Questions

250 Kacper has some clarifying points about procurements. There is broad support from the COR for these points. Apparently it is already confirmed that FMG will engage with smaller businesses instead of one catering supplier. This means that the FMG procurement process is different from the general UvA procurement process.

19. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:05.

Decisions

- 255
- 260401-01 The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of consenting to the privacy policy consent request.
 - 260401-02 The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of advising positively on the profile of the RvT.

Action list

- 260
- 260401-01 O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.
 - 260401-02 Sebastiaan will make the privacy policy consent letter before next week

Pro memoria

- 265
- 140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
 - 141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
 - 161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
 - 270 161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
 - 170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
 - 171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.



- 275 **190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
- 201002-01** All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
- 201020-02** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
- 280 **220113-02** CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
- 220113-03** Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
- 220307-01** Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
- 285 **240215-01** The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
- 250310-01** All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
- 250901-01** The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

- 290 **220120-01** The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPL	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie



SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 1 April 2026

Present	Florence Lynch, Francesco Bruseghini, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner
Absent	
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Job Vermaas (<i>Administrative secretary</i>).

[A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.](#)

1. Opening

Noor opens the meeting at 17:04 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

.

3. Adapting concept minutes

Due to the long agenda the minutes of the 16th of March and April 1st have not been set.

4. Checking the action list

260401-01 O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.

5 ~~260401-02~~ Sebastiaan will make the privacy policy consent letter before next week

5. Announcements

Noor says there will be an OV Prep this Friday at 13:00.

Noor says the CSR Dinner will take place on the 15th of April.

10 There are 14 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

Due to the long agenda no updates were given.

7. Setting the agenda

Yipeng proposes to move decentral selection to the first agenda point because he has to go at 17:30. Saskia says deciding pieces should be first. Noor proposes to keep this agenda.

8. House Rules

15 Francesco introduces the topic. He summarizes last week's PV discussion. He is willing to agree on a large part of the new house rules. He still finds the point of overnight stays contentious. The wording now says that they will not take proportionality into account in regards to overnight stays. Francesco says that the CSR asked for

a different phrasing. The CvB added more clearly what their policy is, but the new phrasing is not what the council asked for.

20 Francesco thinks it is problematic that people who read it will understand that proportionality will not be there for overnight stays. He agrees that the process has taken very long and the CSR got almost everything that they wanted. Therefore he proposes to give conditional consent to the house rules, with only the words regarding proportionality at overnight stays removed.

25 Saskia says the letter was not attached to the PV email. Noor proposes to send the letter as a reply all to the CSR. Wiktor asks if Francesco talked about this in the AO with Peter-Paul Verbeek. Francesco was confused by his answers, because Peter-Paul says proportionality needs to be there for every case. Therefore, Francesco thinks they misunderstood our question or didn't understand what we wanted. Wiktor says that if the CvB is aligned with the CSR on proportionality, conditional consent shouldn't be problem, as long as we phrase it well within our letter. Francesco says they are not asking for a radical new version of the house rules. He also points out that the consent request of the COR is still open, which means it will take a while anyway. Pieter-Joost says it is clear for him that the CvB says that it is always unsafe to have overnight stays. Also, the CSR has dragged this process for two years. He thinks semantics over this small sentence, should not block consent to this version. He thinks adding another six weeks, and the COR having to reply would not be great for
35 relationship with CvB, because it would send the signal that we are frustrating the process even more. He adds that if we consent to it fully, we don't have to look at Francesco's letter. Saskia disagrees and says the way that they have written it doesn't take proportionality into account, which is specifically what they did not ask for. She believes this sets a dangerous precedent, that if they do the opposite of what we ask for they can get away with it. Vincent supports what Pieter-Joost says, regarding the ban on overnight stays. He thinks it is only adding ambiguity to the house rules, while the current proposal reflects the current situation more accurately. He reiterates that proportionality should not always be the case, because it literally opens the door to things we don't want.

45 **Voting Proposal (1):** The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of Pieter-Joost's amendment to remove the proportionality test reserve from our consent letter.

In favour: 8 (Noor, Pieter, Alies, Sebas, Vincent, Yipeng, Vaisakh, Philipp)

Against: 6 (Saskia, Kacper, Victor, Francesco, Wiktor, Florence)

Blanco:

Quorum: 14

50 *Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of Pieter-Joost's amendment to remove the proportionality test reserve from our consent letter.*

Voting Proposal (2): The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of granting consent to the House Rules and sending the letter.

55 In favour: 8 (Noor, Pieter, Alies, Sebas, Vincent, Yipeng, Vaisakh, Philipp)

Against: 6 (Saskia, Kacper, Victor, Francesco, Wiktor, Florence)

Blanco:

Quorum: 14

60 *Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of granting consent to the House Rules and sending the letter.*

9. SoC Rector [**Confidential**]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the SoC for the Rector.



Voting Proposal: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Pieter-Joost to the Rector SoC.

Quorum: 14

Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Pieter-Joost to the Rector SoC.

10. BAC Student Assessor **[Confidential]**

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the BAC for the student assessor.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 2025/26 votes on sending Vincent or Victor to the central student assessor BAC.

Quorum: 14

Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Vincent to the central student assessor BAC.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Bas to the central student assessor BAC.

Quorum: 12

Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Bas to the central student assessor BAC.

260408-01 Noor sends a letter to Hester regarding the people that have been selected for the BAC of the Student Assessor

11. Profiling fund

The changes to the profiling fund have now passed all the different departments in the UvA, so the attendance requirement for extra middelen medezeggenschap money will not be included in those changes. The main concern for the current changes to the profiling fund are that there is a maximum of 24 months for medezeggenschap and 24 months for study associations. The university wants to bring it down to 24 months total. Arguments in favour are that a larger group of students is able to join the medezeggenschap and that it helps unnecessary study delay. You don't want people to study for six years because they get money. Arguments against are that it is harder to be in the council for longer.

Victor says it is a breaking point. He thinks the strength of medezeggenschap is continuity, because older council members can teach the younger members. He believes people who have been in the council longer do the work better. Pieter-Joost says you get a different amount of months per position you have in the council. With 24 months you can be a general member for 4 years. Pieter-Joost asks about the diversity of people in the councils. Victor says that will naturally occur. He also believes no-one will do medezeggenschap for the money. Saskia it is a breaking point. She is disappointed that the discontent they expressed during the initial presentation is not taken into account. She says that the proposal assumes that students are doing it for the money for 4-5 years, while this is actually very rare. She proposes to do a maximum of 24 months for the bachelors and 12 months for the masters. Wiktor says the arguments made by the university about more diversity and rotations in student bodies don't make sense to him, for example the only people who were in council last year were Wiktor and Francesco. To him it seems like a misguided attempt. Saskia says that limiting the amount of money is weird, because it doesn't set term limits, which means it is inaccessible to richer students. Pieter-Joost says he sees the arguments of the UvA. It is agreed that it will be further discussed in OV prep.

The BVO mentioned the attendance requirement for medezeggenschap. They first offered attendance requirement in OV's. Pieter-Joost says it is not a specifically good solution. Victor agrees the OV's are not the best because some people cannot attend them. Alies agrees. Victor proposes that the DB gets the power to

strip money from people more easily. Saskia believes further research should be done on how medezeggenschap can remain an autonomous body. Vincent says some people do something more important, next to the CSR, for example tending to students. Vaisakh is in support of researching it.

Saskia says that for students who are in a bad spot, sending all this documentation, regarding special family circumstances is hard. She proposes that there is a possibility for students to advocate for themselves in a more informal way. Pieter-Joost thinks it is a great suggestion, but thinks it should be a suggestion for next time. Saskia agrees.

Kacper says that regarding special circumstances where they can get financial support are vaguely defined. He believes poverty or financial stress should also qualify you.

12. Housing plan [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the housing plan.

13. Decentral selection regulations

Yipeng says the ACTA FSR has given a cautious negative advice on the lottery system, which has been implemented across all dentistry faculties. ACTA has only implemented it this year and the faculty believes they don't have enough data and understanding of how it has been working nationally to make a decision in it. Yipeng thinks an evaluation needs to happen. Yipeng says that grades are lower. 21% of students has less than 8 study points. The average grade is a 5.6, while it always used to be above a 7. He has to mention that it is really expensive for ACTA. Each year delay they have to pay for it. NL has shortage of dentist, so if we keep delaying the output of dentists, people won't get the care they need. It is not only about education but also about patient care. He says you need motivation to get through this program. As the FSR they disagree with the lottery. They hope a new form of selection comes back, one which requires the motivation of students. So a new selection which includes diversity and inclusivity.

Vaisakh says it is very clear that they need to move forward with the plans as is, and we need to decide as a council how to move forward with that. The CvB says ACTA is a concern. It is therefore not a problem if the CSR supports the FSR, so that an evaluation happens. He thinks we should convey our concerns in the OV.

