



5th meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Agenda

In the case of absence
and/or mandate, please
send an e-mail to

Date September 21st 2026 11:00 – 12:00
Present Anton Siemonsma, Fabian Que,
 Felicia Taruna, Hanna van den Brink,
 Parham Jam, Roza Ali, Tess
 Maliepaard, Nuno Ploeger, Safir Pavel

Location Online
Absent Angie-lee Kruize, Danial Haider, Livni E

Guest
Online

Secretary Dina Mrabet

fabian.fsr.fdr@gmail.com.

Notes by the chair are written in cursive and are part of the agenda and the minutes, after publication.

1. Opening of the meeting

11:05

2. Setting the agenda

3. Update DB

Deadlines amendment proposals OER:

The O&O committee's internal deadline is on the **23rd of October (internal deadline)**.

The amendments will be presented by Parham in the PV on the **30th of October**.

Every member will be able to review the material.

The final external deadline of the OER amendments is on **15th of November**

4. Examination Regulations (OER)

The council confirmed to have received the information in their mail regarding the possibility to share their proposal for amendments to the OER.

5. Input on UvA communication during emergencies

The GOR and COR requested the FSR's input on their experiences with the UvA's communication during emergencies, such as strikes, fire alarms, or protests, as well as on their experiences with discussions with the Dean regarding such incidents.



- Safir shared that communication from the UvA, particularly regarding Facility Services, was experienced as unclear during the closure of the building. There was uncertainty about the procedure and how this should be communicated to students, particularly in the context of a peaceful protest.
- It was also noted that, during a recent elevator alarm, Safir had to approach security staff in another building, while the alarm was not immediately acted upon.
- From the perspective of students participating in a protest, there was also uncertainty about what was and was not permitted during such incidents.

6. The FSR Budget

The budget for 2026-2027

Roza presented the proposed budget for the 2026–2027 academic year, based on the previous year's budget and expenditures.

The proposed allocation is:

- Promotional material: €100
- Evaluation weekend: €3,000
- Transitional weekend: €6,900
- Council room: €300
- Council projects (lectures/conferences): €500
- Team-building activities: €800
- FSR's own CoBo: €800
- Gifts for other CoBos: €100
- Collaborations with study associations and other associations: €500

This results in a total proposed budget of €13,000.

Roza invited the council to provide comments or suggestions regarding the proposed allocation, in particular concerning the allocation for the transitional weekend and council room.

Fabian noted that the promotional/PR budget from the previous year was not fully reflected in the budget overview. According to Fabian, expenses were made for a Canva Pro subscription, flyers and business cards (to a total of € 153). Roza will check the discrepancy in the previous year's budget and, if necessary, consult Livni about it. Safir noted that the council could request an additional fund of €1000.

→ Roza will look into the possibility of obtaining this additional funding.

Budget for photos

The council discussed the payment for the photos. It was agreed by a majority to pay €80 for the photos.

The council acknowledged that there had been insufficient clarity about the agreed price and took collective responsibility for this. If this occurs again, any costs exceeding the amount agreed upon by the council will be the responsibility of the person who negotiated the service.

It was agreed that, going forward, prices should be clearly communicated and agreed upon in advance when engaging external parties for services.



7. Working agreement

The working agreement has not yet been drafted. The members involved (Hanna, Safir & Fabian) experienced difficulties finding a suitable time to work on it due to their busy schedules.

The council discussed the composition of the group working on the agreement. It was noted that having only two members work on it would be challenging, particularly as both have limited experience from the previous council.

- Tess will consider possible ways to proceed with the working agreement and share options in the group chat.
- Hanna reminded the council members to provide their input and feedback on the working agreement.

8. Educational vision

The council discussed the UvA's draft Educational Vision and accompanying advice from the CSR. Nuno will bring the FSR's input to the upcoming CSR and UCO meetings.

- Security/resilience: Hanna raised concerns that the repeated references to security and resilience could be understood as referring to increased militarisation of higher education. Parham expressed a different view and stated that he supported greater cooperation with the military. The council noted that the interpretation of these terms should be clarified.
 - Academic citizenship: Safir expressed support for the emphasis on academic citizenship and suggested that the vision could give more attention to education as a means of social and economic mobility, particularly for students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds.
 - She also noted a formatting issue in the English version of the advice: the final sentence on the first page is split across pages, leaving a blank space at the bottom of the page.
 - Generative AI: Tess felt that the vision gives insufficient attention to generative AI, given its increasing relevance to students, academia and society.
 - Preparation for working life: Tess also felt that the vision could give more attention to preparing students for the workforce and to how education can translate into practical contributions to society and meaningful employment.
 - Entrepreneurship: Nuno noted that entrepreneurship is included in the vision and that this represents an expansion beyond a purely academic focus, although he felt that the vision remains relatively vague on how these ambitions will be implemented in practice.
- FSR position: The council voted on the CSR's advice on the Educational Vision and all members present supported the advice. Nuno will communicate the FSR's position and the points raised during the discussion to the CSR.

9. TAQT training

The council can select **two trainings in total**, which are available to the council as a whole. Individual members can decide whether to attend the selected trainings.

The council discussed whether to choose from the six recommended trainings or consider other options. It was clarified that the two trainings can also be tailored to specific members or topics, but they still count towards the Council's total of two trainings.

Nuno recommended the training on students' rights.

It is unclear whether there is a deadline for selecting the trainings.

- Tess will create a poll to identify members' preferences and will prepare a shortlist based on the results. The Council will vote on the trainings next week.



→ Safir will attend the event on 6 October concerning the Educational Vision. Tess will confirm the time with Livni.

10. Closing the meeting

12:07

Action-list

Who?	What?	When?
Fabian, Hanna & Safir	Develop and finalise the working agreement based on the agreements made during the first PV	(due date still needs to be established)
Everyone	Give feedback and input on the working agreement	As soon as possible
Tess	Will consider possible ways to proceed the working agreement and the share options in the group chat.	By the next PV
Tess	Will make a poll to determine members' preferences for the trainings and prepare a shortlist based on the results.	By the end of this week
Roza	Look into the possibility of obtaining the additional funding.	By the end of this week

