Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 19 August 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer.
Absent	Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen, Vincent Nap, Maximiliano Okpala, Dina Nikolić.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 10:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is postponed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes from July are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

Everyone will send Abbey a picture of themselves for the CREA key list [**action**].

The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The DB met yesterday to prepare transferring files and forming committees. The DB has also had their first transfer meeting.

Kacper enters 10:10

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Working Agreements

The CSR has received an overview of the working agreements from the CSR 24-25. The working agreements are voted in by the CSR to decide the expectations of members and roles within the council. The working agreements will be amended by the next CSR. Francesco will create a google document for members to leave comments for amendment proposals. All members should add suggestions for amendments to the internal working agreements by Friday [**action**].

``

Centrale
Studentenraad

9. Trust Person(s)

The CSR will elect a trust person(s) which will be responsible for discussing any confidential issues within the council. Trust person(s) are responsible for maintaining the social safety within the council. Members who are interested in applying should send their applications for trust person(s) by Friday the 29th of August.

10. Treasurer

The CSR will elect a treasurer which will be responsible for managing and keeping an overview of the internal CSR budget. Applications should be submitted by Friday the 29th of August. The treasurer will be asked for approval on individual expenses that exceed 50 euros. For the DB, the maximum amount to spend is 1000 euros. The treasurer is further in charge of managing the overview of the expenses of individual members to maintain a balance in the council.

11. Edith Hooge Resignation Announcement

Edith Hooge has announced that she is resigning as Chair of the CvB. Hooge had been on sick leave since May with the intention to return. It was announced last week she would not return. Peter-Paul Verbeek will be the acting Chair of the CvB. The RvT will continue to work on the profile to find a replacement. In the previous CSR's experience, it is difficult to work with a smaller CvB. Francesco experienced it often takes several months for the CvB members to learn and accommodate to their positions. The Vice-Chair of the CvB is also starting in September. For the first part of the year, Francesco states it may be difficult to get things through and find information for the CSR. Vaisakh asks if all the CSR members will join the selection process. The CSR will receive an advice request on the profile for the position from the RvT. The CSR will need to select a person for the BAC which is confidential. Saskia asks if the CSR can advise on contingency measures as the current situation is destabilizing for the UvA. The CSR is informed they can communicate their concerns directly through their contact person in the RvT. Saskia asks what the likelihood of the RvT placing an interim person is in the CvB. Saskia would like to raise the issue as soon as possible to the RvT. Peter-Paul is also up for reappointment in the next year.

12. CSR Topic Overview

The CSR has received an overview of the upcoming topics for the year. The CSR will work on the Chair appointment and Rector reappointment procedure. The CSR will also receive advice and consent requests throughout the year on various topics. The CSR has received a consent request for the regulations change on the confidential persons and ombudsperson. House rules has also not been consented to from the previous CSR, which will continue in the current CSR. The CSR has been in close contact with legal affairs on the draft of the house rules in the previous year. The UvA is continuing to develop the ethical framework on third-party collaborations at the UvA. The CSR has also been involved ni the working group on the profiling fund regulation changes. The CSR will eventually receive a consent request for the final approval. The model OER may be revised in the coming CSR term, but has been postponed from last spring given the lack of clarity in the internationalisation bill. The CSR can also expect to work on the budget and the kaderbrief for the UvA, and will consent on the mainlines of the budget alongside the COR.
Saskia asks if there are escalation methods for if the CSR finds an advice that is not followed does not have a sufficient reasoning. A dispute committee is available but it often takes months to find a resolution.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Noor will send a lettucemeet to determine the times for the PV in block 1 with a deadline of August 28th **[action]**.

.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no further requests for input.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

Saskia asks if next week's meeting will be set today. The CSR will set it after the PV ends. Saskia asks if Folia will do a profile on the CSR chair. Francesco will keep the CSR informed.

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 11:04.

Decisions

Action list

250819-01	Everyone will send Abbey a picture of themselves for the CREA key list.
250819-02	All members should add suggestions for amendments to the internal working agreements by Friday.
250819-03	Noor will send a lettucemeet to determine the times for the PV in block 1 with a deadline of August 28th
250701-01	Brody will prepare an overview for the transfer and the changes in the ICG policy.
250701-02	Stefana, Brody and Francesco will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.
250610-04	Delegates will test the social safety beta software when the link is available.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting piece.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 250624		
	Person	**Update**

General Members	Stefana	- Had the (last) Chairs meeting; We discussed:
		    o GenAI (with Dina being present there),
		    o Transfer weekends, what the councils did, and their evaluation if they already happened,
		    o Differences in the supervision of council finances (from delays to very tight supervision – one of the faculties had the problem that they were told that booking the transfer weekend is unnecessary)
		    o Internationalization measures and budget cuts (situation at the EB and the GV letter)
		    o Institutional ties to Israeli Universities
		    o End of the year borrel
		- Worked on the sustainable banking file, had meetings with medewerkers of the UvA on the topic and in the course of preparing a joint CSR – Green Office – Scientists for Future – ASVA statement on this; looking into what extra measures are possible
		- Had a short call with Peter-Paul and Gerwin on the new UvA announcement on no new Horizons Europe ties with Israeli partners; let them know I will send my questions by e-mail. I still did not finish them, so if you have any questions to forward you can still do that before 25/06 at 12:00!

	Dina	Starting an informal workgroup on deadnaming at UvA and seeing how we can fix the problem in Studielink;
		- Had technical meeting with [redacted] and [redacted] on ethical framework – they shared on how they will improve it in the coming months;
		    o Proposed ideas on how a new member of ACEC can be selected (as it will be a person outside of CSR/FSR)
		    o Same we discussed with P.P.V. this morning;
		- Working on transfer documents (AND YOU SHOULD BE AS WELL)
		- Met with Sara, new policy person at Academic Affairs, talked about the CSR agenda this year and what will likely spill over in the next year;

	Yashi	
	Aysenaz	
	Maximiliano	
	Willem	
	Wiktor	

Delegates	Georg	
	Roza	
	Francesco	
	Lola	FNWI update! - Our vice-dean has proposed to draft up an document for rules of conduct for teachers. We still have some questions about how this will work in practice and what our rights about this are going to be. - We are finishing up the OER process - The CSR can expect a letter soon from our FSR about bestuursbeurzen for part-time students. - We talked about the ties with Israel again with the dean.
	Ivana	
	Brody	
	Martrim	
Student Assessor	Angelina	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 27 August 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer.
Absent	Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen, Vincent Nap, Maximiliano Okpala.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 13:10 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is postponed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes from July and August are postponed until September 1st.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
There are no further announcements.

The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
Everyone who will attend the overdrachts dinner should let Abbey know if they will attend. Members have not filled in the update form for the meeting. The DB will look into how to ensure the update form is filled out in a different format.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Working Agreements
The CSR has left comments on the working agreements to the draft of the working agreements.
In keeping track of attendance, the attendance list will be made publicly available to CSR members to ensure that members hold each other accountable.
Dina recommends on top of having trust persons in the council, it would be important to have an anonymous format to submit complaints. The complaints can then be handled by the vice-chair and trust persons. The amendment will be added within the working agreements.

The working agreements currently allow the DB to strip inactive members of their voting rights. Pieter-Joost finds that members are chosen democratically and to give the mandate to a few members of the council goes against the democratic mandate. Pieter-Joost is in favour of having rules to enforce attendance, and would be in favour of stripping the committee stipend within the profiling fund. Pieter-Joost states there should be a clear objective or measure for when someone loses their voting rights versus through a DB vote. Dina finds it is important that members are physically present to fulfill their positions and roles and would like to ensure members are encouraged and held accountable to do so. Pieter-Joost states there was an observed inconsistency in the previous DB that he would like to create further objective measures for when members are active versus inactive. Francesco states the current responsibility lies with the DB to hold members accountable. The inactive members clause in the working agreements state that the council has the right to overturn the DB's decision by a two-thirds majority. Pieter-Joost recommends setting an automatic status for members that miss certain events or meetings that mark them as inactive versus making decisions among individuals. Pieter-Joost also would like to change the clause on the chair and vice-chair having the ability to grant an inactive member voting rights during a PV.

Saskia finds it is clear in the working agreements that the general council can overturn the DB's decision. Saskia agrees further clarity should be provided for what qualifies as inactive. Saskia would like to leave the decision to the DB as otherwise the PV should remain open for policy discussions. Dina states that the DB also is given the mandate for decision making on voting status as some absences may be sensitive or personal, in which the DB is better able to protect individual council members. Victor states he finds that for democratic value, members should be involved in the process. Victor finds it is the responsibility of the delegate to be present at the meetings to represent their faculty. Noor informs members that in the working agreements, the DB cannot just take a vote but has to justify the vote to the general council. Noor finds the current clause provides checks and balances on the DB's decision-making power. Saskia finds that with a democratic mandate, the council should be able to democratically decide how to enforce participation. Saskia would like to see a further elaboration for how the council can keep a direct line of communication with the faculty on attendance. Francesco recommends further outlining what is considered an absence to provide clarity on when the DB should take action.

Vincent would like to consider that there is a relationship between the CSR and the FSR to represent the faculties. Vincent finds if the delegates are absent, faculty delegates are unable to represent their voices. If the delegates are further sanctioned, it only increases the lack of information. The ones who are delegated are already carrying a responsibility to the faculties. Vincent finds further punishing delegates decreases democratic representation from the faculties.

Abbey recommends further looking into a procedure for communication with the faculties regarding attendance and participation. Abbey also recommends discussing further how to ensure the ACTA delegate is facilitated to participate. The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term [**pro memoria**].

Francesco proposes any official meeting besides the PV will be counted towards the absence policy, including OV's, GV's, and other official meetings. Dina has recommended four consecutive official meetings with notice and two without notice as the limit. The CSR agrees to further elaborate on what qualifies as an absence.

For the proposal on including names in the minutes, the clause will be adapted to include removal of names for confidentiality or safety concerns.

Francesco has included a proposal for abstentions. In the past, abstention and blanco have been confused. Abstentions are done when you want to affect the quorum. For abstentions, members that do not raise their hands will be counted as an abstain.

Saskia recommends changing the working agreements to include a mandate should be communicated 72 hours in advance. Pieter-Joost finds that mandates far in advance would not take into account illness before a PV. Pieter-Joost recommends that members cannot mandate two PVs in a row, as a restriction. Members are also able to attend online when they are sick. Alies recommends mandates should be available only in emergencies. Vaisakh finds the punishments as sufficient for those that do not regularly attend. Dina recommends the same rules should apply for absences to mandates. The CSR postpones further discussion for next week.

9. Diversity Commitment

The CSR has received the document for the diversity commitment. The previous CSR's have signed the Diversity Commitment in which the CSR commits to representing the diversity of voices and ensure a more equitable university. The agreement is non-binding but is an internal agreement.

10. Green Commitment

The CSR has received the document for the green commitment. The document cannot be amended as it is written by the Green Office of the UvA. The previous CSR's have signed the Green Commitment which is also non-binding.

11. Updates on the Year Plan

The CSR met yesterday to further elaborate and workshop the details of the year plan. A document has been created which includes a draft of the points from members. The CSR is legally obligated to provide their year plan. The CvB will create a presentation for the overview of files for the coming semester, and a shorter overview of the year. The CSR will receive further information on how the files will be internally divided in the CvB given the absence of a Chair for the CvB. The CSR will provide their own presentation of their year plan. Members can leave comments in the year plan on the google document and the presentation will be created during the OV prep.

12. IAO/OV Update

The DB updates the CSR on the IAO and the topics set for the OV on September 9th. The old and new DB were present at the IAO. The OV agenda will be updates on gender neutral bathroom regulations and internationalization. The topics for discussion include ethical frameworks collaboration, house rules and article 24.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further wvttk.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Francesco has received a media request from Finse TV. They are looking to interview a bachelors student who has been admitted into their study programme through a lottery system.
The CSR has received a request from ASVA for students to report unsafe roads to AT5 as well. Members will contact Saskia.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

Florence asks if comments will be voted on separately or if the working agreements will be voted on together. Francesco clarifies the comments will be further discussed in the next PV and votes will not take place next week.

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 15:04.

Decisions

Action list

250819-01	Everyone will send Abbey a picture of themselves for the CREA key list.
250819-02	All members should add suggestions for amendments to the internal working agreements by Friday.
250819-03	Noor will send a lettucemeet to determine the times for the PV in block 1 with a deadline of August 28th
~~**250701-01**~~	~~Brody will prepare an overview for the transfer and the changes in the ICG policy.~~
250701-02	Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.
250610-04	Delegates will test the social safety beta software when the link is available.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting piece.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 250624		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Stefana	- Had the (last) Chairs meeting; We discussed: o GenAI (with Dina being present there), o Transfer weekends, what the councils did, and their evaluation if they already happened, o Differences in the supervision of council finances (from delays to very tight supervision – one of the faculties had the problem that they were told that booking the transfer weekend is unnecessary)

		○ Internationalization measures and budget cuts (situation at the EB and the GV letter) ○ Institutional ties to Israeli Universities ○ End of the year borrel - Worked on the sustainable banking file, had meetings with medewerkers of the UvA on the topic and in the course of preparing a joint CSR – Green Office – Scientists for Future – ASVA statement on this; looking into what extra measures are possible - Had a short call with Peter-Paul and Gerwin on the new UvA announcement on no new Horizons Europe ties with Israeli partners; let them know I will send my questions by e-mail. I still did not finish them, so if you have any questions to forward you can still do that before 25/06 at 12:00!
	Dina	Starting an informal workgroup on deadnaming at UvA and seeing how we can fix the problem in Studielink; - Had technical meeting with [redacted] and [redacted] on ethical framework – they shared on how they will improve it in the coming months; ○ Proposed ideas on how a new member of ACEC can be selected (as it will be a person outside of CSR/FSR) ○ Same we discussed with P.P.V. this morning; - Working on transfer documents (AND YOU SHOULD BE AS WELL) - Met with Sara, new policy person at Academic Affairs, talked about the CSR agenda this year and what will likely spill over in the next year;
	Yashi	
	Aysenaz	
	Maximiliano	
	Willem	
	Wiktor	
Delegates	Georg	
	Roza	
	Francesco	

	Lola	FNWI update! - Our vice-dean has proposed to draft up an document for rules of conduct for teachers. We still have some questions about how this will work in practice and what our rights about this are going to be. - We are finishing up the OER process - The CSR can expect a letter soon from our FSR about bestuursbeurzen for part-time students. - We talked about the ties with Israel again with the dean.
	Ivana	
	Brody	
	Martrim	
Student Assessor	Angelina	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 3 September 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen, Vincent Nap, Maximiliano Okpala.
Absent	
Guest(s)	Willem Volker (*Central Student Assessor 25-26*)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:02 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 3 July, 19 August, 27 August are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Alies will need to leave as early as possible.
Abbey will leave at 18:00 for the PVs during the first block.

There are 13 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
The DB has discussed a new format for updates. The update form was not filled in regularly in the previous CSR. The update form will be included in committee meetings. Committee meeting agendas will include 10 minutes for members to add their updates on their files.
There is no treasurer application. The DB requests a member of the CSR to apply for the treasurer position with a deadline of Friday evening.
During the OV preparation, the CSR will create a presentation for the art. 24 agenda point. The DB requests all CSR members to finalize their drafts of the year plan by Friday evening. Noor announces to CSR members that they are able to apply for an ASVA membership through the CSR.
Francesco invites all CSR members to join the financial trainings that are often given to the O&F.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Trust Person(s) Election [Confidential]

The CSR elects two trust people following the procedure of the Internal CSR Regulations and Internal Working Agreements.

Vincent enters 17:15

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Alies Vercouteren as trust person of the CSR. *Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Alies Vercouteren as trust person of the CSR.*

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Kacper Farbisz as trust person of the CSR. *Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Kacper Farbisz as trust person of the CSR.*

9. Working Agreements

The CSR continues the discussion on their internal working agreements.

Inactive members

Yipeng finds that the current restriction for becoming inactive after missing two consecutive meetings he is restrictive as an ACTA delegate. Patient work at ACTA and FdG take place until 17:00 in which the delegates are unable to attend meetings before 17:00. not able to follow given that patient work is until 17:00. Dina states they are in favour of including an amendment for ACTA and FdG delegates given the structure of the faculties.

Vincent states stripping members of voting rights further exacerbates the problem of inactive PVs. Vincent proposes that the FSR should be responsible if their delegate is inactive to a certain extent. Dina would still like to ensure there is power on the central level for the CSR to still hold delegates accountable. Pieter-Joost finds that the entire article on inactive members is undemocratic. Pieter-Joost has spoken with a trainer from TAQT in which the trainer stated he had doubts about the legality of taking away voting rights for those that are democratically chosen. Saskia states it is important there is a way to hold CSR members accountable for absences in which other methods, such as removing the profiling fund or discussing absences in the PV, are not feasible.

Francesco recommends removing the clause on the discretion of the chair and vice-chair to grant voting/speaking rights. The CSR agrees to add clarification on excused absences from FdG and ACTA.

Mandates

The CSR previously discussed what is possible within the mandating structure. Members have requested further notice for mandates and mandates should be given only when there are prior commitments members cannot get out of. Francesco has amended the absence point to include that absences should be noted 3 days in advance in cases of exams and other important commitments. In this scenario, absences are justified. Francesco has included if absences are justified due to illness or emergencies, they are not counted towards mandates, stripping voting rights or the 3 day notification period.

Commented [FB1]: change to: and do not count toward stripping of voting rights.

Pieter-Joost finds the current proposal is too subjective as to whether absences are considered justified and who decides what is justified. Francesco states the decision lies with the DB but the council can overturn the DB's decision by a 2/3rds majority. Saskia adds it is important to also protect the individual council members as social safety issues could arise in having to justify their absence to council members. Philipp states he sees that rules should be as exhaustible as possible and finds it is dangerous to implement these vague guidelines.

Vincent asks why 72 hours is indicated as the time to notify if members will not attend. Francesco clarifies it was difficult to have an overview in the PV last year to understand whether quorum would be met and notifying in advance will help members prepare.
Pieter-Joost states if someone has a prolonged absence, for instance a delegate, a whole faculty would be unable to express their voice in the CSR if the delegate is unable to mandate while away. Francesco clarifies if there is an extended period of absence, the DB will take measures to ensure there is proper representation. For instance if a delegate is absence for a prolonged period, the CSR may request a temporary delegate. The absence could also be justified, in which mandates for the prolonged period would be accepted. Maximiliano is in agreement with the new system for mandating in the proposed working agreements, but would like to ensure the DB is transparent about their decisions to the rest of the council.
Vaisakh recommends adding to the clause on losing the ability to mandate after two missed meetings/two mandates in a row to include the statement “unless exceptional circumstances apply”. Saskia recommends keeping the amendment in and if there are further issues, the working agreements can be amended at a future point.

Order of meetings
Alies asks what a plausible clause entails for order of meetings. Pieter-Joost clarifies it would be in cases of time management or if members are speaking unprofessionally towards each other.

Willem enters 18:06

Abstain
Pieter-Joost asks why an explanation is required for abstentions when explanation is not required for other voting options. Francesco clarifies the clause is there as abstain is not a voting option but rather a lack of casting a vote, differentiating abstaining from the voting options of in favour, against or blanco. Francesco clarifies it is also to reduce confusion regarding the difference between blanco and abstain. Pieter-Joost finds members should not have to provide explanation for how they vote. Saskia states that the explanation is not for council members but to the voter base. The blanco option is available for those that disagree with both options and can utilize blanco rather than abstaining.

10. Advice Request Chair Profile

The CSR has received a consent for advice from the RvT on the job profile for the Chair of the CvB. The RvT has amended the job profile from the previous selection in 2024. Francesco asks all members to go through the profile and any points will be voted on in the next PV. Members should send their points to Francesco before Monday. The CSR will also need to elect a member of the selection committee (SoC) for the position of Chair. Application letters should be sent to Abbey by Friday.

11. Social Media Strategy

Victor has sent a proposal for a social media strategy for the CSR 25-26 term. The CSR currently only has the website as the main communication platform. Victor would like to have an internal strategy that aims to meet students where they are at. The O&I committee has discussed specific goals which they would like feedback on from the general CSR. Victor would like to expand the reach of the CSR’s social media by creating reels, particularly from committee chairs, each month to engage actively with the student body and create the feeling that the CSR is a living body.
Vaisakh asks if it would make more sense to schedule reels every six weeks to follow the internal cycle instead of monthly, as proposed. Victor states the videos should have better production value. Victor agrees the six weeks could allow for better video production as well.

`` Centrale Studentenraad

12. Green Commitment

Francesco clarifies the commitment is a set of non-binding commitments created by the Green Office. Signing the commitment is symbolic of the CSR's commitment to keep in mind sustainability during their work. Pieter-Joost finds the green commitment is not as strict at the CSR treats the commitments internally. Francesco states it is up to the CSR members how they regulate and apply the Green Commitment, but the commitment itself is non-binding.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Green Commitment.
In favour: 14 (Francesco, Dina, Alies, Florence, Saskia, Vaisakh, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Pieter-Joost, Maximiliano, Vincent, Yipeng, Philipp)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 14
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Green Commitment.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Willem introduces himself as the central student assessor for the coming 25-26 term.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no input requests.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

Vincent asks if the CSR already has a date for the transfer weekend next year, per the FSR FdR's request. There is currently no date planned.
Yipeng asks if it is possible to start the PV an hour later. Francesco recommends Yipeng can join online for the first hour.

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:53.

Decisions

250903-01	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Alies Vercouteren as trust person of the CSR.
250903-02	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Kacper Farbisz as trust person of the CSR.
250903-03	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Green Commitment.

Action list

~~**250819-01**~~	~~Everyone will send Abbey a picture of themselves for the CREA key list.~~
~~**250819-02**~~	~~All members should add suggestions for amendments to the internal working agreements by Friday.~~
~~**250819-03**~~	~~Noor will send a lettucemeet to determine the times for the PV in block 1 with a deadline of August 28th~~

250701-02 Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.
~~**250610-04** Delegates will test the social safety beta software when the link is available.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie

PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 250903		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	Catering: Had the RvT (Supervisory Board) of De Nieuwe Mensa. It's a formal meeting mandated by the statues, consisting of one member of CSR, Green Office, ASVA. We'll formally approve the new board.
	Noor	- SR chairs meeting - ASVA Trust person training
	Pieter-Joost	Budgetcycle: Had a introductary meeting with Peter Bosman, the (interim) financial director. They are working on a response on the kaderbrief recomendations of the last years council. Huisvesting: In the same meeting Peter said this was going to be a important issue the comming year
	Vaisakh	
	Saskia	
	Dina	Meeting up with the non-formal anti-deadnaming workgroup on Friday to pick up where we left off before the summer. Got a contact at UvA who can help me understand what is happening with the infrastructure of UvA and how names (and preferred names) are processed, changed, et cetera. Preparing for the OV, coming with first general impressions of the new ethical policy. Otherwise, just getting stuff started up again.
	Maximiliano	- Having a meeting on Friday afternoon with the Profiling Fund committee - Got in contact with Willem about how the UCO works in general
Delegates	Victor	Meeting with FSR on thursday, still planning the regular comittee meetings. No specific updates related to FSR work. I am in contact now with the communication people and I am planning on arranging the press training. I did get a question about deepL from my FSR, they wanted to know if its also available to the FSRs, since the treasurer wanted to know if they need to buy it themselves.
	Philipp	

Centrale
Studentenraad

	Vincent	
	Kacper	
	Alies	Working on the meeting piece about studentwellbeing and resilience. Meeting up with M.A. (Psychologist – studentwellbeing). Went on FSR transfer weekend. Applied as trust person. Sending mails and setting meetings for studentwelbeing and accessibility with other staff.
	Florence	GALOP: there was a meeting with a diverse set of staff. Most important updates are the new UvA AI chat, to be launched on the 1st of Sep. GLASS is working lovely. We received a presentation on 'Statelijke Inmenging', which is raising awareness on espionage on students, the notes & presentation will be on Teams. Another thing they're working on is lessening the notifications for users (students). Lola will be giving me more context on GALOP soon. FSR FGw: The FSR FGw still needs to have their first PV, which will take place on Thursday. No other updates!
	Yipeng	Making a template.
Student Assessor	Willem	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 10 September 2025

Present: Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Maximiliano Okpala, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen, Vincent Nap.

Absent

Guest(s)

Minutes: Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:04 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of 3 September are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

Victor has scheduled the CSR photos for next Wednesday after the PV. Pieter-Joost invites other members to join for the O&F technical meeting on Friday as other topics such as accessibility and diversity will be on the agenda.

There are 11 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. See attachment

The DB met with ASVA during the last DB meeting for an introductory meeting. The CSR was present for their first OV yesterday. Francesco invites members to reach out if they have feedback on the OV. Noor has uploaded the cleaning schedule to Teams and will send it on Discord. The IOs will not take place and have been cancelled. The DB is still discussing the cancellation of the IO.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Advice Request Chair Profile

The RvT has sent an advice request to the CSR on the Chair job profile. The biggest change that has been made from the profile a year ago is the removal of the link of the CvB Chair to the academic community. Francesco states removing the requirement would be a problem as he finds it is important the Chair is able to understand what the academic community is like. Dina states having some background in academia should be included in the job profile of the Chair. Dina understands it removed given the turbulent political atmosphere at UvA, but would still like the academic position of Chair to reflect the environment of the UvA as an academic institution. The CSR is in favour of the profile, and will advise on including the requirement for the Chair to have experience in academia.

Maximiliano enters 17:19

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of including the proposed amendments in the advice on the CvB Chair Profile for the RvT.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Saskia, Vaisakh, Victor, Noor, Pieter-Joost, Maximiliano, Kacper, Florence, Alies, Dina, Philipp)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of including the proposed amendments in the advice on the CvB Chair Profile for the RvT.

9. SoC Appointment [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the SoC appointment for the Chair of the CvB.

Vincent enters 17:29
Yipeng enters 17:39

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of appointing Florence Lynch to the CvB Chair SoC.
Decision: *The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of appointing Florence Lynch to the CvB Chair SoC.*

10. Treasurer Election [Confidential]

The CSR decides on electing a member as treasurer to the CSR.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Vincent Nap as treasurer of the CSR.
Decision: *The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of Vincent Nap as treasurer of the CSR.*

11. Student Peace Declaration

The CSR has received a request during the summer to sign a Student Peace Declaration. The Student Peace Declaration is a European student initiative in collaboration with the European Union.
Vincent states there is a governance and workplan on the website which is not included in the email. Vincent is concerned about their goal to transform curriculum to implement European peace education into all education programs in the European Union (under WP2). Vincent finds it important universities are able to determine their own curriculum. Vaisakh reads the commitment that the option is available, rather than mandated in all programs. Saskia adds signing the declaration would also not include their work plan given partnerships would be a university wide decision. Maximiliano finds it is strong to connect with other

European universities but would like ask for further information from the contact person on the clarity of the transformation of curriculum.
Vincent finds the differences in the interpretation of the work plan is still concerning and would like further clarity. Francesco clarifies the CSR would not become part of the alliance by signing the declaration, but rather only sign on to the declaration to support it's goals, rather than advancing the goals of or joining the alliance itself. Victor clarifies he sees the signing more as a student-led initiation and the declaration as an attempt to reach local councils to connect students.
The CSR agrees to move to voting.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Students' Peace Declaration.
In favour: 12 (Kacper, Florence, Alies, Vincent, Dina, Francesco, Saskia, Victor, Vaisakh, Victor, Noor, Philipp, Yipeng)
Against:
Blanco: 2 (Maximiliano, Pieter-Joost)
Quorum: *14*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Students' Peace Declaration.

12. Working Agreements

The CSR has discussed the amendments for the internal working agreements. Pieter-Joost mentions Article 8. has not been changed following the discussion from last week. Francesco summarizes from the previous meeting there was an agreement not to make any changes. For Article 8.2B, Pieter-Joost finds there was a consensus to remove the point on the discretion of the Chair and Vice-Chair to grant an inactive member voting rights. Saskia proposes to change the amendment to CSR instead of Chair and Vice-Chair. Francesco proposes removing subpoints 4 and 5 of the clause. The CSR agrees to the removal of subpoints 4 and 5 of Article 8.2.
Francesco has made proposals for mandating according to the internal working agreements. Maximiliano asks where the proposal comes from on not being able to mandate for two consecutive meetings. Francesco clarifies the discussion in previous meetings has stated the concern that members have abused mandating in the past. Furthermore, Francesco would like to incentivize members to attend meetings to fully discuss and decide as a council on the points, rather than mandating their votes.
Pieter-Joost would not like to limit mandates to not more than two consecutive meetings. Francesco clarifies the mandates are still allowed in exceptional circumstances. Saskia adds they find it is a workable solution in the current proposal to ensuring collaboration throughout the year.
The CSR concludes the discussion and moves to voting, firstly on the mandates given the contention on the restriction for mandating.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the proposed amendments to section 2 Art 7.6 of the working agreements regarding mandates.
In favour: 8 (Francesco, Saskia, Vai, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Florence, Dina)
Against: 6 (Pieter-Joost, Maximiliano, Vincent, Alies, Philipp, Yipeng)
Blanco:
Quorum: *14*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the proposed amendments to section 2 Art 7.6 of the working agreements regarding mandates.

The vote is accepted and the amendment is adapted to vote on for the final version.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of all of the proposed amendments to the working agreements. In favour: 14 (Francesco, Saskia, Vaisakh, Vincent, Noor, Pieter-Joost, Maximiliano, Kacper, Victor, Florence, Alies, Dina, Philipp, Yipeng) Against: Blanco: *Quorum*: *14* *Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of all of the proposed amendments to the working agreements.*

13. Diversity Commitment

The Diversity Commitment is an internal, non-binding document which aims to promote the diversity, safety and inclusion of council members. Francesco has not received any feedback or amendments on the diversity commitment. Maximiliano asks if the Diversity Commitment is still based on the 2016 Gloria Wekker report. Maximiliano does not understand why the CSR would refer to a report that is almost 10 years old. Maximiliano would like to look into newer reports and other perspectives to include in the Diversity Commitment. Francesco states there has not been a follow-up report from 2016 following the 2015 Maagdenhuis occupation. Francesco agrees it would be time for another report but this has not been done yet. Dina finds it would still be important to take the report into account as it recognises relevant knowledge for the CSR. Saskia finds the diversity report is particularly important as it was specifically commissioned for the UvA and is relevant for the current context.
Pieter-Joost states he does not agree with the outline of the commitment in general. Pieter-Joost shared further argumentation for a different diversity report through informal communication channels at the UvA. Pieter-Joost finds the report focuses on identity politics and outcome equality rather than equality of opportunity. Pieter-Joost believes diversity policy is important but sees that the UvA administration may find the report from 2016 as too extreme. Alies finds it is important to first ensure that people are included before there is even opportunity. Pieter-Joost mentions if there is equal opportunity, there may still not be equal representation. If everyone is represented the same way, it does not imply there is quality of opportunity. Saskia recognizes the different viewpoints in the council but finds it is a dangerous route to delegitimize the work of Gloria Wekker and the employees at the UvA working on diversity. Saska finds the use of an opinion piece to discredit a world renowned scholar attacks knowledge that has been produced by scholars at the UvA. Vincent states to provide critique on the knowledge and scholarly work at the UvA is not delegitimizing the work, but rather opening up academic discussion on the topic. Vincent finds it important not to subscribe to one single viewpoint but rather to keep the discussion open. Francesco clarifies he understands that the opinion piece from Folia is not an academic response given it comes from a separate department and is based on opinion rather than continuing a discussion from academic research. Pieter-Joost finds it is important within the university to be able to discuss and have different opinions about research.
Victor states that the CSR members agree there should be a new diversity report with new commitments. Victor would like to discuss signing the current commitment than focusing on the report. Francesco asks members to further prepare for a discussion next week on specific points that concern CSR members in the Diversity Report.

14. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no other business.

15. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Maximiliano is setting up a meeting for student organizations on the policy adjustment on the profiling fund. Maximiliano will approach delegates for the meeting.
Francesco has had contact with ASVA about the student members that were not let in the Maagdenhuis during the Opening of the Academic Year, in which ASVA may publish a press release.

16. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

17. Questions

There are no further questions.

18. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:03.

Decisions

250910-01	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of including the proposed amendments in the advice on the CvB Chair Profile for the RvT.
250910-02	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of appointing Florence Lynch to the CvB Chair SoC.
250910-03	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Students' Peace Declaration.
250910-04	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the proposed amendments to section 2 Art 7.6 of the working agreements regarding mandates.
250910-05	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of all of the proposed amendments to the working agreements.

Action list

250701-02	Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 250910		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	- Catering: found out that UvA is not going forward with the Food Governance Program, so I'll talk with FS and DNM team to see how we're going forward - Study spaces: setting up a meeting with Anne-Francesca Bossaert asap - GV: meeting with Gerwin on Friday 5 to set up first GV
	Noor	
	Pieter-Joost	- Huisvesting: Tuesday we speeddated Leonie from huisvesting, Peter Bosman and Jacomijn. - Budegetcycle: Also talked about the budgetcycle with them.
	Vaisakh	- Attended UvA AI celebration with Saskia, met the team and had lovely introductions... getting ready to set meetings for the upcoming weeks - Sent first emails to my relevant file holders and working on setting up first meetings - Committee meeting O&B on 04/09
	Saskia	
	Dina	
	Maximiliano	- I met with the Profling Fund committee. In this introductory meeting we looked over where we stand in the process of building the advice. The provisional date for holding a focus group with study and student associations is October 16th. Tomorrow, I have my first meeting with the UCO.
Delegates	Victor	
	Philipp	Me and Saskia met Willem to gain additional context regarding the Academic Freedom and Education Vision files. We have developed action plans for this year related to those files. I attended the committee meeting on the 4/9.
	Vincent	Applied for treasurer Investigating FSR council member payments
	Kacper	No important updates as of yet. Trying to figure out contacts for my respective files, both CSR and FSR FMG

	Alies	- Attended two evaluation meeting for my fsr. - Attended a meeting with Jasmijn Ritmeester about mental welbeing, talking and exploring our goals and prioritize. - Attended a meeting with moral ambition about community building. - Attended the PV of my FSR. Our dean is discussing making an independent ethical commision for the AMC from the UvA. The AMC is discussing making a programme to help students to stop smoking.
	Florence	O&F CSR: No important meetings this week. Met with the lovely finance department during the speeddating and felt like we would have a fruitful collab with them. FSR FGw: We are discussing the Dean vacancy profile for FGw. Our current dean is leaving in March 2026. We are therefore working on the profile for the new dean. Moreover, we are working on complaints we received on study advisers.
	Yipeng	-Find information about the COBO and the traditions/budget/location. - CONGO promotion
Student Assessor	Willem	

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 17 September 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Maximiliano Okpala, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Yipeng Chen, Vincent Nap.
Absent	Philipp Greiner
Guest(s)	H. Heijnis (*FSR FGw member*), N. Thantry (*FSR FMG member*)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:02 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

Alies enters 17:05

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 10 September are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Kacper would like further information on how to deal with requests for information from the FSR FMG. Francesco recommends speaking directly to council members with relevant files related to information requests.
The agenda will be sent on October 1st instead of September 29th as Abbey will be off.

Dina enters 17:07

There are 11 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
Francesco would like to finalize the CSR Year Plan as soon as possible to share with the CvB. The CSR received the overview of the current division of files in the CvB. The CSR and FSR chairs will meet on Friday. Saskia asks for an overview on a plan for the DB on no longer having the IOs.
Willem is not present today.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Dutch Courses O&F File Opening

The O&F committee would like to open a new file on Dutch Courses. The topic is currently dealt with within the internationalization file, but since it is on the year plan the O&F committee would like to make a specific file.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file in O&F on Dutch Courses.
In favour: 11 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Pieter-Joost, Yipeng, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *11*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file in O&F on Dutch Courses.

9. Diversity Commitment

The CSR finalizes the discussion on signing the Diversity Commitment. Pieter-Joost reiterates he would like to shift the focus of the commitment away from identity politics and away from equality in opportunity. Pieter-Joost has concerns about the ideological tone of the diversity commitment as well, particularly in reference to moving away from capitalism. Pieter-Joost does not believe the entire council should commit to getting rid of capitalism and disagrees with the ideological tone that capitalism is a system of oppression. Dina clarifies that the CSR is not tackling capitalism but rather creating a safe space for council members within the context of the diversity commitment. Maximiliano agrees he finds that various perspectives are not included in the current report and would like to see an interdisciplinary report on diversity and perspectives at the university. Vaisakh finds having a diversity commitment is better than not having one and would like to work on looking further into creating a new commitment.
Alies asks what the consequence is for not signing the Diversity Commitment. Francesco clarifies there are not consequences for not signing the commitment. Francesco finds it would set a dangerous precedent if the CSR does not want to focus on diversity.

Maximiliano enters 17:14

Francesco states in the previous meeting CSR members agreed to push for a new diversity report to the CvB. Saskia states that she hears a fundamental disagreement with the report the commitment is based on and the commitment itself. Saskia believes if the CSR is working on diversity, she would like to ensure the CSR aligns with the UvA in prioritizing diversity. Pieter-Joost clarifies it is not specifically the report he is critiquing but the framing of the commitment itself. As an example, Pieter-Joost states the current commitment groups people into marginalized categories, which he finds focuses only at identity. Pieter-Joost finds that there is additional terminology that is not utilized in the diversity report at the UvA, such as assigned female at birth. Saskia clarifies the commitment specifically addresses the space for CSR members rather than the structures at the UvA.
The CSR moves towards voting and agrees it will be a priority for the council to push and request for a new diversity report at the UvA.

Vincent enters 17:25

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Diversity Commitment.
In favour: 9 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Kacper, Vai, Saskia)
Against: 3 (Maxi, Vincent, Pieter-Joost)
Blanco: 1 (Yipeng)

Quorum: 13
Decision: *The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Diversity Commitment.*

10. Opening of the Academic Year [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the opening of the academic year.

11. Social Media Strategy

The O&I committee has developed a social media strategy for the CSR. The O&I committee further discussed the social media strategy and members had concerns over what should be included and how it should be done.

Alies finds the current elaboration of the strategy is not specific enough and would like a more structured agreement. Alies finds there is a lot of room currently for misunderstandings, particularly for statements from the CSR. Alies would like to ensure social safety is included in the statements for social media to avoid paraphrasing and misunderstandings. Alies finds it is important to provide an explanation around certain arguments for why members vote in certain ways for certain proposals. Alies observes there is a lot of friction at the UvA and would like the CSR to avoid polarising students further.

Maximiliano states there are a lot of great new projects and platforms that the CSR can use to promote themselves. Maximiliano recommends contacting Bureau Communication for further ideas on how to promote the CSR.

Florence asks for clarification on sanctions mentioned in the meeting piece, in relation to creating regulations for the social media strategy. Alies clarifies her comment was general but also applicable for content shared in Instagram reels. The comment is meant to address the need to protect the social safety of council members, and sanctions applies to when social safety or certain rules in the working agreements would not be followed. Alies would like to include an addition that statements and posts would be decided on unanimously and that all perspectives will be taken into account to actively respect social safety. Dina clarifies this would be done on the mandate of O&I, with the discretion that members can also approach O&I.

Saskia finds the current meeting piece is unclear as she is unsure where the discussion is and where the specific concerns on social safety and polarization are located. Victor clarifies the piece was on the strategy, template and framework for how O&I will work on social media posts for the coming year. Victor wanted to have a discussion on whether members had major reservations on the framework and whether there were other additions to the framework. Victor would like to prepare a meeting piece for next week on a template on what certain posts would look like within the proposed framework. To prepare the meeting piece, Victor would like feedback on the skeleton of the framework before making practical templates.

Vincent states he would not agree for the votes to be made public on social media as he would not like to create pressure and tension for internal CSR members. Vincent states if people are curious, the minutes can be found online. Saskia understands the posts of voting outcomes as a way to improve transparency to constituencies.

The CSR agrees to continue the discussion with a new meeting piece that provides more clarity on the points for discussion.

12. CSR Year Plan

The CSR will need to finalize the content of the year plan in the coming weeks. Francesco recommends setting priorities among the main policy topics. Pieter-Joost states all comments have not been fully incorporated yet, particularly on internationalization. Florence will rewrite the section based on the comments from other members. Francesco proposes after the comments are incorporated, the CSR will provide final feedback and discuss any remaining points.

For setting priorities in the year plan, members agree to order points based on alphabetical order instead of creating a prioritization of policy goals. For formatting the content of the year plan, Francesco asks if members would like to specifically mention goals of files or one that is more medezeggenschap rights based. Pieter-Joost is in favour of including the rights medezeggenschap has on particular topics, such as consent requests for the house rules. Saskia also sees it would increase accessibility to students to understand the rights of the CSR.
Francesco proposes all members to leave final comments before Monday 09:00 for the DB to finalize the draft for the next PV.

13. Jewish and Israeli Students Safety

Pieter-Joost would like to discuss the lack of safety expressed by Jewish students at the university. As the CSR is focusing on social safety in the year plan, Pieter-Joost would like the CSR to speak out against the feelings of insecurity on campus. Pieter-Joost is aware the previous CSR met with students that submitted concerns, but is unsure what the follow-up was from that meeting. Pieter-Joost would like students to feel heard and proposes to also set up a conversation with Jewish students with concerns. Pieter-Joost recommends thinking how to include concerns from Jewish students in the house rules as well.
Saskia mentions all articles mention Jewish students which is important but has not seen specific articles referenced about Israeli students. Pieter-Joost clarifies the article from Het Parool also mentioned Israeli students, along with Folia. Dina asks if Pieter-Joost is aware of what reports students are submitting that create the feelings and experiences of a lack of safety on campus. Pieter-Joost has suggested to further discuss with the students submitting their concerns as he is unaware of specific claims, but several actions and examples are stated in a Folia article shared in the meeting piece.

14. GV Prep

The GV will take place on Friday between the CSR and the COR. Francesco states several rights go through the GV, such as consent rights on the budget and the institutional strategy of the UvA. The GV on Friday will elect a Chair and Vice-Chair for the GV. The meeting will take place in Dutch with a live English translation. The agenda has been sent to all CSR members. Saskia asks if there are any meeting pieces attached with the agenda as she did not receive them. Francesco states the meeting pieces are included in the Teams environment but not sent over email. Francesco will send an email to all CSR members with the attachments for the GV [**action**].

15. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

For the CSR website, Victor asks committee members to look into the text and let him know what changes should be made, if any. Kacper informs the CSR the photographer appreciates the CSR members.

16. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no further input requests.

17. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

18. Questions

There are no further questions.

19. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:0.8

Decisions

250917-01 The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file in O&F on Dutch Courses.
250917-02 The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of signing the Diversity Commitment.

Action list

250917-01 Francesco will send an email to all CSR members with the attachments for the GV.

250701-02 Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad

Centrale Studentenraad

DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 250917		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	- Catering: set up meeting RvT-board DNM to discuss tendering process and how the CSR plays into it - Study spaces: meeting with Anne-Francesca on October 3rd - Versterking medezeggenschap: had a meeting with Gerwin, Bora, Stefana, Hester about the fund. CvB wants to appoint a medezeggenschap medewerker to work on improving secrataries, trainings, and communications. We'll discuss the profile in the GV. - Student assessor: had a meeting with Willem (student assessor), ASVA also joined at some point. We'll be meeting regularly starting next week.
	Noor	-
	Pieter-Joost	Profiling fund: Had a meeting on the profiling fund, they gave the timeline for the rest of the year. Adviced changes are smaller study-associations also getting money. Discussion about lawstores/consultancy firms and nation associations, probably keeping there money.
	Vaisakh	- Sent first emails to relevant staff for files - Coordinating with Hester and Abbey to get relevant staff contacts for O&B files - O&B meeting - Beginning research on academic freedom (secondary holder)
	Saskia	- Sending first emails to contacts and setting up initial technical meetings with a focus on the Model OER process - Attempting to get a solid grasp on the state of academic freedom at the UvA and understanding the body of work compiled in the past few years on this issue. Developing proposals for how the council could proceed with this issue
	Dina	- Getting in touch with different university councils and UvA staff to continue working on fixing deadnaming within UvA infrastructure; - Arranging meetings with the CDO to get the ball rolling; - Reviewing the consent request on advice on the social safety regulations, *very slowly*;

Centrale Studentenraad

	Maximiliano	- Got in contact with the first stakeholders for the meeting with study/student associastions - Took part in the first UCO meeting last Thursday; we discussed several topics that are regarding the university's education plan, ask away if you'd like to know what we discussed
Delegates	Victor	The FNWI FSR is working on creating a year plan, divided the facilities files. My FSR is working on other internal stuff too. Sent a Reminder email to Pim Janmaat to ask about the media training. Wrote the second social media strategy piece.
	Philipp	
	Vincent	No important updates
	Kacper	People from FSR FMG are requesting information from me and I'm trying to find it for them. I figured out the photographer for our photos. I finally emailed some of my fileholders
	Alies	
	Florence	The FSR FGw will be writing an unsolicited advice on religious events at the UvA. The fDO received complaints about the facilitation of EID last year, even though other religious event have previously been hosted on campus. Furthermore, the FSR FGw wants the central finance department to be open about the annual indexation for non-EU students' tuition. The council will also be organising a Model OER training for themselves. I will be participating in a new GALOP meeting on the 18th of Sep.
	Yipeng	
Student Assessor	Willem	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 24 September 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Philipp Greiner, Maximiliano Okpala, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Yipeng Chen, Vincent Nap.
Absent	
Guest(s)	Willem Volker (*Student assessor 25-26*)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:05 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 17 September are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Maximiliano will be absent from the second half of the PV. Vaisakh will leave at 18:00.
There are 14 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
SEFA has reached out to request a sponsorship from the CSR for a TedxUvA event. The CSR sponsored the event last year but the DB has decided to reject the request for sponsorship given the limitations in the CSR budget. Dina asks if the DB has discussed a new office for the CSR from December on. Abbey and Hester will schedule a meeting with the UvA employee responsible for housing. Florence asks if she can join the meeting on the new CSR office. Abbey will ask Hester to invite Florence. Francesco will meet with Hester to discuss the extension of the AO and will have regular meetings with Hester in place of the IO.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set. The CSR Year Plan and Jewish and Israeli Student Safety are moved to the first half of the PV.

8. Opening of the Academic Year
Dina has created a draft statement and letter based on the discussions from the last PV on the Opening of the Academic Year.

Vincent agrees with the sentiment of the statement, but would like to include further context when referring to the experience of council members. Vincent recommends specifically including a security guard made a comment not to let in students wearing keffiyehs to provide clarity on why the CSR views the situation as discriminatory.
Pieter-Joost asks why the CSR would post the statement on Instagram. Dina clarifies they would like the CSR to post a statement on Instagram to inform the student community and to create transparency towards the student body. Maximiliano is concerned that publishing a statement on Instagram may create different interpretations. He finds the problem is internal between the CSR and the CvB and notes the CvB has already acknowledged the wrongdoing of security. Maximiliano would like to address the incident internally with the CvB. Vincent agrees and would like more information before publishing on Instagram to ensure the CSR has the full picture.
Kacper states the issue is concerning for students outside medezeggenschap as this type of exclusion may also take place in the general student population. Saskia finds it is representative of a larger and systematic profiling of students at the UvA given students were denied entry for wearing Keffiyehs, not just as members of medezeggenschap. Maximiliano mentions all CSR members have the same goal that they would like to illuminate the issue to the CvB. Maximiliano would like to make the issue broader as there were also staff members that were barred entry. Maximiliano finds the statement could be more powerful by requesting further information from the CvB before publishing a statement.
Victor wonders if the CvB would reach out to student members if they were not members of the CSR. Victor finds it important to reach out to the student body to investigate whether other students have had their experience but have not had the platform to discuss their complaints.
Philipp is in favour of creating that transparency for the general student body but would like to get a clear picture of the situation first, such as how many staff were involved and how it developed behind the scenes. Philipp would like to hear how the CvB approaches it and have a discussion first with the CvB. Dina recommends including in the statement that the CSR will continue the discussions with the CvB. Dina finds that it was a brief moment and there will not be much more information than what has already come forward.
Vincent agrees with the statement and creating accountability, but finds that it is not accurately shown why the students were refused. Saskia clarifies it was explicitly stated by the head security guard not to let the “people with scarves” in. Victor adds the staff members that were not allowed in were also wearing keffiyehs. Other people without keffiyehs were allowed in and past those that were wearing keffiyehs.
Francesco proposes to vote on publishing a statement on the website instead of Instagram after conducting a temperature check among the CSR members.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the statement on the Maagdenhuis incident to be sent to the CvB.
In favour: 14 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Pieter-Joost, Yipeng, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Philipp, Vincent, Maximiliano)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *14*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the statement on the Maagdenhuis incident to be sent to the CvB.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of posting the statement on the Opening of the Academic Year on the website.
In favour: 8 (Francesco, Kacper, Vaisakh, Florence, Saskia, Victor, Noor, Dina)
Against: 6 (Philipp, Alies, Pieter-Joost, Maxi, Vincent, Yipeng)
Blanco:

Quorum: *14*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of posting the statement on the Opening of the Academic Year on the website.

9. CSR Year Plan

The CSR will need to finalize the year plan by the next PV. The CSR will use the template from last year to format the year plan. Committee chairs will also write introductions for each committee and the topics that will be dealt with. Florence and Francesco will work on the style of the year plan. For the editorial round, edits will be track changed. Next week the CSR will vote on the final version of the year plan.

10. Jewish and Israeli Students Safety

Pieter-Joost would like the CSR to discuss publishing a statement to support students that experience feelings of unsafety on campus. Pieter-Joost would like to organize discussions between Jewish and Israeli students for further information on how students are feeling. Pieter-Joost would also like to have future discussions on how this can be incorporated in the house rules discussion.
Maximiliano would like to make the statement more broad. Maximiliano would like to focus on being a bridge for every student in which the CSR works to talk with all different perspectives. Maximiliano would like to contribute to fighting against the polarization at the UvA and acknowledge general student safety. Vincent finds that the problem is more isolated as he has heard specific instances of feelings of unsafety among peers for Jewish and Israeli students.
Victor asks how the administration dealt with the incidents that have been reported. Victor has understood that there is a department where students can go to discuss complaints but is unclear what is being done for students. Victor is unaware if it is a structural issue or isolated. Alies has spoken with the policy officers on social safety on campus in which a new report will be published on social safety. Alies states in the report, social safety of students is a large issue at the UvA, but does not have the specifics of the report yet.
Pieter-Joost agrees it is important to get more information from the administration to understand what is being done. Pieter-Joost finds there is a discrepancy in information on how students are advised on their safety on campus. Saskia would like to organize a conversation between Jewish students and other students from marginalized backgrounds to better understand how the CSR can contribute to structural change for students. Saskia would like to ensure the ability to address discrimination is available on campus and ensure that student needs are fulfilled.
Pieter-Joost asks how members feel about making a public post that students can reach out to the CSR for help and issues that they may face regarding safety on campus. Francesco mentions in the previous year he worked on social safety which was difficult to address as students cannot lodge complaints against other students. Francesco recommends posting that the CSR is available for all students for their issues and including information on who students can reach out and resources at the UvA.

Maximiliano leaves 17:54

Victor finds there is a mismatch in what is said by the UvA versus external bodies. Victor would be in favour of an open conversation but would like more information on the complaints. Pieter-Joost would like to have a specific statement for Jewish and Israeli students given the recent reports on increased feelings of insecurity and a rise of antisemitism for Jewish and Israeli students. Florence mentions she has been in contact with several Jewish students in the past few months given the rise of antisemitism. Florence has also heard that there is a specific want for people to know the difference between antisemitism and antizionism. Florence has

heard from peers that there should be a specific way to divide the terms to protect the definition of antisemitism. Florence would like the CSR to explore this option as well to avoid conflating the two.
Saskia asks for clarity on the activism in the councils in the Het Parool article. Pieter-Joost will forward the article as it is behind a paywall.
Pieter-Joost will draft a statement and will bring the statement for discussion.

11. Social Media Strategy

Victor has created templates for social media and has asked for feedback on the templates. Victor has clarified confusion from the previous discussions. Alies states her main reservation was that it would be vague for how content is phrased on social media. There are several agreements in O&I that the title and arguments in the posts will be approved in the minutes and there will be appropriate time to make objections to certain posts. Alies feels the new agreements ensures there will not be misunderstandings. Alies would like to ensure the social safety of council members in the social media strategy. A disclaimer will be included asking people to remain respectful and to read the arguments presented in the PV. Dina clarifies when the media input point is reached during the PV, the posts will be sent to the Discord in advance and approved during the PV in the minutes in the following week.
Pieter-Joost asks what will be formulated as a win for the CSR when posting on social media. Pieter-Joost finds the point is currently too vague. Francesco clarifies the current discussion is on the format rather than the content. The content can be discussed later.
Pieter-Joost mentions the publication of voting in PV was used in campaigning last year and found there was nuance in the outcome of voting proposals. Pieter-Joost would like to ensure the arguments are included. The template will include the arguments of CSR members behind voting proposals.
The proposal includes there will be an office day for students to show up. Dina recommends the office day should be on the same day as the plenary meetings. Saskia recommends creating a when2meet for the office days.
The CSR agrees on the strategy. The O&I committee has the responsibility for the content of the posts and outreach, in which concerns can be raised by other council members.

12. Dutch Courses

The CSR voted last PV to open a file on Dutch courses. Noor would like to bring the topic to an OV but would like advice on how the CSR should bring the topic and who the CSR should reach out to for discussion.
Dina recommends looking into expanding current existing systems, such as the minor in Dutch language in the humanities faculty. The bureaucracy kept Dina from applying to the minor and they advise looking into how to expand the minor to overcome bureaucratic barriers. Dina mentions INTT and UvATalen are part of the UvA, but are businesses that would not be able to offer free courses. The O&F committee has discussed how the topic should be brought to the CvB.
The CSR cannot recommend free Dutch courses as this is expensive, but can discuss different options with the CvB. Francesco asks if the CSR would like to expand the course offerings to as many students as possible, or to propose introducing Dutch courses into the curriculum. Noor would prefer to integrate Dutch courses into curriculum as it is often difficult to expand offerings outside curriculum. Dina recommends looking into creating lectures first to a broader group and then moving to smaller lessons. Interest can be tested in a broad lecture course and if successful the groups could be made smaller.
Willem states the UvA is mostly worried about the costs and advises the CSR to think about alternative solutions. Victor recommends advocating that international students in their first year have an introduction to the Dutch language as an open lecture series. The CSR could then advocate for a small pilot plan to offer free Dutch courses to gauge interest from the student body. Noor recommends a proposal to decrease the prices of

courses would also help. Philipp is in favour of lectures as it would be a low cost alternative and the target group of first years is smaller than offering courses to all international students. Philipp is also in favour of advocating for lower costs for courses as well to provide more accessible courses for students. Pieter-Joost mentions there should be a reaction from the administration based on the GV's advice from the kaderbrief to prioritize the integration of international students.
The O&F will continue the discussion from the PV to prepare the topic for the OV.

13. CvB Chair SoC Priorities

Florence has submitted a meeting piece to start a brainstorm on what members would like to bring as priorities to the SoC, given Florence will not be able to speak about the process while it is ongoing. Victor would like to ensure that as the file holder for diversity, the candidate should be experienced in diversity or have experience working in diversity environments/policy-making. Pieter-Joost finds it important that the Chair is someone who is above political parties and encourages open debate. Pieter-Joost would like a Chair that would not always accept the norm. Saskia would like a candidate with experience in an understanding of the norm and expectations within a university in regards to diversity. Dina would like the candidate to be younger than usual. Dina would also like the candidate to understand and have experience in working in polarized, high tension environments given they will also be responsible for the crisis team. Dina would like to ensure the member would be direct in their communication as well. Saskia would like to ensure that the new CvB Chair would have a different profile than previous chairs to provide further stability.

14. Lunch Breaks

The CSR, in collaboration with the FSR FNWI and supported by other FSR's and the COR, sent an unsolicited advice to the CvB to formalize the academic agenda to ensure there is time for lunch breaks. There is an unformalized rule that classes will end 15 minutes before the next, but since it is not formalized it is not always followed. The FSR FNWI would like to ensure that there is sufficient time for lunch, and has proposed moving the schedule to create extra room by switching the start and end times of classes for the 15 minute transfer times. In this scenario, 15 minutes would be added to the beginning of a class as transfer time to create a 30 minute block. Victor adds students often do not have time to eat as there were so many contact hours and not enough time to eat and get to the next class. The CvB has responded to the advice in which they would like to make a decision for the next academic year in 26-27 to change schedules and is eager to further discuss with the CSR.
Pieter-Joost asks why the schedules cannot be changed on the faculty level. Dina clarifies the faculty has tried but has found it is a central issue as classes are organized on a central level. Francesco further clarifies ACTA and AUC are different but the other faculties are centralized in schedule organization.

15. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

16. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Pieter-Joost reminds delegates to forward student/study association contacts from their faculties to Maximiliano for the revision of the profiling fund.
Saskia recommends for CSR members to look into attending the UvA Education Day for sessions relevant to their topics.

Centrale
Studentenraad

17. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

18. Questions

There are no further questions.

19. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:48.

Decisions

250924-01 The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the statement on the Maagdenhuis incident to be sent to the CvB.

250924-02 The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of posting the statement on the Opening of the Academic Year on the website.

Action list

~~**250917-01** Francesco will send an email to all CSR members with the attachments for the GV.~~

250701-02 Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Centrale Studentenraad

PV CSR Update 250924		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	- Chair: met with the FSR Chairs for acquaintance and preparing for meeting with PPV; - DB rejected budget for gums and design by O&I - O&F: meeeting with COR O&F and technical meeting about Huisvesting, Accessibility (new policy is in the making, previous one is dated 2017, this update aims to be extensive and expand accessibility UvA-wide. AZ wants our involvement before the policy is presented to the CvB), Financing - Met with Willem for updates
	Noor	
	Pieter-Joost	- Budgetcycle: Had a introductionary meeting with the O&F of the COR and after that a technical meeting. Expected reaction to the kaderbrief together with the first draft of the budget. -Huisvesting: Not in the budget, there is going to be a new huisvestingsplan. - Accesibility: new guidelines for this where we have consent rights, they really want to involve us on this file.
	Vaisakh	- Obtained updated O&B file contacts for all files - Started research on academic freedom - Emailed relevant file holders for my O&B files, starting to plan meetings and year goals
	Saskia	- Working on Academic Freedom and getting the council up to speed on where it stands across the university - Beginning to set up meetings with file holders on the central level - Set up a technical meeting for the Model OER
	Dina	- Nothing relevant this week.
	Maximiliano	- Gathering all the contacts and stakeholders for the Profiling Fund meeting on October 16th: A crucial focusgroup that gathers essential information for the assesment of the new profiling fund. - Preparing for the UCO heidag that's scheduled for September 26th (12:00-17:00) -
Delegates	Victor	- CSR-Spokesperson - Finally got back from the media office for planning media training

		- UniversityForum: The subject of this year is Generative AI, I have two tickets available.
	Philipp	
	Vincent	Assigned O&B Files. No further important updates.
	Kacper	- Emailed relevant fileholders for Mandatory Attendance, ITK, and DLO - Found requested information for peeps in my FSR regarding contemplation rooms and digitalization.
	Alies	Talked with Beau about mental wellbeing and communication. The FSR FdG is looking into how they can help students to stop smoking with AMC.
	Florence	GALOP: the administration is working on the MFA. Universities are facing risks in terms of cyber security, so the IT department are implementing new approaches to the MFA and making it safer. Moreover, an application is in the working for the *diplomeerproces* (graduation process). Huisvesting: A new report came out (unconfirmed) called *Sturingsrapportage Campusontwikkelingen en HvP-projecten Q2*. Multiple projects at the UK have been put on pause until a definite decision has been made by the *sturingsgroep huisvesting.* OMHP is facing issues and is essentially falling apart. They are working on the plans for renovations, but it is possible that OMHP may have to close for a period of time. FSR FGw: working on OV, such as an unsollicited advice on using the word genocide in communications, and discussing the annual indexation fee for International Students.
	Yipeng	COBO: i will organize the COBO event, starting the requesting the budget and filtering venues based on size and accessibility.
Student Assessor	Willem	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 1 October 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Philipp Greiner, Maximiliano Okpala, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Vincent Nap.
Absent	Yipeng Chen, Pieter-Joost van der Plas.
Guest(s)	Bora Fidan (*Versterking medezeggenschap*)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary).*

<u>A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.</u>

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 24 September are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Bora Fidan is present to follow the CSR Year Plan.

Kacper joins 17:13

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment

ASVA would like to put up a banner to advertise the upcoming housing protest. The CSR will help to put the banner up between the CSR and ASVA office. In two weeks an OV will take place. The DB will attend the AO tomorrow and plan an OV prep before October 14th. Francesco has been informed there is a problem for booking rooms within SpaceFinder for the new UB. Francesco asks delegates to provide feedback if they hear other students are having issues booking rooms.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. CSR Year Plan
Francesco and Florence have worked on the style and form of the year plan. Francesco is still waiting for the committee introductions. Francesco proposes to decide on the final text which will be inputted afterwards into the format from last year.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of adopting the CSR Year Plan. In favour: 12 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Philipp, Vincent, Maximiliano) Against: Blanco: *Quorum*: *12* *Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of adopting the CSR Year Plan.*

9. Gaza Scholarship

Fidan leaves 17:21

Students and staff at Maastricht University have launched a project to create an all-inclusive scholarship for five students in Gaza. Students and staff have also recently finalized a proposal at Utrecht University for all-inclusive scholarships for students in Gaza. Vaisakh has been in contact with students and staff at the UvA that are finalizing a proposal for a similar scholarship at the UvA. The current proposal aims to sponsor ten students in Gaza to attend the UvA, with an estimate cost of around 350,000 thousand per year for all ten students. The CSR has received a draft letter outlining the situation, what Maastricht University has done, and other actions that would be required to sponsor students in Gaza to attend the UvA. The CSR has been invited to co-sponsor the initiative, which would require outside council work to do so. Vaisakh would like council members to consider whether this is something they would like the CSR to participate in.

Yipeng enters 17:23

Maximiliano finds it is a great initiative and would like to ensure that the privacy of students selected would be protected. Maximiliano would like to ensure it would be helpful to the students rather than a show for the public. Vaisakh states from Maastricht University there have been no names released and proposes the UvA could follow a similar model to ensure privacy. Vincent asks if the proposal includes a solution for providing a scholarship in the light of the budget cuts at the university. Within the draft letter, the initiators have not ruled out external funding opportunities to provide for the scholarship, taking into account the budget cuts at the UvA. Saskia remarks if the CSR co-owns this initiative, it would be beneficial to protect students from being exposed to the political dialogue and situation in the Netherlands. Vaisakh adds the initiative is time sensitive and would like to vote within the next week on co-owning the initiative. The CSR will continue the discussion and vote next week.

10. Academic Freedom

Saskia has prepared an update for CSR members on the developments within the file on Academic Freedom. Saskia has included cases that show the decline in academic freedom within universities around the world. Saskia would like the CSR to pre-emptively work to protect academic freedom. Saskia provided potential steps that the CSR could take.

Maximiliano mentions in UCO (University Committee on Education) there was a heidag last week with several stakeholders working on education policy in which it was mentioned that academic freedom would be a topic for discussion in the coming weeks. Maximiliano would like to take the CSR discussion on academic freedom to the UCO meetings.

Philipp finds it was helpful to include the worldwide trends in the decline of academic freedom worldwide. Philipp would like to see further information on the decline of academic freedom specifically for the Dutch context. Philipp states he would be happy to support with further research in the next meeting to contextualize the Dutch case. Saskia mentions the Stolker report and larger reports do not lay out structural issues in the Dutch context. Saskia has particularly highlighted the research/cases in the United States given the rhetoric but is happy to further highlight structural cases in Europe.

11. Deadnaming

Dina highlights an issue present at the UvA regarding the deadnaming of students that have transitioned, but are unable to change their names in the ICT systems of the UvA. Dina would like to further look into the programs and structures of the UvA that hold information on names of students at the UvA. Dina would like to request a technical meeting on deadnaming as they could not find further information on who at the UvA would be responsible. There is currently a survey collecting input on student experiences with deadnaming at the UvA which helps provide further data of the issue that can be presented at the OV to the CvB. The DB will request a technical meeting during the AO and decide whether the point should go on the OV agenda. Vincent mentions it may be good to look further into the considerations for changing names legally in ceremonial degrees. Dina clarifies during the ceremonial degree the name chosen by an individual would be called out publicly while privately the student would pick up their degree with the deadname, given legal constraints tied to ceremonial degrees.

12. Model OER

Saskia attended a meeting on the model OER in which she was informed the model OER consent request will only address two topics. The two proposed amendment include clarifying language requirements within the admissions process and removing the mention of VU as part of the joint honors program, as the VU as pulled out of the collaborative program with the UvA. The corrections are understandable to Saskia but O&B had further concerns about the approach to the revision of the model OER. In previous years, a working group was formed, including CSR involvement, to provide input on the model OER. The process differed this year given that new national policy on internationalization may be realized in the coming year which would require a further revision of the model OER. Saskia is concerned that the process is pushed again based on potential government policies.

Dina finds it is concerning that the revision of the model OER is being followed without a proper procedure. Two modifications without a working group and short notice is a concerning procedure to Dina. The model OER has been delayed from last year and the current process it is not being conducted properly.

Vaisakh states the O&B is also concerned that AI policy is not currently included in the model OER process. Vaisakh also states in the transfer OV it was mentioned that it is important that medezeggenschap is consulted prior to formal requests rather than handed a request without proper input. Maximiliano states the approach shared in UCO is that Academic Affairs would not like to have two large revisions given the expectation the model OER will need to be revised in the coming year based on incoming policies on internationalization. Victor mentions he understands the high workload for staff but experiences the current procedure is not a productive way to work with medezeggenschap. Maximiliano finds it would be important to receive further transparency on the decision-making behind the postponement of a larger revision to better understand the situation.

Francesco recommends asking further information from the CvB during the AO. Saskia would like to see a legal advice on whether the model OER process can be delayed.

13. Lunch Breaks

The CSR 24-25 sent an unsolicited advice as an initial request from the FSR FWNI to formalize lunch breaks at the UvA. The CSR received the CvB's response at the beginning of the term for the current CSR, 25-26. The advice and response to the advice will be discussed in the upcoming October OV. Francesco would like to ask further information during the OV on how the CvB will investigate and research further into the possibilities for formalizing lunch breaks. Vincent asks if the letter also addresses if class cuts into the 15 minutes given for changing classes. Francesco confirms this would be part of the process for formalizing lunch breaks as well. Victor would like to ensure that a plan is created for the coming academic year to avoid pushing the topic another year, as has been done in the past.

14. Communications on Gaza

Victor has written a meeting piece to share concerns about the current language used by the UvA regarding the genocide being committed by Israel in Gaza. As there is an academic consensus, and consensus on an international level, that Israel is committing genocide, Victor would like the UvA's communication to reflect the consensus and current situation. Vaisakh asks for clarification whether Victor would like the CvB to publish a statement acknowledging Israel is committing genocide or if Victor would like the CvB to ensure communications in retroactively reflect the current situation.

Commented [AG1]: How did Victor answer this?

Commented [AG2R1]: Saskia said something I could not hear after

15. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Philipp states the FSR FEB is concerned they have not received their first payment from the profiling fund. CSR and FSR members all have not received their first payment for September. The DB will ask the CvB during the AO.

16. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Survey on story?

Commented [AG3]: What was this?

17. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

18. Questions

There are no further questions.

19. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:25.

Decisions

251001-01 The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of adopting the CSR Year Plan.

Action list

250701-02 Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde

FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 251001		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	Catering: Met with the Rvt and the Board of De Nieuwe Mensa to discuss current proceedings and talk about expansion. The Board is working on a business plan so we can later fulfill our action point for th OV. CvB appointment: received the letter from RvT with the final functieprofil of Collegevorzitter, which includes our advice GV: writing the letter to the CvB about collaborations; sent the consent advice about the EMPO
	Noor	Sustainability: will have a meeting with the green office sometime in the next 2 weeks
	Pieter-Joost	Dutch courses and lunchbreaks: Been brought up to PV further discussion in O&F Jewish student social safety: brought up to the PV
	Vaisakh	Slow week... got in touch with the Gaza Scholarship team, working on introductions with files
	Saskia	Working on model-OER and providing a full update to the council based on a meeting with Sasha. Meeting piece about academic freedom brought this week
	Dina	Preparing my topic for the OV, reaching out to policymakers about the infrastructure about deadnaming, arranging meetings with ASVA and Amsterdam United about their plans on diversity this year.
	Maximiliano	Profiling fund: had a very substantial meeting with Sangita from AcZ on who we want to reach out to, and what form that the focus group meeting will have. UCO: On Friday the 26th I had the UCO Heidag together with Alies. We discussed topics like the future of AI in Education.
Delegates	Victor	
	Philipp	Supporting Academic Freedom file research. Collected FSR FEB questions/topics I'll be presenting in the CSR PV.
	Vincent	

	Kacper	
	Alies	UCO Heidag; met all the members from the UCO and discussed what we will be focusing on next year. Had a meeting with IDEAS. Channah informed me what there focussing on within accessibility.
	Florence	Working on a meeting piece in regards to the annual indexation fee, particularly on the lack of communication done by the UvA administration. We will hopefully be able to receive some answers soon. Campus development: I have a meeting about the REC campus next week. I am currently also working on formulating a plan to send to the fDB of FGw to encourage building a kitchen in BG5 (UK).
	Yipeng	COBO: i will organize the COBO event, starting the requesting the budget and filtering venues based on size and accessibility.
Student Assessor	Willem	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 8 October 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Philipp Greiner, Maximiliano Okpala, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Yipeng Chen, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vincent Nap, Alies Vercouteren.
Absent	
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:00 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 1 October are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
There is no PV on the 27th of October due to exam week. Noor will send a when2meet to determine the PV times for the second block.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
The DB attended the AO last Thursday. The DB was informed there is not a legal requirement to update the model OER every five years. There will be a new technical chair for the OV and the OV prep will take place in person on Friday. The CSR will evaluate the technical chair of the OV to further discuss the appointment of the technical chair. The DB is discussing the planning for the evaluation weekend and asks members to keep in mind the weekends of the 31st of January and the 6th of February.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Consent Request Ombudsperson and Trust Person Regulations

The CSR has received a request for consent on the adjustments to the ombudsperson and trust persons regulations. The UvA is launching a central reporting point for social safety issues. The new CAO for universities mandates this change, which will be organized by the ombudsperson.

Kacper enters 17:09

Dina would like to advise for more proactiveness on social safety at the UvA within the consent request. Dina would like to see more proactiveness from the CvB in upholding the annual reports made by the ombudsperson and trust persons on social safety measure recommendations at the UvA, rather than waiting for national legislation to mandate improvement. Dina and Francesco will write the consent advice and the CSR will vote next week.

9. Gaza Scholarship

Since last week, the official proposal letter for Gaza scholarships have been sent to the CvB. Vaisakh has drafted a memo for the OV next week to discuss the proposal with the CvB. Vaisakh will meet with the proposal team tomorrow for more information on the role of the CSR in the proposal. Vaisakh imagines the CSR would be mostly involved in helping with logistics in arranging the living situation for students receiving scholarships from Gaza.
Vaisakh would also like to open a file within the CSR given the workload the proposal entails for the CSR. Victor is in favour of opening a new file within the CSR as it would provide a framework to continue the work for a broader scholarship network. Victor asks how Vaisakh envisions the role of the CSR once students are in the Netherlands. Saskia and Vaisakh will receive more information but currently understand it will be connecting incoming students to resources and providing students with what they need. The CSR will also be responsible for maintaining communication with the CvB. Vaisakh would like to see an outline from the CvB on the limits of support from the UvA during the OV to better understand what accommodations are available. Vaisakh would also like to see a plan for housing given the current crisis in the Netherlands.

Alies joins 17:17

Vincent sees it would be important for the CSR to help the students integrate upon arrival. Dina recommends also providing information on the CDO projects, such as initiatives that support first-generation university students. Philipp would like to set the precedent for the CSR to be involved in the action of certain projects as these. Victor also sees the CSR is well situated to help with this project given their knowledge of the bureaucracy and time to dedicate, as staff often have less time.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of co-owning the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.
In favour: 14 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Philipp, Vincent, Maximiliano, Yipeng, Pieter-Joost)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *14*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of co-owning the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.

10. CSR CoBo

Yipeng is working on organizing the CSR CoBo and would like to set a budget. The current proposal for the CoBo budget is a maximum of 3000 euros. Yipeng is expecting around 100 people to attend and will set a date the following week.
Maximiliano is in favour of a higher budget but would like to ensure the location is more central to be accessible to students. Vincent finds it is important to think about how to budget for committee events and CoBo. Vincent would like members to think about how much money is allocated for committee events in relation to the CoBo budget.
Saskia recommends publishing the CoBo invite on the Instagram of the CSR to invite students outside the CSR network. Maximiliano mentions he would like to have the CoBo open but would not like to increase the security risk to have members of the general public present. Dina recommends allowing members to bring a plus 1 or 2 to ensure the event is also more open to the general public. Philipp would like to check those who participate have an invite at the door to ensure if there is damage or issues the CSR can track who comes and is responsible. Dina recommends the DB to look into insurance for the CSR given damage made last year during the CoBo. The CSR will also need a designated sober person at the CoBo.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of a maximum budget of 3000 euros for the CSR CoBo.
In favour: 14 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Philipp, Vincent, Maximiliano, Yipeng, Pieter-Joost)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *14*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of a maximum budget of 3000 euros for the CSR CoBo.

11. Jewish and Israeli Student Safety

During the AO, the DB discusses the complaints of social unsafety from Jewish and Israeli students on campus. The CvB clarified there is no policy at the UvA to recommend students not come to campus if they feel unsafe. Pieter-Joost has attached a draft statement he would like the CSR to vote on.
Vaisakh finds it is an important issue if students are feeling unsafe on campus. Vaisakh would appreciate that the CSR has the conversation with students first and to work with Peter-Paul given his close relationship with the community. Afterwards, the CSR can decide on the statement or public message to ensure the CSR does not misrepresent students. Florence has been in contact with Jewish students at the UvA who have expressed feelings of unsafety given the dangers of conflating antisemitism and antizionism. Florence finds having a conversation first would be important to bring all voices to the table on the issue.
Saskia mentions there were concrete points of confusion in the previous discussion that she would like to have addressed. Saskia is unaware that students have submitted any complaints directly to the CSR and would also like there is an action behind the statement to ensure the statement is not performative. Victor finds that a body that advocates on behalf of students it is important to understand the issues students face and how the university responded to the issues. Victor would like more examples of the complaints and would like to hear the complaints from the students themselves to better understand.
Pieter-Joost states that the CvB has had conversations with Jewish and Israeli students that have expressed they feel unsafe. Regarding the difference between antisemitism and antizionism, Pieter-Joost states that Jewish students have been shouted out for what they are wearing (such as wearing the David star) and finds it clear that antisemitism is present. Pieter-Joost would like to stand behind the Jewish community similarly as the CSR has stood behind other groups of students in the past.

Maximiliano finds the CSR has posted statements previously without action or enough information. Maximiliano would like to post a broader statement on the general feelings of social safety and providing support for students to show that even though the CSR has different opinions, it is possible for members to come together to support students. Francesco recommends to include the new reporting point to combine the wants of the CSR members to address social safety issues in a broader statement.
Vincent mentions the concerns do not have less value even within the differentiation of antisemitism and antizionism as the CSR should ensure all parties feel supported and safe on campus. Vincent is in favour of including the reporting point but would like to ensure students feel that the CSR has noted the issue and are working on it. Vaisakh mentions it may reflect that students feel too unsafe to reach out about complaints and would like to create a space for conversation first to receive feedback. Vaisakh would be in favour of a smaller conversation but would like to refrain from putting out a statement without first meeting with students.
Saskia recognizes that antisemitism is present on campus and finds there is no place for discrimination on the UvA campus.. Saskia states there are reports of antisemitism but that there is also a conflation between antizionism and antisemitism, which is dangerous for the conversation.
Florence mentions the examples in the articles included in the meeting piece, besides De Telegraaf, do not cite specific cases of religious discrimination, but were all actions that criticized the state of Israel. Florence finds there may be a difference of opinion in the understandings of the conflation between antizionism and antisemitism.
Francesco finds he is conflicted to put a statement that specifically mentions the Jewish community as it feels tokenistic. Francesco finds that social safety at the UvA is underreported and not acted upon sufficiently. Francesco would like to use the CSR mechanisms to ensure policy is enacted and accountable, and that students are safe on campus. CSR members are generally in favour of publishing a statement that encourages all students to reach out with social safety concerns, particularly advertising the meldpunt for social safety. Saskia would also like to open a form for students to provide input on the social safety procedures at the UvA in general to understand if a structural issue is present.
Pieter-Joost clarifies he would like to make the statement to ensure the students know the CSR is there for all students. Pieter-Joost is concerned from the reports in articles from students that the activism of the student councils creates the feeling that the CSR is not there for all students. Saskia is concerned that the articles do not make the distinction between taking a stance against genocide versus representing all students, as members of the CSR do represent all students, but states activism is not inherently antisemitic. Saskia is afraid that it continues to increase polarization among students. The CSR agrees to continue the discussion in the coming PV.

12. Academic Freedom

Saskia has prepared several discussion questions for the CSR on the topic of academic freedom. Saskia asks members whether they feel a new diversity report is needed to include aspects of academic freedom. Vaisakh is in favour of the proposal to gather input from students and then request a new report based on the feedback from students. Pieter-Joost mentions the Stolker report also further advises for diversity of opinion and political thought which he would like to see within the diversity report.
Maximiliano mentions academic freedom is also being discussed in the UCO. From the administrative side, there are concerns about what academic freedom is and what it means. Maximiliano recommends combining efforts, but also sees the benefit of creating a taskforce for student input. On the staff level, there is also uncertainty about what can be said and how to distinguish what can be said in an open debate. Victor mentions there were specific concerns about a professor speaking positively about the BDS movement. If the professor would be sanctioned, Victor finds that would be a violation of academic freedom. Victor finds there are signs in the UvA that there are threats to academic freedom in which the CSR should ensure the academic freedom is protected.

Saskia mentions in reports over the years, there is no violation of academic freedom in Dutch institutions at the moment. Even so, there is a general trend worldwide that violates academic freedom in setting strict guidelines and putting further limits to access funding. Saskia finds it is also important that students are taught how to have an academic argument and to engage with academic arguments.
Pieter-Joost states it is also important within academic freedom to protect against outside pressure. Pieter-Joost mentions academic freedom is fragile at the UvA even though there are no institutional violations. The Stolker report cites limits to diversity of academic thought and the feelings of safety for students to express different opinions. Vincent would like to also ensure there is clarification in what an academic argument is and how it is defined. Saskia finds one of the limitations in developing policy on academic freedom is the lack of widespread studies on academic freedom in the Netherlands. The difficult part as a CSR is that students should not be silenced because they have a different or dissenting opinion as a professor. Research groups are often focused on one direction or conceptualization and the CSR will need to look at the balance between their jurisdiction and how to work with the COR.
Saskia asks if members would like a draft of an unsolicited advice to the CvB to commission a new diversity report. Vaisakh would like to refrain from devaluing the current diversity report but rather ensuring there is an updated report. Dina has spoken to the CDO in which they are also in favour of a new diversity report to provide updates on the current workings of diversity at the UvA.

13. Model OER

Saskia has prepared an update on the model OER based on the discussion during the AO. Dina is against only adding an addendum without a full revision of the model OER. Dina finds there is a lack of clarity in the process that is normally extensive and includes significant CSR involvement. Dina is in favour of requesting legal advice on the model OER to better understand the process and to ensure the CSR is aware of their rights within the process. Pieter-Joost mentions the CvB stated there would be an entire revision once there is policy from the government on internationalization. The CvB also invited members to add more suggestions into the process and are willing to take further amendment suggestions from the CSR.
Saskia states in the WHW, the only requirement is that the model OER should be revised regularly. Saskia finds the law exists in a grey area. Saskia would like to push for a timeline for the revision model OER in the OV. Dina would like to receive a commitment in the OV on when the UvA can expect to revise the model OER with or without government policy. Vaisakh would like to push for revisions in accessibility accommodations and further AI policy. Victor would like certainty that proposed changes from the CSR would be worked on. Francesco clarifies the CvB is asking for consent on the addendum rather than the model OER itself. Francesco recommends ensuring the conversation in the OV includes proposing changes to the model OER and having a process for this.
The CSR agrees to ensure the model OER process concerns are expressed and would like to request a concrete timeline. The CSR agrees to propose the suggested amendments on accessibility accommodations and AI policy. The O&B will contact the COR for further information on the model OER process and prepare the discussion for the upcoming OV [**action**].

14. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

15. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

FSR FGw has asked where to find the central study advisors policy. Florence will send an email to Hester to request further information.

FSR FNWI is concerned about the profiling fund as study associations within the faculty did not have space for input during the roundtable discussions. Maximiliano mentions he has spoken to associations at FNWI in which not all were invited given capacity. Maximiliano has also requested further written input for associations that were not invited.
Kacper was forwarded a letter from Njal van Woerden regarding an advice from the COR on a new educational vision. Kacper will forward the letter to the CSR.
FSR FEB requests further input on the OER from FSR FdR and FSR FNWI. Noor states there is also a group chat with all FSR chairs that can be utilized for communication purposes.

16. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

17. Questions

Saskia asks for an update on the profiling fund payments. The DB received the update there is a new system in which processing time can take up to 8 weeks. Hester is continuing to look into the payments.

18. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:58.

Decisions

251001-01	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of co-owning the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.

Action list

251008-01	The O&B will contact the COR for further information on the model OER process and prepare the discussion for the upcoming OV.
250701-02	Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam

W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 251008		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	Catering: Had the annual RvT-Board meeting of De Nieuwe Mensa. The Board is now working on making a business plan for the expansion to Science Park to bring to the CSR for discussion with the CvB (OV action point) DB: We had the AO on Thursday. The OV agenda was set. It was communicated to PPV that we are not being paid and they looked into it, and the person responsible replied that it will take longer under the new system. Working on a new technical chair for the OV. Study spaces: received input that there are problems with double bookings at the UB Vendel Chair: had a meeting with Peter-Paul and the FSR chairs. Discussed their year goals, AI, model OER, student assessors.
	Noor	Sustiainability: introductory meeting with Green Office on 08/10, sent first round of feedback on Green Commitment, will receive revised draft on 16/10
	Pieter-Joost	Lunchbreaks: In the AO we put this on the agenda for the next OV Dutch Courses: In the AO we put this on the agenda for the next OV. They want to wait with measures for when the WIB arrives. Profilingfund: 16 october we have the panel conversation about the profilingfund with all kinds of associations.
	Vaisakh	Organized meetings with Bestuur for *Internationalisation*, *Digitalization/AI*, and *Language Policy* *Gaza Student Scholarship:* Organized first meeting and informed CSR of the initiative, working on next steps with the current team on the project, writing meeting piece for discussing/deciding *Model OER:* reviewing current Model OER and preparing revision/addendum points for OV
	Saskia	- Meeting with File holders centrally on Model-OER - Updating council on state of Model-OER, wrote informing discussing piece along this line - Informing piece of Academic Freedom for the PV - Continuing with Introductions to file holders at central level - Supporting in writing letter from GV

	Dina	Working on Ombudsperson advice; Went to University Diversity Sounding Board, insightful; Reading through ethical framework.
	Maximiliano	UCO: working together with two members on Academic Freedom research, I will connect this back to Saskia! Profiling Fund: Meeting with all student-/study organizations on Thursday October 16th. In the meantime, inviting all the (relevant) stakeholders. The setting up slurps up a lot of time, but it will be of big value.
Delegates	Victor	CSR Spokesperson: arranged mediatraining for Francesco, Alies, Maxi and me on the 27th of november. Organised another meeting with the media office the first week of november to discuss the long form social media posts and how they might assist us. FSR: some issues were raised regarding profilingfund for certain studyassociations, since their boards switch mid year. Put them in contact with Maxi.
	Philipp	No notable updates on my side
	Vincent	- Working with FdR on GOV prep - Gathering further info / questions to bring to CSR
	Kacper	- Meeting with Tetje Timmermans about ITK; - FSR FMG – had an OV this week - Met with a study advisor to gather information about working students - Meeting with ASVA legal office about Mandatory attendances
	Alies	- Meeting with DCO about proposing a new diversity commitment. - Meeting with Angelina about the consent proposal functional impairment that's anticipated in November. - Meeting with UDSB also focussing on mental health. - Meeting with Sangita, introduction, sharing information and discussing the studentwelzijn vision.
	Florence	FSR FGw: We had an OV. Housing: had a meeting with the REC development. They will build a new gym under REC JK. They are working on a new Green Project, near REC E. I have written the email to the CvB about the ICG and the annual indexation fee.

	Yipeng	COBO: i will organize the COBO event, starting the requesting the budget and filtering venues based on size and accessibility. Also preparing for next meeting piece about ACTA students and the associated costs.
Student Assessor	Willem	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 15 October 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Philipp Greiner, Maximiliano Okpala, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vincent Nap, Alies Vercouteren.
Absent	Yipeng Chen
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:03 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 8 October are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Abbey will be present until 18:30.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment

The DB received input that members did not fully feel included in the procedure for the OV. The DB will inform the CSR in advance on the topics that are put on the agenda for the OV. There will be no PV or committee meetings next week due to exam week. Noor has sent a lettucemeet to find a PV time for the second block. The evaluation weekend will take place from the 6th to the 8th of February.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Consent Request Ombudsperson and Trust Person Regulations
The CSR discussed the consent request on the amendments to the regulations in the previous PV and in the OV with the CvB. There are no further comments from the CSR.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of advising positively to the consent request regarding amendments to the confidential advisors and the ombudsperson. In favour: 12 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Noor, Florence, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Philipp, Vincent, Maximiliano, Pieter-Joost) Against: Blanco: *Quorum*: *12* *Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of advising positively to the consent request regarding amendments to the confidential advisors and the ombudsperson.*

9. Letter to the Mayor

The student assessor has sent a request to Francesco to co-sign a letter to the mayor on the safety of students in Amsterdam. The letter requests for more direct communication between the UvA and the municipality of Amsterdam to ensure the safety of students within Amsterdam. The letter requests an open conversation with the Mayor on ways to address safety for students. Francesco would like to sign on behalf of the CSR.

Alies enters 17:12

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the chair signing the proposed letter to the mayor. In favour: 13 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Alies, Noor, Florence, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Philipp, Vincent, Maximiliano, Pieter-Joost) Against: Blanco: *Quorum*: *13* *Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the chair signing the proposed letter to the mayor.*

10. OV Reflection

The CSR attended the first official OV on the 14th of October and reflects on the outcomes.
Francesco would like to ensure that the OV does not end early and would like to make the best of the two hours. Dina recommends finding ways to address topics outside the OV to leave space for more discussion. Vincent recommends ensuring that the timekeeping is taken into account and if one topic is shorter than another, the timekeeper can take this into account and provide space in the next agenda point for a longer discussion. The CSR agrees to focus on flexibility and creating more space for discussions when time allows. Vaisakh reflects he expected more concrete responses on the points on the Gaza Scholarship and the model OER, and found the discussion mainly centred around needing to further investigate. Noor finds there was also a misunderstanding from the CvB on the agenda point Dutch courses. Noor finds the CvB felt the CSR was more demanding rather than looking for more information or to have a discussion. The CSR reflects there was miscommunication on several topics which can be further solved with longer discussions for future OVs. Saskia recommends utilizing the second speaker as a way to keep an eye on the chat with CSR members for questions or points that support the discussion. Vaisakh recommends having a procedure for the chat during the OV to streamline questions and comments and avoid discussing strategy during the OV. Vincent recommends having primary and secondary speakers next to each other during the meeting to consult each other if needed.

Saskia reflects a longer preparation meeting for the OV would have been useful. Saskia further notes she did not appreciate the comment regarding the expectation to learn Dutch prior to attending the UvA. Francesco will share the feedback with the CvB.

11. FSR Updates

The DB would like to receive updates from the delegates once per month during an OV to ensure all members are aware of the work done in the FSR's.
The FSR FMG had an OV last week. The FSR FMG is organizing a townhall in December for the entire faculty and to introduce students to the dean of the FMG. AI and digitalization policy will be discussed. The FSR also had a model OER trainin.
FSR FEB is working on the OER and has been working on ways to have better contact with students. Office hours have been established to invite students to play video games with the FSR members. The FSR FEB has voted not to sign the green commitment as members feel the catering recommendations are worded too strongly. Philipp is also looking into receiving recommendations from the UvA when applying for masters programs at different universities. Currently, the UvA does not provide recommendations unless students are applying for a masters at the UvA and will only provide recommendations for students that have 120 ECs in their second year. Philipp would like the CSR to look into this issue further, which will be taken up by O&B.
The FSR FdR is writing a report on the attendance policy and working on the faculty OER. The FSR is pushing for more clarity on rules and regulations for exams and the model OER on the UvA website. The FSR FdR is creating their own sustainability commitment in collaboration with the Green Office. The FdR is hosting a bookfair and raising awareness on AI and the environment, as well as looking into catering for events at the faculty by De Nieuwe Mensa.
The FSR FdG has finished their year plan and is working on policy related to fewer obligatory lessons. The FdG is also working one ensuring information regarding the rules and regulations at the faculty are uploaded online. The reorganization of the faculty was completed last year but the process for finalizing the changes is ongoing.
The FSR FNWI discussed anonymity and voting, as well as Ties with Israel. The FSR approved three model OER revisions within the faculty for specific programs. In the larger model OER, mandatory attendance has been abolished except for lab work. Some lectures do not adhere to these rules and the FNWI would like to ensure that attendance regulations are followed.
The FSR FGw has been working on the housing file, which members experience as disorganized. There are issues within the budget at the university quarter. BG5 is currently being renovated and the FSR would like to ensure there is a kitchen for students and staff to have warm meals throughout the day. Oudemanhuispoort is currently unfit for classes in which the FSR will further advise on renovations. The FSR is also looking into understanding how the guess correction for exams was implemented, as there was never consent given from the FSR. The FSR would like to advise not to do guest correction. The FSR has advised the board in the faculty to utilize the word "genocide" and be more transparent about what decisions have been made regarding collaborations with Israel.

12. Model OER Amendments

Vincent and Philipp have submitted recommendations for amendments to the model OER. Regarding digitalization and AI, Vaisakh had a meeting with staff in which there has been no development with the digitalization policy at the UvA. The staff first need clarity from the faculties as each faculty has different needs in relation to a central policy on digitalization. The CSR received the recommendation to create a working group to understand what the faculties are already doing and finding out what the comprehensive strategy should be for the central level.

Centrale Studentenraad

Dina would like to have a further conversation on the BSA. BSA is not currently in line with other regulations at the UvA and, in practice, is not always effective. Victor would like to develop a consensus on topics the CSR would like to see reflected in the OER. For instance, mandatory attendance and BSA. Victor would like to discuss large topics separately in the PV and further discuss large amendments for the model OER in the OV. Maximiliano would like to find consensus on the main topics first and adds a lot of party programs already advocate for abolishing the BSA on the national level. O&B will look into data on the effectiveness of the BSA and the current laws regulating BSA [**action**]. Vincent recommends preparing input and setting up working groups to revise the OER in anticipation of the WIB.
Saskia states the CSR should create a document that serves as reference for the O&B to develop main points CSR members would like to see revised in the model OER. O&B will need to further discuss how to incorporate working groups between faculties and on the central level. Vincent will look into what can be changed without a large impact from the WIB on topics such as BSA. Saskia will set up a document for the CSR to provide brainstorming for amendments to the model OER [**action**].
The O&B has discussed the obligations for regularly updating the model OER. The O&B will need to look into precedent for this and whether it is non-binding. Saskia would like to holdoff on the legal advice discussion as it seems there is an openness to work on the model OER with the CSR.

13. 2026 Budget

The CSR has received the advice request from the 2026 draft budget. Advice has been requested rather than consent as the mainlines of the budget have not changed from the Kaderbrief (which was consented on by the GV earlier in the year). There will be a technical meeting on the 24th of October in which members are invited to join. If members have any questions, they should contact O&F. O&F will ask for more information on whether the medezeggenschap had previously only received an advice request on the budget. Pieter-Joost states several responses from the CvB have included that there is ongoing research with more information on when research is finalized. The CSR should keep track of the timeline for the research to ensure they receive the information.

14. CSR CoBo

O&I has discussed the logistics for the CSR CoBo, including location options and traditions. The committee has a preference for TapMarin at Leidseplein. There would be no payment requirement for the space itself. Security is done by the location and closed to people outside the event.
Saskia wonders if the De Pijp location may be better as there is no possibility to rent out the space for the Leidseplein location. In De Pijp you can rent the whole bar.
O&I will look into safety for participants at the CoBo. Vaisakh would like to have a pedal, a guestbook and brassing. Vaisakh would like to assign members of the CSR that are brassable. O&I will also prepare a meeting piece on the traditions and who will participate.
The CoBo is decided for the 13th of November. O&I will send a Save The Date for the CSR CoBo [**action**].

15. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

16. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Victor asks for feedback from CSR members on the GV post.

17. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

18. Questions

There are no further questions.

19. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:48.

Decisions

251015-01	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of advising positively to the consent request regarding amendments to the confidential advisors and the ombudsperson.
251015-02	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the chair signing the proposed letter to the mayor.

Action list

251015-01	O&B will look into data on the effectiveness of the BSA and the current laws regulating BSA.
251015-02	Saskia will set up a document for the CSR to provide brainstorming for amendments to the model OER.
251015-03	O&I will send a Save The Date for the CSR CoBo.
~~**251008-01**~~	~~The O&B will contact the COR for further information on the model OER process and prepare the discussion for the upcoming OV.~~
~~**250701-02**~~	~~Francesco and Florence will write an email to the executive board on the communication issues on ICG and request an overview of changes in the ICG policy.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

	Person	Update
General Members	Francesco	Chair: - had a meeting with Job and Hester for technical chairing Ovs; arranged OV prep - met with Willem (student assessor) - called with Febronia (FSR ACTA Chair) - GV letter sent to the CvB Social safety: started working on ombuds advice, gathering points for it Catering: klankbordgroep eten moved later on in October
	Noor	Sustainability: had a meeting with the Green Office on 8/10. Discussed Green Commitment revision. Had the financial training about the budget.
	Pieter-Joost	Budget; received the budget and response to the kaderbrief. Had a financial training about the budget. Meeting with David-Jan about how the process is going to look for our advice right on this budget. Profilingfund: Last planning meeting for the round table discussion with study/student associations and setting the agenda for this meeting.
	Vaisakh	- Met with Anouk from *internationalisation*
	Saskia	- Working on Ov-Prep for topics Palestinian Student Scholarship Network and Model-OER - Working on drafting an unsolicited advice for a new diversity enquiry in the UvA in which academic freedom is also paid attention to - Attended pizza session for the education vision. Sign up if you can! - Setting up a meeting regarding researcher ethics
	Dina	
	Maximiliano	- Finalising on all the invitees to the profiling fund focusgroup meeting: this is a reoccuring thing, but it takes a lot of time to setup.
Delegates	Victor	- Setting up meeting with social media office early november to discuss social media strategy and propose first BOB cycle post. - In the FSR we approved some OERs. Discussed ties with Israel again.

	Philipp	Working with Vincent on Faculty OER comparison and understanding the legality of some of the proposals. No other major updates.
	Vincent	Working with Philip and FdR FsR on potential OER changes on surveillance during exams. Took over DLO, traded with Kacper. No other major updates.
	Kacper	- Meeting with Tetje Timmermans about ITK; - FSR FMG – had an OV this week - Met with a study advisor to gather information about working students - Meeting with ASVA legal office about Mandatory attendances
	Alies	- Meeting with IDEAS and Angelina about the upcoming instemmingsverzoek beleid functiebeperking - Meeting with Melisa about moral ambition. - Finance training. - Field research for mental welbeing; went to the mentale wasstraat and the nacht van de psychiater.
	Florence	Participated in financial training. Very insightful. Furthermore, I finished writing the email on the ICG changes which I will be sending in the coming days. Moreover, there has been a new round of GALOP, I will add the slides and information to the folder on teams.
	Yipeng	COBO: i will organize the COBO event, filtered all the places and will propose the location to the CSR for approval.
Student Assessor	Willem	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 30 October 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Dina Nikolić, Philipp Greiner, Maximiliano Okpala, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vincent Nap, Alies Vercouteren.
Absent	Yipeng Chen, Vaisakh Karuvath.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 11:05 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 15 October are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Dina will leave a few minutes early for a lecture.

There are 11 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment

The CSR will attend the GV tomorrow in which the budget, the model OER process and Bora's reappointment will be discussed. The GOV will take place next Friday to discuss the 2026 budget. The COR will like to discuss the model OER process as they also have concerns about delaying the process. The DB will meet bi-weekly with Hester in place of the IOs. The first meeting will take place next Thursday.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Ethical Framework Third-Party Collaborations Advice Request
The CSR has received the advice request on the ethical framework for third-party collaborations. Dina has prepared an overview of the progress on the ethical framework for the previous year and points that the current CSR should discuss. The CSR discusses the proposals point by point.

Pieter-Joost joins

CSR student involvement in institutional ethics
Since the advice request in the previous CSR term, a position has been created for a student assessor to join the ACEC. Dina would like to hear whether members would like to advise that the student assessor is a member of the CSR.
Victor would prefer that the student chosen to join ACEC is a CSR member ensure proper representation of the CSR. Dina finds that at the very least, the CSR should be involved in the selection process of the student assessor chosen for the ACEC. Pieter-Joost would prefer the CSR is involved in the selection procedure as being an active member of ACEC may increase the high workload that CSR members already have. The CSR conducts a temperature check in which members agree on advising a CSR member should join the ACEC as the student assessor, and at the very least the CSR should be included in the selection process (BAC).
Dina would also like to hear from the CSR whether the advice should request voting rights for the student member. Victor would like the student assessor position to include voting power to show and reflect the student voice. Maximiliano finds if a student is present in the ACEC, the student should have voting rights. CSR members are in favour of advising on voting rights for the student assessor of ACEC.
In the new framework, the roles have been elaborated and further information is given on what decisions are made on the faculty level. The collaboration and communication happens on the faculty level before or after a proposal is sent but Dina finds the working relationship is unclear. The CSR agrees to advice on requesting a further elaboration for FSR involvement in the ethical framework.

Transparency on the composition of ACEC
Currently, the UvA discloses information on the members of ACEC on the staff webpage, but does not disclose information to students. Dina would like to advise that students are able to access information on the composition of ACEC. On the national level, some universities share the composition of the ethical committee publicly, while other universities require that members login before accessing the information. Kacper finds it is important that students are informed through the student webpage and understands the concerns for doxxing, which can be mitigated by requiring all students and staff to login to access the information. The CSR agrees to advise on publishing the composition of ACEC only for students and staff.

Access of the advices to interested internal parties, Follow-up, ACEC unsolicited advices
There are no points of contention.

Advice on policy framework for third-party collaborations
Saskia would like to emphasize the advice that programme committees are given more support on a decentral level to manage their workload.
There are no points of contention, the proposals will be included in the advice.

Advice on the Ethical Framework
There are no points on contention, the proposals will be included in the advice.

Dina will prepare the advice for the PV next week to vote.

9. House Rules Consent Request

The CSR received the house rules for a renewed consent request. The main points of concern expressed by the previous CSR have been incorporated. Francesco invites members to work on the consent request which will be brought to the PV in the coming weeks.

10. Budget 2026

The CSR has received the advice request on the draft budget for 2026. The O&F committee of the CSR and the COR have discussed the budget to address main concerns. The housing plan has not been sent but should be prepared by the GOV. In the technical meeting, O&F has asked how the housing plan will impact students and education and research. The CSR was informed the plan is to outline how to utilize space as the university with higher prices for space. The CSR would like more information on the choices and explanation for why certain spaces are better than others within the elaboration of the housing plan. The GV would like to ask further information on the how the CvB sees the UvA will and should look in the coming years with limited space and decreasing finances.
Francesco advises members to read through the CvB's reaction on the advice points from the kaderbrief to have an overview of the coming budgetary conversations. The main conversation will be on whether any changes have been made that would require consent, and whether the previous points have properly been incorporated.

11. CoBo Traditions

The O&I committee has prepared an overview of CoBo traditions for CSR members to decide which ones to participate in. Last year, the CSR put stickers on the objects or being that were brassable. Brassing is a tradition in which guests can steal items and people for a reward from the CSR. Vincent recommends the CSR should have a few dedicated objects that members will need to hold on to throughout the night. CSR members are open to being brassable. Members who wear a CSR pin will be communicated as open to being brassable. The CSR will also include information in the email that guests cannot participate in brassing until they have officially spoken to the current CSR board.
The CSR will need to select a pedal as well. Francesco will ask Job to be the padel.
For speeches, Saskia explains that each board invited presents a gift and will try to give a speech for as long as possible. There is also a traditional game in which a guest can provide an open drink to the CSR that members would have to take turns drinking from. Saskia recommends that the CSR only accepts bottles that are closed and that there should be a maximum alcohol percentage. The CSR decides the maximum alcohol percentage should be 15% max.
Florence will be the appointed social safety person. Abbey will send an email to all guests regarding the traditions and agreements made by the CSR members.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

The CSR will send RSVPs for the Green Office, Machiavelli and the FSR FGw Cobo's.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

The Green Office has asked all FSR's to send the sustainability report for Q2.
O&I will prepare a post about the contemplation rooms petition.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

There are no further questions.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 12:25.

Decisions

Action list

251015-01 O&B will look into data on the effectiveness of the BSA and the current laws regulating BSA.

251015-02 Saskia will set up a document for the CSR to provide brainstorming for amendments to the model OER.

~~**251015-03** O&I will send a Save The Date for the CSR CoBo.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad

DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

``

Centrale
Studentenraad

PV CSR Update 251030		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	- Chair: had after-OV call with PPV; set agenda for GV and GOV upcoming weeks with Gerwin: GOV will be entirely dedicated to the budget - DB: New PV times are set, I'll make exemption letters for those who need them - O&F: attended technical meetings about budget with FP&C and COR - Catering: meeting with the focus group for food and drinks on Tuesday, developing the final(?) vision for the tender
	Noor	- Sustainability: receives the revised green commitment last week, will prepare a meeting piece for the next PV - Vice chair: going to plan evaluation weekend with Abbey this week, trust person meetings with council members also this week
	Pieter-Joost	-Budget: Had a technical meeting about the budget. Asked questions about the budget, for financing of the dutch courses they still did not have a answer. Profiling fund: Maxi and I had a meeting with English speaking FGW representatives to get there input and just had a profilingfund committee meeting. They will come this block in the PV to talk about it and ask for our input.
	Vaisakh	- Working on gaza scholarship coordination - Reviewing relevant files for digitalization, educational vision, and internationilisation - Meeting piece to open file gaza scholarship - Met with Ingo (educational vision)
	Saskia	- Working on model-oer and gaza scholarships - Had a technical meeting with Hester and Albert - Had a meetng with Ingo from the education vision - Largely a slow week
	Dina	- Working on the ethical framework; - Brainstoring an event with O&I to reflect on the UB during the exam week; - Had a meeting with ASVA and AU about diversity; - Delegated away contemplation rooms to Victor; - Organizing meeting with svMUSA;

		- Stopped working on prevention of deadnaming with the workgroup – waiting for the technical meeting from PPV;
	Maximiliano	- Pieter-Joost and I had a meeting with the profiling fund committee with was very substantive. We discussed the focusgroup of the week prior, and the timeline for the final 1,5 months until the advice submission to the CvB.
Delegates	Victor	- Took over the contemplation room file from Dina. Still sending messages to Pim Janmaat of social media. We did not have a meeting with our FSR last week, but the week before we talked about electing Trust people in the council, since this has not been established. We also had a big meeting piece were want to thank our dean for signing the letter in favor of cutting the ties
	Philipp	- Conducted research on BSA - Coordinated personal trust person meeting with trust person
	Vincent	
	Kacper	Had a week off 😊 this week I'm doing trust person meetings
	Alies	
	Florence	O&F: went to a technical meeting with the COR and FP&C last Friday. I took extensive notes. Moreover, I have a GALOP meeting this week! I will add the notes on the Teams. FSR FGw: The FSR is on recess currently. The O&F committee is working together with DE REAKSIE to work on pressuring the UvA to create more study spaces as soon as possible. Furthermore, we are preparing for our OV next week.
	Yipeng	Doing COBO organisation + social media posts.
Student Assessor	Willem	

140

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 6 November 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Dina Nikolić, Maximiliano Okpala, Florence Lynch, Kacper Farbisz, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vincent Nap, Vaisakh Karuvath, Alies Vercouteren.
Absent	Yipeng Chen, Philipp Greiner.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

<u>A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.</u>

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 11:08 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 30 October are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Maximiliano will leave the PV early.

There are 10 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment

Tessa has agreed to be the technical chair of the OV as Job is no longer available. The GOV will take place tomorrow from 13:00-15:00 on the 2026 budget. The CvB will present the new housing plan during the GOV. The DB will have the first bi-weekly meeting with Hester on Thursday. The DB will meet next Tuesday for the AO to set the agenda for the OV. The PV will take place at the UB next week and begin 15 minutes later as the DB will meet with the RvT beforehand. Noor will look into planning a dinner before the CoBo for the CSR.

Dina and Kacper enter 11:14

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Ethical Framework Third-Party Collaborations Advice Request

The CSR received a draft advice for the third-party collaborations advice request response. Dina would like feedback from members on any grammatical, tonal or contextual amendments that should be made before voting on the advice.
On page nine, the title will be amended from academic freedom to academic responsibility. Alies asks for an elaboration on the recommendation to ensure clarity between academic freedom and scientific responsibility. Dina finds in the framework that academic freedom and responsibility are often juxtaposed. Dina would like to advise these can exist at the same time without being in juxtaposition.
Saskia asks if there could be a further elaboration on geopolitical contexts to include countries, rather than just conflicts within countries. Dina will add "(e.g. countries)" within the sentence on page four in reference to geopolitical contexts.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the sending the advice on the ethical framework for third-party collaborations to the CvB.
In favour: 11 (Francesco, Florence, Dina, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Vincent, Maximiliano, Alies)
Against:
Blanco: 1 (Pieter-Joost)
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the sending the advice on the ethical framework for third-party collaborations to the CvB.

9. Model OER Consent Request

The CSR is in favour of consenting to the addendum to the model OER. In the consent request response, Saskia would like to add the advice to amend the entire model OER in the next academic year. Pieter-Joost asks if it would be feasible to complete the revision in the next year. Vaisakh states it would be important to at least begin the process even if it is extended to the next academic year to avoid further delays. The file holders will prepare a meeting piece for the next PV to vote on the consent request for the addendum to the model OER.

10. Gaza Scholarship File

The CSR would like to open a file on the Gaza Scholarships to ensure that someone is assigned to the project. Further, the O&B committee would like to create a permanent file to expand the scholarship offerings and create a long-term project. Vaisakh states if the proposal is successful, the project could extend in the future. The CSR would like to build the project into something more sustainable for students around the world to have similar opportunities.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file within O&B committee for the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Florence, Dina, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Vincent, Maximiliano, Alies, Pieter-Joost)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file within O&B committee for the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.

11. UB Focus Group

The CSR has received a proposal on creating a focus group on the new University Library. Dina would like to write an unsolicited advice on the UB during exam weeks given the situation experienced by students during the previous exam week. Dina has heard from other students there was a queue to enter the library throughout the weekend at 08:30. Maximiliano shares students have contacted him to complain about the lack of availability, in which students had to sit on the floor to study. Next week Francesco will meet with the file holder at the UvA on study spaces and will get further data on the usage of the study spaces. Francesco finds the issue is in line with the housing plan. The new library is the biggest investment in recent years from the UvA but currently it seems the space is not enough.
Dina would like to create an event hosted by the CSR to share their experiences at the UB. Dina would then use the feedback to write an unsolicited advice. Saskia recommends creating an event across multiple files as it also concerns housing and education. Dina is open to collaborating with other file holders and will plan a meeting with pizza and snacks to gather input.

12. UvA Canteen Model [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the canteen model at the UvA.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

O&I would like to purchase promotional material for the CoBo. Victor would like to hear whether members would like condoms or lighters. Alies would not like to promote smoking on campus by handing out lighters. The CSR will order pencils and condoms for the CoBo. O&I will look into looking into sweaters, mugs, boxers and socks for CSR merch.
Saskia mentions that members signed up for the pizza sessions for the educational vision but did not show up. Saskia reminds members to inform if they are no longer able to attend to avoid disappointing organizers.
O&I has made a contemplation room post and invites members to look at it for feedback.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Delegates should send their advice on the faculty budgets to the O&F committee.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

The FdR received an increase in student numbers and has discussed allocating extra money in the FdR to all faculties at the UvA.

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 12:03.

Decisions

251106-01	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of the sending the advice on the ethical framework for third-party collaborations to the CvB.
251106-02	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file within O&B committee for the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.

Action list

251015-01 O&B will look into data on the effectiveness of the BSA and the current laws regulating BSA.

251015-02 Saskia will set up a document for the CSR to provide brainstorming for amendments to the model OER.

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën

PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 251106		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	Chair: Got informed by Job that he is now the secretary of FSR FMG so he likely can no longer be the OV technical chair. He also is not available in the next OV, so I reached out to Tessa to come back. Catering: Had the final meeting for the focus group on food and drinks. The vision is developed. I made a meeting piece about it. Attended the last pizza session on Educational Vision.
	Noor	Will plan evaluation weekend with Abbey this Friday No other major updates
	Pieter-Joost	Profilingfund: Had a meeting about creating new substantive criteria. We got a first draft of these new criterea and will finish them with the full committee. Budget: We finished the questions for the GOV together with David-Jan. Dutch courses: received the current spending on dutch courses for the UVA from Peter bosman.
	Vaisakh	Wrote Gaza file opening meeting piece, continued work on Gaza scholarship things Met with Ingo for educational vision discussion + planning next few weeks (specifically, for OV and GV) Organzied myself a bit
	Saskia	Meeting with eudcation vision central file holders Working on consent for model-oer addendum. Following up on Gaza scholarship things. Setting a timeline with oer and education vision (prepping for upcoming official meetings)
	Dina	Finished Ethical Framework (kachow) Starting to work on UB focus group. Arranging meetings with the CDO and svMUSA.
	Maximiliano	I have an UCO-meeting with Alies on the 6th of November. In this meeting we will discuss the inbetween evaluation of the slimmer collegejaar (smarter college year), third-party collaborations framework and the new educational vision of the UvA. Profiling fund: Had a meeting on Tuesday with Pieter-Joost, Claudia Flüggen and Rosa Baggelaar on changing the current content-related critreria on the recognition of board months.

Delegates	Victor	O&I: mailed MUSA about setting up meeting for contemplation room. Emailed another student regarding contemplation rooms. Will have a meeting with the CDO on friday. Meeting with Pim to discuss long form social media videos. FSR: We worked on writing a letter to our dean thanking her for signing the cutting the ties letter.
	Philipp	
	Vincent	- Attended ISO WGA II, attended Internships, Budget and Student Wellbeing - Attending next DLO meeting - Researching Model-OER implications, will discuss concrete findings in next O&B meeting
	Kacper	- Researching working student policy, meeting with student councilors and reading papersss - Working on mandatory attendance at faculty level
	Alies	- Meeting with Sangita on the studentwelbeing policy. - Trust person evaluations. - Two meetings on moral ambition. - Two meetings with assessment committee on innovations within wu and hbo in regards to diversity and inclusion. (Read; studentwelbeing innovations).
	Florence	O&F: I am setting up a meeting together with Hester to discuss the issues with the instellingscollegegeld. It will be technical one. If necessary, I will bring it to the PV in a meeting piece if any actions need to be taken after receiving the information. Furthermore, I am undertaking the House Rules and Contemplation Rooms (from O&I). FSR FGw: preparing the OV for this Thursday (06/11). We are discussing the budget, to which we have preapred answers. Moreover, we are inquiring about the (illegal?) guess correction installed at the faculty. Lastly, we're being kept in the loop regarding the housing file, which is undergoing serious changes.
	Yipeng	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 13 November 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Maximiliano Okpala, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vincent Nap, Vaisakh Karuvath, Dina Nikolić, Kacper Farbisz, Alies Vercouteren.
Absent	Florence Lynch, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 11:30 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 6 November are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
The profiling fund working group will attend a PV at the end of November or early December with updates on the progress and amendments.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
The CSR will receive an outline of the educational vision framework which will be discussed in the OV. The educational strategy, house rules, ethical framework and accessibility policy are also on the agenda for the OV.
A CSR team building is scheduled for November 28th at 19:30.
The CSR will need to look into alternatives to the CREA office.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Binding Study Advice (BSA)
The CSR has received an overview of the policy on the binding study advice at the UvA. Currently it is only required to provide a study advice and universities are able to decide whether the study advice is binding. Most universities that chose to have a binding study advice set the standard of around 40-45ECTS to continue studying. There is currently not a clear indication between performance and the BSA. For courses with a BSA,

there is a 10-15% dropout rate, comparable to courses without a BSA. A positive effect found for BSA is that it does increase graduation rates on time. For students that receive a negative binding study advice, they are performing well below the threshold. For students around the threshold, universities have shown flexibility and review students' performance case-by-case.
In terms of student perception, there is a consensus that the BSA is stressful and loads students with more expectations earlier on in the year. Students have strongly reported that while the BSA is stressful, it does help with pacing their studies to ensure they do not get lost in a new environment. Without the BSA, students are unsure if they would have completed their studies on time. Student well-being within BSA should be tackled to ensure the BSA does not affect the mental well-being of students.
Philipp recommends further investigating with a focus group to identify the statistics of students that transfer whether they finish their studies on time, as the data is not accessible once they transfer from one university to another. Francesco shares FGw conducts their own faculty research on the BSA. The faculty found 98% of students that requested a waiver for the BSA were granted the waiver. Maximiliano adds in the broader university, BSA is a tool but it is not often followed strictly.

9. Dutch Courses Update

The CSR discussed Dutch courses in the previous OV. The file holders received information on the cost of subsidizing INTT Dutch courses for UvA students. Currently the UvA is spending 234,000 euros in subsidizing the INTT courses for half the price of a course. The O&F committee would like to bring up the discussion again in the OV of January.
At FEB, Master's students are offered one course. If the students finish the course, the money is returned for the course. Out of 106 students, 98 finished the course. Pieter-Joost recommends asking to expand this practice to other faculties. Maximiliano adds it would be important for the UvA to differentiate themselves from other universities in their offerings to students by expanding the Dutch course subsidization program. Saskia states expanding the subsidization to all faculties would support the UvA's image nationally. Noor adds it would not increase the costs by a large amount as not all faculties would require a program. Most international students are located in the FEB, FMG and FGw faculties. Francesco recommends looking into what other universities currently offer to compare programs.

10. UB Focus Group

The CSR discussed the proposal for an UB focus group in the previous PV. Dina has included a proposal that the focus group would be around 2 hours. Dina would like a minimum of 5 attendees and a maximum of 20. Dina has included guiding questions and a template for people to register. Dina has requested a budget of 25 euros for snacks and drinks and the focus group would take place in the CSR office.
Pieter-Joost asks how the CSR will ensure there is a diverse group of attendees that will attend the focus group. Saskia recommends asking the UvA communications to advertise the focus group to increase visibility and diversity. Philipp recommends asking students at the UB if they would be interested in attending the focus group session. Philipp recommends offering gift cards for participation as well.
Saskia advises to also create a form for feedback on the UB. Dina shares there is a reporting point for feedback on the UB but is unsure where the data is located. Francesco will meet with the UB file holder and will ask about the received through the central UvA form. Vaisakh would like to put out a CSR feedback form to collect input to include in an unsolicited advice.
Pieter-Joost asks what the goal for the focus group and feedback would be. Dina would like to look into alternatives for study times to ensure it is easier to study at the UB. Dina would like to listen to students outside the medezeggenschap structure as well to see if there are solutions outside of what the CSR is aware of.

The CSR would like to look into flex studying spaces on the weekend, such as in the offices or classrooms. If the CSR comes with concrete proposals, Pieter-Joost finds the CvB would be more willing to work on the solutions. Dina states the current approach also comes from the mediation report as the CSR had previously brought solutions that often felt imposing. The goal is to bring multiple solutions while opening the conversation with the CvB.
Saskia recommends raising the budget to order pizza to increase participation. The O&I will finalize the details and follow-up for the UB focus group.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of organizing the UB focus group.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Vincent, Maximiliano, Alies, Pieter-Joost, Philipp)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of organizing the UB focus group.

11. Model OER Addendum Consent Request

The CSR has no further feedback on the addendum consent request. The CSR will advise to revise the model OER in the coming year. The CSR will fill in any feedback on model OER revisions by Monday for Saskia and Vaisakh to write within the advice.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of consenting to the model OER addendum consent request.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Vincent, Maximiliano, Alies, Pieter-Joost, Philipp)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of opening a file within O&B committee for the Gaza Student Scholarship initiative.

12. CvB Democratization

The CSR has received an email from the Activistenpartij on the candidacy of Carlos van Eck for CvB Chair. The CSR has discussed dean and CvB democratization in previous years. Dina has included previous stances from the CSR on democratization at the UvA. The CSR does not have the right to endorse any candidate as the CSR has representatives in the selection committee. In response to the email received, Dina would like to look into making a statement on democratization at the UvA. Dina has proposed to make a post and to reply to the email from the Activistenpartij.
Pieter-Joost does not agree with the tone of the email. He sees that the CSR has a large influence already on the governance at the UvA. In the letter, Pieter-Joost finds it does not reflect the reality of the CSR's influence at the UvA. Maximiliano believes that it is not the role of the CSR to advertise the current campaign to the student body as this is already done through Folia and other media outlets. Maximiliano would not be comfortable streamlining this through the CSR channels given the party ties it would imply. Vaisakh would not like to make any response public and would be hesitant given he experiences the CvB has been willing to work along with the CSR.

Saskia recommends not discussing the specific candidacy but rather to address the issue of democratization and the push for democratization at the UvA. Victor feels there have been issues in the past regarding pushback on further democratization at the UvA from the CvB. Victor would like to have a statement that they do not endorse anyone but rather that the CSR would like to see more efforts for democratization at the UvA. Pieter-Joost would like to have a discussion on democratization in general but finds the current discussion should not happen in the current context of the proposal for Carlos to join the CvB.
Vaisakh finds that the conversation on democratization within the email is vague given the points from the email address other issues. Vaisakh states the CSR is already working on the process of democratization and has identified democratization as already a priority of the CSR in the year plan. Vaisakh sees it is the responsibility of the CSR to take initiative and does not see why it has to be framed negatively.
Maximiliano finds that the democratization stunt is not a responsible way to go about discussing democratization. There has been work also on a framework for strengthening medezeggenschap which was been fully developed and a lot of work has been done of this. Maximiliano would not like to throw away the work that has been done in this regard.
Dina finds the discussion is regarding the call from students to be more involved in selection procedures. The CSR is involved in the SoC but is unable to discuss the candidate until one has been chosen. Dina would like students to feel they have a voice within the selection procedure. Vincent does not disagree with the sentiment to continue to promote democracy but finds the current way of entertaining the procedure is not the way he sees for the CSR. Vincent would not like to show any appearance of bias and would rather promote further democratization and strides in other ways.
Victor would like to emphasize that actions taken in the past for democratization were often seen as not responsible or serious. Victor sees that advocating for democratization is a way to go about it without endorsing the candidate. The point of the post would be to encourage discussion about democracy at the UvA to make it a lively topic. Victor would like to encourage conversations on democratization through stunts such as what is done by Activistenpartij. Vaisakh fundamentally disagrees with the idea that democracy is not already present and that the CSR lacks democracy.
The CSR will further discuss the democratization of the CvB and finds that members have provided input for how this conversation should be continued.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Pieter-Joost requests all FSR's to send their budget advices.
Saskia asks members to please fill in the model OER input.
O&B has asked all ER chairs to fill in the when2meet.
The UB focus group post will be shared.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

The CSR will have dinner before the CoBo at 18:00.
Vaisakh asks members to keep Friday the 5th of December free.

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 12:52.

Decisions

251113-01	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of organizing the UB focus group.
251113-02	The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of consenting to the model OER addendum consent request.

Action list

~~**251015-01**~~	~~O&B will look into data on the effectiveness of the BSA and the current laws regulating BSA.~~
~~**251015-02**~~	~~Saskia will set up a document for the CSR to provide brainstorming for amendments to the model OER.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad

DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 251113		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	Chair: had the first informal meeting with DB and Hester last week, it was useful and we got significant answers; we have the AO on Tuesday and we're planning the OV agenda. Catering: had a meeting with DNM and other stakeholders to see where the plans for expansions currently are
	Noor	Sustainability: will schedule a meeting with Peter Bosman and Ewout Doorman regarding the plan for the Sustainability Office Vice chair: Meeting with the FSR chairs on Wednesday 12 Nov
	Pieter-Joost	- Budget: Talked about in the GOV. Drafting first advice coming week with DJD.

		- Dutch courses: Received the financial compensation rof the university right now. - Proflilingfund. Received first draft of new criteria to score points on.
	Vaisakh	Gaza Scholarship: prepared with Saskia to present updates to staff members and had a meeting with them, coordinated with Hester to clarify information regarding an Ad hoc case of pre-masters students and push movement there Model OER: beginning research into necessary revisions/addendums in the document, received updates from Hester and discussed OER collaboration with Njal from the COR Educational Vision: coordinating a meeting with E&R chairs to discuss this + other important topics Coordinating with COR and Academic Affairs to discuss relevant topics: Model OER, digitalization, Educational Vision
	Saskia	- Wrote a consent for the addendum to the model-oer and created a document in which the council as a whole can give feedback on the OER as an entire document - Had a meeting with the staff working on the Gaza scholarship and liaised with Hester on students admitted for a pre-masters starting in February - Had a meeting with the file holder centrally for the educational vision to discuss the pizza sessions and prepare for the upcoming GV's and OV's - Also am cordinating an issue brought forward by the FSR FGw regarding language policy and english tests for entering into the UvA
	Dina	- CSR CoBo happened, yay! - Went to the LOF Democratization event on Saturday, that was very useful, learned about hte budget model & fallacies! - Had the University Diversity Souding Board, that was very nice as well, Alies also presented her work on the Student Wellbeing there; - Currently arranging meetings with BASA and UvAIDEAS, the lader specifically to gain some feedback on the new draft of the accessibility policy; - Had a technical meeting on accessibility on Tuesday, was very useful for Alies and I as we'll be working on the advice for the new policy;
	Maximiliano	UCO: Alies and I attended this meeting. Topics that were discussed: Tussenevaluatie Slimmer Collegejaar, Collaborations with third parties and a check-in moment on the Educational Vision. Meeting piece will be brought to the PV of the 20th of November. Profiling fund: received first draft on new criteria.

Delegates	Victor	- Went to the LOF training weekend - Created the contemplation room post and uploaded it to instagram Contemplation room: Have a meeting on friday to discuss progress with MUSA and status of the contemplation room. Working on flyers to promote the petition with Florence. Still in close contact with the FDr CDO.
	Philipp	- Prepared information piece for PV regarding BSA - Sent Pieter Joost the budget advice from FSR FEB - Represented the CSR in high-profile events (CoBos) - Updated FSR on matters relevant to the CSR
	Vincent	- Prepping DLO meeting for 13th - Prepping ISO information for Social Safety meeting - Prepping WIB impact references on Model-OER
	Kacper	-did research on policies for working students at other places and about the ones currently available at the UvA - set a meeting up with the student councilor to discuss financial support for students and other options - researching flex studying, will meet next week with academic affairs
	Alies	- UCO: discussed tussenevaluatie slimmer collegejaar, collaboration with third parties and the upcoming education vision. - StS Inspiration session on student communication. - Working on student viewpoint on how inclusive the mental wellbeing support system is at the UvA for the UDSB.
	Florence	O&F: Of course, we had the GOV and received answers to our questions. Furthermore we received an update on Housing, which will become relevant later. I also had a meeting on contemplation rooms, in which we have decided to revise and restart the campaign for spreading the petition. I also worked on the House Rules over the weekend and am starting to formulate questions for the upcoming OV. I discussed the Annual Indexation Fee with the fDB FGw, in which I received some concerning updates. I am inquiring with them through the FSR to get some clarification. Moreover, I have a technical meeting on the ICG this Wednesday at 16:00 at REC. Please send me your questions if you want anything raised/clarified at that meeting.

	Yipeng	COBO damage inventory and the spokeperson between Tapmarin and CSR... Designing the CSR merch and thinking about what kind of stuffs we need to promote the CSR.
Student Assessor	Willem	

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 4 December 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Maximiliano Okpala, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Florence Lynch, Vincent Nap, Kacper Farbisz, Alies Vercouteren.
Absent	Dina Nikolić, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Willem Volker (*Student assessor 25-26*)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 11:39 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 27 November are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

Kacper enters 11:42

5. Announcements
Vaisakh will leave at 12:15.

There are 13 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
The meeting with MUSA and the HvA has been postponed. The HvA will join the DB meeting next Monday. The AO will take place December 17th for the OV in January. The CSR expects that there will be a discussion on the White Paper in the OV in January. The DB will meet with Hester after the PV today. The last PV of the year will take place on December 11th. Richard and the strategy policy makers are joining at 11:00. The CSR will do Secret Santa during the last PV as well. The GV will take place tomorrow. The GV will vote on approving a new joint program between the UvA and the VU. The voting is open on the 2026 budget advice.

Dina enters 11:46

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Budget Cuts Protests

The CSR discussed the strike and protest that will take place next week. Victor has included voting proposals that the CSR will publicly support and attend the protest and participate in the strike. Pieter-Joost asks who the organizers of the protest are. FNV and WOinactie at the organizers of the strike and the protest.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of publicly supporting and attending the protest against the budget cuts and joining the protest on December 9th.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Dina, Victor, Noor, Kacper, Vaisakh, Saskia, Vincent, Maximiliano, Alies, Philipp, Florence)
Against:
Blanco: 1 (Pieter-Joost)
Quorum: *13*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of publicly supporting and attending the protest against the budget cuts and joining the protest on December 9th.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of striking against the budget cuts on the 9th of December.
In favour: 8 (Francesco, Kacper, Alies, Victor, Noor, Saskia, Dina, Florence)
Against:
Blanco: 5 (Philipp, Vincent, Pieter-Joost, Maximiliano, Vaisakh)
Quorum: *13*
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of striking against the budget cuts on the 9th of December.

9. House Rules

The CSR discussed the house rules in the OV of last week with the CvB. In July 2025, the CSR 24-25 did not give consent to the House Rules. The points have been addressed by the current CSR and will need to be discussed for whether the responses are sufficient for the CSR to give consent to the new draft house rules. The unresolved points for the current discussion include the blanket ban on occupations, the notice period of 48 hours for demonstrations/events and requiring the contact information of the organizer of a demonstration/event.
For the occupation of buildings and grounds, there is still a ban on overnight stays, but the house rules clarify each case will be assessed individually to see whether enforcement actions should be taken. Francesco finds the current formulation implies the overnight stays on campus will be considered on a case-by-case basis, but not for overnight stays in buildings. Francesco is concerned that principle of proportionality would not be applied for overnight stays on outside grounds, but only for within buildings. Francesco has proposed an alternative phrasing that there is a ban on overnight stays but proportionality is enforced in every aspect. Vincent finds the proposed solution is contradictory as there is a ban but it would be judged on a case-by-case basis. Vincent understands the ban on occupations within buildings given the high costs and safety issues that follow from overnight stays. Pieter-Joost adds that the appendix of the house rules states clearly that the proportionality is applied to every protest individually. In the OV, Pieter-Joost also feels that the rules are there but to guide decision-making. Saskia is concerned if the wording is not clear that it may create confusion later on. The CSR in general agrees requesting further clarification is useful to ensure there is no confusion in the interpretation of the house rules.
For identification, Francesco would like to ensure the security guards are informed they must provide a reason for asking someone for their identification document(s). The CSR is in favour of ensuring this is clear in the house rules.

Francesco states he would like further clarification for making a distinction between the required 24 hours of notification for demonstrations set by the municipality and the UvA's decision to request 48 hours. Saskia feels the explanation in the OV was not sufficient and would like to see more concretely why the UvA would need additional time. Saskia mentions other universities also adhere to 24 hours and would like to understand why the UvA would not also follow a 24 hour notice period. Pieter-Joost states he finds the explanation in the OV was clear in that the CvB would need sufficient time to organize security for events that are labelled as high-risk. He mentions the CvB also clarified events notified in less than 48 hours would not be cancelled or prohibited from happening only on the basis of notification. The CSR will ask for clarification on the 48 hours in the advice response.
Francesco would also like further clarification on providing contact information and confirmation events would not be cancelled only on the basis of not having contact information for a demonstration. Alies states she finds it is not harmful to ask for clarification to ensure it is clear. She mentions if there are different interpretations among CSR members, there may be a further lack of clarity among the student population as well. The CSR will also include clarification points on whether medezeggenschap is considered as consulted expertise for decision-making during crises, and what qualifies as a serious disruption that would lead a location change for a certain demonstration.

10. Delegate Updates

The FSR FdR has voted to support the Amnesty Write for Rights event, happening today at CREA. The FSR is organizing an open office moment for students and working on planning a borrel to further work on improving social safety collaborations. The FSR is also working on formulating a stance on AI regulation and is drafting an unsolicited advice. The FSR FdG will discuss AI and third-party collaborations with the Dean. The FSR has create an SR survey on Canvas for feedback and is organizer a dinner date for Master's students. The FSR will be working on the electoral regulations and asking for frames in the bathrooms to advertise FSR events and information.
The FSR FNWI voted to start a dispute with the Dean to include certain changes/provisions in the faculty OER. The FSR also started a task group on AI and voted on signing the democratization petition. The FSR FNWI also joined the educational strike against budget cuts and discussed plans for the follow-up on lunch breaks with the Dean.
The FSR FGw is looking into funding community encouraging events and has been working on the selection of a new dean. The FSR is also finalizing their year plan and signed the petition calling for further democratization at the UvA. The OER cycle is ongoing and the FSR ensured mandatory attendance remains out of the OER. The FSR FGw also sent an advice to cut ties with institutions complicit in apartheid, especially given the recent decision to cut ties with Israeli institutions.
The FSR FMG discussed establishing a Central Diversity Room for identity-based student associations during the recent OV. The FSR has also discussed with the Dean to enforce the academic quarter at the faculty, but this request has not been accepted. The FSR further discussed digitalization and AI and how to tackle related issues in the faculty. The FSR has approved the numerous fixus procedure for the Psychology bachelors program. Outside the OV the FSR has organized a town hall on social safety, given a positive advice to the CSR to abolish/lower the BSA and have opened a file on first generation students. The FSR has also condemned the presence of the border agency Frontex at the campus and supported the student protests against Frontex.
The FSR FEB is working on credits assigned for internships in the faculty and would like to increase the amount of ECTS received for an internship during the first semester of third year bachelors students. The FSR finds this would allow students to focus on their working experience without as much pressure to complete extra course work alongside it. The FSR is also working on collaborations with faculty-related student and study associations to boost student engagement, and are planning a December borrel.

11. CSR Working Agreements

The CSR is informed on the proposal for an amendment on the sustainability guidelines in the CSR working agreements. The proposal is to adapt the sustainability guidelines related to catering for CSR events. Vincent has proposed to advise the sustainability guidelines to include the option to order meat during CSR events.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no further input requests.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

There are no further questions.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 11:53.

Decisions

Action list

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

PV CSR Update 251120		
	Person	**Update**
General Members	Francesco	Chair: meeting with chair of HvA CSR this Friday Study spaces: had meeting with Anne-Francesca Bossaert. Discussed UB crowdedness and sensor relevance
	Noor	
	Pieter-Joost	Budget: Had a meeting with DJD to discuss about the budget and gather questions for the techincal meeting of friday. Profilingfund: Profilingfund meeting today at 15 to finalize the recomendations.
	Vaisakh	
	Saskia	
	Dina	
	Maximiliano	
Delegates	Victor	
	Philipp	
	Vincent	- Attended ISO ondersteuningsavond Studentenpact social safety, coordinated with Alies and Dina about student safety info - Finished Model-OER x WIB research, presented findings to O&B, likely to present meeting piece next week - Organizing FSR Charity event - Researching Model-OER Masters x WIB
	Kacper	
	Alies	- Gave a talk about the ;'Students viewpoint on how inclusive the Mental Wellbeing support system is at UvA?' during the UDSB. - Technical meeting accessibility. Meeting with policy maker and project manager about the policy framework for students with a disability. - Meeting about moral ambition; coalitions with impact and impact strategies.
	Florence	Pieter-Joost and I had a meeting on the Instellingscollegegelden and the Annual Indexation Fee with Peter Bosman (head of FP&C) and

		Hester. The information we received was limited yet clarifying. We were also (big credits to Pieter-Joost) able to communicate to Peter and Hester that the system was unclear and that communication was lacking, particularly for already enrolled non-EEA students. Peter will look into creating some type of formula or table to give students a better overview of what they will be paying. I had a meeting on study spaces with Anne-Francesca from the UB and Francesco (our chair). We were able to make clear that all though the capacity percentages seemed lower on the weekends, they were actually probably higher than throughout the week (esp in regards to exam week). Different problems were discussed, including complains about the security at the UB/front desk. Furthermore, I had a focus group on the catering with HvA/UvA. FGw: Our yearplan is almost done. We are looking into get our Dutch classes paid by the faculty, rather than paying for it ourselves. Moreover, there was confusion with our fDB on whether the OVs were legally binding?! Conclusion: they are legally binding and we will try to communicate this clearly to the fDB.
	Yipeng	
Student Assessor	Willem	

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 11 December 2025

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Noor Hajra, Maximiliano Okpala, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Florence Lynch, Vincent Nap, Kacper Farbisz, Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren, Dina Nikolić.
Absent	
Guest(s)	Richard Goldstein (*CvB FP&C member),* Willem Volker (*Student assessor 25-26*), Roos Eggers (*AcZ*), Susanne van Weelden (*AcZ)*, Sangita Jaghai-Bajulaiye (*profileringsfonds)*, Rosa Baggelaar (*profileringsfonds)*.
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 11:01 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 4 December are postponed.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
There are no announcements..

There are 14 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment
The updates will be shared in written format.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. UvA Strategy
The Strategy team is present to discuss the UvA strategy. The purpose of the strategy document is to ensure the document is inspirational and concise. The UvA would like to ensure it is not a fully comprehensive document. There should be building blocks as a frame that will inspire separate policies. In collecting perspectives outside the UvA, the feedback given is that the UvA is difficult to work with and self-focused. The CvB envisions in four years' time that the UvA will be more open and warm. For the priorities, the UvA would like more information on what in-depth sessions the CSR would like to see.

Kacper enters 11:07

Vincent asks if there are specific causes for the current feedback on the outside perception of the UvA. From 2015 until now, demonstrations have created discussion on how the UvA should evolve. The intention and focus has been directed inward previously, while now the UvA feels more comfortable in discussions to look outwards.

Dina enters 11:10

Richard would like CSR input mainly on the current proposed foundation, for the UvA to be a great place to study and work. In a student survey, Richard shares 50% of international students do not feel at home at the UvA. The CvB would like to make this feeling a priority in the coming years.
Victor mentions in the ambition to provide room for talent, the square meters are decreasing in the office space. Victor asks if there are certain follow-ups to create a more independent university to ensure there is physically room for talent. In the strategy, the UvA would like to aim for city campuses and everything that creates a beating heart around it. Richard clarifies room for talent includes making a career at the UvA attractive to all members of it's community. The UvA is debating whether room for talent should be integrated as a great place to work. Having a nice office or student environment with enough space to work together is an important part of this ambition.
Vai asks if there are certain KPI's that would measure the success of the goals and ambitions of the UvA strategy. In previous years of interaction between the CSR and the CvB, the responses have often been quite formal between the bodies. In Richard's view, the CvB values the questions and insights of the CSR. In four years' time, the CvB would like that the CSR reflects they feel listened to in the responses of the CvB through more openness and warmth provide more warmth and that there is more openness in the response. Pieter-Joost mentions a point to look into would be connecting programs to the labour market. Pieter-Joost recommends further creating the connection to the labour market at a KPI. Other KPI's will be addressed and elaborated further on in the process.
Saskia appreciates the values and ambitions of the strategy. Saskia would like to ensure that there will be a focus in the future on concrete policy that will follow from the strategy. Saskia notes that it would also be important for the UvA not to be afraid to go against the national government to ensure their students and staff feel at home. Richard understands the dilemma of protecting the environment of the UvA as an open and international university. On the other hand, it would be important to identify what the UvA would ask internationals in return. Richard mentions that policies are good to have but he is more keen in the execution of policy. Richard is more concerned on ensuring that policies are implemented in a way that works for the UvA community.
Vincent values the ambitions in the strategy and finds the core values would bring the UvA to achieve the goals. Vincent is missing the warmth in the current proposal. Vincent would like to see more warmth in, for instance, building relationships with other universities. The intent to foster relationships and open the university up would provide more warmth. Vincent feels the current ambitions are not tangible in relation to being a warm and open university.
Saskia finds it is crucial that the UvA should be open to the outside world but remain critical of society and to strike a balance. Saskia mentions it would be important to remain critical while also ensuring students are connected to the labour market.
Francesco shares one concern he has is that opening up should be done in a way that is easy to exist in society. Francesco finds that if there is an opening to funding and rely more on external funding, the UvA can become more vulnerable to societal pressure. Richard recognizes the difficult balance. Currently, the UvA does not get

funds outside of subsidies and tuition fees. If big societal issues are to be solved, the UvA will need to bring society closer. Every funding opportunity should be assessed independently. Pieter-Joost finds it is also important to balance relying on funding from the government, as too much reliance on the government leads to difficulties in standing against the government. Alies adds different sources of income providese more stability and more independence as well. If the UvA is able to diversify funding, the UvA can then also decide not to continue funding streams that are unethical. Dina mentions there would need to be guardrails for private funding to ensure there is academic freedom. Dina finds further down the line, they are concerned it would be more of a risk to increase private funding and donors. Richard recommends including more debate in another session on the funding streams.
Philipp shares with strategic choices, the Dean of FEB and the FSR FEB has spoken that the UvA does not leverage the alumni network at it's maximum potential. By fostering a more connected and engaged way of alumni, more involvement and engagement may foster closer relationships with partners and networks.
Saskia would like more focus on specific districts in Amsterdam as well to increase the UvA's connection to all parts of Amsterdam
There will be three team sessions in January and the strategy team will keep the CSR posted on the process and provide a draft.

Maximiliano enters 12:01

9. Profiling Find – Student Support Funds

The file holders working on the profiling fund are present to discuss the new version of the house rules. Over the past year and a half, a committee has been evaluating the current profiling fund to discuss proposed changes and how the fund works in practice. The basic grant has been restored which has direct impact on the profiling fund. When students have a student delay during their nominal duration, the basic grant for DUO is not sufficient. The profiling fund is there to compensate for the fact that you may be studying for longer than the nominal term of four years. The UvA would now like to link the DUO basic grant and the profiling fund. The profiling fund will be more flexible in terms of board membership. The standard amount for the board grant will stay at 324 and will increase based on inflation. If students do not receive finance through DUO, this would be based on the living alone finance of 324. For students receiving board grants, students living on their own receive 324. Saskia asks if there is leeway on whether students have registration with their parents but live on their own. Due to legality, the fund would not be accessible.

Volker leaves 12:19

Board grant
Officially, and by law, you are only able to receive a board grant as a Dutch and EU student if you receive a performance grant. For more than 10 years, this has not been followed by the UvA in which students have received a board grant even without receiving a performance grant. The UvA has also given a board grant for students in their fifth year. The grant is for 24 months for your entire study duration. It used to be 24 months for a board, a student organization and an advisory board. The idea is to restrict the amount of years a board grant is given to ensure study success for students.

Participation council
In 2024, it was decided there should be an extra allowance for students on a participation council. The advice is to make it attractive for students to participate. The extra allowance will be advised to become a structural part of the regulations. This consolidation was decided to reflect what students contribute to the university. From a financial perspective, given the structural recommendation to increase the costs, last year there was 500,000 euros added to the profiling fund for this adjustment.

Centrale Studentenraad

Recognition of Student Organizations

The policy advisors have spoken directly to student organizations regarding this process. The committee is advising on several changes, firstly the calculation for recognizing a student organization. The calculation model has been simplified and the criteria has been clarified. The criteria now considers the actual time that is spent on board work and the value of the work done. There is more attention for smaller study associations and programs. There is a threshold to have 100 members for recognition. For study associations, this can be 50 as long as 75% of the students within a program are a part of the study association. The study association percentage has been lowered to include more small-scale associations. The threshold is now 30 instead of 50 and 65% of the students in the program should be a member.

Tuition fee waiver

The threshold has been reduced so that more students can apply for a tuition fee waiver, to lower the threshold from 12 to 10 months. More students will be able to apply for a tuition fee waiver, which would increase to around 8 students more, with a financial increase of around 20,000 euros.

Structure of the regulations

The regulations now include further clarification by establishing separate sub regulations for their specific situation. There will be different files on the website but aims to create more clarity for which group the students belong to.

Vaisakh is happy with the improvements for student organizations that reflect the current situatio. The profiling fund is also advised to have an interim financial evaluation to address concerns on tying the DUO basic grant to the profiling fund. The profiling fund clarifies that the 24 months will apply for your entire study duration. The advisors will clarify the number of months for the FSR, CSR and board months. Saskia is concerned about the 24 months for the duration of studies and would like to encourage longevity in medezeggenschap. Saskia states in practice students do not take study delays while participating in medezeggenschap. Saskia would propose a maximum of 24 months for bachelors students and 12 months for masters students. From the study advisor perspective, they often see that students are struggling to work alongside the workload of their studies and medezeggenschap. The CSR will collect further concerns or points of feedback which will be shared with the profiling fund advisors.

10. House Rules

The CvB would like to receive the house rules advice by this week. The CSR will need to adopt the advice in the PV. Based on the discussion from the last PV, Florence and Francesco have adapted the advice. The CSR is asking for more clarification rather than requiring certain changes. In the draft letter, there is a summary of the discussion and further points for clarity. The current proposal is to provide consent if certain points are changed/clarified. Pieter-Joost would like to separate clarification from the consent if response. Francesco would like to ensure the house rules is that the document should be as clear as possible and would like to keep the clarification points within the proposed change. Vaisakh is considered about the timeframe of the house rules as having a policy is more important than not having a current one. Vaisakh sees the CSR should be more involved in checking whether the house rules are properly implemented. Vaisakh sees the current formulation is receptive to the concerns the CSR had and the CSR should take on the responsibility to clarify and communicate to students.

Francesco proposes to include a sentence in which the CSR will provide consent based the CvB's response on the clarification points. Vaisakh would like the advice to be more clear on what the CvB would actually need to adjust to push forward a consent and to ensure it is clear to the CvB. Francesco finds the advice is clear, in which it states the clarification points are necessary for consent as well. The CSR moves to voting.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of sending the response letter to the CvB on the house rules and code of conduct.
In favour: 8 (Francesco, Dina, Vai, Noor, Kacper, Victor, Saskia, Florence)
Against: 3 (Pieter-Joost, Yipeng, Philipp, Maxi)
Blanco: 2 (Vincent, Alies)
Quorum: 14
Decision: The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of sending the response letter to the CvB on the house rules and code of conduct.

11. Moral Ambition Initiative

The CSR has received an informing piece from Alies on a moral ambition initiative. The initiative focuses on connecting the UvA community to increase the support systems and prevent burnouts and high stress loads. Alies invites members to contact her if they are aware of anyone who may be interested in participating in events related to the initiative.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no further input requests.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

There are no further questions.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 13:20.

Decisions

251211-01 The CSR 25-26 votes in favour of sending the response letter to the CvB on the house rules and code of conduct.

Action list

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 8 January 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Florence Lynch, Vincent Nap, Alies Vercouteren, Dina Nikolić.
Absent	Noor Hajra, Maximiliano Okpala, Kacper Farbisz, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 15:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of 4 December is postponed. The minutes from 11 December are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment. The delegates will ensure faculty OV minutes are shared online.

5. Announcements

Alies will leave after agenda point 8.

There are 9 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. See attachment.

The DB attended the AO on the 17th of December. The DB and the CvB discussed the CSR's response to the house rules consent request. The CSR will need to send a letter clarifying the specific points from the CSR. The UvA has approved the budget for 2026. The GV sent a negative advice on the budget for 2026 to address the concerns of the OR FdG. Tessa is unable to chair the OV next week. Noor is looking for another chair for the OV. The O&B committee had a technical meeting on the model-OER yesterday. It is unlikely there will be a full revision of the model OER. The file holders will ensure the faculty model OER's are strengthened on the faculty level.

The O&F committee had a technical meeting on Dutch Courses. All international students will receive an email in a welcome package with information on how to join the online Dutch course. The file holders have asked for better communication, such as adding the offer to the UvA's website. The technical meeting further discussed possibilities for expanding Dutch language elective course offerings in other faculties outside the FGw. There are currently working groups for expanding the Dutch language but due to the WIB, implementation is paused. As a third point, the CSR will ask in the OV regarding subsidizing the INTT and expanding this program.

The CSR received the progress report on the White Paper from 2025.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Studying with a Disability Consent Request
The CSR attended a technical meeting in which several revisions have been agreed upon for the concept version of the framework on Studying with a Disability. The final points of concern from the CSR will go through legal affairs. The CSR will need to provide a response to the consent request by Friday.

Attention to language choices
The CSR previously discussed the need for a clear definition of reasonableness and disability. The adjusted policy will include and rely on definitions from the WHW. Saskia would like to ensure that the definition of reasonableness is apparent throughout the document. In doing so, Saskia would like to ensure students are aware that accountability is held to the institution and that accessibility is not framed as a burden. Saskia finds that current framing gives the impression the burden is on the student not to make unreasonable requests that put a burden on the university, while students have the legal right to request these accommodations. Dina proposes to advise that the language used in the policy document reflects the definition. The CSR will include the point of advice in the consent letter response.

Physical Accessibility
The CSR has requested there to be an explicit stance on physical accessibility. This has been taken into account.

Follow-up
The CSR wanted a revision on the follow-up protocol for the implementation of accommodations. The UvA is unclear how this will be implemented but are further exploring how to evaluate accommodations. Alies would like to advise that there should be an evaluation but let the policy workers further explore what implementation would look like. Dina adds it is important that the students are directly contacted by the UvA rather than through external survey bodies, such as the NSE. Francesco would like to be clear that students should be involved in the annual evaluation as well. The CSR requests for the provisions to be assessed annually and evaluations should be sought out directly by the university to affected students.

Complaints
The CSR has requested a clear overview for where to go with complaints. The point has been sufficiently addressed.

Publishing Basic and Mandatory Provisions
The CSR has requested the list of all mandatory and basic provisions to be posted online. The CvB has agreed to this in the OV but it will not be explicitly stated in the policy. The CSR will include the request as an advice.

Non-Dutch Diagnosis
International students that have diagnoses from outside the Netherlands previously had to receive a diagnosis in the Netherlands to receive certain accommodations. Currently, the policy workers are confirming with legal affairs how to phrase the clause in the framework to ensure students will not be required to receive a local diagnosis. The CSR also advises to ensure there is a clear procedure for requesting accommodations for international students with international diagnoses.

Color-Coding

Alies has recommended colour coding which provisions are formal and informal to differentiate for students. Francesco asks what the difference between a formal and informal provision is. Alies clarifies it is often that some provisions need a diagnosis, requiring a formal approach. Some informal provisions do not require formal processes and are considered informal. Saskia mentions instead of color coding, definitions could be put in different tables. The CSR agrees to advise to list the formal and informal provisions in separate tables to ensure the information is clear for all students.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of giving consent to the Policy Framework for Studying with a Disability. In favour: 4 (Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Philipp) Against: 5 (Francesco, Victor, Dina, Florence, Saskia) Blanco: *Quorum*: *9* *Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of giving consent to the Policy Framework for Studying with a Disability.*

The CSR will send a letter they have not consented. The CSR will request a new version as soon as possible.

Alies leaves 16:22

9. OV Article 24

The OV will take place next Tuesday in which article 24 will be discussed. The CSR and CvB will reflect on policy priorities and the collaboration between the two bodies.

In what went well, the CSR would like to discuss the shared concern and proactiveness on deadnaming. Saskia has appreciated the CvB's willingness to discuss informally and being present for a CSR PV. Philipp appreciates the PV participation and would like to see more of this in the future. Vincent finds that the administration and CvB has been very accessible throughout the year and easy to have discussions with. Victor appreciates the extra analysis on the lunch breaks and specifying certain actions that should be taken in the memo. Francesco highlights that there have been large improvements in the relationship. There was good communication during the budget process as well. The introduction day to the bestuursstaf was appreciated as well. Francesco appreciates further time from Hester in informal moments and her openness to the CSR.

For points for improvement, Saskia would like to raise the issue on the connection between the central and faculty level. The CSR discusses how to address collaboration with the CvB given new changes in composition of the board as well. Saskia would like to reflect on processes, such as the studying with a disability, and reflecting on ensuring processes are not as pressured. Saskia would like to continue to reflect that things the CSR brings should be considered as legitimate, and legitimacy should not be questioned.

Florence would like to reflect on the political delays that cause issues for the UvA, such as the WIB. Vincent would like more time to have in-depth discussions before addressing topics to the CvB. The CvB has made more efforts to further include the CSR, but there are often issues in this process as changes are made during consultations but not in official consent requests. The way of working has changed but the procedure does not reflect the changes. Pieter-Joost mentions the profiling fund process is going well and would be a good example of a new way of working to emulate.

Pieter-Joost states the CSR should also reflect on the need to improve planning for topics, such as with the House Rules. The CSR recognises the importance of striking a balance between providing input and ensuring the CSR is not involved in the policy writing process itself. Victor would like to see more proactive moves from the CvB to ensure council members can attend meetings.

Dina would like to continue working on deadnaming. Pieter-Joost mentions the CSR will continue to work on Dutch Courses, accessibility policy, model OER, third-party collaborations, FSR strengthening, the kaderbrief 2027, and educational vision and strategy.

10. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

11. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no further input requests.

12. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

13. Questions

There are no further questions.

14. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 17:00.

Decisions

Action list

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 15 January 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Noor Hajra, Florence Lynch, Vincent Nap, Alies Vercouteren, Dina Nikolić.
Absent	Maximiliano Okpala, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Alicja Jurach *(student)*, Enzo Barral De Silva *(student)*, Martyna Stankiewicz *(student)*.
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 15:10 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 4 December are postponed. The minutes from 8 January are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Dina will leave after the agenda point on studying with a disability. Saskia will be on vacation Friday and Monday. Francesco will not be as available next week to work on his thesis resit. Vaisakh will be online next week.

There are 11 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment.
The GV on the 30th of January has been postponed until February. The DB has worked on improving planning within the CSR for OV's and PV's.. O&I is organizing a Valentine's borrel with the FSR's. The O&I committee will request a vote later in the meeting on pins for the borrel. Francesco will meet with the other FSR chairs to coordinate the borrel. The borrel will take place on the 13th of February. Francesco presents an overview to the CSR to provide further planning within committees.

Philipp enters 15:19

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Studying with a Disability Consent Request

The CSR voted not to give consent in the previous PV to the Studying with a Disability policy framework. CSR. After the vote, the CSR met with the employees responsible for the policy and shared the feedback from the PV. The CSR has also now received the amended final version, including the points of concern from the CSR. Francesco would like to add to the letter the dissatisfaction with the process for the framework on studying with a disability. Saskia recommends stating the CSR is grateful for the constructive collaboration but would like to acknowledge the missteps that happened in the process. The CSR agrees to acknowledge the process went wrong and include the shared reflection with the CvB that took place during the OV.

Dina leaves 15:37

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of giving consent to the Policy Framework for Studying with a Disability.
In favour: 11 (Francesco, Victor, Florence, Saskia, Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Philipp, Noor, Vaisakh, Kacper)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *11*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of giving consent to the Policy Framework for Studying with a Disability.

9. OV Reflection

The CSR attended the OV on Tuesday in which members discussed the White Paper, Studying with a Disability, Dutch Courses and Article 24. Pieter-Joost would further like to evaluate the CSR's definition of consent to clarify the differences in conditional consent versus consent without conditions. Saskia finds the current process was especially chaotic because the CSR has been promised a draft before the consent request would be sent, which was not received. Saskia states she would be more comfortable with conditional consent if there was more discussion and agreements on the follow-up steps within an OV.
Victor was disappointed that the CvB did not go more in depth on certain topics and mostly discussed the process. Alies liked the collaboration among CSR members on the topics discussed within the OV. Alies reflects the agenda point on studying with a disability felt strange given there was not much discussion. Saskia would prefer to postpone topics that are not well prepared beforehand. Vaisakh finds the discussion on Article 24 went well and finds the policy train was important to identify where problems arise. Vaisakh would like to prioritize the issue of being involved more in working groups. Saskia would like to further discuss with the CvB the prioritization of working group involvement to help assist the consent process.
Francesco finds the CSR can be more proactive in the next months to discuss options with the CvB on expanding Dutch course offerings. Saskia would like to ensure that all CvB members are present for discussions similar to Article 24.

Florence leaves 16:01

10. University Forum

The CSR has received a request to provide input for topics of discussion in the upcoming University Forum. The University Forum happens twice a year and encourages discussion among all bodies of the UvA. The CSR is a member of the agenda committee and can propose ideas for discussion. Previous editions of the University Forum include generative AI, the university, democratic values and the university in times of

political upheaval. Alies recommends mental health as a topic, such as self-reliance. Philipp mentions the CSR and COR have previously discussed what the UvA's role is in a cultural context and proposes discussing the role of the university in society across the UvA. Victor recommends the working environment of the staff and students at the UvA. Regarding social safety, Alies recommends proposing boundary setting in a collaboration way. Vincent recommends the WIB and Dutch and International students within the UvA. Saskia mentions the proposed strategy for rooting the UvA in the community of Amsterdam and how the UvA contributes or engages with the city of Amsterdam. Kacper recommends combining the role of the university in societal and cultural spheres and the UvA rooted in Amsterdam. Kacper mentions regarding this, a discussion on how knowledge is produced and how that knowledge making is perceived is interesting.
Saskia asks if the University Forum takes place in Dutch. The Forum takes place in Dutch but a translation is present. Within the breakout groups, English is spoken. Victor will create a poll for members to vote on the top three suggestions to send based on the above proposals.

11. CSR Merch

The CSR has received designs for CSR merch. The CSR is in favour of the designs but would like the logo to be smaller and the items to be black instead of red.
The CSR has also received suggestions for CSR pins for the Valentine's borrel. 500 pins would cost around 555 euros. The design for the pins is currently the Progress Pride Flag. Pieter-Joost asks for clarification on the design, and why the CSR would not use the CSR logo. Alies explains she would like to ensure that all students feel included on Valentine's Day and to ensure that the pin is as inclusive as possible for students. The CSR can also reuse the pins and hand out the pins to other organizations which would also increase the reach of the pins. Alies states the pins could be used during Pride or given to other UvA organizations to use. The CSR agrees to the design of the pins.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the expenditure of 555 euros for Valentine's borrel heart pins.
In favour: 10 (Francesco, Victor, Florence, Saskia, Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Philipp, Noor, Vaisakh, Kacper)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *10*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the expenditure of 555 euros for Valentine's borrel heart pins.

Victor clarifies the price of 555 euros does not include VAT. The CSR agrees to vote again based on the inclusion of the VAT pricing.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the expenditure of 672 euros for Valentine's borrel heart pins.
In favour: 10 (Francesco, Victor, Florence, Saskia, Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Philipp, Noor, Vaisakh, Kacper)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *10*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the expenditure of 672 euros for Valentine's borrel heart pins.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

The FSR FEB would like further information on the financial commitment from the FSR's. The Chairs will meet next week. The FSR FdR would like more information on a roundtable on AI as students had not been included in those roundtables. Vincent asks if other CSR members have more information. Vaisakh mentions the COR has been involved in discussions on AI but there have been no meetings with students yet. Vaisakh will reach out about the AI roundtables [**action**].
Noor would like to organize drinks for Maxi's goodbye. Noor would like to organize a social activity for January 30th.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

Saskia asks when PV times will be set for next period. The PV times will be set next week.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 16:45.

Decisions

260115-01	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of giving consent to the Policy Framework for Studying with a Disability.
260115-02	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of the expenditure of 672 euros for Valentine's borrel heart pins.

Action list

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 22 January 2026

Present	Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Dina Nikolić.
Absent	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Victor Nieboer, Maximiliano Okpala, Philipp Greiner, Alies Vercouteren, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Noor opens the meeting at 15:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed. Abbey will ask Hester about the AO scheduled for the 2nd of February

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of 4 December are postponed. The minutes from 15 January are adopted.
Kacper, Florence and Philipp will send Abbey their FSR updates from the 4th of December [**action**].

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Dina will leave the CSR next week and Wiktor will join. Abbey will follow-up with the CSB to inform them of the changes in the composition of the CSR [**action**]. Philipp will join the meeting at 16:00.

Florence mandates Saskia
Alies mandates Noor
There are 9 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment.
The DB is looking into a technical chair for the OV's for March and April as Tessa is not available. The DB has asked previous CSR members if they are interested. O&I is working on organizing the Valentine's Borrel with the FSR's at REC.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. Kaderbrief 2027

The financial team has asked for input for the kaderbrief 2027 before the 13th of February. The O&F committee will look at the housing plan and would like to collect new points for the kaderbrief from other committees. There will be a technical meeting on Friday where points of concern can already be shared. The O&F committee will bring forward the Dutch language courses, study spaces and the kitchen in the university quarter (in the housing plan) and sustainability. Dina and Saskia attended a meeting on the (physical) accessibility of the UB and would like to ensure there is space in the budget to make improvements where necessary. Saskia recommends paying close attention to the differences between education and research funding overall in the kaderbrief. Saskia recommends to ensure the spending on the UvA AI model is proportional to the usage. The O&F will bring the above mentioned points to the technical meeting on Friday.

9. CvB Chair [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the CvB Chair.

10. Evaluation Weekend

The CSR will attend the evaluation weekend on February 5th. Noor has proposed a training and would like to vote on the budget. The training budget request is 1274 euros for 3,5 hours. The training will be on Saturday from 13:30-17:00 and will be a workshop on team building.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of 1274 euros for the training during the evaluation weekend.
In favour: 9 (Florence, Saskia, Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Noor, Vaisakh, Kacper, Dina)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: *9*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of 1274 euros for the training during the evaluation weekend.

Noor would like feedback on activities for the evaluation weekend. The CSR proposes a presentation night on Sunday night. Noor will share a form to prepare logistics for the CSR. The CSR will evaluate their policy plan and work plans on Sunday. Noor will prepare a chore list for the weekend as well.

11. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

12. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no input requests.

13. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

14. Questions

There are no further questions.

15. Closing the meeting

Noor closes the meeting at 15:48.

Decisions

260122-01 The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of 1274 euros for the training during the evaluation weekend.

Action list

260122-01 Kacper, Florence and Philipp will send Abbey their FSR updates from the 4th of December.
260122-02 Abbey will follow-up with the CSB to inform them of the changes in the composition of the CSR.

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad

``

Centrale Studentenraad

DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 9 February 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Alies Vercouteren, Yipeng Chen, Philipp Greiner.
Absent	Dina Nikolić, Victor Nieboer.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:01 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of 4 December are postponed. The minutes of the 22nd of January are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment. If Kacper and Philipp do not send their updates, they will owe the CSR baked cookies.

5. Announcements

There are no announcements.

There are 9 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. See attachment.
The CSR is invited to attend a sustainability meeting with Richard Goldstein and Ewout Doorman, as well as the Green Office. The Heisessie will take place next week on the Education Vision. Vaisakh and Saskia are supporting in preparing the agenda. The CSR has discussed the evaluation of the CSR over the weekend and will add the agenda point to the PV.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set. Evaluation Weekend is added to the agenda. Pieter-Joost would like to move the CvB Chair follow-up to the first agenda point.

8. CvB Chair Follow-up [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the follow-up from the CvB chair appointment procedure.

Centrale Studentenraad

Kacper enters 17:10
Phillip joins 17:17
Yipeng enters 17:32

9. Student Member for the Recognition of Student Organizations

The CSR has received a request to appoint a member for the recognition of student organizations. The student member cannot currently be a part of the CSR or on a study/student association board. The member will sit on a committee with a Dean and a jurist to decide whether associations receive recognition and therefore able to access the profiling fund. The member will need to be Dutch speaking. Pieter-Joost recommends posting the request on Instagram. Pieter-Joost proposes to open applications for a few weeks and review in March. Kacper asks if a link should be opened. Last year the CSR requested CVs. O&I will make a post about the position [**action**]. CSR members should look for applicants that may fit the description. The deadline for applications should be the 28th of February. O&F will discuss the applications and prepare the applicants for the PV. Interested students should send their CV's to the CSR email.

10. Student Support Chain Input

The mental well-being team would like input from the CSR on the initiative for the student support chain. Alies would like to hear concrete examples from members for what makes them feel at home at the UvA. Sebastiaan shares he feels at home as the UvA is in the city he grew up in. Pieter-Joost feels at home by having his friends at the UvA and living on his own for the first time. Pieter-Joost finds that familiarity of the campus also creates that feeling. Vincent shares student initiatives make him feel at home, such as study and student associations (those related to your field of study). For Vincent, this brings social cohesion. Yipeng feels at home in his own building of ACTA. Kacper feels at home at the UvA due to his friends and the familiarity of the buildings, including finding your favourite study spots. Kacper also finds that his study advisor makes him feel at home given the support he has received over the years. Noor feels at home due to the communities, people and student/study associations. Noor would appreciate further efforts to make student support services, such as study advisors and student psychologists, more accessible. Saskia finds that she would not use the verbiage of at home but she appreciates the people in her program and friends she has made. Vaisakh feels at home due to the people in his program and the study association in his program. Francesco feels at home in his active community at the UvA and social engagement of the students. Francesco finds there is a good relationship between students and teachers in his program, which he enjoys. Francesco also likes the locations of the campus, particularly the University Quarter. Florence shares she feels at home in her community and program but mostly that she knows all the security people in every single building in the University Quarter. Florence feels she is truly a part of the building now that she is friends with facility services. Alies feels at home with her friends and extracurriculars where you can meet people.
The UvA would also like feedback on what care ambition means for students; not what the UvA is required to offer but what students would like the UvA to offer. Sebastiaan states he feels the UvA does not have to provide anything beyond education and research. Pieter-Joost agrees but finds that the UvA should offer a system for international students to help them navigate the administration at the UvA. Vincent would like places to sleep on campus and to provide more jobs for students within the university. Yipeng finds the main focus should be on education and research, but also agrees more job opportunities within the UvA would be useful. Kacper would like more space for communities to hang out. Kacper would like more spaces to stay and talk with fellow classmates beyond study areas. Noor agrees it would help to have a central point for international students to guide them but not to hold their hand. Saskia finds that there are dimensions that the UvA can look into to ensure education is equitable and accessible, such as providing care and support in education. Vaisakh finds at the minimum level the UvA should focus on education and research but in an

accessible and safe way. Vaisakh would like that the UvA could be flexible to support student problems and helping provide a pathway to solve issues, rather than solve issues directly for students. Florence shares it has been scientifically proven that a university that provides spaces for communities and cares for students increases the studying success of students. Florence finds that if the UvA focuses on taking care of the community of students and teachers and ensuring there are resources for people, the community would also give more back to education at the UvA. Florence mentions specifically mental health services, expanding contemplation rooms and places to encourage studying.
Alies will share the input with the UvA mental health team.

11. Informational Campaign Municipal Elections

The municipal elections in Amsterdam will be happening in March. Florence has submitted a proposal for the CSR to discuss how to promote the elections and ability to vote to international students. Florence shares international students are often unaware of their right to vote in municipal elections and she would like the CSR to be involved in providing information to students. Florence would like to work together with O&I to promote municipal elections to international students. Yipeng mentions he can provide contact information from the VU to promote the elections at the VU as well. Alies recommends the CSR should contact the VU to ask for input on ideas for promotion. After there is promotional material, Florence can reach out to O&I and share further information.

Philipp leaves 18:02

12. Evaluation of the CSR

The CSR attended the evaluation weekend from the 5th to the 7th of February. The CSR had a training and participated in an evaluation session. Members at the weekend discussed the labour distribution in the CSR in which some members do not contribute equally which creates a higher workload for other CSR members. Francesco reminds members that they are expected to attend all official meetings of the CSR, as well as maintaining their file work. The committee chairs should be more strict in ensuring members are equally participating. The DB will also enforce the working agreements more in the second half of the term, including monitoring committee participation.
The CSR also discussed increasing evaluation moments within the council outside of the evaluation weekend. Francesco finds that communication is important for when members are unable to take on a certain amount of work or are uncertain about how to progress within their files. The CSR will further evaluate within the committees and the DB. Francesco emphasizes the work of the delegates is also important to ensure the bridge between the FSR and CSR is present.
Members share their evaluations in a round. Vaisakh express frustration with the party politics at play in the council but is generally positive about the work being done in the council. Saskia shares she feels unsupported in her work. Noor shares similar feelings to Vaisakh and sees there is a disconnect between committees and the rest of the council. Noor finds it important for members to communicate what they are able to do. Kacper shares he needs to continue to prioritize as he has mostly been working in the FSR. Kacper feels his files are quite vague but would like to continue working more in the CSR. Yipeng sees that he would like to put more effort into the CSR but finds it difficult to work within three councils due to ACTA's position. Yipeng will work on prioritizing the CSR more. Vincent has had a positive experience and enjoys his file work. Vincent shares there was a bit of friction on his position as delegate within the faculty in which he will bring forward more points from the FSR FdR. Pieter-Joost shares he has had a positive experience with his committee. He hopes to have more discussions in the PV to move forward on topics the CSR can work on together. Sebastiaan is still awaiting a transfer from Maximiliano to take over his files and will work on getting accustomed to the CSR.

Florence shares she really enjoys the work she is doing in the CSR and as a delegate. She sometimes feels overwhelmed but will continue to work on priority setting. Florence shares she feels strange there is not an equal distribution of labour but finds her work enjoyable to also put in more hours. Alies shares she enjoys being a part of the council but feels overwhelmed in the amount of work she takes on. In the next semester Alies wants to work more in her files in the CSR and FSR. In the beginning it was not always clear to Alies what was expected but this has been a positive development as there is more clarity and communication. Francesco shares it will be important to remain active in the second half of the term as the work will increase in the coming months.

Francesco shares the overview presented by the CvB for the coming months. On strategy, an extra GOV has been scheduled for May. For privacy, the file holder at the UvA would like to add student privacy on the agenda of the CSR and CvB. Pieter-Joost will follow-up on the privacy policy request. The CSR will receive a consent request for the Student Support Fund policy in March. For the educational vision, the CSR will discuss the vision in the heisessie next week and the March OV. The model OER discussion has been paused as there is currently no capacity to continue further discussion on the implementation and work of the model OER on the faculty level.

Priorities for the remaining semester include: digitalization and AI, finalizing the educational vision, strengthening the FSR medezeggenschap, Dutch language courses, working students, faculty cooperation (on the OER), accessible ACTA participation, UCO participation, student representation (increasing voter turnout), the kaderbrief (and housing plan), the student support fund, the privacy policy, ICG, university quarter policy, freedom of demonstration of the university (including police off campus), study spaces, catering, and the house rules.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no input requests.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

There are no further questions.

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:50.

Decisions

Action list

260122-01 Kacper and Philipp will send Abbey their FSR updates from the 4th of December.

~~**260122-02** Abbey will follow-up with the CSB to inform them of the changes in the composition of the CSR.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)

``

PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 16 February 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Dina Nikolić.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of 4 December are postponed. The minutes of the 9th of February are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

There are no announcements.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. See attachment.

The CSR will attend the heiavond with the CvB on the educational vision tomorrow evening. The program for the session has been finalized in collaboration with Vaisakh and Saskia. A technical meeting on sustainability will take place on Thursday. The DB conducted an evaluation during the last meeting to discuss feedback and implement the working agreements further.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. CSR Budget 2026-27

The CSR has received an overview of the proposed budget for 2026. Vincent shares the proposed allocation of the budget per category.

Yipeng enters 17:16

The CSR has received a 1000 euros for the current year as an adjustment for inflation. Vincent has reallocated the 1000 euros for internal costs. Vincent has also increased the training budget for the incoming CSR from last year's budget allocation. Saskia would like an overview of what has been spent currently and what is left. Vincent prepares the statements every three months and can provide an overview in the coming months.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of adopting the budget for the year of 2026.
In favour: 13 (Francesco, Philipp, Florence, Saskia, Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Noor, Vaisakh, Kacper, Yipeng, Sebastiaan, Victor)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 13
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of adopting the budget for the year of 2026.

9. CSR Merch

The CSR has received a proposal for the CSR promotional merch. Yipeng has included an overview of the designs and items.

Vincent leaves 17:24

Saskia recommends that the quantity of the merch could be reallocated, for instance as the current order for water bottles is only a quantity of 25, while the money could be reallocated to other merch items. Yipeng states the minimum order is 25 for each product, but some items are meant for personal use rather than promotional material to be handed out to students. Yipeng shares other companies are lower quality and more expensive. Philipp would be in favour of a few extra items, such as the thermal bottles and battery packs. Alies would like to finalize the discussion on merch to order materials as it is already far along in the year. Saskia clarifies in previous discussions it was unclear what was promotional and what was for personal use. The CSR agrees to vote on the promotional and merch items.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of funding the promotional products for 1437,10 euros.
In favour: 12 (Florence, Saskia, Pieter-Joost, Alies, Vincent, Noor, Vaisakh, Kacper, Dina)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 12
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of funding the promotional products for 1437,10 euros.

10. FSR Updates

The FSR FNWI is working on AI and discussing relevant developments with the program committees. The FSR is also working on the climate rules and the sustainability white paper. The FSR would like updates on whether the faculty is in line with the goal that 30% of Science Park is fossil free. The FSR is working on affordability issues. For example, biomedical sciences require students to receive a vaccine in their first year for 30 euros, partially subsidized by the UvA. The FSR would like to look into ways to make it free for students, given it is a requirement for students to receive the vaccines.

The FSR FEB has been working on credits given to students for internships. The current amount of credits for internships are often low and require students to compensate with multiple courses while participating in internships. The FSR also has been working on creating a strong link between student organizations. The FSR is discussing how to make interactions between student and study associations with the faculty more smooth. The FSR FdG has worked on the faculty OER in which most advices have been implemented. There have been discussions about the remaining money, around 150,000 euros, after renovations at the hospital. The FSR is discussing the options for where the remaining budget should be allocated. Florence asks regarding the 150,000 euros, what options the faculty is exploring. Alies clarifies the FSR has consent rights on the decision. Most renovations have been completed, such as class locations, but the FSR may want to further renovate other parts of the campus. The money does have to be spent in the current annual budget. The FSR is also discussing the election regulations. Currently one program is underrepresented within the faculty, which the FSR would like to ensure equal representation. The FSR will also ask for information on the VSP participation in the upcoming student elections. Alies adds the Dean of medicine is the only dean that has not signed the agreement not to collaborate with Israel. The hospital currently receives eyes from Israel and the collaborations are further complicated given UMC is a collaboration between UvA and VU. The issue is being discussed in Legal Affairs.
The FSR ACTA has been working on the selection process. In the previous year, ACTA switched to a lottery procedure. There are around 4000 prospective students but only 60-80 spots in the program. After the first semester, the performance of students is often low in which the average rate is 4,7. The practical exams often only see 20% passes and these are required to continue into the second year. Dentistry is one of the most expensive studies as well. There is a lot of discussion in the FSR about the selection procedure. The FSR is also working on the supplies that are required for students to purchase on their own to practice (these are not provided by the UvA). The FSR is working to ensure the UvA provides supplies but the current financial situation of ACTA is not positive. ACTA is working on quality funds, the upcoming elections and the faculty OER.
The FSR FMG has had a change in the daily board and has elected a new vice-chair. The FSR will look for a council assistant in the coming months. The FSR is working on the faculty OER and discussing advices with the program committees. The FSR brought student jobs to the faculty OV in which the dean agreed to set up a working group on increasing student job options. The FSR will meet with the education board of the faculty to discuss certain rules that are often too strict, such as 100% mandatory attendance. The FSR would like to have more options for lecture recordings as well. The FSR has approved the procedure for numerous fixus for political science, the new psychology professor, and are working on an advice to give on the faculty strategy plan. The Dean has asked for the FSR's input on militarization in which the FSR has expressed concerns. The FSR will have another town hall on student stress and overworking. The FSR will send an unsolicited advice on diversity and networking office to connect identity based study associations in a shared office space. The FSR has also sent out a statement to support the abstention on the appointment of the CvB chair.
The FSR FGw is organizing a meet and greet with the new Dean and will have a town hall on future visions of the faculty of humanities. The FSR will send out an unsolicited advice, which will also be sent to other FSR's, to advocate for the study library at Roeterseiland to stay open longer on the weekends. The FSR would like to have further support from other FSR's to increase study spaces. The FSR is working on contemplation rooms as there are only two in the University Quarter. The FSR is forming a stance against militarization and will demand all ties are sent through medezeggenschap to be approved. The FSR is also looking into why there are no OER's for minor programs. Philipp asks what is meant by militarization. Florence clarifies the FSR is concerned about increasing collaborations in research with militarization, particularly regarding academic freedom. The FSR would like to ensure there is room for critical and independent thinking, particularly in regards to the government.

11. CvB Appointment Procedure [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the CvB appointment procedure.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Vaisakh asks delegates to share concrete information on what is being discussed related to model OER. Saskia asks for more information from the FSR's on where policy is being blocked for the model OER on the faculty level.

Pieter-Joost mentions if faculties would like more space for Dutch course elective space, faculty councils will need to work on the topic further.

Yipeng asks for clarity on the budget for elections for the FSR ACTA due to budget cuts.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

There are no further questions.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:01.

Decisions

260216-01	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of adopting the budget for the year of 2026.
250216-02	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of funding the promotional products for 1437,10 euros.

Action list

260122-01	Philipp will send Abbey updates from the FSR from the 4th of December.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor

UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 23 February 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Victor Nieboer, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Dina Nikolić.
Guest(s)	Wiktor Misiak
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

<u>A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.</u>

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:05 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of 4 December are adopted. The minutes of the 16th of February are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

Saskia will leave at 16:45

Dina mandates Kacper
There are 13 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. See attachment.
The O&B committee discussed the educational vision and student profiles within the vision. The committee has begun the discussion on new programs and program name changes. There is currently a lack of information on the topic in which the O&B will organize a meeting with policy holders at the UvA to gather more information. The O&F committee is working on the kaderbrief, study spaces and sustainability. There was a meeting last week on sustainability to expand the discussion from the OV in January. The O&I committee is working on the upcoming elections. Wiktor has joined the O&I committee to replace Dina and worked on the elections last year in the CSR. Wiktor is continuing the work on disability and inclusivity files Dina was working on. The O&I will look into a panel discussion on the moral ambition initiative.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. CvB Selection Procedure Statement [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the CvB selection procedure statement.

Philipp joins 17:11

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of putting out a statement regarding the CvB appointment procedure. In favour: 6 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Florence, Saskia, Dina) Against: 7 (Vaisakh, Sebastiaan, Pieter-Joost, Philipp, Yipeng, Vincent, Alies) Blanco: 1 (Noor) *Quorum*: 14 *Decision: The vote does not pass.*

9. Kaderbrief 2027

The GV is preparing input for the kaderbrief 2027 process. Pieter-Joost would like to collect points from the CSR on what members would like to address. The GV has consent on the mainlines of the budget and kaderbrief, which concern budget lines over 10 million euros. The GV received information that there is uncertainty in the kaderbrief this year due to the new coalition agreement and when funding will be received. The O&F committee has discussed four main points for the upcoming cycle; subsidizing Dutch language courses, the allocation model between research and education, the housing plan (such as a kitchen in the university quarter), and sufficient study spaces.
Saskia would like to emphasize accessible study spaces and embed this into the housing plan. Saskia would like to address the systemic lack of accessibility spaces at the UvA through ensuring that a funding line is provided. Alies finds the issue is within implementation rather than financial or policy failures. Francesco recommends concretely requesting an evaluation of the physical accessibility of the University Library. Alies clarifies an evaluation of accessibility accommodations is included as a follow-up from the accessibility policy. Pieter-Joost finds it may be important to get in contact with the right people at the UvA to ensure implementation is followed and evaluated, as it may not be a financial issue. Saskia clarifies she would like to ensure there is budget not just to identify issues but to structurally replace furniture and spaces that are not accessible. The O&F committee will share the points with the COR and discuss what will become main points for the GV.

10. Study Spaces

Florence and Francesco have met to discuss the problems and concerns within the current allocation of study spaces. There are study spaces available for all students but this includes campuses that are not often used by students, such as Science Park. Florence and Francesco have identified particular patterns for when study spaces are limited. This is particularly the case for spaces during exam periods on the weekends. In the past, the UvA would extend hours and open other spaces for students to study outside the University Library, such as the REC H library. Within the allocation model, money is allocated per unit in which the Library receives a certain amount of funding to operate on its' own campuses. The Library can only afford to open for certain hours and within certain spaces. During COVID, there was more funding available to accommodate for opening more spaces in different buildings. PCH and Singel would both be open during weekends and exam periods. The COVID funding is no longer available and the University Library spaces have been consolidated.. Francesco would like to bring the discussion to the CvB to identify where more spaces could be opened and from what funding lines. The estimate Florence and Francesco received would be around 6000 euros per day to open a separate building. Florence has identified a checklist for what is needed to bring the proposal forward. This includes receiving confirmation from the FGw Board that the opening of the building is necessary and receiving a commitment from the CvB that central would help with the costs of the proposal. Vaisakh finds it is not unreasonable to ask given the issue is apparent and agrees with the elaborated plan. Victor will share issues with the accessibility of Science Park to provide further argumentation for providing more spaces at the University Quarter.

11. ScienceGuide

The CSR has received an email from students to sign a petition request the UvA reinstates the subscription for ScienceGuide. As of January 1st, the UvA has ended their subscription to ScienceGuide, a research based journal for Netherlands universities. The UvA's decision is outlined in the petition that identifies budget cuts as a reason for ending the subscription, as well as stating a joint decision was made by UNL to avoid the appearance of paid journalism by universities. When ScienceGuide contacted UNL for further explanation, they found there was no national UNL position on this. Vaisakh would like to request further information on what happened internally at the UvA and to understand the previous CSR's discussion from two years ago also requesting the UvA to continue funding ScienceGuide. Before the CSR can take a stance, Vaisakh would like more information on the context and the concerns from other universities on the journalistic integrity of ScienceGuide. Saskia would be in favour of signing the petition and discussing the topic informally with the CvB. Vaisakh will send an email to Hester asking for clarification on the decision and if there were considerations for informing the UvA community of this decision. Saskia would like to ensure the CSR has a larger discussion on signing the petition considering it is a student initiative that the CSR was directly contacted about. Vaisakh will email Hester about the cancellation of ScienceGuide [**action**].

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Saskia asks for FSR education chairs to fill in the when2meet.
Saskia mentions if members are unable to attend the heisessie to inform in advance as the policy team found attendance disappointing.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated. Pieter-Joost recommends bringing an evaluation to the DB on the process for the first half of the PV. Saskia reflects the process would have gone better if points for amendment on the statement were sent to Francesco in advance. Florence feels the process has been undemocratic in which a statement was voted on but then retracted based on messages within a chat.

15. Questions

Alies asks if a member of the CSR could take up the AIEC file. The DB will look into who can take up the file.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:48.

Decisions

Action list

260223-01 Vaisakh will email Hester about the cancellation of ScienceGuide.

~~**260122-01** Philipp will send Abbey updates from the FSR from the 4th of December.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek

O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 2 March 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Victor Nieboer, Wiktor Misiak, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:03 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of the 23rd of February are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Saskia will leave at 18:40.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment.
The O&F committee attended technical meetings last week on the housing plan and on the sustainability goals. The privacy report will be sent to the CSR soon. The O&B committee has discussed program committees and the relationship with the OER. The O&B will bring the discussion to the PV in the coming weeks, as well as the request for consent on program name changes. The committee also discussed updates on the digitalization policy. O&I had a committee evaluation last week. The committee discussed the moral ambition panel discussion and file division. O&I informs the PV social media will be done by the DB and the timeline for elections has been shared. There will be a strategy meeting this week on the educational vision. The CSR has welcomed Wiktor into the CSR and the new Advisor on strengthening Medezeggenschap has started this week.

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

Kacper enters 17:10

8. Education Vision Student Profiles

The CSR has received an overview of the draft student profiles within the education vision. As not all CSR members were present for the heisessie in February, Vaisakh would like to receive further feedback on the profiles that were shared during the session. The CSR has already discussed the clarification of academic citizenship within the central and decentral level. The policy staff have also emphasized that the vision should only guide the faculties in making their own decisions and implementation plans. The advice request on the vision will be sent to the CSR on the 24th of April. The three proposed profiles within the educational vision for students are societal, scientific and entrepreneurship.

Victor asks for clarification on what the purpose of the student profiles in the educational vision. Vaisakh clarifies the profiles are aimed to put students in the lead for their education. Within a chosen study, students should be provided the opportunity to pursue societal, scientific and entrepreneurial topics alongside the program. Victor is in favour of societal and scientific profiles but does not see that entrepreneurship should be a main student profile. Victor finds there may be a better alternative for a more encompassing student profile. Kacper would like more clarity on what the student profiles would look like in practice and in implementation. Saskia states the implementation plan will follow after the educational vision is finalized. Saskia adds she would rather the vision focus on strengthening the existing ties with businesses, NGOs, research institutes and internships, instead of creating new minor program opportunities. Vincent states he finds the profiles are limited and asks why there are three profiles specifically. Vaisakh clarifies for the minor programs, often the minors students want to take clash with their main study program. By identifying the problems students experience, the profiles aim to put students in the lead to adapt to how they would like to study. Vaisakh finds keeping a limited amount of profiles also makes it easier to implement specific improvements for study opportunities rather than overpromising what is possible to students. Saskia clarifies that a separate profile was tested relating to professionalism. The professionalism profile was scrapped as the policy team found that several faculties already focus on professionalizing, such as medicine, dentistry and natural sciences. Entrepreneurship was added to create more attention for the programs that require a broader focus.

Florence finds the profiles are currently empty words and is unclear how the profiles will actually address the study problems faced by students. Florence finds it important to see how these profiles would concretely affect specific faculties. Saskia states what she appreciates about the vision, as opposed to the last one, is the shift away from scoring students to focusing on ensuring students are well-rounded and engaged with their academic trajectory.

Sebastiaan sees that there is a gap between university and working life. A professional profile would be good for certain faculties but he understands for some faculties this is already the case. Sebastiaan would like to see the UvA working towards preparing students in other faculties for working life after university. Saskia clarifies the idea is to gear students to answer more societal issues, such as having students that study planning in more urban conditions or more engaged with Amsterdam as a city.

Yipeng finds the profiles work well within the dentistry faculty, particularly as professionalism and entrepreneurship are present.

Philipp enters 17:27

Victor would prefer to have a structural vision on implementation rather than creating a separate profiles. Pieter-Joost agrees it should be worked out structurally but if students would like to focus on other things outside the profiles, it should also be possible. Pieter-Joost would like that the profiles are broad for what students can do rather than making them mandatory. Saskia clarifies students do not have to pick one profile and can move throughout the profiles as they study. Saskia also understands the current need to have less structure within the vision as the UvA may then invest in structures that do not work. Saskia would prefer existing connections and networks are worked on within the profiles rather than building from the ground up. The CSR will discuss the vision in the coming OV on March 24th. The implementation report will not arrive until May/June. After there is advice on the vision, there will be a second session with students on the implementation plan.

9. Panel Discussion Moral Ambition

The CSR has previously discussed the moral ambition initiative. Alies would like to organize a panel discussion to focus the involvement of UvA students and staff in creating a positive impact for societal solutions. Alies would like to organize the panel discussion at the University Library and has included an overview of guest speakers within the proposal. Alies would also like to invite three students who are making a positive societal impact. Alies has been in contact with UvA communications and the UvA press department to create a video of the panel discussion as well. The cost of the space for chairs would be around 300 euros, which would be requested from the strengthening medezeggenschap budget.
Wiktor shares he is not against hosting the event at the university library, but would like to ensure that the event remains accessible. Given the recent overview the CSR received of the lack of accessibility at the university library, Wiktor would like to ensure CSR members keep this in mind to accommodate for the participation of all students and staff. The CSR agrees to check the location to ensure that accessibility issues are kept in mind.
Florence would like the event panel discussion to take place but is unsure about putting a CSR stance on the event as the political ideology behind it is not something she agrees with. Florence mentions the idea behind the event is very individual while some members of the CSR find that problems are more structural. Alies clarifies the idea behind the panel is not necessarily about where problems come from but more about what actions you can take and what you gain mentally from doing something with positive impact on society. Alies finds that some people create impact in an individual manner, but the focus is not entirely on the individual level. Vincent clarifies he finds the sentiment is more on taking action when change feels insurmountable and how this often starts from the individual level. Pieter-Joost asks if there is an amendment that would make members more comfortable with co-organizing the event. Kacper adds he finds the political ideology is more in regards to how the problem should be solved and the focus that people should solve problems themselves. If there is a societal notion that everybody can solve problems by themselves, Kacper finds that issues are more fragmented. Kacper mentions he would not be in favour of the current proposed speakers of the panel as he does not agree with the sentiment that making the economy green will solve the climate issue.
Wiktor adds he does not oppose the idea of the CSR taking co-ownership of the panel discussion but would like to emphasize the attempt to present the event as apolitical. Wiktor would not be in favour of presenting the event as apolitical. Alies clarifies that the apolitical part is more to create a diverse array of speakers with experience and to focus on what certain individuals feel comfortable with doing. Alies would not like to make the event about politics to ensure that every viewpoint a student may have could feel comfortable in during the discussion.
Francesco asks what it would mean to be a co-coordinator for the discussion. Alies mentions she would just like a student from medezeggenschap to discuss what they are doing and to add the CSR logo to the event. Francesco asks for the difference between co-initiator or formal partner. Alies states it is more about who would like to participate in the panel. The CSR agrees to further discuss in the next PV for what their involvement will be.

10. Study Spaces

The CSR has previously discussed the lack of study spaces on the weekends during the exam period. The CSR has been informed the costs for opening another location for more hours during the weekend is around 3000 euros. Florence has proposed to write an unsolicited advice to the CvB to prompt further discussion in the OV. Vincent is in favour of sending the unsolicited advice as the VU also opens for extra hours during the weekend around exam periods.. Philipp mentions it has been done at the UvA in the E building for the Amsterdam Case Competition, paid for by SEFA. Philipp sees that the UvA could also provide extra funding during exam periods. Sebastiaan asks what Florence would like to achieve in sending an unsolicited advice instead of sending an email. Florence shares she is unsure the CvB would be open to the proposal and would like to formalize the discussion. The CvB is then also legally required to send a response within 6 weeks. Saskia mentions adding a brief line in the advice that larger meeting rooms at the UB should be open during the weekends around exam periods for students to study in. Pieter-Joost recommends stating the problem and including costs rather than specifying an exact solution. The CSR agrees to outline the problem and ask for the CvB to look into a solution.

11. Unsolicited Advice RvT

The CSR has agreed to send an unsolicited advice on the selection procedure for the CvB. The taskforce for writing the advice will meet Thursday, but would first like to gather input from the council on what should be included. Kacper would like to emphasize that the CSR feels they did not have influence on the decision. Vincent would like to ensure there is more meaningful grounds for the CSR's advice to be incorporated, such as requesting advice in enough time before a decision is made. Saskia adds it would be good to emphasize the feeling that any advice the CSR would give would not have a meaningful impact. The meeting with the candidate should be done in advance and not during an exam period. Pieter-Joost asks how the CSR sees being involved earlier in the process with the confidentiality restrictions. Victor mentions the CSR is not the executive power at the UvA and would like to see a proposal from the RvT based on CSR concerns. Victor would then liked to be asked for advice or consent on the proposal. Vincent mentions instead of waiting on a final candidate, he would like to be involved earlier in the selection of the candidates before being presented a final candidate. Saskia would like to include the logistical aspects of the process in the advice, such as the limitations of the NDA. Florence would like to advise creating a procedure for the process and the selection committee as well. Saskia adds to ensure there is an equal balance in representation in the selection committee as well.

12. ACTA Decentral Selection Regulations

Last year, ACTA moved to a lottery system for selecting students. The main goal of the lottery system selection procedure was to increases the diversity of students. The results from the lottery system have shown that the study results are low, in which the passing rate for practical exams is 10%. All practical exams must be passed to move on to your second year. The BSA then shows that 70% did not meet the required ECTS. The FSR ACTA would like the CSR to make a statement against the lottery system as ACTA would like to continue to implement a lottery system in the coming years. The VU is also aware of the issues with the selection system. Yipeng would like the CvB of the UvA to be aware of the issue and the outcomes of the lottery system selection. Florence would like to receive the data on the lottery system versus the previous selection procedure. Pieter-Joost would like the CSR to bring the discussion to the CvB to also hear what the CvB thinks about the outcomes. Alies recommends speaking to the medicine faculty as there was also a switch to the lottery system which is an ongoing discussion for the medicine faculty. Yipeng will create an overview of the data.

Victor finds that admitting students from different backgrounds that do not have as many opportunities to prepare, the lottery system might be an initial dip in numbers to adjust to a new system. Victor would like to see numbers on how many new first generation students have joined. Victor does not necessarily see that the CSR should improve the administration at the UvA but rather advocate for the students themselves in the programs. Pieter-Joost finds this is a student problem if 90% of students are not passing the first year. Pieter-Joost sees that the problem does touch upon an issue that students are dealing with an it should be investigated whether it is because of the selection system or something else. Florence would like to see what else can be done for ACTA students that may cause the dip in numbers that is present.
Vaisakh does not agree it is an administration problem. Vaisakh does see there are issues with selection criteria but would not like to reduce curriculum to accommodate students. Vaisakh sees that ACTA has a strong program that should be kept in the same capacity but look into whether there are accommodations students are not receiving the do well within the curriculum. Vaisakh offers to work with Yipeng to gather more information as well concerning the education policy.
Saskia agrees the numbers are concerning for students but would like to ensure there are not other factors that would impact student's success that may not be related to the lottery system. Saskia mentions the CSR was also previously informed students have to pay for their own materials related to their study program at ACTA, which may disproportionately impact first generation students. Saskia would like to consider the larger picture and look into what other factors might impact students.
Yipeng mentions the FSR has identified the selection procedure specifically as in the past students were often selected based on previous experience that could increase the success rate based on skills they already have. Yipeng mentions it also relates to the motivation of students regarding their performance which the lottery system does not accurately consider. Victor would like to look at the trajectories of students and if there are other ways to support students without necessarily getting rid of the lottery system. The CSR can also evaluate the objective of the BSA and whether this is still achieving the goals it is set out to achieve.

13. Horizon Projects

On the 15th of October, the CvB announced the UvA would not renew ties with Israel. The UvA included in the statement that the CvB would explore withdrawing from the Israeli ties within the Horizon Program. Florence finds from the OV's since, there have been no substantive updates on withdrawing from the Horizon Program. Florence would like to look into what other universities are doing to cut ties, as it is a large legal and financial update. Florence has asked several times for substantial updates in the OVs which the CSR has not received. Florence would like to write an unsolicited advice to the CvB to remind them of their commitment to providing substantive updates on the process.
Florence elaborates she would like to advise the CvB to look into other examples from other universities for how they are breaking ties with Israel. She would also like to see a form of commitment to explore how to withdraw from current programs. Alies sees that the commitment from the CvB is not being followed and would be in favour of writing an unsolicited advice. Wiktor adds that since the CvB has to reply to the advices, he sees an added value in sending the advice to ensure the commitment is followed through. Kacper remembers from an OV that the CvB has promised an update based on conversations with teams of lawyers but this has not be received. Pieter-Joost states he does not think it would be good for the university to spend resources going to court to withdraw from certain collaborations that will also end in the coming year. Pieter-Joost would be in favour of asking for an update as this was a commitment from the CvB but would not want to advocate for spending resources. Vincent states the CSR should not advise what should be done but rather to receive updates regularly on the current process and for the CSR to monitor the progress. Saskia finds if all options are exhausted based on resources available, this should be shared. Saskia would like to demand accountability, even if it means there are no resources to cut the ties or withdraw. Saskia would like to emphasize the transparency of this or other factors to the UvA community.

Florence would also like to include in the advice the remaining concerns about continuing collaborations with Israel that continue contributing to genocide. The UvA also previously mentioned they would wait for the outcome of the EU trade association agreement decision but have not received a concrete update. The CSR has not received an update from the UNL on whether there is a national solution as well.

14. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

15. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Pieter-Joost asks about the an Instagram post about the student members for the recognition committee. Vaisakh will make the post. Francesco asks the delegates to gather feedback on the sustainability goals from their FSRs.

16. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

17. Questions

There are no further questions.

18. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:52.

Decisions

Action list

~~**260223-01** Vaisakh will email Hester about the cancellation of ScienceGuide.~~

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam

W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 9 March 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Vaisakh Karuvath, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Victor Nieboer, Wiktor Misiak, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	
Guest(s)	Joost de Graaf (*adviseur medezeggenschap*)
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:08 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of the 3rd of March are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Abbey will be on vacation from March 25th – April 10th.
Saskia will leave at 18:40.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. See attachment.
Joost is present for the PV and introduces himself as the newly hired medezeggenschap advisor. Joost welcomes the CSR to provide input and feedback for how members view strengthening medezeggenschap.
The DB attended the AO with the CvB earlier today. The agenda for the 31st of March has been set. The OV has been rescheduled for the 31st of March at 13:00 from the 24th of March. The CvB will present the educational vision, the privacy policy consent request, the report on antisemitism and the CSR will bring the unsolicited advice on study spaces and the Horizon Project collaborations. The CSR will have another OV on the 14th of April where the decentral selection regulations and the profiling fund consent request will be discussed.
Noor would like to plan a team building activity in April. The options are a picnic and barbeque, karaoke and laser tag. If members have more ideas they can let Noor know and a poll will be sent to choose the activity.
The GV will take place on Friday the 13th of March. The GV will vote on sending physical letters for the student council elections.
Office cleaning will be done by Florence and Sebastiaan this week, followed by Alies the week after.

O&F has received the privacy policy consent request. Pieter-Joost has been in contact with the COR on the kaderbrief. O&B has discussed the Gaza scholarships, strengthening council funds, leaving EPICUR and transitioning into EUGLOH, and procurement provisions. O&I is discussing the moral ambition panel discussion, the affordability crisis at ACTA and planning a committee bonding event.

Yipeng enters 17:17

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Student Assessor Profile

The CSR has received the draft profile for the student assessor position. The CvB would like to begin the selection process earlier in the year to ensure there is enough time to select a candidate. The CSR will send one person from the CSR to the selection committee and one other student representative outside the CSR. The CvB has asked if there are any changes for the current profile. The CSR has no suggestions for changes to the profile. The CSR will receive more information on selecting a member of the SoC.

9. Study Spaces

Francesco and Florence have written a draft unsolicited advice based on previous input from the CSR, including data on UB usage during the weekends before exams. The CSR moves to vote on sending the unsolicited advice.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on study spaces.
In favour: 12 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Noor, Pieter-Joost, Saskia, Vincent, Vai, Yipeng, Florence, Alies, Wiktor)
Against:
Blanco: 1 (Sebastiaan)
Quorum: *13*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on study spaces.

10. Horizon Project Collaborations

In preparation for writing an unsolicited advice, Florence has asked for feedback on the main points for the advice. Florence would like to start the advice with an introduction of the statement from the UvA on withdrawing from Horizon Projects in which the CvB committed to providing regular updates. Florence would like to include an overview of the current collaborations with Israel within the Horizon Project to address the CSR's concerns on continuing to collaborate with Israeli institutions. Florence would like to emphasize the understanding from the CSR that the UvA cannot spend the amount of money needed to legally withdraw, but would like to provide alternative examples to the CvB, such as from Ghent University.
Vincent states he agrees with the outline of the letter but finds it would be important to narrow down the content. Vincent finds it would be better to concretely identify what the CSR is asking for and to provide examples. Florence mentions the complicity in genocide she would like to include as it is crucial to identifying why it is important to withdraw from Horizon project but agrees the letter should be concise. Florence proposes adding in a sentence or two to justify why the CSR is asking for alternative methods for withdrawing in the unsolicited advice.

Pieter-Joost agrees with focusing on ensuring the CvB follows through on their commitments. Pieter-Joost mentions he would not like the UvA to spend money engaging in legal battles with Horizon. Pieter-Joost would not like to include the suggestion from Ghent university to pursue legal action or spending resources on it. Florence mentions she also does not think the UvA has the resources to pursue legal action either and needs the funding for education and research. Florence states she wanted to use Ghent as an example to illustrate they are exploring alternative ways to withdraw, and have created a website where they post updates on the process. Florence recommends making this more clear in the advice.
Vincent asks if the CSR will receive a round of editing on the concept letter. Francesco shares he would like to avoid a round of editing as changes on the letter often focus too much on the wording rather than on the content. The CSR agrees to vote on the draft advice next week.

11. RvT Advice Points

The DB discussed improving the process on advices by first discussing the content of the advice before preparing a draft for the PV. A taskforce has been created to propose points of concern from the CSR for the advice. The taskforce would like input from the rest of the CSR. Pieter-Joost finds it would not be meaningful to ask for consent but rather to ensure a meaningful advice can be given. Pieter-Joost does not find it would be necessary to receive consent on the decision as long as the CSR is able to have an impact on the decision through advice rights. Saskia mentions the taskforce also discussed that the letter should represent both sides of the council's opinion to ensure members feel included.
Victor asks if the CSR will ask for changes on confidentiality. Victor would like to see that the member in the SoC can discuss the process during a PV. Francesco clarifies it will be included in the advice but the advice will not include concrete solutions to confidentiality. Saskia adds there is not a specific solution as she would like to avoid that the CSR provides policies but rather would like to illustrate the issue for the RvT to propose solutions. Vincent recommends the CSR can keep in mind requesting a blanket NDA for the entire CSR. The taskforce will meet tomorrow to create a first draft of the advice.

12. Kaderbrief Accessibility Focus

Wiktor has prepared a meeting piece to address accessibility as an issue within the kaderbrief. Wiktor previously shared the report from the University Library on the lack of accessibility. There are other stakeholders at the UvA that have acknowledged the accessibility issues within the UB and would also like further examinations into physical accessibility at the UvA. All amendments would require financial resources to replace furniture or facilitate other accessible accommodations.
O&F has shared the accessibility point within the questions for kaderbrief. The UvA has previously clarified there is funding in the budget for physical accessibility accommodations. Pieter-Joost has sent the question whether the money is sufficient based on the current reports on physical accessibility. Pieter-Joost mentions if the money is available it may not be a central focus for the kaderbrief as it involves implementation. The question will be brought to the technical meeting on the 20th of March.
Pieter-Joost states there was a technical meeting at the beginning of the year in which Facility Services stated the requirements are being followed for accessibility. Pieter-Joost mentions he finds it is difficult for the UvA to provide a blanket solution and does not agree that the UvA does not provide support to students. Saskia clarifies it is focused more on the furniture purchased for the university library that was not sent to students to clarify if it was accessible.
Vincent asks if the CSR has cited the law on accessibility requirements (*Wet gelijke behandeling op grond van handicap of chronische ziekte*) and recommends to include this in the CSR's argumentation. Saskia mentions there is currently not autonomous UvA action to address physical spaces which must be addressed on the individual level. Often, the UvA provides a fix but the fix is still inaccessible. Saskia mentions she would like

accessibility amendments to be embedded structurally rather than putting the burden on the individual. Saskia adds it would be beneficial to have an inhouse accessibility housing employee to identify and evaluate the physical accessibility of housing. Vincent mentions it should be an addition to individual reporting to ensure there is still a method to raise complaints.

Philipp enters 17:58

13. Casual UvA

In 2022, D4 lecturers at the UvA participated in a marking strike to illustrate precarious employment conditions. The UvA acknowledged the concerns and worked to find a more suitable way to strengthen the positions for D4 lecturers. D4 lecturers are now stating the improvements are not being followed and have launched a new petition. Saskia would like the CSR to sign the petition to support the D4 lecturers.
Pieter-Joost asks if the COR has taken a stance on the petition. The CSR will ask for the COR's perspective during the GV on Friday. Florence finds the issues raised by D4 lecturers also impact students that may want to work at the UvA after their studies. Saskia adds the precarious position of D4 lecturers also impacts student education. Saskia clarifies the funding allocation for D and U lines also impact the academic staff. Academic staff are often not allocated significant time for teaching while funding for researchers is higher, allocating more funds and time to research.. The concern is that if education is not valued and is mostly being done by employees with precarious contracts, lecturers are not fully able to give enough time to the education of students. Vincent asks if there is information from the D4s on the impact on education. Saskia has met with several members of Casual UvA in which they have identified they are unable to be full members of the academic community due to their limited contracts and that they are overworked. There is also a high turnover rate among D4s which leads to a gap in the transfer of teaching knowledge. Pieter-Joost asks if there is a large difference among faculties. Francesco mentions faculties are responsible for the hiring of teachers but the contract policy is the same for all faculties. Pieter-Joost finds the issue is more that policy is not being implemented. Saskia states the issue is implementation but the problem affects multiple faculties, particularly FGw, FMG and FNWI.
Saskia mentions there is currently a law that may be implemented in the national government that will limit temporary contracts from four years to three years. Saskia states the issue currently is that the UvA promised to make a path for D4 lecturers to be offered permanent positions based on performance, which is not happening. Vincent and Pieter-Joost would like more information and data on the amount of D4 lecturers that are offered permanent positions and to identify whether the issue is central.

14. Privacy Policy Consent Request

The CSR has received the consent request for the updated privacy policy. The policy was last updated in 2018. The policy provides a guideline for the processing of personal data for UvA employees, students and researchers. The main updates are to realign with the Surf guidelines. The O&F committee will further discuss the policy on Wednesday to prepare discussion points for the next PV. Francesco asks if there is an overview of the main changes. Sebastiaan states the policy has changed significantly and there is currently no concrete overview of the changes. Vincent mentions he has concerns in the policy related to the DLO pilot on bring your own device. Vincent will share these concerns during the agenda point later in the meeting.
Saskia mentions for personal information that is accurate, as stated within the policy, deadnaming may also fit into the privacy policy.

15. Election Charity

Every year, the UvA donates a euro for each vote that is cast during the student elections. Bureau communicatie has asked for a list of charities from the CSR to have students vote on where the money from the election votes should be donated to. Francesco asks why the UvA is moving away from Doctors Without Borders. Victor mentions the UvA is moving away from Doctors without Borders as there were members in the UvA community who had issues with the charity.
Vincent recommends any cancer related charity. Alies mentions there is also Doctors Without Borders for Gaza specifically. Victor asks CSR members to send charity suggestions and he will send a poll in the Discord to vote on the top four to propose.

16. DLO Pilot: Bring Your Own Device

Within the Digital Learning Environment (DLO) working group, the group has discussed a pilot program to bring your own device to exams. The goal of the pilot program is to encourage facilitating decentral examinations, keeping in mind the budget cuts the university is facing. The UvA chromebooks are dependent on the location based on IP information and are currently costly in the reservation of space for their locations. The initiative covers budget constraints while preventing students from having to take exams of the IWO. The pilot states having your own laptop on location also makes facilitating exams more smooth. Vincent has concerns about the privacy of the software students would be required to download when bringing their own decides, as the software would have access to microphones and cameras. Vincent would like to ensure that the GDPR regulations are clearly ensured if the program is implemented. Francesco asks if the CSR will receive an advice request. Vincent clarifies the CSR does not have formal rights but is involved in the process for feedback and input.
Saskia shares the privacy concerns in which she had to use the same software during Covid, which was intrusive. Saskia states she also has concerns about the practicalities for downloading the software, such as whether it is compatible with certain laptops and having enough storage space. Saskia would also like to ensure accessibility is considered as any accessible software on a student's computer gets shut down once the program is launched. Saskia states it should be easy to request and receive an UvA laptop as well if students would not like to use their own device. Vincent clarifies if students do not want to or are unable to, they are able to request a laptop from the UvA.
Kacper states he struggles to see the bigger goal of the pilot. Kacper asks if the pilot aims to expand to the entire UvA to ask all students to bring their own laptops. Vincent mentions the goal is to reduce costs for the UvA. The general vision is mainly to provide another option. The pilot will have to be evaluated per faculty. Vincent clarifies it will also save money to reduce exam locations for where certain laptops are located. Francesco asks if the exams will be moved from larger rooms. Vincent mentions one goal is to have decentralized testing to ensure there are more on-location exams such as within a single classroom.
Philipp mentions there was a similar option for the entry test for Business Administration. The issue students had is that despite having the software, students were still cheating during the exam. Philipp would like to ensure cheating does not happen. Vincent mentions the pilot aims to also keep the condition that there should be facilities to ensure cheating is not possible. Francesco mentions he does not prefer to have the software for his own exams. Vincent states the software is only active and exists only when connected to a certain IP address for the two hours during the exam.
Saskia would like a better overview of how much money it would save the UvA to switch. Vincent will ask if there are projections for how much it costs and what this would look like on a larger scale. Francesco asks if the UvA would be responsible if there was a cyberattack to extract data. Vincent will include the concern within the point on privacy. The CSR will be kept in the loop on the pilot.

17. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There is no further business.

18. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Noor sent an email on Dutch courses within the FSR's and has asked the FSR's to respond.

19. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated. Pieter-Joost would like to clarify informing pieces. Francesco will bring a meeting piece for a reminder on informing pieces and the BOB cycle.

20. Questions

There are no further questions.

21. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:30.

Decisions

260309-01	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on study spaces.

Action list

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Centrale **Studentenraad**
studentenraad.nl/csr ~ csr@uva.nl

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 16 March 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Victor Nieboer, Wiktor Misiak, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath,
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abigail Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

<u>A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.</u>

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:17 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes of the 9th of March are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

Abbey announces the RvT has sent a request for advice CvB Rector profile. The DB will organize providing comments from the CSR before the PV on the 1st of April.
The DLO project has been paused as ISO 27011 is a condition that relates to privacy. The certification will not be received in time. Due to privacy concerns and without the certification, the UvA will not continue with the pilot.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. See attachment.
O&F has received the new housing plan which will be presented during a technical meeting on Friday. The CSR received a response on House Rules and will discuss the privacy policy consent request and sustainability. O&B and O&I did not meet last week. Victor and Wiktor will meet next week to distribute the work on the election files.
The CSR met Joost last Monday to discuss the plans for strengthening medezeggenschap. Francesco met with the chairs of the FSR in which AI and concerns on the OER were discussed.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Casual UvA

In the previous PV, the CSR as informed on the petition from Casual UvA on the position of D4 lecturers. The CSR requested further data on the percentage of D4 lecturers that are given more permanent positions. Saskia shares she is not able to share the data at the moment but has looked into providing more context for the petition. Saskia reiterates the main issue for the petition is related to the commitment the UvA gave to D4 lecturers to strengthen their contracts. Saskia is in favour of ensuring the UvA upholds commitments made. Pieter-Joost shares he spoke with members of the COR in which they expressed they would not support the petition due to concerns for education and teaching at the UvA. Pieter-Joost finds it is important to have a flexible work force, especially in the context of declining student numbers. Pieter-Joost would like to ensure the UvA remains flexible in the face of budget constraints as well.
Florence shares that the COR does not have D4s represented in medezeggenschap as they are on temporary contracts. Since employees on D4 contracts work at the UvA for a shorter time, they are not represented in the COR. The number of D4s that develop into D3 positions is around 3,8%. Saskia adds CSR members have previously expressed concerns regarding unprepared tutors, which consequentially leads to polarization in the classroom. If there is a constant turnover of work force that cannot carry over knowledge, the level of knowledge transferred is negatively impacted. Kacper mentions flexible contracts in his experience often are insecure more than anything. Within the development of the educational vision, Kacper does not see that keeping junior lecturers in insecure positions aligns with the UvA's vision. Victor understands since there is not concrete data there are concerns with making a decision but finds that the issues relating to burnouts among staff increase with insecure positions. Victor would like to ensure that staff is given a stable structural solution. Vincent mentions he sees the problem for workload is more division of labour rather than contracts. Vincent mentions the working conditions are difficult but finds it difficult to take a position without data. Sebastiaan asks if there is information on the position of D4 lecturers at other universities. Saskia is aware of other petitions for strengthening D4 contracts but does not have a full overview. Pieter-Joost states in his overview the UvA has the best policy for D4 lecturers. Saskia mentions the petition is not entirely on four year contracts but rather than the UvA is not following through on the commitment to the transfer from D4 to D3. The focus is more on upward mobility within the institution and continuing the education to the highest level at the UvA, particularly as only 3,8% of D4 lecturers develop into D3 positions. The CSR agrees to move to a vote.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of publicly signing the petition for Casual UvA.
In favour: 6 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Florence, Wiktor, Saskia)
Against: 5 (Philipp, Yipeng, Vincent, Pieter-Joost, Sebastiaan)
Blanco: 1 (Noor)
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of publicly signing the petition for Casual UvA.

9. Horizon Project Unsolicited Advice

The CSR has discussed the contents of the advice in the previous PV. Florence has attached a draft of the unsolicited advice. Pieter-Joost finds the current draft does not reflect the conversation from the discussion in the PV. Vincent mentions the main point from the last PV was not to clutter the advice to ensure the message is not lost, which he currently feels is the case. The first paragraph Vincent finds is good for requesting regular updates. Vincent finds there are certain statements as well within the advice that are not accurate or are far-reaching. Vincent also states that the UvA does not have a legal obligation as mentioned in the letter but more on the moral responsibility. Vincent mentions it was also discussed not to take the seat of the CvB by providing suggestions or solutions.

Florence sees there are different views and interpretations within the CSR. Florence mentions it was difficult to write the letter and from the discussion last week she wanted to ensure that information that was not included in the OVs would be in the letter. Florence finds conversations within the student body also led her to the conclusion that it was important to remind the CvB of the commitments made. Florence interpreted the content was essential for the argument but understands that the interpretation may be different for other members. Florence finds it is important that when discussions are had with the CvB, that each body is aware of the arguments behind a request.
Pieter-Joost finds it frustrating that the current draft does not encapsulate the points of agreement and conversation had in the last PV. The DB had discussed a new procedure for drafting advices and statements which aimed to improve the internal collaboration. Pieter-Joost expresses his frustration with the current process and moving to a vote. Vincent shares his dissatisfaction with not being able to discuss the draft text. Francesco acknowledges the dissatisfaction but would like to continue with the agreed procedure.
The CSR moves to a vote.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on the Horizon Project.
In favour: 7 (Francesco, Kacper, Victor, Florence, Wiktor, Saskia, Noor)
Against: 5 (Philipp, Yipeng, Vincent, Pieter-Joost, Sebastiaan)
Blanco:
Quorum: *12*
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on the Horizon Project.

10. Privacy Policy Consent Request

The CSR was informed last week on the updated privacy policy. The O&F committee worked on questions related to the privacy policy which were sent to the file holders at the UvA. Questions were asked on why the rights of the data subject were removed in which the answer received is that it was too much detail to include in the policy. A new procedure is being worked on for data subjects. The policy for processing is the same for AI tools and analytics. For the last point on data breaches, the UvA would have a crisis team but did not elaborate on a specific procedure. Students would be informed according to European law.
The CSR will further discuss the questions in the upcoming OV. If members have any other questions, Sebastiaan will share those further. Saskia mentions in the last PV if deadnaming would fit in the privacy policy. Sebastiaan will send an email to Menno asking whether deadnaming and changing student names falls within the privacy policy [**action**]. The CSR will need to send a response to the consent request in four weeks. Saskia would like to request that in the crisis team the Chair of the CSR should be included as it is a student related issue.

11. Antisemitism Report

The CvB would like to discuss the antisemitism report with the CSR in the upcoming OV on the 31st of March. The CvB would specifically like to hear thoughts on the recommendations that are set out in the report. The CSR will not need to take a stance but the CvB would like to hear opinions in the OV.
Pieter-Joost and Vincent would like to discuss the recent attacks on Jewish institutions with the CvB. Florence reached out to a Jewish collective that is affiliated to the UvA in which they started a petition against the task report. Florence shares several members on the task force are from a pro-Israeli lobby organization, in which members of the Jewish collective she spoke to found the conclusion to be one-sided. Florence would like to highlight this is coming from a Jewish community in Amsterdam that are worried about the findings in the

report and protest rights, as well as the conflation between antizionism and antisemitism. Florence would like to discuss this with the CvB as well.

Francesco clarifies the CvB has asked for opinions but will not create a policy that would follow from the report. Kacper mentions he hopes there is no one online and in the room that is not angry about the terrorism in the past few days, but does not see how it is linked to universities and train stations as mentioned in the report. Pieter-Joost mentions it is not only about demonstrations but also antisemitism within universities. The report he finds makes it clear it is about safety at the university for students. He mentions the report and task group spoke to more than 120 students that mentioned they do not feel safe to come to university. Saskia clarifies the report states Jewish students that participated in the demonstrations were not spoken to within the report, which she would have liked to see. On the recommendations from the report, Saskia would like the UvA to follow the recommendation to invest in knowledge of antisemitism and to invest in depolarizing communities at the UvA.

Wiktor finds the definitions in the report, such as Zionism, are problematic. Wiktor shares the definition provided does not take into account the history of Zionism. The definitions of antizionism and antisemitism are also often conflated in the report in which in some places antizionism is identified as antisemitism. Wiktor would like to ensure there is freedom of political expression and that conflating antizionism and antisemitism is not used as a tool for further political repression. For example, Wiktor shares the report identifies that the statement “Zionists are not welcome” is antisemitic, which Wiktor finds is a statement of political expression and not antisemitism.-Victor recognises the UvA needs to address the rise of antisemitism and should take the rising sentiments seriously. Victor finds that the issue in the report is that it was commissioned by the government as a way to curtail civil rights based on the demonstrations at universities. Victor finds the chosen locations for the report were also inherently political and he feels the report does not take the real issue of antisemitism seriously. The report does not focus on combatting right wing antisemitic conspiracy theories or hate speech. The report focuses on removing certain banners in universities and limiting civil rights.

Vincent mentions he finds that the discussion is straying from the focus on recommendations to protect students. Vincent would like to focus on how to ensure students at the UvA feel safe. Francesco states the recommendations are derived from the report but understands that the discussion should focus on the recommendations. Pieter-Joost would like to focus on the outcomes of the report particularly at the universities in the Netherlands. The report mentions that students were often identified and doxed online for defending Israel, as well as being put into group chats and called antisemitic names. Pieter-Joost would like to discuss the seriousness of the level of unsafety felt by those students.

The four main recommendations in the report are: 1) higher education institutions should speak more clearly on behalf of students and staff, 2) strengthen the social safety of staff and students, 3) prevent escalation and provide further security measures during protests, 4) ensure preconditions are in place to provide security to the Jewish community. On the first recommendation, Pieter-Joost recognizes the UvA currently speaks openly to address concerns of the Jewish community. Florence understands the recommendation but would like to ensure statements are not one-sided or used as political tools for repression.

On the second recommendation, Francesco mentions the UvA recently established a new central reporting point with the ombudsperson. Francesco would like to highlight the need for social safety resources at the UvA to create a structure for social safety. Saskia would also like to ensure there is more training to handle social safety cases at the UvA as well to support the structures for social safety.

On the third recommendation, Saskia finds protests are framed in a way that may create room for curbing demonstration rights. Saskia is also concerned that the recommendation should not also negate the demands of protestors. Vincent clarifies the recommendation is on safely demonstrating rather than curbing the right to demonstrate. Victor understands the recommendations but is concerned that the checking for access is against a previous CSR stance. The CSR has expressed concern with requesting ID and verification on campus.

Wiktor adds the recommendation identifies specifics for how to handle demonstrating on campus. Within the recommendations, Wiktor is concerned that since the report conflates antizionism and antisemitism, the follow-up becomes more unclear. Saskia adds her concern also comes from the case in Leiden in which there were undercover security personnel within activist groups. Saskia will send information to the CSR on the undercover personnel in activist groups in Leiden [**action**].
On the fourth recommendation, Victor agrees it would be good to invest in Jewish history and knowledge, particularly with the amount of history in Amsterdam. Victor finds if there are more events and knowledge, it would help to foster more acceptance and prevent that the Jewish identify is viewed an "other".
The CSR will share the agreement to emphasize social safety and the right to demonstrate to the CvB during the OV.

12. ACTA Selection Procedure

The CSR was informed in a previous PV on the consequences of the new ACTA selection procedure. Yipeng has provided statistics on the selection procedure. ACTA did not provide data on the practical exams but the examination committee provided an overview. Last Friday ACTA gave a negative advice on the selection procedure. The CSR will give their own advice on the selection procedure. The FSR would like more information on what happens after the negative advice is sent. Francesco clarifies it is unlikely the selection procedure would change after a year as the selection procedure has not been in place long enough to collect long-term data. Pieter-Joost shares he has heard the AMC has also advised negatively on the lottery system for next year. If several councils advise negatively, Pieter-Joost would like the CSR to ask what the follow-up is on the advices and whether the FSR's then have a meaningful advice. Florence asks what the UvA's core argument is for implementing the lottery system when multiple FSR's are advising negatively based on passing rates. Francesco mentions the previous procedure was identified as having a bias towards students coming from dentistry families that had prior experience. The process then was more accessible for those with an easier way in to the program. Francesco sees that the lottery moves the barrier but still does not accommodate diversity.
Pieter-Joost finds that the lottery is not necessarily an issue but that the lottery does not have any barriers, such as requiring a CV and motivation from students. The amount of students applying are now skyrocketing and there is not a lot of barrier to selection. Florence recognises the point as you first need to have a starting point or barrier, such as a motivation letter, that then gives students access to the lottery system. Saskia mentions it is important to facilitate students from a more diverse background but would like to ask the CvB to find a solution for this. Yipeng adds the selection procedure issue is reflected in patient treatment in which around 60% of students will have a study delay. Students are required to pass their practical exams to treat patients. There would then not be enough students passing to treat the amount of patients that need to be treated.

13. ACTA Affordability

Alongside the selection procedure, the FSR ACTA has also identified the affordability of ACTA. Outside of tuition costs, students are expected to pay for their own materials for classes. ACTA offers a package for tools which is over 1000 euros for first year student. The drills students are required to have cost around 1000 euros. It is also on the student to restock the package they are given when they use the initial supplies. Yipeng shares in his bachelors he paid around 800-900 euros. On top of standard tuition, students have to pay around 3000 more. Yipeng identifies it also creates a further gap between families from low-income and high-income backgrounds. Yipeng also shares the costs are becoming increasingly expensive given the cost of materials and the financial situation at ACTA. There are more materials being added that are now the responsibility for

the student to pay. Francesco asks if this is the case in other universities. Yipeng shares at other universities they receive materials within their tuition and only need to pay around 100-200 euros extra.
Saskia asks if students are aware of the costs before they begin the program. Yipeng shares the drilling costs are known but other costs are not mentioned. Francesco sees the budget issues at ACTA are being offloaded to students. Vincent asks what is behind the decision to offload costs of supplies on s tudents. Yipeng shares the building costs are causing issues for the budget at ACTA. Francesco recommends bringing the point to the next financial technical meeting. The O&F committee will discuss ACTA affordability in the next technical meeting with FP&C [**action**].

14. Sustainability Update

The FSR's have been asked for input on sustainability goals. CSR members will share input they have on the sustainability goals [**action**].

15. House Rules Update

The CSR has received the response on the consent request on House Rules. The DB discussed the CSR consent request response in the AO. The CSR will discuss the response in O&F.

16. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Saskia asks if members can join for the OV prep.

17. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no requests for input.

18. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

19. Questions

Vincent mentions the FSR FdR is working on ensuring menstrual products are always available. In the FdR, the dispensers are often empty. Vincent asks delegates to ask whether there are also issues with dispensers at other faculties.
Saskia asks the DB to bring a meeting piece on unsolicited advices.

20. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:04.

Decisions

260316-01	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of publicly signing the petition for Casual UvA.
260316-02	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of sending the unsolicited advice on the Horizon Project.

Action list

260316-01	Sebastiaan will send an email to Menno asking whether deadnaming and changing student names falls within the privacy policy.
260316-02	The O&F committee will discuss ACTA affordability in the next technical meeting with FP&C.
260316-03	CSR members will share input they have on the sustainability goals.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)

``

PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 1 April 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, , Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath
Absent	Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Job Vermaas (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:04 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The DB will handle the emails instead of Abbey. There will be no mail overviews until Abbey is back.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes of the 16th of March were not sent with the PV agenda before the minutes. They are sent to the council for them to read. In the end they were not discussed, so adopting these minutes will move to the PV of the 8th of April.

4. Checking the action list
There are no action points.

5. Announcements
Francesco will be on vacation next week. Noor will take over the chairing the PV. Francesco is reachable for important matters only.

From the 27th of April till the 3th of May the council will take the week off, because it is an education free week. The CvB & COR will be informed.

The DB has received complaints about behavior in meetings. Francesco reminds the council to be mindful of their professional behavior. He adds that the trust people can be reached, if council members would wish to do so.

Francesco says that there was an OV yesterday and there will be an OV in two weeks. This means that the DB will have an AO tomorrow. This will be a wvttk.

Francesco informs the council of the UvA-VU Taskforce, which ask for two people from the council to attend their meetings. Saskia thinks these should be fileholders. Pieter-Joost asks if it is Dutch speaking. Francesco proposes to discuss this furhter in O&B. This is made an action point:

260401-01 O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.

Francesco says that next week the council needs to vote on the BAC for the student assessor. This needs to be one person from the CSR and one person not from the FSR. This second person is usually an FSR chair, so we need to ask around.

There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

Pieter-Joost tells us that another draft for the housing plan was presented by Richard Goldstein. Currently informal feedback is still possible, so Pieter-Joost is considering bringing it to the upcoming PV. He also had contact around the Profiling Fund, which has not changed since it has been presented to the council in December. The BVO is concerned about the large allowance for medezeggenschap, combined with a lack of rules on when members get this allowance. They propose to put in a minimum amount of meetings attended before you get your allowance. It is not feasible to include this for this round of changes to the profiling fund, but maybe it is doable in the future. It might be possible to include this with the new requirements for study associations, which will happen at an earlier date than the regular changes to the profiling fund.

7. Setting the agenda

The RvT profile advice is added to the agenda. The agenda has been set.

8. RvT Profile Advice

Francesco says no feedback has been received, so we can go ahead and advise positively.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of advising positively on the profile of the RvT.
In favour: Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 12
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of advising positively on the profile of the RvT.

Francesco says the advice will be sent the day after the PV.

9. BOB-cycle

Francesco wants to remind the council of the BOB-cycle, because it sometimes goes wrong. The purpose of the cycle is that deliberation needs to happen in a way that is effective. In Dutch B (*beeldvormend*) means informing, O (*oordeelvormend*) means discussing and B (*besluitvormend*) means deciding. The structure is that meeting pieces should first be discussed in the committee. If they are brought to the PV, it needs to be an informing piece. This means the issue is laid out in a way everyone can understand. It is about understanding it and asking clarification questions. No discussions can be held at that moment. After that comes the discussing stage. Here everyone has space for their input. Also the missing information from the previous PV is brought. This stage can happen multiple times. Then we move on to the deciding part. Here the submitter sums up the held conversations and we get to a vote. Everybody should be clear on what we are voting on.

Florence has a question. Sometimes it was not very clear at the start of the year when she made an in informing meeting piece, bit it was changed to discussing by the DB without informing the member. She asks if it could it be clarified by the DB to that member. Additionally, she asks to make it possible to change meeting pieces after the deadline in these cases. She thinks this might be a good pro memori.

Francesco agrees that that has happened. From now on it should just be informing, or informing/discussing for time sensitive matters.

10. UvA Procurements

Kacper has been in contact with a lot of people from the FMG faculty. The contract with Cirfood is expiring, so a new provider for UvA is possible. They are looking for support from different councils. Additionally, a lot of independent food places around campus, like CREA & Kriterion source their food from sources which don't support the Israeli occupation. This also concerns software.

Pieter-Joost asks if the purpose of this agenda point is to sign a letter. Alies asks if is it about Coca Cola or more general. Kacper says Coca Cola is an example of a more general issue, and that there are a lot of examples mentioned. Alies asks who decides what is a legitimate thing. Francesco asks what the aim is of this letter. Kacper aims to establish a faculty workgroup, in cooperation with FS, as to what companies we can get from next year. He adds that some sort of ethical review is also possible. Vincent asks if this is a proposal for FMG only or for UvA as a whole. In addition he is wondering what kind of step the FMG can achieve in the procurement process, because this is a central UvA issue and not a faculty issue. Kacper says the FMG staff want this. Francesco says procurements are central and he would like us to discuss it further, but agrees that a more tangible approach is needed. He proposes adding work to have a policy of which companies to work with to the central UvA procurement policy process. Saskia agrees that it would be good to work on the general procurement process. Wiktor says that to answer the questions that we have here, he would find it useful if Kacper would go back to the initiative takers and ask what they want to achieve. Kacper will come back with a discussing piece later.

11. House Rules Consent Request

Francesco apologizes for not adding a meeting piece to this agenda point. He says the House Rules have been a topic for over a year. In December the council gave no consent because of seven question points. The council sent a letter to the OV saying that hey were not giving consent. Subsequently they, clarified things in the AO. The council also asked for a legal advice from legal affairs. We now got a response from CvB.

Francesco says that regarding spending a night in UvA buidings we asked for a different phrasing. They added more clearly what their policy is. But the new phrasing is not what the council asked for. Regarding identification the staff is aware on the policies with flyers. The 48 hour notice for protests and having a contact person will not be a basis for banning demonstrations. This will also be included in the FAQ. Francesco says that the council wanted medezeggenschap to be included as a stakeholder on diversity, because we have that formal role. He believes this was not done. Pieter-Joost says they did put it in at a different point. Francesco sees it and agrees. There also was a point regarding serious disruptions and the explanation of actions. They want an FAQ because it is a very long document which was made at different times by different people.

Francesco asks the council on their opinions regarding the proposal by the CvB.

Pieter-Joost believes we can finally consent. In the O&F meeting they agreed that they gave explanations to our questions and that there are no real concerns for us not to give consent. Francesco disagrees with the new wording on the point of overnight stays, because he believes they made it worse than before. In the explanatory note it says a 'ban on overnight stays on UvA grounds' because the safety of students cannot be guaranteed and buildings are blocked. Now it says proportionality principle is there for everything except this. The CSR asked to clarify the principle of proportionality, because the legal advice stated that there needs to be a case by case evaluation. Pieter-Joost says that it is very clear that when there is an occupation they would do it on a case by case basis. But there is no exception for overnight stays, because it is never safe. Also, in conversations with the CvB, it was very clear that they will not always immediately choose for the eviction of an encampment. Francesco says that he understands, but that he believes the conversation we had with the CvB was different. Saskia says that while it is nice that they have done it this way in practice, this new sentence means that they will not look at it in proportionality. She believes our role is not to evaluate practice, but to evaluate the letter of the regulation. Wiktor says he would not like to drag this process on even longer, but he believes legal clarification on this last point to be important. He says we should consider a specific legal advice on this point in particular, if it would guarantee that we have a satisfactory conclusion to this issue. Vincent says he understands proportionality, but there are also absolute rules. If you allow proportionality in the case of overnight stays you allow the door to be opened, while in principle it has to be closed. You have to always prevent it. He is certain that that is not going to be changed. He believes we have gotten as far as a compromise as possible. Pieter-Joost says that we have talked about this in every OV and every letter. He believes this is all we are going to get. We were very close to giving consent last time. He feels like we shouldn't drag this on any longer. Francesco agrees that he wants this to be done, but would rather just have the previous version. The current change is not an answer to what we asked. It actually changes the reading of the previous point. They only just added not having proportionality to it now. Saskia says we should get a legal advice on this one line. Victor says that it is just going to be a perspective of someone. Wiktor says it will give us clarity to proceed and will sway peoples opinion in this council to vote in favour or against. But lets first talk to the UvA and ask them to reverse the last point.

Francesco proposes to discuss it further in O&F and says it can be brought up in the AO. Pieter-Joost proposes to vote on it next week, because this has been going on for two years already. I think we just have to keep it moving a bit. Francesco responds that he understand his point but is against this because he is on vacation. He adds that the COR also still has to answer.

12. Privacy Policy Consent Request

Sebastiaan says this was discussed in the OV. Regarding the absence of AI the response was that the privacy rules are the same. Regarding what they can do during data breaches the answer was that a crisis team will be formed. A few questions were not answered. Saskia says that regarding deadnaming, the policy is written right but in practice it often doesn't work. She has friends who have changed their name in the system, but it didn't work. Francesco proposes to add implementing this properly to the letter of consent. Saskia says that this is not necessary, as long as we let them know it should be enforced. Sebastiaan says we don't ask them to change the policy, so we can just vote without having written a letter before.

260401-02 Sebastiaan will make the privacy policy consent letter before next week

Voting Proposal: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of consenting to the privacy policy consent request.
In favour: Francesco Bruseghini, Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, , Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath

Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 12
Decision: The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of consenting to the privacy policy consent request.

13. Sustainability

Noor introduces her informing piece on sustainability goals and the ESG framework. A few concerns came up. Regarding the set up of the sustainability office, there are currently two student co-managers and they would like to turn this into one staff member. She has concerns about students influence, also because the students are unhappy with the current supervisor.

Francesco says that in the ESG framework the reduction of 25% is unfeasible and the other goals are vague. Noor agrees that the last four goals are quite vague, and it is unclear how to measure the outcome they plan to achieve. Vincent says that you usually set up an ESG Framework to get subsidies or tax breaks. He asks if there is any reason as such. Noor responds that there is no direct reason, but that Richard is doing this out of his PwC experience. Saskia says she is concerned about the permanent employed position at the green office, instead of students, because she is critical of the goals of focussing on awareness and behavioural change and because besides the goal of more student participation in the framework, there are no steps written of how to achieve that. Noor says that the reason for having a staff member was continuity, because currently every year there is a new board. If they have a staff member that could help with goal setting. She also doesn't get how they want to focus a lot on student participation and then when it comes to the green office they don't want to do this anymore. Pieter-Joost says it can also be seen as a good thing, in the sense that they want more staff members focused on this employed at the university. Francesco says they are already employed positions. Saskia agrees with the goal of continuity, but thinks the logic of getting a paid employee in a bottom up student initiative doesn't make sense. She says the green office is student founded, student led and student organized. To her it feels more like the UvA is killing the green office, but she doesn't think it can be changed at this point. Francesco says it has a student led character. They also want to reduce it from two students working 12 hours to one staff member working 18 hours. Vincent says that you have to look at both sides. He says this is more than fine. Francesco says that currently co-managers do all communication with all student organisations. He believes student-student communication is different than staff-student communication..

Noor asks what kind of goals we would like the UvA to work on? And how can the goals be concretized. We already asked FSR's for input. Vincent says law had no issues with the framework. Noor says it would be nice to bring it up in the next meeting on sustainability.

14. Unsolicited Advice Procedure

Francesco says that at the last PV there was discussion about how we want to handle unsolicited advices. He discussed this in the DB and wanted to discuss it with the whole council. Vincent says it is good that we are discussing this. It is important regarding the OV incident, where the CvB told the council they were shocked and felt threatened. Vincent believes this to be especially important because this is what he warned for in the last PV. It is harmful to those relations. He believes there needs to be some type of format where we can do substantive text editing. He also thinks the other unsolicited advice was a great letter, which shows it can also go very well. Wiktor says that as far as he can remember the last sentence, which was taken badly by the CvB, was not criticized by the council. He says the CvB had no feedback on other points within the letter. Another point he makes is that while it was badly phrased it was not our intention to threaten them, but to inform them of the feelings of a certain section within student population, which he says were also mentioned in the antisemitism report. He says that he is surprised that they were shocked by the whole thing. Vincent says that he agrees that the OV should be a place where the views of students are voiced, but that the point of the letter was to get updates. He also says that we have talked about this a lot and the positions of students are clear. He adds that Richard himself said that this is not how we should receive unsolicited advices. Florence says she would like to respond as the main instigator of this letter. She believes there is a specific context for why it was phrased that way. She says she is in contact with people who are very critical of the Horizon collaborations, and has had conversations with Peter Paul before, outside her council capacity, about these things coming off as a threat. In these conversations she also noted that this is a realistic thing. She understands that the tone was too hard but says she did not have a malicious intent. In terms of how to write unsolicited advices she believes bringing amendments to the letter works quite well. She would feel far more comfortable with that than writing every letter with feedback rounds, because she believes it makes the process too bureaucratic. Pieter-Joost says he believes the letter was a total opposite of the discussion the council had before. He believes there needs to be one moment when those comments can be left, for example by an editorial round in a google docs. He believes that makes it easier to have a discussion in the deciding phase. Saskia says it is important that students can raise points and that we don't have to reach consensus, only a majority of council members. There needs to be allowed space for that. Vincent proposes to make the procedure more efficient. He says the council is polarized between two halves, so whenever there is an unsolicited advice two people should go back & forth in letters, to make it more nuanced documents. Saskia says that not everything has to be nuanced, and there should not be a one size fits all for how we write it. She believes polarization has to be allowed to exist because students are also polarized. Francesco doesn't like what happened in the way it happened. He sees that intention was good, but understands why the CvB took this quite personally, so the wording is important. He did think it was not the best thing to raise it in a meeting with the press. Francesco says he is the one who signed the letter, so he can also understand the council member's frustration with him. He believes we can still have strongly worded letter, without it feeling threatening. He believes it is hard to do something everyone can agree on. He adds that the decision making process last time was not bad, since the council followed the BOB-cycle, but sees that maybe we can have more discussion than last time. He sees having amendments as a possibility and believes editorial rounds are also tough, because in the end the question remains if you have to vote on it or find a middle ground. Vincent says that editorial rounds would be utterly tedious. He also thinks it is way too short if we only have a vote. Pieter-Joost thinks we should add one more time that someone can leave comments, because it is hard to write a good discussion letter. We don't have to make that too long of a process. Francesco thinks it is important to take into account the opinions the whole council regarding tone. He says that maybe the BOB cycle can be a bit longer. He says having amendments also changes the way we work.

Vincent says he can make a draft for procedures we can change, and will bring an informing piece next week.

Saskia says she brought this agenda point. It was not about this specific advice, but about the fact that she was really frustrated by the DB changing the way we do unsolicited advices without discussing this with the council. Pieter-Joost doesn't really see Saskia's critique on what the DB has changed since last time. It is still the BOB Cycle. We didn't change anything. Francesco is also unclear about this. Saskia says it was said on Discord by the DB that they reflected on how unsolicited advices are written, regarding RvT advice. She says that was a decision by DB, not democratically by the whole council. Pieter-Joost says we didn't do that last time. He says that we may have communicated it badly, but that is not what we believe in and not what happened in practice. Francesco also apologizes for the bad communication.

15. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Francesco says that tomorrow there is an AO. We will continue our conversation on Horizon, we will discuss the point of deadnaming, (which is an issue at the Studielink website), the CvB will bring Decentral selection and they will ask us questions on the profiling fund. Francesco asks Pieter-Joost if we want to discuss the housing plan, regarding study spaces, kitchens and other points. Florence thinks this is important and proposes to have a meeting in O&F to discuss it and prepare it for the PV, and prepare a piece for OV. Pieter-Joost says we should just prepare some questions. Francesco says we should not be looking for commitments. Kacper wants to ask them some questions about procurements. Francesco proposes that the DB asks them for updates in the AO and adds that the OV is a bit too soon to already have stances in PV. Pieter-Joost says the profiling fund stances should also be discussed in the PV, because the OV is the last time to have influence on the profiling fund. Wiktor says we should get a button for the council elections again on Canvas.

16. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

No input.

17. Evaluating the OV [Confidential]

The CSR confidentially evaluates the OV.

18. Questions

Kacper has some clarifying points about procurements. There is broad support from the COR for these points. Apparently it is already confirmed that FMG will engage with smaller businesses instead of one catering supplier. This means that the FMG procurement process is different from the general UvA procurement process.

19. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:05.

Decisions

260401-01	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of consenting to the privacy policy consent request.
260401-02	The CSR 25/26 votes in favour of advising positively on the profile of the RvT.

Action list

260401-01	O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.
260401-02	Sebastiaan will make the privacy policy consent letter before next week

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie

SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 1 April 2026

Present	Florence Lynch, Francesco Bruseghini, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, Yipeng Chen, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner
Absent	
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Job Vermaas (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Noor opens the meeting at 17:04 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

.

3. Adapting concept minutes

Due to the long agenda the minutes of the 16th of March and April 1st have not been set.

4. Checking the action list

260401-01 O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.
~~**260401-02** Sebastiaan will make the privacy policy consent letter before next week~~

5. Announcements

Noor says there will be an OV Prep this Friday at 13:00.

Noor says the CSR Dinner will take place on the 15th of April.

There are 14 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

Due to the long agenda no updates were given.

7. Setting the agenda

Yipeng proposes to move decentral selection to the first agenda point because he has to go at 17:30. Saskia says deciding pieces should be first. Noor proposes to keep this agenda.

8. House Rules

Francesco introduces the topic. He summarizes last week's PV discussion. He is willing to agree on a large part of the new house rules. He still finds the point of overnight stays contentious. The wording now says that they will not take proportionality into account in regards to overnight stays. Francesco says that the CSR asked for a different phrasing. The CvB added more clearly what their policy is, but the new phrasing is not what the council asked for.

Francesco thinks it is problematic that people who read it will understand that proportionality will not be there for overnight stays. He agrees that the process has taken very long and the CSR got almost everything that they wanted. Therefore he proposes to give conditional consent to the house rules, with only the words regarding proportionality at overnight stays removed.

Saskia says the letter was not attached to the PV email. Noor proposes to send the letter as a reply all to the CSR. Wiktor asks if Francesco talked about this in the AO with Peter-Paul Verbeek. Francesco was confused by his answers, because Peter-Paul says proportionality needs to be there for every case. Therefore, Francesco thinks they misunderstood our question or didn't understand what we wanted. Wiktor says that if the CvB is aligned with the CSR on proportionality, conditional consent shouldn't be problem, as long as we phrase it well within our letter. Francesco says they are not asking for a radical new version of the house rules. He also points out that the consent request of the COR is still open, which means it will take a while anyway. Pieter-Joost says it is clear for him that the CvB says that it is always unsafe to have overnight stays. Also, the CSR has dragged this process for two years. He thinks semantics over this small sentence, should not block consent to this version. He thinks adding another six weeks, and the COR having to reply would not be great for relationship with CvB, because it would send the signal that we are frustrating the process even more. He adds that if we consent to it fully, we don't have to look at Francesco's letter. Saskia disagrees and says the way that they have written it doesn't take proportionality into account, which is specifically what they did not ask for. She believes this sets a dangerous precedent, that if they do the opposite of what we ask for they can get away with it. Vincent supports what Pieter-Joost says, regarding the ban on overnight stays. He thinks it is only adding ambiguity to the house rules, while the current proposal reflects the current situation more accurately. He reiterates that proportionality should not always be the case, because it literally opens the door to things we don't want.

Voting Proposal (1): The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of Pieter-Joost's amendment to remove the proportionality test reserve from our consent letter.
In favour: 8 (Noor, Pieter, Alies, Sebas, Vincent, Yipeng, Vaisakh, Philipp)
Against: 6 (Saskia, Kacper, Victor, Francesco, Wiktor, Florence)
Blanco:
Quorum: 14
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of Pieter-Joost's amendment to remove the proportionality test reserve from our consent letter.

Voting Proposal (2): The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of granting consent to the House Rules and sending the letter.
In favour: 8 (Noor, Pieter, Alies, Sebas, Vincent, Yipeng, Vaisakh, Philipp)
Against: 6 (Saskia, Kacper, Victor, Francesco, Wiktor, Florence)
Blanco:
Quorum: 14
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of granting consent to the House Rules and sending the letter.

9. SoC Rector [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the SoC for the Rector.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> *The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Pieter-Joost to the Rector SoC.*
Quorum: 14
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Pieter-Joost to the Rector SoC.

10. BAC Student Assessor [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the BAC for the student assessor.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> *The CSR 2025/26 votes on sending Vincent or Victor to the central student assessor BAC.*
Quorum: 14
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Vincent to the central student assessor BAC.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> *The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Bas to the central student assessor BAC.*
Quorum: 12
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Bas to the central student assessor BAC.

260408-01 Noor sends a letter to Hester regarding the people that have been selected for the BAC of the Student Assessor

11. Profiling fund

The changes to the profiling fund have now passed all the different departments in the UvA, so the attendance requirement for extra middelen medezeggenschap money will not be included in those changes. The main concern for the current changes to the profiling fund are that there is a maximum of 24 months for medezeggenschap and 24 months for study associations. The university wants to bring it down to 24 months total. Arguments in favour are that a larger group of students is able to join the medezeggenschap and that it helps unnecessary study delay. You don't want people to study for six years because they get money. Arguments against are that it is harder to be in the council for longer.

Victor says it is a breaking point. He thinks the strength of medezeggenschap is continuity, because older council members can teach the younger members. He believes people who have been in the council longer do the work better. Pieter-Joost says you get a different amount of months per position you have in the council. With 24 months you can be a general member for 4 years. Pieter-Joost asks about the diversity of people in the councils. Victor says that will naturally occur. He also believes no-one will do medezeggenschap for the money. Saskia it is a breaking point. She is dissapointed that the discontent they expressed during the initial presentation is not taken into account. She says that the proposal assumes that students are doing it for the money for 4-5 years, while this is actually very rare. She proposes to do a maximum of 24 months for the bachelors and 12 months for the masters. Wiktor says the arguments made by the university about more diversity and rotations in student bodies don't make sense to him, for example the only people who were in council last year were Wiktor and Francesco. To him it seems like a misguided attempt. Saskia says that limiting the amount of money is weird, because it doesn't set term limits, which means it is inaccessible to richer students. Pieter-Joost says he see sees the arguments of the UvA. It is agreed that it will be further discusses in OV prep.

The BVO mentioned the attendance requirement for medezeggenschap. They first offered attendance requirement in OV's. Pieter-Joost says it is not a specifically good solution. Victor agrees the OV's are not the best because some people cannot attend them. Alies agrees. Victor proposes that the DB gets the power to strip money from people more easily. Saskia believes further research should be done on how medezeggenschap can remain an autonomous body. Vincent says some people do something more important, next to the CSR, for example tending to students. Vaisakh is in support of researching it.

Saskia says that for students who are in a bad spot, sending all this documentation, regarding special family circumstances is hard. She proposes that there is a possibility for students to advocate for themselves in a more informal way. Pieter-Joost thinks it is a great suggestion, but thinks it should be a suggestion for next time. Saskia agrees.

Kacper says that regarding special circumstances were they can get financial support are vaguely defined. He believes poverty or financial stress should also qualify you.

12. Housing plan [Confidential]

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the housing plan.

13. Decentral selection regulations

Yipeng says the ACTA FSR has given a cautious negative advice on the lottery system, which has been implemented across all dentistry faculties. ACTA has only implemented it this year and the faculty believes they don't have enough data and understanding of how it has been working nationally to make a decision in it. Yipeng thinks an evaluation needs to happen. Yipeng says that grades are lower. 21% of students has less than 8 study points. The average grade is a 5.6, while it always used to be above a 7. He has to mention that it is really expensive for ACTA. Each year delay they have to pay for it. NL has shortage of dentist, so if we keep delaying the output of dentists, people won't get the care they need. It is not only about education but also about patient care. He says you need motivation to get through this program. As the FSR they disagree with the lottery. They hope a new form of selection comes back, one which requires the motivation of students. So a new selection which includes diversity and inclusivity.

Vaisakh says it is very clear that they need to move forward with the plans as is, and we need to decide as a council how to move forward with that. The CvB says ACTA is a concern. It is therefore not a problem if the CSR supports the FSR, so that an evaluation happens. He thinks we should convey our concerns in the OV. Saskia agrees that these numbers are concerning. She questions how affordability and other factors push into the declining grades. She is hesitant to blame it all on one factor. Kacper agrees. Yipeng says it is a very diverse population and they are also working on affordability as an FSR. Alies says she sees the concern, but says you can give people more classes in motivation and how to learn to learn. Pieter-Joost says we can be a bit more firm. They ignored an advice from the faculty student councils. A large increase in number of people that applied. It is almost not possible in his eyes to look at those numbers and find another cause. We can be open in the OV that this is a problem and we should work on this.

Noor says we will discuss this further in the OV prep.

14. Educational Vision

Saskia wants to give space for all concerns on the educational vision, before the final advice. Francesco didn't like the entrepreneurial profile. Kacper agrees with Francesco, because he believes science is a noble profession that should be about contributing to society. It is about helping people and passion, and not making money. Sebastiaan thinks it is important that people see no hierarchy in the profiles, like in Dutch high schools. Vaisakh is positive about the entrepreneurial profile as he believes an entrepreneur is someone who has an idea. Alies agrees with Vaisakh.

There are no breaking points. Vaisakh says that these profiles are not set in stone, and will be discussed during UCO. Regarding any other points please text Vaisakh & Saskia.

15. Militarization at FNWI

Victor says that five weeks ago the FSR received an email from the faculty board, notifying on a report regarding militarization at FNWI. They were not allows to discuss it with students & staff members. The recommendations they do are pretty big decision and he believes that regardless of the content, medezeggenschap should be included, for example as with the educational vision, where there were a lot of meetings beforehand. The report at FNWI was already commissioned without input from students or staff. The only input was asked for an email from the faculty to the CvB. Pieter-Joost asks for the proposed email from the faculty to CvB. Victor will give it.

16. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

No points.

17. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

No input.

18. Evaluating the PV

No points.

19. Questions

No points.

20. Closing the meeting

Noor closes the meeting at 19:13.

Decisions

260408-01	The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of Pieter-Joost's amendment to remove the proportionality test reserve from our consent letter.
260408-02	The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of granting consent to the House Rules and sending the letter.
260408-03	*The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Pieter-Joost to the Rector SoC.*
260408-04	The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Vincent to the central student assessor BAC.
260408-05	The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending Bas to the central student assessor BAC.

Action list

260401-01	O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce, and who to send to their meetings.
260408-01	Noor sends a letter to Hester regarding the people that have been selected for the BAC of the Student Assessor

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)

FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 15 April 2026

Present	Florence Lynch, Francesco Bruseghini, Noor Hajra, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Wiktor Misiak, Alies Vercouteren, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Philipp Greiner.
Absent	Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Richard Brante (*FSR FNWI).*
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Noor opens the meeting at 17:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes from the 1st and 8th of April are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
Wiktor announces the deadline for parties to submit their candidate lists has been extended to tomorrow. Wiktor will be working on the voting guide which will be presented to and voted on by the council. The communications office has asked that there are only 20 questions for parties to answer this year. Wiktor asks each party to send in feedback on important issues to include within the voting guide.
Saskia will not be working next week.

There are 14 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. Francesco informs the CSR Folia would like to be present for GV's. O&F has sent an email on ACTA affordability but the committee has not yet received a response. The house rules have been consented to. The profiling fund consent request was discussed in the OV. Kacper and Vaisakh have been working on the decentral selection regulations and will bring the advice to the PV. Saskia attended UCO where the educational vision as presented. O&I has discussed militarization at FNWI and the coming election period. The committee is picking up contemplation rooms again. Wiktor and Victor attended a meeting with ASVA and the CDO to create a video about the contemplation rooms. Francesco and Victor met with Pim about the new communications employee for medezeggenschap. There is still not clarity on the position but the communications office will continue working on the details.

Centrale Studentenraad

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set.

8. EUGLOH [Confidential]
The CSR discusses a confidential point on EUGLOH.

9. Committee on Recognition of Student Organizations [Confidential]
The CSR discusses a confidential point on the committee on recognition of student organizations.

10. OV Reflection [Confidential]
The CSR discusses a confidential point on the OV reflection.

11. SoC Rector Input
The CSR previously gathered input during the selection process for the Chair of the CvB. Pieter-Joost would like to gather input from the CSR for what qualities members would like to see in a Rector. Pieter-Joost finds it important the candidate would be a bridge-builder and will have a strong connection with the CSR. Saskia would like to see an academic background that is not natural sciences or economically related to ensure there is broad academic representation in the Board. The UvA has a large social science and humanities faculty which she would like to see reflected in the CvB. Victor finds the candidate should have experience with medezeggenschap but would like to evaluate what the experience of the candidate has been. Victor would like to ensure that the experience is fruitful for both the CvB and medezeggenschap, in which candidates should have previously had constructive experience with medezeggenschap bodies. Florence mentions it would be ideal if the candidate comes from the UvA community. Due to the position of the Rector being close to education policy, Florence would like to see that the candidate has affinity with the educational culture at the UvA. Francesco mentions the Rector will be the CvB member that is the point of contact with the CSR. Francesco would like to see a candidate that emphasizes the need to gather input and understand the perspective of students. Saskia mentions she would have a preference for a candidate that does not have a lot of experience as a Dean or in a CvB, given there is already board experience in the CvB.

12. Militarization at FNWI
Following the informing piece from the previous PV, Victor has attached the position of the FSR FNWI on the report cooperation with the security and resilience sector. Victor would like to discuss how the concerns from the FSR FNWI on the the report could be taken up at the central level.
Saskia asks if the FSR FNWI thinks the collaborations should go through ACEC. Victor clarifies the FSR FNWI would like collaborations to go through ACEC. The FSR FNWI is frustrated with the process and that changes within collaboration agreements are not transparent. Pieter-Joost does not understand why the FSR feels the process is not transparent given there is not a deviation from the regular process for new research collaborations. Pieter-Joost mentions a decision has not been made according to the report and the FSR has been consulted beforehand. Victor shares at FNWI, the report was conducted without input from student representatives while at other faculties student representatives are present for the report. The report was presented to the CvB and the FSR was asked to give feedback over email afterwards. Students could have been invited to be a part of the conversations and the FSR FNWI would like this to be handled differently.
Pieter-Joost does not see what the CSR could add to the discussion since a decision has not been made. Victor mentions the FSR FNWI has taken their stance to the central level to stress the concerns with the process rather than the contents of the report. Victor mentions if the FSR accepts the current process, the FSR would

be giving up on the rights and input they have. Saskia mentions her main concern is that the report mentions there is broad support within the faculty, yet the report has only consulted researchers. If the FSR is asking for support, Saskia finds it important the CSR takes forward the request.
Francesco asks if the report was started on the faculty or central level. Victor clarifies the report comes from a working group that was started by the Dean. Saskia asks if there was any discussion with students before the report was published. Victor confirms there was not broad support from students nor a request for input beforehand. Victor states the FSR has also not been asked for advice on the report but rather for input via an email.
Pieter-Joost reiterates he is unclear on what the CSR could do to support the FSR. Pieter-Joost disagrees with the framing as well as the FSR mentions it is militarization while the program focuses on resilience in security. Victor clarifies the main issue is on the process for the report. The FSR FNWI would like to raise issues about the process and would like support from the CSR on speaking out against the process. Kacper adds the more the UvA goes into projects of security, the more likely there are future projects and collaborations with defence, which concerns more than one faculty.
Sebastiaan asks if there has been any contact between Dean regarding concerns from the FSR FNWI. Victor clarifies the FSR has spoken to the Dean about their dissatisfaction with the process. The FSR previously raised concerns about the collaborations and asked if there will be a way to report concerns to the faculty board. The board clarified there would not be a mechanism to raise concerns from staff and students. The FSR FNWI feels that the answers from the board were not concrete.
Francesco mentions the CSR could raise the FSR FNWI's concern to the CvB to advise on the faculty level discussions. Victor adds the Dean also advised the FSR to discuss the topic at a central level. The FSR FNWI would like to request more information about the developments in security collaborations and the process from the CvB. Saskia mentions if FSR FNWI decides to send an unsolicited advice, the CSR could also co-sign. Francesco would like clarity on where the topic lies as research decisions are relegated to the faculty level. Kacper mentions the FMG has created a working group on collaborations on security but the working group has now been disbanded. Francesco requests more clarity from the FSR FNWI on the support that would be needed.
Vaisakh asks what is the expected next steps following the report. Victor states the FSR FNWI is unaware of the next steps. Pieter-Joost mentions he sees the collaborations as a national trend as more money has been allocated to research for national security. Pieter-Joost would not only like to look at the bad side of defence but would like to see the collaborations as opportunities. Wiktor mentions a point identified by the FSR FNWI is to ensure an ethical evaluation is done through ACEC to ensure that the funding received will follow ethical guidelines. Saskia would like more details on the efforts to bypass ACEC for the next PV.

13. BAC Student Assessor Input

The first selection has already taken place for the student assessor BAC but the CSR is invited to provide further input for the coming selection rounds for student assessor candidates. Kacper would like to see a candidate that is involved in the medezeggenschap and is able to be present at the CSR PVs. The CSR reflects it is difficult to identify whether someone can be present but this can be kept in mind. Saskia would like the assessor to articulate how international students will be incorporated in UvA policies and discussions. Francesco would like for candidates to be asked what they expect from the position and would prefer a candidate that identifies multiple goals outside of attending CvB meetings. Francesco would like to see the student assessor as more accessible to the general student population.

`` **Centrale Studentenraad**

14. FSR Updates

The FSR AMC is still actively looking into where the funding should be allocated for physical improvements to the faculty. The OC selection has been discussed in which the FSR would like to amend the process to avoid members being able to vote for themselves. The FSR votes on who will be in the OC which raises issues within the selection procedure on fairness. The FSR has decided to create an agreement to ask members not to vote for themselves. Wiktor mentions in the CSR when there are votes on people they are anonymous. He asks how this would be enforceable if votes are confidential. Alies states she does not see it would be able to be enforced. Saskia asks if the current procedure goes against UvA regulations on OC selection. Alies states it is not against the current regulations in the faculty. The FSR AMC is also discussing elections as they would like an extra seat for medical students. There was a protest at the AMC from the Activistenpartij on militarization which was unclear to the FSR as there are no collaborations between security and AMC. Alies spoke with the students who organized the protest in which there were no conversations with the faculty beforehand on the goal of the protest. Wiktor mentions he was present for the flyering event on the bridge outside the campus which was peaceful. Alies clarifies the flyering started inside the hospital but was moved to the bridge.
The FSR FEB attended an OV in which the selection procedures were discussed. The FEB wanted to discuss the upcoming lottery based systems. The FSR wanted further clarity on the position of the faculty on the implementation of the lottery system. AI policy in FEB has also been discussed and the FSR will work on the policy without waiting for the central level. The FSR has been looking into ways to increase the faculty election turnout. The FSR FEB would like to make the CSR aware of a initiative for Iranian students to provide funding to help students access mental health services. Philipp will send the link for donations on Discord. The FSR FEB also wanted to bring forward the question whether a solution can be provided for students in programs with different difficulty levels, such as weighted GPAs, as students doing more difficult programs will have a lower GPA than students in easier programs. The discussion will be continued in O&B.

Philipp leaves 18:35

The FSR FMG conducted a mental health and social safety survey. The FSR attended an OV a few weeks ago and discussed unifying course evaluations, lecture recordings and the collaborations with the defence sector. The FSR has stated they are against collaborations at the faculty level with defence. The FSR also discussed the strategic faculty plan in which medezeggenschap was not included. The FSR sent a letter to the Dean to ban the VSPA party in the student elections. The FSR is planning their transfer and creating a year report. The AI in Action week is being planned and will take place in the coming weeks. The FSR FMG is currently searching for a council assistant as well.
The FSR FNWI has been discussing militarization. The FSR is working on the OER and have advised on the regulations. The FSR is creating a list of points for the OER revision next year for the incoming council to bring forward. The FSR FNWI is looking for a new vice-chair.
Saskia asks the delegates who are not present to send their faculty updates.

15. AMC Campaigning

Wiktor is currently working on the campaign planning for elections. Wiktor would like to inform the CSR of the current permitted locations for campaigning at AMC. Wiktor informs the CSR he is actively working on a solution between the conflict of Activistenpartij and communications at AMC. Wiktor informs the council he may bring a letter forward either asking parties to be more restrained when campaigning at AMC or for the administration to ensure there is safe campaigning permitted. Wiktor clarifies there is an area that can be flyered in where there are no patients but oftentimes campaigning happens outside this designated area.

16. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business
There are no further points.

17. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media
There is no further input.

18. Evaluating the PV
The PV is evaluated.

19. Questions
There are no further questions.

20. Closing the meeting
Francesco closes the meeting at 18:54.

Action List
~~**260408-01** Noor will send an email to Hester regarding the BAC selection for Student Assessor.~~
~~**260401-01** O&B will discuss the UvA-VU Taskforce and which members will attend the meetings.~~

Decisions

Pro memoria
140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 22 April 2026

Present	Florence Lynch, Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Wiktor Misiak, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer.
Absent	Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Francesco Bruseghini, Alies Vercouteren, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Hidde Heijnis (student assessor FGw), Nader Khalaf (FSR AMC), Elissa Evers (FSR FNWI), Robin Wacanno (FSR FNWI)
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Noor opens the meeting at 17:02 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes from the 15th of April are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

The transfer weekend will take place from the 3rd to the 5th of July.
The CSR will not meet next week. The DB will meet on the 4th of May.

Francesco mandates Victor
Saskia mandates Kacper
Vaisakh mandates Noor
There are 10 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. O&F had a technical meeting on the upcoming kaderbrief process and housing plan. Pieter-Joost attended the first SoC meeting for the Rector of the CvB. The CSR will need to vote in a member of the student recognition committee before the 1st of May. O&F is also planning a townhall on sustainability with the Green Office. The O&I met on Monday and discussed the upcoming student elections. Wiktor and Noor have been working on the Fair Play agreement and questions for the stemwijzer.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Profiling Fund Consent Request

The CSR has discussed the consent request previously in the PV and the OV. The CSR received the commitment of an increase to 36 months to be a board member or member of medezeggenschap. Florence clarifies whether it is 36 months for both bachelors and masters combined or if there is split between both. Pieter-Joost clarifies 36 months applies to the entirety of your studies.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending the letter to conditionally consent to the new profiling fund regulations.
In favour: 10 (Pieter-Joost, Noor, Kacper, Saskia, Vaisakh, Victor, Francesco, Florence, Wiktor, Vincent)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 10
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending the letter to conditionally consent to the new profiling fund regulation.

9. Militarization at FNWI

In the last PV, the CSR requested further clarity on what support the FSR FNWI would like from the CSR. The FSR sees that the CSR could play an informing role. For example, asking questions in the OV since the process for collaborations with the defense sector originated at the central level. The FSR has been informed the process for collaborations differs per faculty as well and would like clarity on the process at each faculty. Victor requests other delegates to inform him of the process for security collaborations at their respective faculties and whether similar reports to the one at FNWI exist. CSR delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties [**action**]. The FSR will send an unsolicited advice to the Dean on their frustration with the process in which the CSR could show support by signing on. On a broader level, if the process is initiated at the central level, the CSR could take a stance on the collaboration at a central level.
Pieter-Joost agrees it is important to ask the CvB for clarity on the process. Pieter-Joost does not see that the CSR should add to the unsolicited advice on the process at the faculty but is in favour of asking questions to understand how the process went.
Florence is in favour of supporting the unsolicited advice given the process was also initiated at the central level. Victor would like an overview of what has been asked from each faculty in collaborations with defense and what the of the FSR has been. Victor would like to identify what differences exist in how faculties respond to central requests. Wiktor would be in favour of supporting an unsolicited advice depending on the content of the advice. Pieter-Joost mentions he still finds it unclear how the rights of students are undermined, as mentioned by the FSR, as the FSR has been requested for feedback on the report. Victor clarifies advice was requested informally and not through an official process. The concept email with the report was sent to the CvB before the informal advice from the FSR was requested. Pieter-Joost supports the goal of an unsolicited advice that focuses on the process for requesting feedback from the FSR.
The FSR FGw will be in contact with the FSR FNWI as the FSR was included before a decision was made at FGw. Students were asked to be involved in a roundtable discussion before a decision was made.

10. 2026 Budget Overview

The CSR is given a presentation of the Q1 CSR overview. Vincent has amended the excel sheet to understand the prognosis for the CSR budget. 2/3rds of the budget is available until the next CSR, in which 1/3 is allocated for incoming members.

Pieter-Joost asks if there is a timeline for how much money parties will receive. Vincent mentions the funding is allocated based on how many parties run per faculty, which will be allocated once the candidates lists are confirmed by the CSB.

11. Activism at AMC

The CSR has been informed last week on the tensions that are present at AMC regarding activism on campus, particularly during the election period in 2025. Wiktor has found there is a bigger issue at the campus in which there is a pattern of favourability towards Partij MFAS and more suppression toward Activistenpartij. Wiktor has attached a report on his findings between the differing treatment of the two parties, as well as proposed recommendations for an unsolicited advice to Partij MFAS, facility services and communications at AMC. Wiktor has identified the suppression mostly comes as Activistenpartij is the only party running outside the medicine faculty. Wiktor has identified issues between the facility services treatment of each party as well. Wiktor has also states he is concerned about the link between Partij MFAS and the study association in which resources from the association are allocated to the party, giving Partij MFAS an advantage. Wiktor finds study associations should not have this involvement in political campaigns. Wiktor has proposed several recommendations that the CSR could include in a letter to the AMC facility services, communications and the MFAS study associations.
Alies has informed Noor that she would like to have been involved in the discussion and would like to further discuss next week. Pieter-Joost mentions he would be in favour of postponing the discussion until after the break to provide for a more fruitful discussion. Pieter-Joost mentions he did not experience issues during campaigning for DVS at AMC and would like to hear more from Partij MFAS. Wiktor would like to have a discussion on the recommendations he has identified to ensure the CSR is able to send the proposed letter before the student elections.
Vincent understands the perspective of Wiktor's report but finds several claims in his report are circumstantial. Vincent states the posters being taken from the wall as an intentional act is more an assumption. Vincent disagrees with the fact that study associations mixing with student parties is inherently a bad thing. Vincent mentions there are no regulations against this in the house rules and would disagree with the assumption study/student associations should not be intertwined.
Florence mentions the recommendations in the report aim to ensure there is equal opportunity and access for the participation parties. Wiktor mentions he recognises it is unclear who took down the posters but included images in his report to identify a pattern of this happening at the AMC. Further, Wiktor mentions Activistenpartij flyering content is evaluated and has included an email in which facility services stated they took down the parties' flyers. Wiktor mentions each mousepad in the library has the party logo as well and sees there is a discrepancy between what is and is not permitted for different political parties.

Sebastiaan enters 17:48

Wiktor adds the difference in treatment was previously identified in the Fair Play Agreement. Victor is in favour of pushing back on the use of resources by study associations towards political parties to ensure there are free and fair election and finds the discussion is in line with the CSR's concerns for safe campaigning. Pieter-Joost would like clarity on what the report aims to achieve, particularly as flyering differs per faculty. Wiktor mentions that he would like to directly recommend facility services suspend the enforcement of removing flyers left on the table. Wiktor is unsure the degree to which a faculty house rules can diverge from central house rules he would like to look into given the central house rules allow for more flexibility in flyering. Wiktor refers in the recommendations mostly to the tables where flyers can be left and ensuring this is allowed for all parties. Wiktor also proposes that the CSR can send a recommendation to the student association to fairly participate in the elections by not using extra resources.

`` Centrale Studentenraad

The CSR has also received an email from the FSR FdG in which the DB expresses their concern for the lack of consultation before the report was presented to the council. The FSR would also like to ensure that they are not bypassed by the CSR for the decision-making process.
Pieter-Joost mentions the study associations connected to student parties are also common in other faculties, such as SEFA within the FEB. Pieter-Joost finds it would be important to include flyering in the house rules to ensure fair elections as DVS posters were also ripped down during the election period last year.
Wiktor will draft a letter for the PV before the elections on the recommendations he has proposed for facility services on flyering and the MFAS for Fair Play. Wiktor states he would like to have a vote but understands if council members vote against. Pieter-Joost finds the concerns from the FSR as valid given the topic should be discussed first in the FSR. Noor recommends getting in touch with the FSR as there is not much time before the election period and to better understand the stance from the CSR.
Wiktor asks a guest, Hidde Heijnis, how the procedure for filing a complaint with the facility services at AMC went last year during the election period. Hidde elaborates that the Activistenpartij filed a complaint as their flyering was labelled as a protest during the election period, even though the flyers were strictly advertising candidacy from Activistenpartij. Following this, the party wrote a report to the Dean of Medicine which was also sent to the FSR, asking the FSR to support the party. The FSR at the time did not provide a response on time. Hidde mentions there was a lack of trust formed afterwards towards the FSR FdG given the lack of support received. Vincent recommends allowing for an opportunity for a written reaction from the MFAS to further discuss in the next CSR PV.
Wiktor will send a response to the FSR FdG on the activism at AMC report and will ask for a written reaction and invite them to the next PV [**action**].

12. Unsolicited Advice Procedure

Vincent has prepared a presentation on multiple proposals for the unsolicited advice procedure in the CSR. In proposal 1, Vincent has based the proposal on the BOB cycle meeting piece from Francesco. The BOB cycle would be filed and then two co-drafters would be chosen to write the letter from different parties than the submitter. Vincent would like to focus on finding consensus rather than voting based on majority and pushing through votes.
In the first proposal, a first option to pass an advice would require a signature from both co-drafters for the advice to pass, while a dissenting co-drafter that does not sign could be overruled by a simple majority of the council. The advice would then pass with a note on the dissenting view. As a second option, the co-drafters would work with amendments in which only five amendments would be taken into account when editing the advice. Amendments would then be voted on and then the advice would be voted on as a whole. As a third option, the advice procedure would remain as it currently is, with a simple majority needed to pass the advice.
The second proposal proposes an ad-hoc working group for unsolicited advices. There would be volunteers required, from different parties, and the writers would meet outside the PV. The writers would propose changes, negotiate and make agreements. If 2 or more people from a party agree on amendments and the advice, it passes. If not, it does not pass. Disputed passages that did not pass would be discussed in the PV with an A or B option, including a binary vote.
The third options is that advices would be a qualified majority (2/3rds) with a minority statement. If the advice is unsolicited and it does not pass, it will not be issued and can be revised and resubmitted. If the advice is solicited, the advice will be issued as an obligated with a draft dissent from a minority and the advices will be in one document.
Florence asks if the proposal for unsolicited advices would be included in the working agreements or as a separate document. Vincent proposes to include it in the working agreements but add the procedure as an appendix. Vincent invites members to share feedback with him and inform him if they would like more information on a specific proposal. The CSR will further discuss the proposals before a next draft is created.

13. Unsolicited Advice RvT Response

The CSR has received a response from the RvT on the unsolicited advice on the CvB Appointment Procedure. Florence asks if the NDA can be shared. Pieter-Joost states the procedures are now written down for the SoC and the NDA is less strict. Pieter-Joost clarifies the NDA now only states that the SoC cannot share information that can directly lead to identifying a candidate.

14. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There are no further points.

15. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There is no further input.

16. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

17. Questions

Florence mentions she is still unclear on how the CSR will appoint someone for the Committee for the Recognition of Student Organizations. The DB will ensure an email vote will take place. CSR members should send the candidates to Vaisakh with a motivation letter and resume. Vaisakh will share an update in Discord with more information to help members find students to join.
Wiktor and Pieter-Joost are working on the stemwijzer and ask CSR members to look for an email vote over the break.

18. Closing the meeting

Noor closes the meeting at 18:40.

Action List

260422-01	CSR delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties.
260422-02	Wiktor will send a response to the FSR FdG on the activism at AMC report and will ask for a written reaction and invite them to the next PV.

Decisions

260422-01	The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending the letter to conditionally consent to the new profiling fund regulations.

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica

PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 6 May 2026

Present	Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Wiktor Misiak, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Florence Lynch, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere.
Guest(s)	Bas Laan (*FSR FdG chair*), Nader Khalaf (FSR AMC).
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:07 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes from the 22nd of April are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

Francesco will need to leave at 18:00.

Florence mandates Wiktor
There are 10 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. The DB reminds CSR members to fill out the DB evaluation form that has been sent. The GV has received the consent request for the strategy which will be discussed during the GV on Friday. The CSR received responses on the house rules consent request and the unsolicited advice on Horizon collaborations from the CvB. Vinod has started today as the Chair of the CvB. The CSR has voted on a candidate for the Committee for Recognition of Student Organizations. O&I is continuing to work on elections. O&B is continuing to work on the UvA strategy in which there will be a focus group on implementation for the accent profiles on the 15th of June at 16:00. O&F is working on the kaderbrief.
Saskia mentions the UvA has sent an email about the data breach from Canvas. Saskia asks if there has been any formal updates. Francesco informs the CSR that he has been in contact with the administration. A crisis team has not been formed and currently ICTS is working with Infrastructure to set up more security measures. Student information that has been compromised is limited to student emails, Canvas login information, and any communication that has been sent on Canvas. Saskia mentions she has also received feedback that the contemplation rooms are often used during the exam periods which requires students to pray in other spaces. Saskia asks if Victor can look into setting up temporary contemplation rooms during exam periods. Victor will look into the issue further.

Centrale Studentenraad

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Activism at AMC

Following the report that was presented last week, the FSR FdG has sent a reply to the report. The CSR has received the response, as well as a further response from Wiktor. Wiktor would like the CSR to decide on sending a letter to the AMC administration on the recommendations put forth in the initial report. Wiktor informs the CSR he has spoken to MFAS in which they have mentioned they are working on separating the association and the party, as well as internally discussing the current flyering regulations. Wiktor mentions many of the recommendations in his initial report for MFAS are being implemented. Wiktor would still like to send a letter to facility services and communications to ensure the parties are treated fairly during the election period. Wiktor outlines the recommendations pertain to equal enforcement for flyering, ensuring equal access to poster frames for flyering and requesting that facility services is aware of the house rules to ensure they do not overextend their authority. Wiktor would like to amend the letter to state that facility services should be more lenient during the campaigning periods and election time.
Vaisakh asks what the goal of the letter would be. Wiktor states the goal of the letter is to make sure there is less pressure on parties during the campaign period.
Alies would not be in favour of sending a letter and would prefer the CSR to speak to facility services directly. Alies would like to address the report as well as claims have been made that she would like to discuss. Pieter-Joost would like to discuss the report as he does not find that the report is representative of the way council members should work together. He would like to ensure that the MFAS perspective is included in the minutes as well to ensure there is fair coverage. Francesco states it is important to split the discussion first on the recommendations in the report and secondly on the process for how the discussions have developed.
Saskia proposes that the letter is addressed to all facility service departments at the UvA to remind them of the upcoming election period. Saskia would like to ensure that facilities are aware that flyering is allowed during the week of campaigning. Alies clarifies the rules state that flyering does not need prior approval but the flyers will be removed if the material is deemed inappropriate. Wiktor clarifies the recommendation was present as the approved flyers were removed from the locations where flyering was allowed while other party flyers were not removed.
Francesco mentions the CSR sent an email last year reminding facility services for leniency for flyering in the upcoming election period from the 11th to the 19th of May. Pieter-Joost is in favour of an email but would find it more relevant to send a reminder of the house rules rather than ask for leniency. Francesco clarifies that facility services is often strict in the categorization of the house rules but leniency would be within the scope of the house rules. Alies is in favour of an email but would not like there to be an accusation about rules being broken. Saskia recommends forwarding the email to the student parties as well in case members need to refer to the email when campaigning. The CSR is in favour of sending an email to facility services. Wiktor and Alies will co-draft the statement in which CSR members can leave feedback until Thursday.
Alies shares the FSR AMC has prepared a response to the report. Alies shares she acknowledges it is unfortunate and should not happen that a parties posters are removed, but states there is currently equal campaigning access at the faculty. Alies states there was no request for posters to be hung within the frames on campus which should have been requested if parties want to use the space. Alies shares MFAS is not unwilling to provide space for campaigning. Alies feels that it is unfortunate that the claims have been made in this way. The FSR FdR appreciates the errors that have been admitted from Wiktor's side.
Wiktor asks for clarification on which claims that FSR FdR feels are unreasonable. Alies states the claim that campaigning is not equal on campus is unreasonable and does not have sufficient evidence. Wiktor appreciates that efforts are being made but adds that in previous years, requests to MFAS to hang posters were denied. Alies mentions there is one example in which the FSR denied a promotional request in the MFAS

whatsapp chat, which was denied for all parties. Alies clarifies MFAS does not want anything education related in the whatsapp chat. Alies has asked if the MFAS is willing to let parties advertise in the poster frames. MFAS informed Alies that there have not been requests but they are open to campaign materials. Francesco asks why MFAS has their own promotion frames. Alies clarifies they are allocated to the student association by the faculty. Alies clarifies she does not have the mandate to say that posters can be hung there but states parties should be requested to MFAS directly. The FSR can support the request but does not have the jurisdiction to decide. Alies finds it is a big assumption to say MFAS is not unwilling to post campaign material.

Alies states she disagrees with the claim in Wiktor's report that the hospital rules are not based on anything and are problematic. Wiktor clarifies that his position on the rules are included in the report as it is related to his opinion. Wiktor asks in the perspective of the FSR if the rules for flyering should exist. Alies clarifies the FSR has not discussed the rules but understands their existence at the hospital.

Alies shares several of the MFAS points are covered. Alies finds that it is unfortunate the process went this way and would have preferred to have a conversation before providing a report. Alies would have liked to discuss this beforehand to avoid the accusations and the conversation in the PV. The FSR FdG finds the report should have been neutral as it has been submitted on behalf of a CSR file holder. Alies shares according to the working agreements, Wiktor should have brought it to the PV and spoken to multiple parties to submit a more inclusive report. Alies finds this counters the CSR internal working agreements. Alies shares the FSR's integrity was also brought within the report, specifically citing distrust in the Chair of the FSR FdG. Alies states this is not a reflection of the FSR or within the scope of the document. Alies finds this was unprofessional to include and to question the FSR integrity, specifically as the FSR is democratically elected. Wiktor mentions the claim was included as he finds the complaint made by the FSR FdG Chair relevant to ensure there is equal access for all parties.

Francesco would like to stop the conversation as it is no longer productive. Moving forward, Francesco concludes the process needs to be followed, specifically ensuring discussions on meetings pieces first happy in the committees.

9. Decentral Selection Regulations Advice Request

The CSR has received the decentral selection regulations advice request and the advices from the FSR's. From the OV and the PV, Vaisakh has collected remaining points for the advice, specifically that the CSR would like to ensure all FSR's are adequately consulted on selection procedures, stating the concern from the CSR on the disproportionate impact that the regulations can have on programs, the need for an evaluation moment at the end of each academic year, and concerns with student underperformance that may stem from affordability issues. If there are any further points, Vaisakh would like to receive those for the letter.

Pieter-Joost misses that the FSR ACTA gave a negative advice and would like to ensure this is mentioned explicitly. Saskia does not like the phrasing of top-performing program for ACTA as there are also other top programs at the UvA without numerous fixus. Victor mentions he would like to add a request for more in-depth data to better understand the affordability at ACTA in the letter. For instance, to look into whether first-generation students are at a disadvantage. Saskia would also like to ask for clarification on when there would be more concrete data to evaluate the numerus fixus at ACTA. Vaisakh recommends to include that the CSR will monitor if an evaluation is done yearly and the results of this.

Vaisakh will share a draft of the advice request next week.

Bas leaves 18:37

10. RvT Unsolicited Advice Response

The CSR was informed last week on the response from the RvT on the unsolicited advice on CvB selection procedures. Francesco states he finds the proposal that the delegate cannot act on the position of the council as problematic as they are sent to act in the interest of the CSR. Francesco would like clarity on whether the CSR will be informed if the SoC takes a vote, in case there is a disagreement. Francesco would also like to see what is referenced in the WHW for CvB selection procedure and how this compares to the currently proposed procedure.
Pieter-Joost finds that the CSR has received what they have asked for. On the first point, if the CSR delegate is in favour of a candidate and the rest of CSR ultimately does not agree, the delegate will not be blamed. Pieter-Joost interprets it is there to protect the delegate in the process. Vincent states it is more in the nature of the mandate in which the delegate is selected to represent the CSR. Vincent finds it difficult to ask for a formalized procedure for holding the delegate accountable. Vincent feels this is already implied in the mandate.
Francesco mentions the issue is more that there is no mention of the mandate. Francesco would like to ensure the interests of the CSR are taken into account. Pieter-Joost mentions the RvT would be open to amending the wording and finds the CSR can bring this up in an open manner.
Francesco finds the newly proposed meeting 48 hours before the appointment is announced is not sufficient time to change the course of the advice, which still does not feel meaningful. Pieter-Joost mentions if there is not approval, there would still not be another candidate no matter the length of time for the advice. Vaisakh would like to clarify the point to the RvT for the process and expectation for if there is not approval from the CSR. Saskia advises to further discuss this in a meeting with the RvT, such as the one scheduled on June 5th.

11. Fair Play Agreement

The CSR has received the draft of the fair play agreement. Noor states there is a full technical committee in which Willem, Noor and the Chair of ASVA will be in the technical chairing committee. The deadline to sign the agreement is Monday evening. Parties that have signed currently are UvASociaal and The Founding Students. The CSR is not in charge of the agreement but facilitates it through the technical chairing committee through a mediation role. Saskia mentions for next year it would be beneficial to find a different way to select members for the technical chairing committee to represent smaller parties.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There are no further points.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Wiktor mentions if the parties have not received the login for the voting guide to reach out to him. Noor asks if the voting guide will be available for edits throughout the election period, similar to last year. Wiktor will ask communications.
The CSR would like to look into how to include an advance for parties to have funding before elections. Abbey will communicate the budgets for parties. The CSR will further discuss the budget division for next year.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

There are no further questions.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 19:01.

Action List

260422-01	CSR delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties.
~~**260422-02**~~	~~Wiktor will send a response to the FSR FdG on the activism at AMC report and will ask for a written reaction and invite them to the next PV.~~

Decisions

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering

GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 13 May 2026

Present	Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Wiktor Misiak, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Philipp Greiner, Florence Lynch, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Alies Vercouteren
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:07 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes from the 6th of May are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

There are no announcements.

Alies mandates Noor
There are 12 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

The updates are discussed. The elections have started this week. The CSR has been in contact with facility services to discuss the issues that have arisen during the election period. Francesco has been in contact with the administration on the Canvas hacks. Francesco reminds members to attend the GV's as quorum was not met last Friday. The GOV on the UvA strategy will take place next Friday.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set. Wiktor would like to add an informing/discussing point on elections.

8. Elections

The CSR receives an update from Wiktor on the student elections. Wiktor previously informed Facility Services and the Communications department in April regarding the upcoming student elections. Wiktor sent several reminders as well to following from the April reminder. Wiktor was informed Monday that Facility Services was unaware the student elections were taking place. On Monday, there were no tables for students

to campaign from. Wiktor has been in contact with Facility Services to solve the issue of tables. The tables are now sufficient and have been set up for all parties. There is a persistent problem with flyering as Facility Services has informed parties they are banned from active flyering. Facility Services states that flyering is only allowed from behind the tables. In the morning today, Wiktor received an email from Facility Services stating active flyering is not allowed. Facility Services has also asked Communications to send an email to all parties to inform them they cannot flyer. Wiktor is uncertain how to move forward as he is not receiving responses from Facility Services to correct the issue. Wiktor would like if the DB could request support from the CvB to allow more leniency during the elections.
Francesco asks why Communications has sent a message about flyering directly to the student parties. Wiktor states the messages comes from Facility Services and has been unable to receive a response from either departments.
Victor adds for small parties the issue of flyering is a bigger impediment to campaign properly as they may not be aware they are allowed to flyer. The CSR recommends sending an email to the CvB to ensure flyering is allowed and official communication does not deter parties from campaigning.
Saskia mentions flyering during elections should be discussed in the long-term as issues arise ever year. She recommends protocol may need to be drafted for the election period for Facility Services and parties to adhere to. Wiktor will look into ensuring either himself or the next file holder will meet with Facility Services to predetermine campaign spaces and rules for campaigning. Victor recommends asking if there is already a handbook that Facility Services utilizes. He finds there are not big changes every year and would like to develop a procedure that does not have to be reworked every year. Wiktor will send an email to the CvB regarding flyering during the election period [**action**].
Pieter-Joost asks if there is clarity on the statement that non-EU students should no longer have an advantage for housing within the stemwijzer. Communications made a decision to amend the stemwijzer that was approved by the CSR, in which one question does not represent the current status of housing for students at the UvA. Wiktor has forwarded the response from communications in which a decision on the question was made internally. Victor would like to bring the point forward to the CvB as he would like to ensure the CSR maintains authority for what they would like included in the stemwijzer. Wiktor mentions he would also have like to have been informed during the process rather than having the statement changed during the voting period. Victor states the statement was included in earlier versions of the stemwijzer but there is not clear reasoning or consultation for the decision to exclude the statement drafted by the CSR. Wiktor and Pieter-Joost will draft an email to communications on the stemwijzer. Saskia would also like to discuss the point in the OV.
The turnout is also lower than last year. Wiktor states turnout may be lower as Canvas is down and there is currently no Canvas promotion for the elections.

9. UvA Strategy

The GV has received a consent request for the UvA strategy (formerly the instellingsplan). The GV will send questions in advance that should be discussed in the GOV. Francesco would like input from the CSR to prepare a list of topics and questions for the GOV.

Philipp enters 17:37

Saskia states she feels the strategy only describes what the UvA currently is and finds it does not contain clarity on how they would like to go forward. Saskia mentions the strategy also mentions that core values should be recognizable, but finds this is currently not laid out in the strategy. Saskia mentions academic freedom, integrity and autonomy are important pillars of the UvA community which Saskia would like expanded upon. Saskia finds the strategy overall does not contain depth in the values and concretely

education at the UvA, and worries the phrasing may cheapen education at the UvA. Florence agrees and would like to ask questions about the formulation. Florence mentions the overarching question is what is meant by certain wordings and statements. Florence would like to see what independent thinking means for the UvA specifically, for example. Florence finds it reads more as a manifesto that could apply to any university and would like to concretely see how this would fit at the UvA. Florence would like further clarification on what is meant but making conscious decisions on international collaborations, particularly what conscious entails. Francesco adds he would also like to see what is meant by ensuring there is deliberate choice in collaborations based on the ethics policy of the UvA.
Saskia does not like the reference that the UvA should feel like home. Saskia finds the UvA should not feel like home but rather community. Francesco will add the question on why home has been added for ambition one. Pieter-Joost mentions a recent survey found that a certain percentage of international students did not feel at home at the UvA and understands the wording is not the right word but the intention is valid. Saskia agrees with the intention and perspective but would use different terminology to describe what they UvA would like to achieve. Vincent states he likes the terminology of home as you can feel at home with a person and sees it aims more to convey that students should feel comfortable. Wiktor understands the sentiment but finds that university should also be challenging and take you out of your comfort zone.
Saskia would have liked to see more involvement from students in the formation of the ambitions. Saskia would like to see that the educational vision is incorporated more in which students are brought along in the process to support the vision. Saskia would also like to see concretely how students will be taken along within the ambitions.
Vaisakh mentions he understands the implementation would tackle the more specific ideas in the strategy. Vaisakh shares he feels it is a similar process to the educational vision in which is lacks more concrete implementation ideas, but these would be included once conceptualized further. Vaisakh mentions the idea is to have working groups with the deans and the CvB to discuss the implementation of each ambition. Pieter-Joost mentions the CvB would like to discuss the strategy progress within the Art. 24 OV's twice a year. The CSR would like to ensure students are included in the ambition implementation groups as well. Saskia understands the implementation will come after but the CSR has advice and consent rights on the strategy and not the follow-up.
Francesco would like to hear the reflections from the CvB on the outcomes from the current strategy in the GOV. Francesco would like to hear what has gone well within the current strategy and learning outcomes from the past five years. Francesco would also like clarity on leadership and the reallocation of responsibility in leadership at the UvA.

Phillip leaves 18:00

10. Canvas Hack

Francesco informs the CSR on the communication received on the Canvas hack last week. Francesco was in close contact with the CvB and received regular updates following crisis team meetings. Francesco communicated updates with the FSR chairs to ensure that accommodations were made on the faculty level. Canvas has been online since 09:00 today.
Florence asks if there was any discussion on thinking of new ways to provide education and stepping away from Canvas. Florence mentions there are other issues with Canvas that add to the need to look for alternatives.Francesco mentions on Friday he was informed there will be a longer term discussion on the future of platforms for universities and how to ensure relying on external providers does not make the UvA less secure. An evaluation of the hack and the procedure will also be done and the CSR will be kept up to date on this.
Saskia recommends for the future to ensure there is faster communication to students as students were informed 24 hours after the hack was detected. At the beginning, students were asked to input data and login

information that went straight to the hackers. Francesco mentions the CSR can mention this point to include in an evaluation. Saskia adds it may be important to have a reporting point for students and professors who have lasting issues pertaining to Canvas being offline.

11. File Updates

The DB would like to discuss priorities for the end of the year and to clarify what should be transferred for next year. The O&F committee is continuing to work on the kaderbrief. A new budget cycle will take place in which the GV will need to consent on the mainlines. The mainlines may change which may require another consent for the incoming CSR. The profiling fund will be finished in the current CSR term. O&F will recommend a mid-term evaluation in 1-2 years. ICG is continuing as issues still arise for international students. The sustainability town hall will be included as a recommendation for next year. The Green Office would like the CSR to be involved in the sustainability goals as well. The catering contract evaluation is continuing. Gender neutral bathrooms and menstrual products have been inactive. Study spaces will continue to need monitoring. The O&F will have a meeting with INTT and the administration to discuss how to allocate the 800,000 euros for Dutch courses, which will be transferred.

O&B is continuing to work on the educational vision and planning the townhalls for the strategy. Implementation will be a responsibility for the next CSR. Decentral selection regulations will be voted upon next PV. Regular working groups will continue and a transfer will be done for the next file holders. Gaza scholarship is still ongoing due to the immigration issues on the national level. The CSR will need to follow-up on online possibilities and future scholarships. The O&B will ensure the issues present for the model OER process is transferred for the next CSR to take over. Internationalization and language policy has been inactive due to delays on the national level. The involvement in EPICUR into UGLOH will be taken over as well.

The file transfer has been discussed but due to vacation, O&I will provide an update next week.

12. Recognition Committee for Student Organizations

The CSR selected a candidate for the recognition committee. The CSR was previously informed the student did not need to speak Dutch. Now, the CSR has been informed the member will need to speak Dutch as there are too many applications in Dutch to proceed in English. The CSR has received an application from a former applicant that speaks Dutch. The CSR will need to vote on the candidate.

As Abbey is not present, Francesco asks if members are okay with not having a confidential vote. The CSR confirms.

Voting Proposal: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending candidate 1 to the Recognition Committee for Student Organizations.

In favour: 12 (Pieter-Joost, Noor, Kacper, Saskia, Vaisakh, Victor, Francesco, Florence, Wiktor, Vincent, Alies, Sebastiaan)

Against:

Blanco:

Quorum: 12

Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending candidate 1 to the Recognition Committee for Student Organizations.

13. Unsolicited Advice Procedure

The CSR previously received an overview of proposals on the unsolicited advice procedure. Vincent would like further consensus on which proposals should be further elaborated on in more detail. Vincent clarifies the

change to the working agreements would be to include an appendix to the working agreements and refer to the appendix within Article 7.
Vaisakh is in favour of an ad-hoc committee as he found it worked well for the RvT selection procedure unsolicited advice. Vaisakh would like to note the idea that if there is minority dissent, it should be included in the advice process. Pieter-Joost likes the idea of leaving comments on the document in which one person writes the advice. In 1B, one person can leave comments on the draft of the text in which around five are discussed. For an ad-hoc committee, disputed passages of the advice would need to be presented. The dissenting person could then add a maximum of five comments to the advice to combine the proposal of Vaisakh and Pieter-Joost.
Francesco asks if the working agreement amendment passes, if a member wants to bring something to the PV that is there file, would it still be possible. For instance, would members be unable to bring their own initiative. Vincent mentions the first two stages are unchanged but the proposal mostly focuses on the editing of the advice. Vincent states it should not limit brining forth initiatives but aims to focus on the process. Vincent recommends he can further write up a proposal on the ad-hoc committee with a note that if there is dissent in the ad-hoc committee, five comments can be made and discussed in the PV.
Francesco mentions several files are held by one person and an ad-hoc committee would bring three people together. Francesco sees it might be difficult to schedule a meeting with three people and others that are not aware of the topic. Vincent sees the concern but mentions it should only be focused on the tone and wording rather than the content, as there is currently not a effective method for feedback.
Florence mentions she does not understand the dissenting note and the tone of voice. Vincent clarifies the dissenting note would provide a different perspective but is not supported by the majority. By including a dissenting voice, it would be focused on the content while the ad-hoc committee dissent would be based on tone.
Saskia mentions she disagrees with including a dissenting note as the student council does not function as a judicial body. Vincent states he agrees and finds the CSR does not have to adhere to a specific option or body. Vaisakh mentions he sees that tone of voice has been an issues experienced in the council throughout the entire year in which members have experienced dissatisfaction with the tone. Vaisakh mentions that the tone of voice has also been perceived differently by other bodies. Vaisakh mentions that everyone's opinions on the advice and the tone should be taken into account while it is written.
Florence mentions she does not understand how then the tone of voice would be solved if the majority is in favour of a certain tone, how this would be balanced with what the minority wants. Vaisakh mentions that disagreement can happen and fundamentally there are differences in what he understands as a respectful tone of voice. Vaisakh mentions his point is more that the discussion happens. There does not have to be a conflict about the majority tone but finds it is more important that the discussion takes places.
Vincent will combine the proposal on the ad-hoc committee and comments on the tone of voice for the next PV.

14. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business
There are no further points.

15. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media
Saskia shares there will be an event to discuss the educational vision. The link will be forwarded to delegates. Kacper has mentioned that ASVA is distributing food packages for struggling students. There is a QR code to sign up. Kacper asks if the CSR can repost the information.

16. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

17. Questions

Noor asks all members to fill in the lettucemeet for Block 6.

18. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:39.

Action List

260513-01 Wiktor will send an email to the CvB regarding flyering during the election period.

260422-01 CSR delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties.

Decisions

260513-01 The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending candidate 1 to the Recognition Committee for Student Organizations.

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 20 May 2026

Present	Noor Hajra, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Vincent Nap, Wiktor Misiak, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Francesco Bruseghini, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Philipp Greiner, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Alies Vercouteren, Yipeng Chen.
Absent	Florence Lynch.
Guest(s)	
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening

Francesco opens the meeting at 17:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail

The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes

The minutes from the 13th of May are adopted.

4. Checking the action list

The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements

Vaisakh and Philipp will leave at 17:45.

There are 11 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*

Noor enters 17:10

The updates are discussed. The CSR has received the kaderbrief and the housing plan. A technical meeting will take place on Friday in preparation for the kaderbrief after the extra GOV on the UvA strategy.
Victor shares the overview from last week on what files will continue into next year. A language guide policy on deadnaming is coming at the end of June. The diversity strategy document will be requested for advice. The file holder of the next CSR will need to participate in the evaluation of the proposals. Victor is continuing to work on a video for the contemplation rooms with ASVA and the CDO. Next year, issues and concerns on the elections will need to be addressed. The transfer will include recommendations and a proposal on how to navigate elections.
O&F met for the follow-up on Dutch courses. The 800,000 euros will mostly go towards a restitution clause in which every student at the UvA can take one INTT course that they can receive money back for upon completion. The UvA is still working on a proposal that can be outlined in the kaderbrief as well. O&B has

prepared the advice on decentral selection regulations. Saskia is working to send the invitation on the focus group for the educational vision.
Saskia asks if there has been any communication from the CvB that an UvA student had been taken by Israel that was on the Flotilla. Francesco will reach out to the CvB to see if there is any information or updates.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. Decentral Selection Procedure Advice Request

The CSR will finalize the decentral selection procedure advice request. Vaisakh and Kacper have prepared a draft of the advice for the PV.
Saskia asks if outstanding comments in the document have been incorporated. Vaisakh mentions the comment that remains has been previously addressed in the OV. Vaisakh states the comment addressed when an evaluation for ACTA's selection procedure will take place, which the CvB had indicated will happen once there is sufficient data. Yipeng mentions he spoke with the financial director in which if each student is delayed in their students, ACTA will experience a financial loss. The financial burden for students Yipeng finds is outside the selection procedure and finds it would not be necessary to include in the letter. Yipeng is in favour of the letter.

<u>Voting Proposal:</u> The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending the proposed advice to the CvB on the decentral selection regulations for the 26-27 academic year.
In favour: 12 (Pieter-Joost, Noor, Kacper, Saskia, Vaisakh, Victor, Francesco, Wiktor, Alies, Sebastiaan, Yipeng, Philipp)
Against:
Blanco:
Quorum: 12
Decision: The CSR 2025/26 votes in favour of sending the proposed advice to the CvB on the decentral selection regulations for the 26-27 academic year.

9. Strengthening Medezeggenschap Project

The CSR has received an overview of the project plan from the strengthening medezeggenschap employee, Joost de Graaf. Francesco is currently a part of the steering group for the two project plans on visibility and strengthening of the training program. The third project has not been sent to the CSR as it focuses more on employees.
Victor asks if there is an idea already for who the student joining the steering committee after the current CSR will be. There is currently no plan for the transfer into this committee but Francesco will ensure this is kept in mind.

Vincent enters 17:23

10. Elections Evaluation

The student elections ended yesterday at midnight. The CSR last week discussed several issues that arose during the election period. As a result, the CSR reflects similar issues arise each year that cause unclarity for student parties and facility services. Wiktor would like to prepare a concrete plan to ensure the election

period goes more smoothly. Wiktor would like to raise the issues that occurred in the current election cycle, namely, the lack of awareness around when the elections take place and what is permitted, no follow-up on the miscommunication with student parties on active flyering, and the lack of preparation for tables for the parties. Wiktor will plan meetings with Facility Services to create a shared plan for the elections. The CSR will also bring the topic to the OV in June to reflect and look at moving forward.
Vincent asks if it would be possible to inform student parties that the CSR will provide further communication on what is permitted, rather than relying on Facility Services. Wiktor states it is difficult as communication normally goes through the Communications Bureau, but proposes this could be evaluated and revised to include a more direct line of communication between the CSR and the parties. Vincent recommends looking into creating a direct environment to contact other departments. Vincent mentions the recommendation applies more generally as well throughout the year. Vincent mentions a shared environment could help to directly talk among faculties for questions from the CSR. Noor mentions this was done with the O&B chairs and it worked well throughout the year.
Saskia mentions she would like to be less involved in the organization of the elections as she finds the CSR is currently more involved than what their role dictates. The ownership of the elections should be placed on the departments of the UvA. Saskia believes the CSR should be involved in promotion but finds it creates more contradiction to be directly involved in communication with student parties. Saskia clarifies than the role of the CSR should be more formalized.
Vincent mentions there were questions within the FSR FdR regarding complaints that were received by the TCC within the Fair Play Agreement. Noor mentions several parties seemed to be confused about what the TCC is and what it should be used for. Noor mentions that the parties need to be contacted directly to address issues while the TCC should only act as a mediator. The specific party that sent a complaint and did not receive a response had not signed the Fair Play Agreement. Noor clarifies the complaints often could not be addressed. Wiktor mentions it seems that parties often went directly to look for punishment to be dealt by the TCC rather than first trying to mediate. Francesco would like to hear how the TCC and Fair Play could be improved for next year. Wiktor mentions within the handbook, he would like to make specific rules for parties as well that are agreed on with Facility Services. Wiktor mentions several rules that are stated within the House Rules are relevant but often not followed. Wiktor finds the Fair Play Agreements should focus mainly on interactions between parties and several issues could be solved by having concrete restrictions based on the House Rules. Vincent mentions the functioning and workload of the TCC could include more of what issues the TCC is able to handle and what they are unable to address.
Noor shares several complaints were personal rather than identifying broader issues. Noor reflects the initial interest and signing of the agreement was smooth but the process itself could be improved. Francesco recommends including the advice in the transfer for the next CSR to start on the Fair Play Agreement earlier and include the parties in the drafting process. Noor reflects there was a plan to meet with parties but finds it was difficult with new parties and a short timeline. Noor states signing it early would also be useful. Vincent recommends starting earlier may help to ensure safe campaigning as well. Wiktor would also like to ensure there is a mailing list of the parties present at the UvA for communications to refer to.
Francesco asks why there was not a Canvas pop up message once Canvas was back online. Wiktor mentions Communications was in contact with the external facility. Anything not related to teaching always takes more time to establish. Due to the hack, the conversations related to the banner were paused. When Canvas was back online, it went up during the work free days in which there were only two working days left of elections.

Vaisakh leaves 17:46

Pieter-Joost emailed communications about changing the stemwijzer questions sent by the CSR. In the response, Communications states the CSR should send the statements earlier and that the specific motion on

international housing was not formulated correctly. For next year, the stemwijzer will be conducted earlier and other groups should be consulted for the motions. Communications also shares that the CSR is asked for input but the CSR is not responsible for the stemwijzer. Pieter-Joost reflects the handbook should also include a timeline as most things this year were too late. Pieter-Joost mentions he was also disappointed about the lack of promotion for the elections as well. Kacper asks who was responsible for the election timeline. The Central Voting Bureau (CSB) normally selects the timeline for the elections in which the CSR is requested input. Within the CSB, Victor would like to ensure there is more planning as well. For the transfer, the CSR will included for the next CSR to ensure the dates are not before exams or during days off.
Francesco adds the platform also did not work for parties to add candidates in the beginning. For the list leader to register candidates, the CSB needed to send a link in which this was not sent except two days before the deadline.

Philipp leaves 17:56

Wiktor mentions there is quite a weak transfer in the UvA administration as the departments often seemed underprepared. The CSR is in favour of the creation of the handbook.

11. Unsolicited Advice Procedure

The CSR has received an elaborated proposal on writing unsolicited advices, including amendments to article 7 and an appendix to the internal working agreements. Vincent shares the ad-hoc committee for writing an unsolicited advice will not be established if there are no volunteers. If there are no volunteers, there is no need for an ad-hoc writing committee. Each member of the committee will have rights to make amendments. If there is not a majority in the committee on amendments, these will be brought for discussion in a PV. No more than five amendments will be brought to a vote in the PV, in which dissenting members of the ad-hoc committee will decide which five amendments are brought. The PV will vote based on a simple majority. If there are comments that are not included that a dissenting member does not agree with, there is an option to include a dissenting note attached to the advice. The Chair can decide if the note is inappropriate or does not make sense to include but otherwise the note will be included.
Francesco asks what is meant that the Chair can decide not to include a dissenting note. Vincent clarifies the dissenting note should be free to be written but it could also contain a tone that the council finds inappropriate. If the Chair views the dissenting note as concerning, it can be put to a vote.
Victor shares the ad-hoc committee currently would have a lot of power over the file holder, as well as giving more power to the Chair to reject the dissenting note from a file holder. Victor finds there is not a concrete way to judge how a dissenting note would be rejected. Victor states the current proposal changes dynamics for how letters are written which he does not see would be necessary to change. Vincent shares that there can be no more than one person per party in the ad-hoc committee to ensure that a specific party cannot block a certain amendment or advice. If the majority of the council agrees the dissenting note is inappropriate, the council will still have to vote. It is only in the power of the Chair to put the dissenting note to a vote.
Francesco shares with the ad-hoc committee, he worries that the requirement to get three different parties to participate would change council dynamics. He also adds that he worries about the autonomy that would be taken from file holders. Francesco finds the procedure that was followed for the decentral selection regulations advice worked well by allowing members to leave comments, ultimately leaving the edits to the file holder to incorporate. Vincent clarifies that for dissenters, there are safeguards to protect members from overriding the file holder. Dissenters in the ad-hoc committee can only bring forward five comments on a draft advice which still allows autonomy for the file holder.
Victor would like more clarity on what proposals the dissenting members of the ad-hoc committee would be able to bring forward, such as content or tone changes. Vincent agrees to elaborate further on what changes

can be brought forward by the ad-hoc committee, to also protect the autonomy of the file holder. Francesco asks how other members outside the committee could give feedback. Vincent shares if members have comments they can still bring those during the PV. Vincent will incorporate feedback and bring a deciding piece to the next PV.

12. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

There are no further points.

13. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

There are no further requests for input.

14. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

15. Questions

Sebastiaan asks when the CSR will have more information on the PV for 6th block. The CSR will meet on Thursdays from 17:00-19:00.

16. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:24.

Action List

~~**260513-01** Wiktor will send an email to the CvB regarding flyering during the election period.~~

260422-01 CSR delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties.

Decisions

Pro memoria

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

201002-01 All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.

201020-02 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.

220113-02 CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.

220113-03 Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.

220307-01 Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.

240215-01 The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.

250310-01 All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.

250901-01 The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 4 June 2026

Present	Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Wiktor Misiak, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Alies Vercouteren, Florence Lynch.
Absent	Francesco Bruseghini, Noor Hajra, Vincent Nap, Philipp Greiner, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Hidde Heijns, Neha Thantry.
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Pieter-Joost opens the meeting at 17:01 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes from the 20th of May are adopted.

Kacper enters 17:03

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

5. Announcements
There are no announcements.

Francesco mandates Florence
There are 9 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. The COR had concerns regarding the interaction of the kaderbrief with the Strategy. A meeting is planned on Monday with the COR and FP&C to address the concerns. The GV has asked for a week extension for the consent deadline. The DB had an agenda setting meeting with the RvT to prepare for the RvT-CSR meeting tomorrow. The agenda has been shared with the CSR. The DB attended an AO on Tuesday. The DB set the agenda for the OV on the 16th of June which will include the Canvas hack, the elections reflection and handbook, the National Student Survey and a reflection on the collaboration. Pieter-Joost reminds members to fill in the lettucemeet for the OV Prep. If members are not coming to the transfer weekend they should inform Noor as soon as possible. For the O&F committee, the members attended a technical meeting on the housing plan. Updates have been shared about food and drinks at the University Quarter.
Saskia shares she read the DB minutes in which the transfer weekend was discussed. Saskia states she misses the reflection on the vice-chair's role on social safety in the CSR and the DB's reflection on the way the DB could have mitigated the issues present in council functionality. Saskia is happy to attend the DB to elaborate

on this. Pieter-Joost finds the incident discussed was before the current DB was in function but invites Saskia to attend the DB.
The O&B committee did not meet last week but the focus group planning is ongoing for the educational vision. O&I is working on the elections handbook and there will be new guidelines on pronouns at the end of the month.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set. Vincent is not present and the meeting piece was sent late. The agenda point on Unsolicited Advice Procedures is taken off.

8. Housing Plan

The CSR has received an advice request through the GV on the housing plan within the kaderbrief. The housing plan has been reevaluated due to the high costs of the University Quarter. The housing plan is a strategy, timeline and list of important decisions to make the University Quarter viable. The plan aims to ensure it is still possible to maintain the quality of buildings and ensure education can continue. The COR has stated their worry with the reduction in office spaces. Florence personally worries about shifting the program to REC and she would like the CSR to discuss the selling of certain historical buildings. Florence would like to evaluate the ethical and logistical aspects of the decisions. Florence requests further input from the delegates to bring in more feedback from the faculties on the housing plan.
Alies shares the FdG has a separate housing plan. Saskia asks if there has been any consideration to renting the buildings out instead of selling them. Pieter-Joost clarifies there is a strategic portfolio for buildings that are not used for anything related to education. The first idea is to rent out spaces but if it is unpayable or the property will not be used again, it will most likely be sold. Florence mentions the housing plan could include more of an emphasis on renting in the plan. Saskia would like to see more explanation for why certain buildings have been chosen as options to sell as two buildings are education spaces. Florence shares Bushuis and PCH are identified as they are in the periphery of the University Quarter. The idea is to ensure University Quarter is all in one location. Saskia would prefer that the sale of buildings would not contain buildings primarily for education.

Hidde leaves 17:25

9. Elections Handbook

The CSR has received a proposal for an elections handbook. Wiktor will meet with Facility Services (FS) on the 17th of June to discuss the handbook. Wiktor would like the handbook to include the general date of the elections, the cost centre for Facility Services, a tentative list of campaign spaces and a set of clear rules around flyering for FS and student parties. The rules would also address a specific prohibition on campaigning in lecture halls and education rooms. Pieter-Joost asks if this would also apply for presentations during 15 minute breaks. Wiktor mentions there would be a distinction between promoting the elections and allowing specific parties to campaign. Pieter-Joost mentions the handbook should include a streamlined process for the voting guide. The handbook should also indicate the budget process, particularly how to calculate how much money each party gets, who is responsible and when the budget should be finalized. Saskia mentions if delegates have further input they should forward any input for the handbook that might be relevant on the faculty level. The FSR FNWI would prefer to have a faculty stemwijzer, as was done in previous years. The CSR has not been informed why there is only a central stemwijzer. Wiktor will send an email to communications to ask about the process surrounding the stemwijzer. Saskia suggests embedding faculty specific questions within the kieswijzer. Kacper recommends to include the Canvas button as a standard procedure in the

elections handbook as well. Saskia recommends proposing a central FS contact for issues during the election period that parties can utilize. For the discussion next week, members will prepare specific points for the upcoming OV.
Delegates will send any faculty specific points for the elections handbook to Wiktor before June 17th [**action**].

10. Kaderbrief

The CSR sent remaining questions on the kaderbrief (framework letter) to FP&C. The GV has received the consent request for the framework letter. The 800,000 euros for Dutch Courses has been added to the framework letter, along with a general inflation correction for the money previously allocated for subsidizing INTT Dutch courses. The housing plan and allocation model are also incorporated in the framework letter. The allocation model has seen a large deficit in which more money was spent on research than what was granted. The UvA is looking to decrease the deficit to ensure more money is allocated towards education. For study spaces and accessibility adjustments, the CvB has stated enough money is available to address related issues. There will be a meeting on the 19th of June to ask further technical questions to FP&C. For governance questions, this can be addressed in the GOV on the 26th.
Florence shares she will meet with ASVA to discuss the budget cuts to the organization, which she may bring as a question to FP&C. Victor mentions there were issues with the accessibility of furniture in the University Library. Victor would like to ask if more inspections can take place on the accessibility of other buildings. Victor would like to see a report to base next-steps on. Pieter-Joost mentions the answer received previously when the question was forwarded was that it is not a financial issue. There is enough budget to address the issues but this should be further advocated for outside financial discussions. Wiktor will bring the topic to the monthly CDO meeting.

11. Educational Vision [Confidential]

Alies leaves 17:46

The CSR discusses a confidential point on the educational vision.

12. Team Building

Vincent would like to organize a boat event as team building as there is leftover funds for team bonding. Saskia would be in favour of an activity that is inclusive for members. Saskia recommends canoeing as a social activity. Wiktor proposes to go to Efteling. Pieter-Joost shares there was also an idea to barbeque in the park. Saskia recommends a climbing park or paintballing. The DB will look into organizing a social activity in the next DB meeting.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Pieter-Joost shares he spoke with Joost in which he is working also on a similar handbook for elections.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Delegates are requested for feedback from the FSR's on the housing plan, the elections handbook and the educational vision focus group.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated. Vaisakh shares he finds the current PV reflects the tensions in the council. He finds it is unfortunate to end the year like this. Saskia shares she is disappointed how her point went.

16. Questions

Florence asks if the draagvlakgesprek is confirmed. Pieter-Joost shares the date is confirmed and reminds members to attend.
Kacper shares the ASVA survey on mental stress is finished and they are writing an overview to present to the CvB. Kacper shares he is a co-writer on the letter. The letter will be shared before it is sent to the CvB.

17. Closing the meeting

Pieter-Joost closes the meeting at 18:16.

Action List

260604-01	Delegates will send any faculty specific points for the elections handbook to Wiktor before June 17th
260422-01	CSR Delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties.

Decisions

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01 The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering
GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt

Concept minutes of the informal *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 11 June 2026

Present	Francesco Bruseghini, Pieter-Joost van der Plas, Kacper Farbisz, Wiktor Misiak, Vaisakh Karuvath, Victor Nieboer, Saskia Koe-Krompecher, Florence Lynch, Vincent Nap,.
Absent	Noor Hajra, Alies Vercouteren, Philipp Greiner, Sebastiaan Dekeukeliere, Yipeng Chen.
Guest(s)	Sara, Audrey.
Minutes	Abbey Gilchrist (*Administrative secretary)*.

A list of general abbreviations can be found at the bottom of this document.

1. Opening
Francesco opens the meeting at 17:06 and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
The mail is discussed.

3. Adapting concept minutes
The minutes from the 4th of June are adopted.

4. Checking the action list
The action list is checked. See attachment.

Vaisakh enters 17:08

5. Announcements
There are no announcements.

Philipp mandates Pieter-Joost
There are 8 eligible votes. The quorum is met.

6. Updates: *DB, delegates, central student assessor*
The updates are discussed. There will be an OV next week and a GOV tomorrow. Francesco has informed the CSR on the concerns regarding social safety in the CSR. The DB is working on ensuring the transfer weekend remains safe for all participants. Francesco has spoken to the evaluator of the RvT. Francesco invites members to provide any feedback on the collaboration with the RvT to be shared with the evaluator.
Francesco informs the CSR the DB has been in contact with the CvB regarding the emails received. The DB is in contact with the FSR FdG to improve collaboration. The DB invites members to come with any concerns they may have on social safety.
O&F is discussing the housing plan and the kaderbrief. The committee is working on the transfer of the committee for the next council. O&B is working on the transfer and will finalize the educational vision.
O&I is continuing to work on the elections handbook. Victor attended a meeting with the accessibility file holders to increase the accessibility of Canvas. There will also be an evaluation of the framework approved at the end of 2025. O&I is also working on the transfer. Wiktor shares he has met with the ombudsperson to discuss the annual report from 2025.

Francesco is continuing to work on Versterking Medezeggenschap with Joost to prepare a good transfer for the next CSR.

7. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set.

8. FSR FMG REC Contemplation Rooms Unsolicited Advice

The FSR FMG has written an unsolicited advice to the CvB on the availability of contemplation rooms at REC. After conducting a survey, the FSR collected outstanding complaints and concerns with the contemplation rooms. The FSR FMG has asked other faculties at REC to sign the unsolicited advice. Pieter-Joost asks what has been worked on in the CSR the past year on contemplation rooms. Victor shares there was CSR collaboration on the survey from the FSR FMG to collect input. Victor has created flyers to promote the petition on the contemplation rooms. Victor worked with ASVA on a petition and was in close contact with MUSA. Pieter-Joost mentions he sees a first step would be to discuss with the CvB before writing an unsolicited advice. Saskia shares the previous CSR discussed the concerns last year with the CvB.
On the content of the advice, Pieter-Joost is concerned regarding the request to include a curtain to separate men and women during prayer. Pieter-Joost finds the suggestion does not align with the goal of the room to be inclusive. Pieter-Joost would support the request for a larger space but finds that the university should not facilitate the separation of students. Victor shares the proposed curtain would be used to facilitate the use of the room while accommodating other religious values. Victor shares the curtain would not be present all the time. The curtain would also be used to separate student activities, such as meditation, and does not necessarily implicate religion.
Florence shares at FSR FGw, members requested a curtain for general privacy. If multiple people are in the room, students shared they would feel more comfortable with a curtain for privacy. Saskia mentions the advice does not explicitly mention a separation of gender but was in the feedback on surveys. Wiktor shares he agrees that a curtain would be practical but would not want to send the wrong signal. Wiktor would like to emphasize the use of the curtain for the room. Vaisakh agrees with Wiktor but finds the wording the advice specifically identifies separation during prayer. Vaisakh agrees the room has multiple purposes and finds the curtain referring to separation for religion is slightly concerning but if there were further explanation provided he does not see it as an issue.
Pieter-Joost mentions the advice suggests separating contemplation rooms for their purpose. Pieter-Joost shares he finds the university should not facilitate rooms for specific non-secular uses, rather than multi-purpose and inclusive rooms. Kacper shares the advice was written on the survey results in which respondents have shared that they experience discomfort when praying. Pieter-Joost would not like to separate religious rooms versus secular activities.
The CSR will finalize the point by conducting an email vote from Friday to Monday.

9. Housing Plan

Florence has compiled questions from the previous PV, including other concerns, such as travelling between campuses for humanities students. Additionally, a question related to allocating educational buildings to reserves has been included. Florence shares the housing plan already aims to first utilize educational buildings in reserves, such as through renting, before selling. Florence will speak with the REC housing team next week to hear if there are any further concerns.
Florence would like to hear feedback on how members feel with the current proposal that historical educational buildings are put into reserves. Florence notes the plan includes a mention that there may not be enough space for education for humanities at the UK. Florence would like to ask whether after renovations on

BG5, if students would need to travel to REC due to a shortage of space for education. Florence would like to receive feedback from the COR as well.
Pieter-Joost asks if humanities students have to travel to REC currently. Florence shares she attended courses at REC due to the limited capacity of Oudemanhuispoort. Normally, humanities students have three classes per week. Florence mentions this could then create a separation between students in the faculty and their main campus if they are required to attend the few courses they have at REC. Florence has received information from the REC housing team as well that there is not enough space at REC and she would like clarity on this. Francesco received responses that BG5 will include facilities for food, but a foundation renovation is not possible. The concept for space is still unclear. Francesco has received a list of needs from De Nieuwe Mensa to see if they are able to provide food at BG5.
Vincent asks for clarification on the space after the OMPH and BG5 renovation if there is still a worry about having to study at REC. Florence shares this is also based on predictions to decrease student numbers in humanities which may not be probable. Vincent adds it is also based on attendance.
Members should send any further questions they have before Tuesday evening.

10. Kaderbrief

The CSR has received answers on questions asked on accessibility and study spaces. For any follow-up questions, Pieter-Joost would like to receive these before Tuesday. Pieter-Joost will ask follow-up questions on the extra money set aside for AI and if there are plans for what the funding will be used for. Pieter-Joost will also ask about the funding for the sustainability office. The GV will discuss joint questions on Friday. Florence would like to ask a question on ASVA's budget cuts from 177,000 euros to 100,000 euros after a previous agreement cuts would be done gradually. Florence would like clarity on the drastic cut. Pieter-Joost mentions it would be good to ask but finds it is less about money and more about principle. Vincent would like to ask if the budget gets spent each time. Florence shares the budget is used each time and the budgetary needs have been presented to StS. Saskia shares the budget cuts seem drastic as a large amount of the budget goes towards paying their staff and student initiatives.

11. Educational Vision

Saskia shares the focus group has been cancelled due to low attendance. Saskia would like to discuss with the CSR input on the vision and will include the recommendation to organize a focus group in the next CSR year. Vaisakh and Saskia will write the educational vision advice based on input and ask for feedback in the next PV. For the advice, Saskia would like feedback from the members on several questions that would have been asked during the focus group.
Firstly, Saskia asks what members would feel is needed for to feel they are involved in their academic community. Saskia and Vaisakh share they see support and clear communication as central to involvement. Florence shares extracurricular activities that contribute to community make her feel included. The presence of extracurriculars and communities on campus helped support her own academic journey. Victor finds having proactive staff is important to encourage involvement. The academic community may seem daunting and having support from staff is helpful. Kacper states a well-funded student union. Vincent shares informal moments, such as borrels with staff, are always useful for feelings of inclusion. Pieter-Joost mentions communication for what is present on campus for community is important. Vaisakh finds that the staff student relationship lacks and it is important to nurture this for academic community to flourish. Francesco would like the community to be more collaborative and interaction should be more structural.
Secondly, CSR members are asked for input on what academic citizenship means to them. Vincent shares he has always thought about it in terms of feeling connected to your country; if you identify similarly to your country, you can identify with your institution. Saskia clarifies if the paths for academic citizenship give

members an idea of how to be more involved in the UvA, specifically as an academic. Francesco shares the proposed pathways for academic citizenship are nice but do not provide clarity on how this ties into community at the UvA. Francesco shares community is more about interaction and not necessarily a student's choice in study path. Victor mentions he finds that students often find their study path on accident. When starting his education, he did not know where he would end up. Victor does not see choosing a certain path would help him integrate into an academic community, but is rather something discovered along the way. Kacper shares he began to feel like an academic after completing more courses over the years and incorporating feedback from those courses. Saskia asks if having options to take courses in other departments would help support a student's path to encourage academic exploration. Victor shares exploration is more useful than having to pick a path. Victor shares he would want to make a decision after experiencing the different paths. Victor sees it would be more supportive to invest in study advisors and staff for students to invest in the growth of students, but recognizes this is separate from the educational vision.

Thirdly, members are asked what would be necessary to support students in their own study paths. Wiktor shares he is currently satisfied with the support in his program for choosing a specific academic focus. Having an overview in advance and ample time to decide are important. Sebastiaan finds having free elective spaces and freedom to choose electives as important. Kacper mentions he finds it important there is a guided path for studies. Vincent shares a better introduction to elective staff would be useful. Pieter-Joost mentions it is difficult to take bachelor's courses while in a master's program. Pieter-Joost would like flexibility in courses between bachelor's and master's. Francesco shares he also found electives were restrictive in what you could take based on your cluster. He would like to see more flexibility in electives.

Finally, members are asked if they could design their own focus path, what form it would take. Pieter-Joost shares in minor spaces, it should be clear what courses you can take for which path. There should be freedom in the choices as well. Pieter-Joost finds students can have a clear path but that it is not necessary. Victor sees having a course for certain profiles as beneficial. Kacper mentions have a course per each path could be useful for first year students. Sebastiaan shares the most important thing is to make sure each path is equal in academic standing. Wiktor finds investment in structures and the organization is important, for instance labelling and providing clarity for what each path entails. Francesco mentions having an overview would be useful, especially for thinking about what paths can help you become. Vaisakh and Saskia have clarified that all information should be clarified and easily accessible as well.

The CSR will be presented the draft advice on the educational vision in the next PV.

12. Elections Handbook

The CSR.

13. W.V.T.T.K / Any other business

Pieter-Joost.

14. Input requests for the FSRs / to the media

Delegates are.

15. Evaluating the PV

The PV is evaluated.

16. Questions

Florence

17. Closing the meeting

Francesco closes the meeting at 18:.

Action List

260604-01	Delegates will send any faculty specific points for the elections handbook to Wiktor before June 17th
~~**260422-01**~~	~~CSR Delegates will inform Victor how the process for defense collaborations are going in their respective faculties.~~

Decisions

Pro memoria

140908-04	The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces, is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.
141208-04	The committee chairs notify the OI committee after their meetings which files that the CSR is working on should be raised in the media.
161017-04	The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on Microsoft Teams. Council members archive all their documents on Microsoft Teams.
161017-05	The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.
170201-04	The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.
171108-04	The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes, and letters of the FSR's are being published online.
190904-01	The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.
201002-01	All CSR members send their updates an hour before the PV.
201020-02	A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all *formal* communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility for this.
220113-02	CSR members will inform the vice-chair if they are absent during a meeting or event of the CSR.
220113-03	Meeting pieces that concern files of other file holders will be sent to the committee chair before sending the meeting pieces to be discussed in the PV.
220307-01	Receipts will be added to the request for budget approvals that are brought to the PV of the CSR.
240215-01	The DB will be attentive to social activities and council cohesion.
250310-01	All CSR members will include abbreviations in their meeting pieces.
250901-01	The DB will enforce the working agreements from the beginning of the council term.

Important transfer tips

220120-01	The new CSR members should be brought in contact with the advisory board as soon as possible.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	English	Nederlands
CSR	Central Student Council	Centrale studentenraad
COR	Central Workers Council	Centrale ondernemingsraad
DB	Daily Board	Dagelijks Bestuur
GV	General Assembly	Gezamenlijke vergadering

GV meeting	General Assembly meeting	Vergadering van de gezamenlijke vergadering
CvB	Board of Executives	College van Bestuur
O&O	Education and Research	Onderwijs en Onderzoek
O&F	Organization and Finance	Organisatie en Financiën
PR	Public Relations	Promotie
PV	Plenary meeting (of the CSR)	Plenaire vergadering (van de CSR)
OV	Plenary meeting (with the CvB)	Overlegvergadering (met het CvB)
FSR(s)	Faculty student council(s)	Facultaire studentenra(a)d(en)
PC(s)	Program committee(s)	Opleidingscommissie(s)
FEB	Faculty Economics and Business	Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde
FGw	Faculty of Humanities	Faculteit der Geesterwetenschappen
FMG	Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences	Faculteit Maatschappij & Gedrag
FdG	Faculty of Medicine	Faculteit der Geneeskunde
FdR	Faculty of Law	Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid
ACTA	Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam	Academisch Centrum Tandheelkunde Amsterdam
FNWI	Faculty of Science	Faculteit Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica
PPLE	Politics, Psychology, Law, and Economics	Politiek, psychologie, rechten en economie
SA	Student Assessor	Student assessor
UvA	University of Amsterdam	Universiteit van Amsterdam
W.v.t.t.k.	Any other Business	Wat verder ter tafel komt