Saskia agrees that these numbers are concerning. She questions how affordability and other factors push into the declining grades. She is hesitant to blame it all on one factor. Kacper agrees. Yipeng says it is a very diverse population and they are also working on affordability as an FSR. Alies says she sees the concern, but says you can give people more classes in motivation and how to learn to learn. Pieter-Joost says we can be a bit more firm. They ignored an advice from the faculty student councils. A large increase in number of people that applied. It is almost not possible in his eyes to look at those numbers and find another cause. We can be open in the OV that this is a problem and we should work on this.

Noor says we will discuss this further in the OV prep.

14. Educational Vision

Saskia wants to give space for all concerns on the educational vision, before the final advice. Francesco didn't like the entrepreneurial profile. Kacper agrees with Francesco, because he believes science is a noble profession that should be about contributing to society. It is about helping people and passion, and not making money. Sebastiaan thinks it is important that people see no hierarchy in the profiles, like in Dutch high



145 schools. Vaisakh is positive about the entrepreneurial profile as he believes an entrepreneur is someone who has an idea. Alies agrees with Vaisakh.

There are no breaking points. Vaisakh says that these profiles are not set in stone, and will be discussed during UCO. Regarding any other points please text Vaisakh & Saskia.

15. Militarization at FNWI

150 Victor says that five weeks ago the FSR received an email from the faculty board, notifying on a report regarding militarization at FNWI. They were not allowed to discuss it with students & staff members. The recommendations they do are pretty big decision and he believes that regardless of the content, medezeggenschap should be included, for example as with the educational vision, where there were a lot of meetings beforehand. The report at FNWI was already commissioned without input from students or staff. The only input was asked for an email from the faculty to the CvB. Pieter-Joost asks for the proposed email
155 from the faculty to CvB. Victor will give it.

16. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

No points.

17. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

No input.

18. Evaluating the PV

No points.

19. Questions

No points.

20. Closing the meeting

160 Noor closes the meeting at 19:13.

Decisions

- 165 **260408-01** The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of Pieter-Joost's amendment to remove the proportionality test reserve from our consent letter.
- 260408-02** The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of granting consent to the House Rules and sending the letter.
- 260408-03** *The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Pieter-Joost to the Rector SoC.*
- 170 **260408-04** The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Vincent to the central student assessor BAC.
- 260408-05** The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Bas to the central student assessor BAC.

Action list

- 175 **260401-01** O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.
- 260408-01** Noor sends a letter to Hester regarding the people that have been selected for the BAC of the Student Assessor

Pro memoria

- 180
- 140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
- 141208-04** The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
- 161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
- 185
- 161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
- 170201-04** The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
- 171108-04** The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
- 190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
- 190
- 201002-01** All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
- 201020-02** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
- 220113-02** CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
- 195
- 220113-03** Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
- 220307-01** Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
- 240215-01** The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
- 200
- 250310-01** All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
- 250901-01** The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

- 205
- 220120-01** The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)

FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesteswetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPL	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt



Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 15 April 2026

Present	Florence Lynch, Francesco Bruseghini, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner.
Absent	Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Richard Brante (<i>FSR FNWI</i>).
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (<i>Administrative secretary</i>).

[A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.](#)

1. Opening

Noor opens the meeting at 17:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes from the 1st and 8th of April are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

5 Wiktor announces the deadline for parties to submit their candidate lists has been extended to tomorrow. Wiktor will be working on the voting guide which will be presented to and voted on by the council. The communications office has asked that there are only 20 questions for parties to answer this year. Wiktor asks each party to send in feedback on important issues to include within the voting guide. Saskia will not be working next week.

10

There are 14 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: DB, delegates, central student assessor

15 The updates are discussed. Francesco informs the CSR Folia would like to be present for GV's. O&F has sent an email on ACTA affordability but the committee has not yet received a response. The house rules have been consented to. The profiling fund consent request was discussed in the OV. Kacper and Vaisakh have been working on the decentral selection regulations and will bring the advice to the PV. Saskia attended UCO where the educational vision as presented. O&I has discussed militarization at FNWI and the coming election period. The committee is picking up contemplation rooms again. Wiktor and Victor attended a meeting with ASVA and the CDO to create a video about the contemplation rooms. Francesco and Victor met with Pim about the new communications employee for medezeggenschap. There is still not clarity on the position but the
20 communications office will continue working on the details.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. EUGLOH [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on EUGLOH.

9. Committee on Recognition of Student Organizations [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the committee on recognition of student organizations.

10. OV Reflection [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the OV reflection.

11. SoC Rector Input

25 The CSR previously gathered input during the selection process for the Chair of the CvB. Pieter-Joost would like to gather input from the CSR for what qualities members would like to see in a Rector. Pieter-Joost finds it important the candidate would be a bridge-builder and will have a strong connection with the CSR. Saskia would like to see an academic background that is not natural sciences or economically related to ensure there is broad academic representation in the Board. The UvA has a large social science and humanities faculty
30 which she would like to see reflected in the CvB. Victor finds the candidate should have experience with medezeggenschap but would like to evaluate what the experience of the candidate has been. Victor would like to ensure that the experience is fruitful for both the CvB and medezeggenschap, in which candidates should have previously had constructive experience with medezeggenschap bodies. Florence mentions it would be ideal if the candidate comes from the UvA community. Due to the position of the Rector being close to
35 education policy, Florence would like to see that the candidate has affinity with the educational culture at the UvA. Francesco mentions the Rector will be the CvB member that is the point of contact with the CSR. Francesco would like to see a candidate that emphasizes the need to gather input and understand the perspective of students. Saskia mentions she would have a preference for a candidate that does not have a lot of experience as a Dean or in a CvB, given there is already board experience in the CvB.

12. Militarization at FNWI

40 Following the informing piece from the previous PV, Victor has attached the position of the FSR FNWI on the report cooperation with the security and resilience sector. Victor would like to discuss how the concerns from the FSR FNWI on the the report could be taken up at the central level. Saskia asks if the FSR FNWI thinks the collaborations should go through ACEC. Victor clarifies the FSR FNWI would like collaborations to go through ACEC. The FSR FNWI is frustrated with the process and that changes
45 within collaboration agreements are not transparent. Pieter-Joost does not understand why the FSR feels the process is not transparent given there is not a deviation from the regular process for new research collaborations. Pieter-Joost mentions a decision has not been made according to the report and the FSR has been consulted beforehand. Victor shares at FNWI, the report was conducted without input from student representatives while at other faculties student representatives are present for the report. The report was
50 presented to the CvB and the FSR was asked to give feedback over email afterwards. Students could have been invited to be a part of the conversations and the FSR FNWI would like this to be handled differently. Pieter-Joost does not see what the CSR could add to the discussion since a decision has not been made. Victor mentions the FSR FNWI has taken their stance to the central level to stress the concerns with the process rather than the contents of the report. Victor mentions if the FSR accepts the current process, the FSR would



55 be giving up on the rights and input they have. Saskia mentions her main concern is that the report mentions there is broad support within the faculty, yet the report has only consulted researchers. If the FSR is asking for support, Saskia finds it important the CSR takes forward the request.

60 Francesco asks if the report was started on the faculty or central level. Victor clarifies the report comes from a working group that was started by the Dean. Saskia asks if there was any discussion with students before the report was published. Victor confirms there was not broad support from students nor a request for input beforehand. Victor states the FSR has also not been asked for advice on the report but rather for input via an email.

65 Pieter-Joost reiterates he is unclear on what the CSR could do to support the FSR. Pieter-Joost disagrees with the framing as well as the FSR mentions it is militarization while the program focuses on resilience in security. Victor clarifies the main issue is on the process for the report. The FSR FNWI would like to raise issues about the process and would like support from the CSR on speaking out against the process. Kacper adds the more the UvA goes into projects of security, the more likely there are future projects and collaborations with defence, which concerns more than one faculty.

70 Sebastiaan asks if there has been any contact between Dean regarding concerns from the FSR FNWI. Victor clarifies the FSR has spoken to the Dean about their dissatisfaction with the process. The FSR previously raised concerns about the collaborations and asked if there will be a way to report concerns to the faculty board. The board clarified there would not be a mechanism to raise concerns from staff and students. The FSR FNWI feels that the answers from the board were not concrete.

75 Francesco mentions the CSR could raise the FSR FNWI's concern to the CvB to advise on the faculty level discussions. Victor adds the Dean also advised the FSR to discuss the topic at a central level. The FSR FNWI would like to request more information about the developments in security collaborations and the process from the CvB. Saskia mentions if FSR FNWI decides to send an unsolicited advice, the CSR could also co-sign. Francesco would like clarity on where the topic lies as research decisions are relegated to the faculty level. Kacper mentions the FMG has created a working group on collaborations on security but the working group
80 has now been disbanded. Francesco requests more clarity from the FSR FNWI on the support that would be needed.

Vaisakh asks what is the expected next steps following the report. Victor states the FSR FNWI is unaware of the next steps. Pieter-Joost mentions he sees the collaborations as a national trend as more money has been allocated to research for national security. Pieter-Joost would not only like to look at the bad side of defence
85 but would like to see the collaborations as opportunities. Wiktor mentions a point identified by the FSR FNWI is to ensure an ethical evaluation is done through ACEC to ensure that the funding received will follow ethical guidelines. Saskia would like more details on the efforts to bypass ACEC for the next PV.

13. BAC Student Assessor Input

The first selection has already taken place for the student assessor BAC but the CSR is invited to provide further input for the coming selection rounds for student assessor candidates. Kacper would like to see a
90 candidate that is involved in the medezeggenschap and is able to be present at the CSR PVs. The CSR reflects it is difficult to identify whether someone can be present but this can be kept in mind. Saskia would like the assessor to articulate how international students will be incorporated in UvA policies and discussions. Francesco would like for candidates to be asked what they expect from the position and would prefer a candidate that identifies multiple goals outside of attending CvB meetings. Francesco would like to see the
95 student assessor as more accessible to the general student population.

14. FSR Updates

The FSR AMC is still actively looking into where the funding should be allocated for physical improvements to the faculty. The OC selection has been discussed in which the FSR would like to amend the process to avoid members being able to vote for themselves. The FSR votes on who will be in the OC which raises issues within the selection procedure on fairness. The FSR has decided to create an agreement to ask members not to vote for themselves. Wiktor mentions in the CSR when there are votes on people they are anonymous. He asks how this would be enforceable if votes are confidential. Alies states she does not see it would be able to be enforced. Saskia asks if the current procedure goes against UvA regulations on OC selection. Alies states it is not against the current regulations in the faculty. The FSR AMC is also discussing elections as they would like an extra seat for medical students. There was a protest at the AMC from the Activistenpartij on militarization which was unclear to the FSR as there are no collaborations between security and AMC. Alies spoke with the students who organized the protest in which there were no conversations with the faculty beforehand on the goal of the protest. Wiktor mentions he was present for the flyering event on the bridge outside the campus which was peaceful. Alies clarifies the flyering started inside the hospital but was moved to the bridge. The FSR FEB attended an OV in which the selection procedures were discussed. The FEB wanted to discuss the upcoming lottery based systems. The FSR wanted further clarity on the position of the faculty on the implementation of the lottery system. AI policy in FEB has also been discussed and the FSR will work on the policy without waiting for the central level. The FSR has been looking into ways to increase the faculty election turnout. The FSR FEB would like to make the CSR aware of a initiative for Iranian students to provide funding to help students access mental health services. Philipp will send the link for donations on Discord. The FSR FEB also wanted to bring forward the question whether a solution can be provided for students in programs with different difficulty levels, such as weighted GPAs, as students doing more difficult programs will have a lower GPA than students in easier programs. The discussion will be continued in O&B.

Philipp leaves 18:35

The FSR FMG conducted a mental health and social safety survey. The FSR attended an OV a few weeks ago and discussed unifying course evaluations, lecture recordings and the collaborations with the defence sector. The FSR has stated they are against collaborations at the faculty level with defence. The FSR also discussed the strategic faculty plan in which medezeggenschap was not included. The FSR sent a letter to the Dean to ban the VSPA party in the student elections. The FSR is planning their transfer and creating a year report. The AI in Action week is being planned and will take place in the coming weeks. The FSR FMG is currently searching for a council assistant as well. The FSR FNWI has been discussing militarization. The FSR is working on the OER and have advised on the regulations. The FSR is creating a list of points for the OER revision next year for the incoming council to bring forward. The FSR FNWI is looking for a new vice-chair. Saskia asks the delegates who are not present to send their faculty updates.

15. AMC Campaigning

Wiktor is currently working on the campaign planning for elections. Wiktor would like to inform the CSR of the current permitted locations for campaigning at AMC. Wiktor informs the CSR he is actively working on a solution between the conflict of Activistenpartij and communications at AMC. Wiktor informs the council he may bring a letter forward either asking parties to be more restrained when campaigning at AMC or for the administration to ensure there is safe campaigning permitted. Wiktor clarifies there is an area that can be flyered in where there are no patients but oftentimes campaigning happens outside this designated area.



16. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There are no further points.

17. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There is no further input.

18. Evaluating the PV

140 The PV is evaluated.

19. Questions

There are no further questions.

20. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:54.

Action List

145 ~~260408-01~~ — Noor will send an email to Hester regarding the BAC selection for Student Assessor.
~~260401-01~~ — O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce and which members will attend the meetings.

Decisions

Pro memoria

- 150 **140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
- 141208-04** The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
- 155 **161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
- 161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
- 170201-04** The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
- 160 **171108-04** The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
- 190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
- 201002-01** All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
- 165 **201020-02** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
- 220113-02** CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
- 220113-03** Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
- 170 **220307-01** Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
- 240215-01** The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
- 250310-01** All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
- 250901-01** The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.



Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPL	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt