

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 2 March 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Victor Nieboer, Wiktor Misiak, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (<i>Administrative secretary</i>).

[A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.](#)

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:03 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of the 23rd of February are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

5 Saskia will leave at 18:40.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: DB, delegates, central student assessor

The updates are discussed. See attachment.

10 The O&F committee attended technical meetings last week on the housing plan and on the sustainability goals. The privacy report will be sent to the CSR soon. The O&B committee has discussed program committees and the relationship with the OER. The O&B will bring the discussion to the PV in the coming weeks, as well as the request for consent on program name changes. The committee also discussed updates on the digitalization policy. O&I had a committee evaluation last week. The committee discussed the moral ambition panel discussion and file division. O&I informs the PV social media will be done by the DB and the timeline for
15 elections has been shared. There will be a strategy meeting this week on the educational vision. The CSR has welcomed Wiktor into the CSR and the new Advisor on strengthening Medezeggenschap has started this week.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Education Vision Student Profiles

The CSR has received an overview of the draft student profiles within the education vision. As not all CSR members were present for the heisessie in February, Vaisakh would like to receive further feedback on the profiles that were shared during the session. The CSR has already discussed the clarification of academic citizenship within the central and decentral level. The policy staff have also emphasized that the vision should only guide the faculties in making their own decisions and implementation plans. The advice request on the vision will be sent to the CSR on the 24th of April. The three proposed profiles within the educational vision for students are societal, scientific and entrepreneurship.

Victor asks for clarification on what the purpose of the student profiles in the educational vision. Vaisakh clarifies the profiles are aimed to put students in the lead for their education. Within a chosen study, students should be provided the opportunity to pursue societal, scientific and entrepreneurial topics alongside the program. Victor is in favour of societal and scientific profiles but does not see that entrepreneurship should be a main student profile. Victor finds there may be a better alternative for a more encompassing student profile. Kacper would like more clarity on what the student profiles would look like in practice and in implementation. Saskia states the implementation plan will follow after the educational vision is finalized.

Saskia adds she would rather the vision focus on strengthening the existing ties with businesses, NGOs, research institutes and internships, instead of creating new minor program opportunities. Vincent states he finds the profiles are limited and asks why there are three profiles specifically. Vaisakh clarifies for the minor programs, often the minors students want to take clash with their main study program. By identifying the problems students experience, the profiles aim to put students in the lead to adapt to how they would like to study. Vaisakh finds keeping a limited amount of profiles also makes it easier to implement specific improvements for study opportunities rather than overpromising what is possible to students. Saskia clarifies that a separate profile was tested relating to professionalism. The professionalism profile was scrapped as the policy team found that several faculties already focus on professionalizing, such as medicine, dentistry and natural sciences. Entrepreneurship was added to create more attention for the programs that require a broader focus.

Florence finds the profiles are currently empty words and is unclear how the profiles will actually address the study problems faced by students. Florence finds it important to see how these profiles would concretely affect specific faculties. Saskia states what she appreciates about the vision, as opposed to the last one, is the shift away from scoring students to focusing on ensuring students are well-rounded and engaged with their academic trajectory.

Sebastian sees that there is a gap between university and working life. A professional profile would be good for certain faculties but he understands for some faculties this is already the case. Sebastian would like to see the UvA working towards preparing students in other faculties for working life after university. Saskia clarifies the idea is to gear students to answer more societal issues, such as having students that study planning in more urban conditions or more engaged with Amsterdam as a city.

Yipeng finds the profiles work well within the dentistry faculty, particularly as professionalism and entrepreneurship are present.

Philipp enters 17:27

Victor would prefer to have a structural vision on implementation rather than creating a separate profiles. Pieter-Joost agrees it should be worked out structurally but if students would like to focus on other things outside the profiles, it should also be possible. Pieter-Joost would like that the profiles are broad for what students can do rather than making them mandatory. Saskia clarifies students do not have to pick one profile and can move throughout the profiles as they study. Saskia also understands the current need to have less



structure within the vision as the UvA may then invest in structures that do not work. Saskia would prefer existing connections and networks are worked on within the profiles rather than building from the ground up. The CSR will discuss the vision in the coming OV on March 24th. The implementation report will not arrive until May/June. After there is advice on the vision, there will be a second session with students on the implementation plan.

70

9. Panel Discussion Moral Ambition

The CSR has previously discussed the moral ambition initiative. Alies would like to organize a panel discussion to focus the involvement of UvA students and staff in creating a positive impact for societal solutions. Alies would like to organize the panel discussion at the University Library and has included an overview of guest speakers within the proposal. Alies would also like to invite three students who are making a positive societal impact. Alies has been in contact with UvA communications and the UvA press department to create a video of the panel discussion as well. The cost of the space for chairs would be around 300 euros, which would be requested from the strengthening medezeggenschap budget.

75

Wiktor shares he is not against hosting the event at the university library, but would like to ensure that the event remains accessible. Given the recent overview the CSR received of the lack of accessibility at the university library, Wiktor would like to ensure CSR members keep this in mind to accommodate for the participation of all students and staff. The CSR agrees to check the location to ensure that accessibility issues are kept in mind.

80

Florence would like the event panel discussion to take place but is unsure about putting a CSR stance on the event as the political ideology behind it is not something she agrees with. Florence mentions the idea behind the event is very individual while some members of the CSR find that problems are more structural. Alies clarifies the idea behind the panel is not necessarily about where problems come from but more about what actions you can take and what you gain mentally from doing something with positive impact on society. Alies finds that some people create impact in an individual manner, but the focus is not entirely on the individual level. Vincent clarifies he finds the sentiment is more on taking action when change feels insurmountable and how this often starts from the individual level. Pieter-Joost asks if there is an amendment that would make members more comfortable with co-organizing the event. Kacper adds he finds the political ideology is more in regards to how the problem should be solved and the focus that people should solve problems themselves. If there is a societal notion that everybody can solve problems by themselves, Kacper finds that issues are more fragmented. Kacper mentions he would not be in favour of the current proposed speakers of the panel as he does not agree with the sentiment that making the economy green will solve the climate issue.

85

90

95

Wiktor adds he does not oppose the idea of the CSR taking co-ownership of the panel discussion but would like to emphasize the attempt to present the event as apolitical. Wiktor would not be in favour of presenting the event as apolitical. Alies clarifies that the apolitical part is more to create a diverse array of speakers with experience and to focus on what certain individuals feel comfortable with doing. Alies would not like to make the event about politics to ensure that every viewpoint a student may have could feel comfortable in during the discussion.

100

Francesco asks what it would mean to be a co-coordinator for the discussion. Alies mentions she would just like a student from medezeggenschap to discuss what they are doing and to add the CSR logo to the event. Francesco asks for the difference between co-initiator or formal partner. Alies states it is more about who would like to participate in the panel. The CSR agrees to further discuss in the next PV for what their involvement will be.

105

10. Study Spaces

The CSR has previously discussed the lack of study spaces on the weekends during the exam period. The CSR has been informed the costs for opening another location for more hours during the weekend is around 3000 euros. Florence has proposed to write an unsolicited advice to the CvB to prompt further discussion in the OV. Vincent is in favour of sending the unsolicited advice as the VU also opens for extra hours during the weekend around exam periods.. Philipp mentions it has been done at the UvA in the E building for the Amsterdam Case Competition, paid for by SEFA. Philipp sees that the UvA could also provide extra funding during exam periods. Sebastiaan asks what Florence would like to achieve in sending an unsolicited advice instead of sending an email. Florence shares she is unsure the CvB would be open to the proposal and would like to formalize the discussion. The CvB is then also legally required to send a response within 6 weeks. Saskia mentions adding a brief line in the advice that larger meeting rooms at the UB should be open during the weekends around exam periods for students to study in. Pieter-Joost recommends stating the problem and including costs rather than specifying an exact solution. The CSR agrees to outline the problem and ask for the CvB to look into a solution.

11. Unsolicited Advice RvT

The CSR has agreed to send an unsolicited advice on the selection procedure for the CvB. The taskforce for writing the advice will meet Thursday, but would first like to gather input from the council on what should be included. Kacper would like to emphasize that the CSR feels they did not have influence on the decision. Vincent would like to ensure there is more meaningful grounds for the CSR's advice to be incorporated, such as requesting advice in enough time before a decision is made. Saskia adds it would be good to emphasize the feeling that any advice the CSR would give would not have a meaningful impact. The meeting with the candidate should be done in advance and not during an exam period. Pieter-Joost asks how the CSR sees being involved earlier in the process with the confidentiality restrictions. Victor mentions the CSR is not the executive power at the UvA and would like to see a proposal from the RvT based on CSR concerns. Victor would then liked to be asked for advice or consent on the proposal. Vincent mentions instead of waiting on a final candidate, he would like to be involved earlier in the selection of the candidates before being presented a final candidate. Saskia would like to include the logistical aspects of the process in the advice, such as the limitations of the NDA. Florence would like to advise creating a procedure for the process and the selection committee as well. Saskia adds to ensure there is an equal balance in representation in the selection committee as well.

12. ACTA Decentral Selection Regulations

Last year, ACTA moved to a lottery system for selecting students. The main goal of the lottery system selection procedure was to increase the diversity of students. The results from the lottery system have shown that the study results are low, in which the passing rate for practical exams is 10%. All practical exams must be passed to move on to your second year. The BSA then shows that 70% did not meet the required ECTS. The FSR ACTA would like the CSR to make a statement against the lottery system as ACTA would like to continue to implement a lottery system in the coming years. The VU is also aware of the issues with the selection system. Yipeng would like the CvB of the UvA to be aware of the issue and the outcomes of the lottery system selection. Florence would like to receive the data on the lottery system versus the previous selection procedure. Pieter-Joost would like the CSR to bring the discussion to the CvB to also hear what the CvB thinks about the outcomes. Alies recommends speaking to the medicine faculty as there was also a switch to the lottery system which is an ongoing discussion for the medicine faculty. Yipeng will create an overview of the data.



Victor finds that admitting students from different backgrounds that do not have as many opportunities to prepare, the lottery system might be an initial dip in numbers to adjust to a new system. Victor would like to see numbers on how many new first generation students have joined. Victor does not necessarily see that the CSR should improve the administration at the UvA but rather advocate for the students themselves in the programs. Pieter-Joost finds this is a student problem if 90% of students are not passing the first year. Pieter-Joost sees that the problem does touch upon an issue that students are dealing with an it should be investigated whether it is because of the selection system or something else. Florence would like to see what else can be done for ACTA students that may cause the dip in numbers that is present.

Vaisakh does not agree it is an administration problem. Vaisakh does see there are issues with selection criteria but would not like to reduce curriculum to accommodate students. Vaisakh sees that ACTA has a strong program that should be kept in the same capacity but look into whether there are accommodations students are not receiving the do well within the curriculum. Vaisakh offers to work with Yipeng to gather more information as well concerning the education policy.

Saskia agrees the numbers are concerning for students but would like to ensure there are not other factors that would impact student's success that may not be related to the lottery system. Saskia mentions the CSR was also previously informed students have to pay for their own materials related to their study program at ACTA, which may disproportionately impact first generation students. Saskia would like to consider the larger picture and look into what other factors might impact students.

Yipeng mentions the FSR has identified the selection procedure specifically as in the past students were often selected based on previous experience that could increase the success rate based on skills they already have. Yipeng mentions it also relates to the motivation of students regarding their performance which the lottery system does not accurately consider. Victor would like to look at the trajectories of students and if there are other ways to support students without necessarily getting rid of the lottery system. The CSR can also evaluate the objective of the BSA and whether this is still achieving the goals it is set out to achieve.

13. Horizon Projects

On the 15th of October, the CvB announced the UvA would not renew ties with Israel. The UvA included in the statement that the CvB would explore withdrawing from the Israeli ties within the Horizon Program. Florence finds from the OV's since, there have been no substantive updates on withdrawing from the Horizon Program. Florence would like to look into what other universities are doing to cut ties, as it is a large legal and financial update. Florence has asked several times for substantial updates in the OVs which the CSR has not received. Florence would like to write an unsolicited advice to the CvB to remind them of their commitment to providing substantive updates on the process.

Florence elaborates she would like to advise the CvB to look into other examples from other universities for how they are breaking ties with Israel. She would also like to see a form of commitment to explore how to withdraw from current programs. Alies sees that the commitment from the CvB is not being followed and would be in favour of writing an unsolicited advice. Wiktor adds that since the CvB has to reply to the advices, he sees an added value in sending the advice to ensure the commitment is followed through. Kacper remembers from an OV that the CvB has promised an update based on conversations with teams of lawyers but this has not be received. Pieter-Joost states he does not think it would be good for the university to spend resources going to court to withdraw from certain collaborations that will also end in the coming year. Pieter-Joost would be in favour of asking for an update as this was a commitment from the CvB but would not want to advocate for spending resources. Vincent states the CSR should not advise what should be done but rather to receive updates regularly on the current process and for the CSR to monitor the progress. Saskia finds if all options are exhausted based on resources available, this should be shared. Saskia would like to demand accountability, even if it means there are no resources to cut the ties or withdraw. Saskia would like to emphasize the transparency of this or other factors to the UvA community.



195 Florence would also like to include in the advice the remaining concerns about continuing collaborations with Israel that continue contributing to genocide. The UvA also previously mentioned they would wait for the outcome of the EU trade association agreement decision but have not received a concrete update. The CSR has not received an update from the UNL on whether there is a national solution as well.

14. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

15. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Pieter-Joost asks about the an Instagram post about the student members for the recognition committee. Vaisakh will make the post. Francesco asks the delegates to gather feedback on the sustainability goals from their FSRs.

16. Evaluating the PV

200 *The PV is evaluated.*

17. Questions

There are no further questions.

18. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:52.

Decisions

Action list

205 ~~260223-01~~ — Vaisakh will email Hester about the cancellation of ScienceGuide.

Pro memoria

- 210 **140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
- 141208-04** The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
- 215 **161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
- 161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
- 170201-04** The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
- 220 **171108-04** The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
- 190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
- 201002-01** All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
- 225 **201020-02** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

- 230
- 220113-02** CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
- 220113-03** Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
- 220307-01** Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
- 240215-01** The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
- 250310-01** All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
- 250901-01** The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

235

Important transfer tips

- 220120-01** The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPL	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam

W.v.t.t.k.

Any other Business

Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 9 March 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Victor Nieboer, Wiktor Misiak, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	
Guest(s)	Joost de Graaf (<i>adviseur medezeggenschap</i>)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (<i>Administrative secretary</i>).

[A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.](#)

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:08 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of the 3rd of March are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

- 5 Abbey will be on vacation from March 25th – April 10th.
Saskia will leave at 18:40.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: DB, delegates, central student assessor

The updates are discussed. See attachment.

- 10 Joost is present for the PV and introduces himself as the newly hired medezeggenschap advisor. Joost welcomes the CSR to provide input and feedback for how members view strengthening medezeggenschap. The DB attended the AO with the CvB earlier today. The agenda for the 31st of March has been set. The OV has been rescheduled for the 31st of March at 13:00 from the 24th of March. The CvB will present the educational vision, the privacy policy consent request, the report on antisemitism and the CSR will bring the unsolicited advice on study spaces and the Horizon Project collaborations. The CSR will have another OV on the 14th of April where the decentral selection regulations and the profiling fund consent request will be discussed. 15 Noor would like to plan a team building activity in April. The options are a picnic and barbeque, karaoke and laser tag. If members have more ideas they can let Noor know and a poll will be sent to choose the activity. The GV will take place on Friday the 13th of March. The GV will vote on sending physical letters for the student council elections. 20 Office cleaning will be done by Florence and Sebastiaan this week, followed by Alies the week after.

O&F has received the privacy policy consent request. Pieter-Joost has been in contact with the COR on the kaderbrief. O&B has discussed the Gaza scholarships, strengthening council funds, leaving EPICUR and transitioning into EUGLOH, and procurement provisions. O&I is discussing the moral ambition panel discussion, the affordability crisis at ACTA and planning a committee bonding event.

Yipeng enters 17:17

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Student Assessor Profile

The CSR has received the draft profile for the student assessor position. The CvB would like to begin the selection process earlier in the year to ensure there is enough time to select a candidate. The CSR will send one person from the CSR to the selection committee and one other student representative outside the CSR. The CvB has asked if there are any changes for the current profile. The CSR has no suggestions for changes to the profile. The CSR will receive more information on selecting a member of the SoC.

9. Study Spaces

Francesco and Florence have written a draft unsolicited advice based on previous input from the CSR, including data on UB usage during the weekends before exams. The CSR moves to vote on sending the unsolicited advice.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on study spaces.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Noor, Pieter-Joost, Saskia, Vincent, Vai, Yipeng, Florence, Alies, Wiktor)
Against:
Blanco: 1 (Sebastiaan)
Quorum: 13
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on study spaces.

10. Horizon Project Collaborations

In preparation for writing an unsolicited advice, Florence has asked for feedback on the main points for the advice. Florence would like to start the advice with an introduction of the statement from the UvA on withdrawing from Horizon Projects in which the CvB committed to providing regular updates. Florence would like to include an overview of the current collaborations with Israel within the Horizon Project to address the CSR's concerns on continuing to collaborate with Israeli institutions. Florence would like to emphasize the understanding from the CSR that the UvA cannot spend the amount of money needed to legally withdraw, but would like to provide alternative examples to the CvB, such as from Ghent University. Vincent states he agrees with the outline of the letter but finds it would be important to narrow down the content. Vincent finds it would be better to concretely identify what the CSR is asking for and to provide examples. Florence mentions the complicity in genocide she would like to include as it is crucial to identifying why it is important to withdraw from Horizon project but agrees the letter should be concise. Florence proposes adding in a sentence or two to justify why the CSR is asking for alternative methods for withdrawing in the unsolicited advice.



60 Pieter-Joost agrees with focusing on ensuring the CvB follows through on their commitments. Pieter-Joost mentions he would not like the UvA to spend money engaging in legal battles with Horizon. Pieter-Joost would not like to include the suggestion from Ghent university to pursue legal action or spending resources on it. Florence mentions she also does not think the UvA has the resources to pursue legal action either and needs the funding for education and research. Florence states she wanted to use Ghent as an example to illustrate they are exploring alternative ways to withdraw, and have created a website where they post updates on the
65 process. Florence recommends making this more clear in the advice. Vincent asks if the CSR will receive a round of editing on the concept letter. Francesco shares he would like to avoid a round of editing as changes on the letter often focus too much on the wording rather than on the content. The CSR agrees to vote on the draft advice next week.

11. RvT Advice Points

70 The DB discussed improving the process on advices by first discussing the content of the advice before preparing a draft for the PV. A taskforce has been created to propose points of concern from the CSR for the advice. The taskforce would like input from the rest of the CSR. Pieter-Joost finds it would not be meaningful to ask for consent but rather to ensure a meaningful advice can be given. Pieter-Joost does not find it would be necessary to receive consent on the decision as long as the CSR is able to have an impact on the decision through advice rights. Saskia mentions the taskforce also discussed that the letter should represent both sides
75 of the council's opinion to ensure members feel included. Victor asks if the CSR will ask for changes on confidentiality. Victor would like to see that the member in the SoC can discuss the process during a PV. Francesco clarifies it will be included in the advice but the advice will not include concrete solutions to confidentiality. Saskia adds there is not a specific solution as she would like to avoid that the CSR provides policies but rather would like to illustrate the issue for the RvT to propose
80 solutions. Vincent recommends the CSR can keep in mind requesting a blanket NDA for the entire CSR. The taskforce will meet tomorrow to create a first draft of the advice.

12. Kaderbrief Accessibility Focus

85 Wiktor has prepared a meeting piece to address accessibility as an issue within the kaderbrief. Wiktor previously shared the report from the University Library on the lack of accessibility. There are other stakeholders at the UvA that have acknowledged the accessibility issues within the UB and would also like further examinations into physical accessibility at the UvA. All amendments would require financial resources to replace furniture or facilitate other accessible accommodations. O&F has shared the accessibility point within the questions for kaderbrief. The UvA has previously clarified there is funding in the budget for physical accessibility accommodations. Pieter-Joost has sent the question whether the money is sufficient based on the current reports on physical accessibility. Pieter-Joost mentions if
90 the money is available it may not be a central focus for the kaderbrief as it involves implementation. The question will be brought to the technical meeting on the 20th of March. Pieter-Joost states there was a technical meeting at the beginning of the year in which Facility Services stated the requirements are being followed for accessibility. Pieter-Joost mentions he finds it is difficult for the UvA to provide a blanket solution and does not agree that the UvA does not provide support to students. Saskia clarifies it is focused more on the furniture purchased for the university library that was not sent to students
95 to clarify if it was accessible. Vincent asks if the CSR has cited the law on accessibility requirements (*Wet gelijke behandeling op grond van handicap of chronische ziekte*) and recommends to include this in the CSR's argumentation. Saskia mentions there is currently not autonomous UvA action to address physical spaces which must be addressed on the individual level. Often, the UvA provides a fix but the fix is still inaccessible. Saskia mentions she would like
100

accessibility amendments to be embedded structurally rather than putting the burden on the individual. Saskia adds it would be beneficial to have an inhouse accessibility housing employee to identify and evaluate the physical accessibility of housing. Vincent mentions it should be an addition to individual reporting to ensure there is still a method to raise complaints.

105

Philipp enters 17:58

13. Casual UvA

In 2022, D4 lecturers at the UvA participated in a marking strike to illustrate precarious employment conditions. The UvA acknowledged the concerns and worked to find a more suitable way to strengthen the positions for D4 lecturers. D4 lecturers are now stating the improvements are not being followed and have launched a new petition. Saskia would like the CSR to sign the petition to support the D4 lecturers. Pieter-Joost asks if the COR has taken a stance on the petition. The CSR will ask for the COR's perspective during the GV on Friday. Florence finds the issues raised by D4 lecturers also impact students that may want to work at the UvA after their studies. Saskia adds the precarious position of D4 lecturers also impacts student education. Saskia clarifies the funding allocation for D and U lines also impact the academic staff. Academic staff are often not allocated significant time for teaching while funding for researchers is higher, allocating more funds and time to research.. The concern is that if education is not valued and is mostly being done by employees with precarious contracts, lecturers are not fully able to give enough time to the education of students. Vincent asks if there is information from the D4s on the impact on education. Saskia has met with several members of Casual UvA in which they have identified they are unable to be full members of the academic community due to their limited contracts and that they are overworked. There is also a high turnover rate among D4s which leads to a gap in the transfer of teaching knowledge. Pieter-Joost asks if there is a large difference among faculties. Francesco mentions faculties are responsible for the hiring of teachers but the contract policy is the same for all faculties. Pieter-Joost finds the issue is more that policy is not being implemented. Saskia states the issue is implementation but the problem affects multiple faculties, particularly FGw, FMG and FNWI.

110

115

120

125

Saskia mentions there is currently a law that may be implemented in the national government that will limit temporary contracts from four years to three years. Saskia states the issue currently is that the UvA promised to make a path for D4 lecturers to be offered permanent positions based on performance, which is not happening. Vincent and Pieter-Joost would like more information and data on the amount of D4 lecturers that are offered permanent positions and to identify whether the issue is central.

130

14. Privacy Policy Consent Request

The CSR has received the consent request for the updated privacy policy. The policy was last updated in 2018. The policy provides a guideline for the processing of personal data for UvA employees, students and researchers. The main updates are to realign with the Surf guidelines. The O&F committee will further discuss the policy on Wednesday to prepare discussion points for the next PV. Francesco asks if there is an overview of the main changes. Sebastiaan states the policy has changed significantly and there is currently no concrete overview of the changes. Vincent mentions he has concerns in the policy related to the DLO pilot on bring your own device. Vincent will share these concerns during the agenda point later in the meeting. Saskia mentions for personal information that is accurate, as stated within the policy, deadnaming may also fit into the privacy policy.

135



15. Election Charity

140 Every year, the UvA donates a euro for each vote that is cast during the student elections. Bureau
communicatie has asked for a list of charities from the CSR to have students vote on where the money from
the election votes should be donated to. Francesco asks why the UvA is moving away from Doctors Without
Borders. Victor mentions the UvA is moving away from Doctors without Borders as there were members in
the UvA community who had issues with the charity.

145 Vincent recommends any cancer related charity. Alies mentions there is also Doctors Without Borders for
Gaza specifically. Victor asks CSR members to send charity suggestions and he will send a poll in the Discord
to vote on the top four to propose.

16. DLO Pilot: Bring Your Own Device

150 Within the Digital Learning Environment (DLO) working group, the group has discussed a pilot program to
bring your own device to exams. The goal of the pilot program is to encourage facilitating decentral
examinations, keeping in mind the budget cuts the university is facing. The UvA chromebooks are dependent
on the location based on IP information and are currently costly in the reservation of space for their locations.
The initiative covers budget constraints while preventing students from having to take exams of the IWO. The
pilot states having your own laptop on location also makes facilitating exams more smooth. Vincent has
concerns about the privacy of the software students would be required to download when bringing their own
155 decides, as the software would have access to microphones and cameras. Vincent would like to ensure that
the GDPR regulations are clearly ensured if the program is implemented. Francesco asks if the CSR will
receive an advice request. Vincent clarifies the CSR does not have formal rights but is involved in the process
for feedback and input.

160 Saskia shares the privacy concerns in which she had to use the same software during Covid, which was
intrusive. Saskia states she also has concerns about the practicalities for downloading the software, such as
whether it is compatible with certain laptops and having enough storage space. Saskia would also like to
ensure accessibility is considered as any accessible software on a student's computer gets shut down once the
program is launched. Saskia states it should be easy to request and receive an UvA laptop as well if students
would not like to use their own device. Vincent clarifies if students do not want to or are unable to, they are
165 able to request a laptop from the UvA.

Kacper states he struggles to see the bigger goal of the pilot. Kacper asks if the pilot aims to expand to the
entire UvA to ask all students to bring their own laptops. Vincent mentions the goal is to reduce costs for the
UvA. The general vision is mainly to provide another option. The pilot will have to be evaluated per faculty.
Vincent clarifies it will also save money to reduce exam locations for where certain laptops are located.

170 Francesco asks if the exams will be moved from larger rooms. Vincent mentions one goal is to have
decentralized testing to ensure there are more on-location exams such as within a single classroom.
Philipp mentions there was a similar option for the entry test for Business Administration. The issue students
had is that despite having the software, students were still cheating during the exam. Philipp would like to
ensure cheating does not happen. Vincent mentions the pilot aims to also keep the condition that there should
175 be facilities to ensure cheating is not possible. Francesco mentions he does not prefer to have the software for
his own exams. Vincent states the software is only active and exists only when connected to a certain IP
address for the two hours during the exam.

Saskia would like a better overview of how much money it would save the UvA to switch. Vincent will ask if
there are projections for how much it costs and what this would look like on a larger scale. Francesco asks if
180 the UvA would be responsible if there was a cyberattack to extract data. Vincent will include the concern
within the point on privacy. The CSR will be kept in the loop on the pilot.

17. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

18. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Noor sent an email on Dutch courses within the FSR's and has asked the FSR's to respond.

19. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated. Pieter-Joost would like to clarify informing pieces. Francesco will bring a meeting piece for a reminder on informing pieces and the BOB cycle.

185

20. Questions

There are no further questions.

21. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:30.

Decisions

190 **260309-01** The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on study spaces.

Action list

Pro memoria

- 195 **140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
- 141208-04** The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
- 200 **161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
- 161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
- 170201-04** The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
- 205 **171108-04** The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
- 190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
- 201002-01** All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
- 201020-02** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
- 210 **220113-02** CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
- 220113-03** Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
- 215 **220307-01** Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
- 240215-01** The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
- 250310-01** All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

220

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

225

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 16 March 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Victor Nieboer, Wiktor Misiak, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Alies Vercooteren, Vaisakh Karuvath,
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (<i>Administrative secretary</i>).

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:17 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of the 9th of March are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

- 5 Abbey announces the RvT has sent a request for advice CvB Rector profile. The DB will organize providing comments from the CSR before the PV on the 1st of April.
The DLO project has been paused as ISO 27011 is a condition that relates to privacy. The certification will not be received in time. Due to privacy concerns and without the certification, the UvA will not continue with the pilot.

10

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: DB, delegates, central student assessor

The updates are discussed. See attachment.

- O&F has received the new housing plan which will be presented during a technical meeting on Friday. The CSR received a response on House Rules and will discuss the privacy policy consent request and sustainability. O&B and O&I did not meet last week. Victor and Wiktor will meet next week to distribute the work on the election files.

15

The CSR met Joost last Monday to discuss the plans for strengthening medezeggenschap. Francesco met with the chairs of the FSR in which AI and concerns on the OER were discussed.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Casual UvA

20 In the previous PV, the CSR as informed on the petition from Casual UvA on the position of D4 lecturers. The CSR requested further data on the percentage of D4 lecturers that are given more permanent positions. Saskia shares she is not able to share the data at the moment but has looked into providing more context for the petition. Saskia reiterates the main issue for the petition is related to the commitment the UvA gave to D4 lecturers to strengthen their contracts. Saskia is in favour of ensuring the UvA upholds commitments made.

25 Pieter-Joost shares he spoke with members of the COR in which they expressed they would not support the petition due to concerns for education and teaching at the UvA. Pieter-Joost finds it is important to have a flexible work force, especially in the context of declining student numbers. Pieter-Joost would like to ensure the UvA remains flexible in the face of budget constraints as well.

30 Florence shares that the COR does not have D4s represented in medezeggenschap as they are on temporary contracts. Since employees on D4 contracts work at the UvA for a shorter time, they are not represented in the COR. The number of D4s that develop into D3 positions is around 3,8%. Saskia adds CSR members have previously expressed concerns regarding unprepared tutors, which consequentially leads to polarization in the classroom. If there is a constant turnover of work force that cannot carry over knowledge, the level of knowledge transferred is negatively impacted. Kacper mentions flexible contracts in his experience often are

35 insecure more than anything. Within the development of the educational vision, Kacper does not see that keeping junior lecturers in insecure positions aligns with the UvA's vision. Victor understands since there is not concrete data there are concerns with making a decision but finds that the issues relating to burnouts among staff increase with insecure positions. Victor would like to ensure that staff is given a stable structural solution. Vincent mentions he sees the problem for workload is more division of labour rather than contracts.

40 Vincent mentions the working conditions are difficult but finds it difficult to take a position without data. Sebastiaan asks if there is information on the position of D4 lecturers at other universities. Saskia is aware of other petitions for strengthening D4 contracts but does not have a full overview. Pieter-Joost states in his overview the UvA has the best policy for D4 lecturers. Saskia mentions the petition is not entirely on four year contracts but rather than the UvA is not following through on the commitment to the transfer from D4 to D3.

45 The focus is more on upward mobility within the institution and continuing the education to the highest level at the UvA, particularly as only 3,8% of D4 lecturers develop into D3 positions. The CSR agrees to move to a vote.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of publicly signing the petition for Casual UvA.

In favour: 6 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Florence, Wiktor, Saskia)

Against: 5 (Philipp, Yipeng, Vincent, Pieter-Joost, Sebastiaan)

Blanco: 1 (Noor)

Quorum: 12

Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of publicly signing the petition for Casual UvA.

9. Horizon Project Unsolicited Advice

The CSR has discussed the contents of the advice in the previous PV. Florence has attached a draft of the unsolicited advice. Pieter-Joost finds the current draft does not reflect the conversation from the discussion in the PV. Vincent mentions the main point from the last PV was not to clutter the advice to ensure the message is not lost, which he currently feels is the case. The first paragraph Vincent finds is good for requesting regular updates. Vincent finds there are certain statements as well within the advice that are not accurate or are far-reaching. Vincent also states that the UvA does not have a legal obligation as mentioned in the letter but more on the moral responsibility. Vincent mentions it was also discussed not to take the seat of the CvB by providing suggestions or solutions.



65 Florence sees there are different views and interpretations within the CSR. Florence mentions it was difficult to write the letter and from the discussion last week she wanted to ensure that information that was not included in the OV's would be in the letter. Florence finds conversations within the student body also led her to the conclusion that it was important to remind the CvB of the commitments made. Florence interpreted the content was essential for the argument but understands that the interpretation may be different for other members. Florence finds it is important that when discussions are had with the CvB, that each body is aware of the arguments behind a request.

70 Pieter-Joost finds it frustrating that the current draft does not encapsulate the points of agreement and conversation had in the last PV. The DB had discussed a new procedure for drafting advices and statements which aimed to improve the internal collaboration. Pieter-Joost expresses his frustration with the current process and moving to a vote. Vincent shares his dissatisfaction with not being able to discuss the draft text. 75 Francesco acknowledges the dissatisfaction but would like to continue with the agreed procedure. The CSR moves to a vote.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on the Horizon Project.

In favour: 7 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Florence, Wiktor, Saskia, Noor)

80 Against: 5 (Philipp, Yipeng, Vincent, Pieter-Joost, Sebastiaan)

Blanco:

Quorum: 12

Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on the Horizon Project.

10. Privacy Policy Consent Request

85 The CSR was informed last week on the updated privacy policy. The O&F committee worked on questions related to the privacy policy which were sent to the file holders at the UvA. Questions were asked on why the rights of the data subject were removed in which the answer received is that it was too much detail to include in the policy. A new procedure is being worked on for data subjects. The policy for processing is the same for AI tools and analytics. For the last point on data breaches, the UvA would have a crisis team but did not elaborate on a specific procedure. Students would be informed according to European law.

90 The CSR will further discuss the questions in the upcoming OV. If members have any other questions, Sebastiaan will share those further. Saskia mentions in the last PV if deadnaming would fit in the privacy policy. Sebastiaan will send an email to Menno asking whether deadnaming and changing student names falls within the privacy policy [**action**]. The CSR will need to send a response to the consent request in four weeks. 95 Saskia would like to request that in the crisis team the Chair of the CSR should be included as it is a student related issue.

11. Antisemitism Report

The CvB would like to discuss the antisemitism report with the CSR in the upcoming OV on the 31st of March. The CvB would specifically like to hear thoughts on the recommendations that are set out in the report. The CSR will not need to take a stance but the CvB would like to hear opinions in the OV.

100 Pieter-Joost and Vincent would like to discuss the recent attacks on Jewish institutions with the CvB. Florence reached out to a Jewish collective that is affiliated to the UvA in which they started a petition against the task report. Florence shares several members on the task force are from a pro-Israeli lobby organization, in which members of the Jewish collective she spoke to found the conclusion to be one-sided. Florence would like to highlight this is coming from a Jewish community in Amsterdam that are worried about the findings in the

105 report and protest rights, as well as the conflation between antizionism and antisemitism. Florence would like to discuss this with the CvB as well.

110 Francesco clarifies the CvB has asked for opinions but will not create a policy that would follow from the report. Kacper mentions he hopes there is no one online and in the room that is not angry about the terrorism in the past few days, but does not see how it is linked to universities and train stations as mentioned in the report. Pieter-Joost mentions it is not only about demonstrations but also antisemitism within universities. The report he finds makes it clear it is about safety at the university for students. He mentions the report and task group spoke to more than 120 students that mentioned they do not feel safe to come to university. Saskia clarifies the report states Jewish students that participated in the demonstrations were not spoken to within the report, which she would have liked to see. On the recommendations from the report, Saskia would like the UvA to follow the recommendation to invest in knowledge of antisemitism and to invest in depolarizing communities at the UvA.

120 Wiktor finds the definitions in the report, such as Zionism, are problematic. Wiktor shares the definition provided does not take into account the history of Zionism. The definitions of antizionism and antisemitism are also often conflated in the report in which in some places antizionism is identified as antisemitism. Wiktor would like to ensure there is freedom of political expression and that conflating antizionism and antisemitism is not used as a tool for further political repression. For example, Wiktor shares the report identifies that the statement "Zionists are not welcome" is antisemitic, which Wiktor finds is a statement of political expression and not antisemitism. Victor recognises the UvA needs to address the rise of antisemitism and should take the rising sentiments seriously. Victor finds that the issue in the report is that it was commissioned by the government as a way to curtail civil rights based on the demonstrations at universities. Victor finds the chosen locations for the report were also inherently political and he feels the report does not take the real issue of antisemitism seriously. The report does not focus on combatting right wing antisemitic conspiracy theories or hate speech. The report focuses on removing certain banners in universities and limiting civil rights.

130 Vincent mentions he finds that the discussion is straying from the focus on recommendations to protect students. Vincent would like to focus on how to ensure students at the UvA feel safe. Francesco states the recommendations are derived from the report but understands that the discussion should focus on the recommendations. Pieter-Joost would like to focus on the outcomes of the report particularly at the universities in the Netherlands. The report mentions that students were often identified and doxed online for defending Israel, as well as being put into group chats and called antisemitic names. Pieter-Joost would like to discuss the seriousness of the level of unsafety felt by those students.

140 The four main recommendations in the report are: 1) higher education institutions should speak more clearly on behalf of students and staff, 2) strengthen the social safety of staff and students, 3) prevent escalation and provide further security measures during protests, 4) ensure preconditions are in place to provide security to the Jewish community. On the first recommendation, Pieter-Joost recognizes the UvA currently speaks openly to address concerns of the Jewish community. Florence understands the recommendation but would like to ensure statements are not one-sided or used as political tools for repression.

145 On the second recommendation, Francesco mentions the UvA recently established a new central reporting point with the ombudsperson. Francesco would like to highlight the need for social safety resources at the UvA to create a structure for social safety. Saskia would also like to ensure there is more training to handle social safety cases at the UvA as well to support the structures for social safety.

150 On the third recommendation, Saskia finds protests are framed in a way that may create room for curbing demonstration rights. Saskia is also concerned that the recommendation should not also negate the demands of protestors. Vincent clarifies the recommendation is on safely demonstrating rather than curbing the right to demonstrate. Victor understands the recommendations but is concerned that the checking for access is against a previous CSR stance. The CSR has expressed concern with requesting ID and verification on campus.



Wiktor adds the recommendation identifies specifics for how to handle demonstrating on campus. Within the recommendations, Wiktor is concerned that since the report conflates antizionism and antisemitism, the follow-up becomes more unclear. Saskia adds her concern also comes from the case in Leiden in which there were undercover security personnel within activist groups. Saskia will send information to the CSR on the undercover personnel in activist groups in Leiden [action].

On the fourth recommendation, Victor agrees it would be good to invest in Jewish history and knowledge, particularly with the amount of history in Amsterdam. Victor finds if there are more events and knowledge, it would help to foster more acceptance and prevent that the Jewish identify is viewed an "other".

The CSR will share the agreement to emphasize social safety and the right to demonstrate to the CvB during the OV.

12. ACTA Selection Procedure

The CSR was informed in a previous PV on the consequences of the new ACTA selection procedure. Yipeng has provided statistics on the selection procedure. ACTA did not provide data on the practical exams but the examination committee provided an overview. Last Friday ACTA gave a negative advice on the selection procedure. The CSR will give their own advice on the selection procedure. The FSR would like more information on what happens after the negative advice is sent. Francesco clarifies it is unlikely the selection procedure would change after a year as the selection procedure has not been in place long enough to collect long-term data. Pieter-Joost shares he has heard the AMC has also advised negatively on the lottery system for next year. If several councils advise negatively, Pieter-Joost would like the CSR to ask what the follow-up is on the advices and whether the FSR's then have a meaningful advice. Florence asks what the UvA's core argument is for implementing the lottery system when multiple FSR's are advising negatively based on passing rates. Francesco mentions the previous procedure was identified as having a bias towards students coming from dentistry families that had prior experience. The process then was more accessible for those with an easier way in to the program. Francesco sees that the lottery moves the barrier but still does not accommodate diversity.

Pieter-Joost finds that the lottery is not necessarily an issue but that the lottery does not have any barriers, such as requiring a CV and motivation from students. The amount of students applying are now skyrocketing and there is not a lot of barrier to selection. Florence recognises the point as you first need to have a starting point or barrier, such as a motivation letter, that then gives students access to the lottery system. Saskia mentions it is important to facilitate students from a more diverse background but would like to ask the CvB to find a solution for this. Yipeng adds the selection procedure issue is reflected in patient treatment in which around 60% of students will have a study delay. Students are required to pass their practical exams to treat patients. There would then not be enough students passing to treat the amount of patients that need to be treated.

13. ACTA Affordability

Alongside the selection procedure, the FSR ACTA has also identified the affordability of ACTA. Outside of tuition costs, students are expected to pay for their own materials for classes. ACTA offers a package for tools which is over 1000 euros for first year student. The drills students are required to have cost around 1000 euros. It is also on the student to restock the package they are given when they use the initial supplies. Yipeng shares in his bachelors he paid around 800-900 euros. On top of standard tuition, students have to pay around 3000 more. Yipeng identifies it also creates a further gap between families from low-income and high-income backgrounds. Yipeng also shares the costs are becoming increasingly expensive given the cost of materials and the financial situation at ACTA. There are more materials being added that are now the responsibility for

the student to pay. Francesco asks if this is the case in other universities. Yipeng shares at other universities they receive materials within their tuition and only need to pay around 100-200 euros extra.

195 Saskia asks if students are aware of the costs before they begin the program. Yipeng shares the drilling costs are known but other costs are not mentioned. Francesco sees the budget issues at ACTA are being offloaded to students. Vincent asks what is behind the decision to offload costs of supplies on students. Yipeng shares the building costs are causing issues for the budget at ACTA. Francesco recommends bringing the point to the next financial technical meeting. The O&F committee will discuss ACTA affordability in the next technical meeting with FP&C [action].
200

14. Sustainability Update

The FSR's have been asked for input on sustainability goals. CSR members will share input they have on the sustainability goals [action].

15. House Rules Update

The CSR has received the response on the consent request on House Rules. The DB discussed the CSR consent request response in the AO. The CSR will discuss the response in O&F.

16. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

205 Saskia asks if members can join for the OV prep.

17. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no requests for input.

18. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

19. Questions

Vincent mentions the FSR FdR is working on ensuring menstrual products are always available. In the FdR, the dispensers are often empty. Vincent asks delegates to ask whether there are also issues with dispensers at other faculties.
210

Saskia asks the DB to bring a meeting piece on unsolicited advices.

20. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:04.

Decisions

215 **260316-01** The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of publicly signing the petition for Casual UvA.
260316-02 The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on the Horizon Project.

Action list

220 **260316-01** Sebastiaan will send an email to Menno asking whether deadnaming and changing student names falls within the privacy policy.
260316-02 The O&F committee will discuss ACTA affordability in the next technical meeting with FP&C.
260316-03 CSR members will share input they have on the sustainability goals.



Pro memoria

- 225 **140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
- 141208-04** The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
- 161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
- 230 **161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
- 235 **190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
- 201002-01** All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
- 240 **220113-02** CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
- 220307-01** Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
- 245 **240215-01** The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

- 250 **220120-01** The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)



PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPL	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt