



---

<i>Chair</i>	Maas Hermes
<i>Present</i>	Maas Hermes, Melanie Kool, Eloïse Herveet, Kasper Mooijaart, Saar Schnieders, Robin van Straaten, Joanna Nañez, Lola Fioole, Chris de Hart
<i>Absent</i>	
<i>Minutes secretary</i>	Liang Telkamp
<i>Guest</i>	Dave van Wijk, Nina Chen

---

## 1. Opening

Chair Maas opens the meeting at 18:02.

## 2. Minutes & Action list

Kasper: The minutes from 5 September are not done yet. I will do them before next week.

(PV230830) Action Chris - Discuss with the new FPC how to present the promotion of the 'joint degrees' questionnaire to the next council meeting.

## 3. Mail

### In

- Kasper: BetaBroertjesweekend invitation, access to the FSR rooms, coffee & printing. The introduction with DT will be 4th of October instead of the 2th.

### Out



-

#### **4. Announcements**

Maas: Don't forget to respond to Spond.

Joanna: I would like to take a photo, would you please stay a bit longer?

It is for instagram.

Eloïse: I saw that in Spond the BVO meeting was changed to Thursday but it is tomorrow.

Kasper: It is not for everyone.

Maas: Turn off the telephones.

#### **5. Updates committees, task groups, DB, Studentassessor**

Dave: I didn't have time to send an update, since the meetings are the same day. First off, I told the board that I'm quitting as SA by February. I was asked to do another year. I've got other interests in the upcoming year. I will be leaving you around February. Furthermore, we talked about the continuations of SMASH. A lot of people who come here don't have the proper mathematical skills. There was a pilot for extra support outside of the curriculum. It is free, SOWISO online and tutoring for everyone who has a hard time. An example is struggling during a thesis.

-----

Saar: The CSR is going to write unsolicited advice on third party collaborations of fossil fuels to CvB. It hasn't been written yet, but I want to do a full-BOB piece.

Melanie: Kasper and I first want to know what the csr writes

Kasper: Could it be not next week but after, because we have a lot of documents and it is a long piece.

Saar: It has to be done next week. Otherwise I will just take the old FSR stance.

Kasper: Can we after the CSR votes discuss this?



Saar: Yes

\*Action Saar: look up dates for third party fossil fuels and notify the DB.

Maas: Please discuss the pieces

Saar: Not this Thursday, but next Thursday. They are going to send it right after that.

Maas: For now we will use the old stance, it is good to keep the action point so that we do talk about it.

---

Hi everyone,

The DB is still hard at work getting everything sorted for a good start of this year. We have a lot of PV pieces coming, like revising the House Rules and Work Agreements, writing a policy plan and approving the faculty's framework note. But don't be discouraged! There's also pieces in there about picking a house for the Evaluation weekend, a potential trip with the council and planning our first bonding activity.

See you all at the PV tonight!

Met vriendelijke groet,

Kasper Mooijaart

---

Dear council members,

Last week we had our first committee meeting, unfortunately still without free coffee :((). Besides that fact I am very glad about how it went. We discussed the social media content/planning for upcoming weeks, the quarterly meeting Chris had with the social safety committee and the FSR





promotion material we would like to purchase this year. About social safety we agreed on some measures we wanted to implement, like a campaign with posters and a broad introduction to 1st years. Speaking of merchandise, we wanted to keep some of the former ideas (like condoms and stress balls), but we also added some new ones, cause who wouldn't want an FSR-reusable cup, lighters and a tote bag (redesigned) to put it all in? We of course also discussed some ground rules, for keeping our committee running smoothly. I am looking forward to the next meeting as I am very curious about our new goals and planning for this year.

Groetjes,  
Joanna Ñañez

-----  
Hi dear FSR,

The Program and Regulations committee is hard at work!

1. The ODs of MSc Security & Network Engineering, MSc Logic and BSc Information Science are now being interviewed, so you will see the PV pieces for those soon. 


2. We have also planned the dates for the FOCs . Write in your calendar :

end of October - Wednesday, November 1

early January - Wednesday, January 10

mid-March - Wednesday, March 20

end of May - Wednesday, June 5

3. In addition, we started the rules book club . We are now reading the WHWatisdat? And will read through all the important rules in the coming months.

4. Finally, we have established working agreements and are working on annual planning.

See you soon at the PV!



Lola

---

Kasper: Joanna, you send your update as a separate email

Joanna: Yes I know

## 6. Setting agenda

1. Opening
2. Minutes and action list
3. Mail in/out
4. Announcements
5. Updates commissies, taakgroepen, DB en SA
6. Setting agenda
7. Appointment Council assistant [CONFIDENTIAL]
8. Revision House Rules and Work Agreements
9. Policy plan
10. Evaluation weekend [CONFIDENTIAL]
11. A.o.b.
12. Evaluation pieces
13. Evaluation PV
14. Asking round
15. Action list
16. Pieces next agenda
17. Closing

Eloïse: I want a ten minute discussion about fossil fuels.

Saar: If there is time I can give a rundown, but it is too long for discussion.

Melanie: I can give a brief summary of the previous year.

Kasper: I don't think we will be able to form a new stance, so it is not usable for the CSR.

Saar: I will hold the old FSR stance.

Maas: If we are completely of the old stance, then we can maybe discuss it next week.

Lola: I would like a new stance asap

Maas: It is very busy. But Saar, please give us more information at the end.

*The agenda is set.*

## **7. Appointment Council assistant [CONFIDENTIAL]**

## **8. Revision House Rules and Work Agreements**

Kasper: Yeah, I guess there were a couple things that I forgot, due to an error on my part, a couple of proposals, which I sent per email as a reaction or a response to the agenda thread. Which I think will be added in Point C of the discussion. Because it's all in the piece. There's also another thing that I missed that I also did email because it's a separate thing. We also wanted to discuss the anonymity of counsel assistants and the administrative secretary, when we process them for or when we have a piece for appointing them, not making them still keeping them confidential, classified, but not anonymous, which is something we will also discuss. But for now we can move on.

### **Discussion points**

#### **1. Is the piece unclear?**

## **2. Is the information correct?**

## **3. Is there any information missing?**

## **4. Discussion per article:**

### **4.a. House Rules**

#### **4.a.I. Article 4.1, Daily Board members**

Kasper: I think we need to add at least such a sentence. I was wondering if we would need to add a sentence with the functions.

Robin: I believe that the council do what they seem fit. Also because they can change the rules again.

#### **4.a.II. Article 14.12, Tied votes for persons**

Kasper: These three articles deal with tied voting. The procedure around tied voting was ambiguous. I think it is fair to flip a coin if it is about people. It is not perfect, but more fair.

Melanie: No, I don't know why. Maybe not like feature votes will be decisive. But maybe the chair could withhold their votes.

Saar: Flipping a coin makes more sense.

Robin: We could also look at the people who can't vote and agree with them.

Kasper: I don't know for sure. I don't know if it is legal. I don't think it is an option.

Eloïse: How does the CSR do this?

Saar: I don't know.

Melanie: I would like to know how other people would think. Flipping a coin isn't legal as well.

Maas: Flipping a coin is a big no, no, for me. That seems very unprofessional in a way.

Kasper: I think what we could add is that if there's a tie after the second vote, then we flip a coin, but the result of the coin flip will need to be accepted by everyone. And they don't accept the coin flip result.

Lola: Can't we just say now, if it is fifty fifty, then we are against or in favour.

Maas: Then people can tactically vote.

Eloïse: We voted for you knowing that you have the decisive vote. I don't see any issue with that.

Saar: The CSR doesn't have any rules about this. The DB decides.

Kasper: The situation sketched by this article is a part of the next two articles that are up to discussion. The only thing specific is that voting on people and lowering the majority. Can we just delete the article? And use the procedure after this. It is still layered voting.

Maas: I don't think it is going to be drastically different if it is a person or just normal.

Chris: Maybe if we can't come to a decision, just keep it how it is written.

Robin: I also agree, but for the next FSR committees, it is important to say that the chair has more power.

Chris: This is a really rare situation that will most likely not happen.

Eloïse: Did it ever happen?

Melanie: It could have happened in the previous council.

Maas: That's fine. This is all for article 14.

Kasper: No it is not really the same.

Chris: It will lead to the same discussion.

Kasper: First of all, 14.14 and 14.15 have different procedures. I do not agree with creating a division between DB, chair and file holder. Therefore I want to talk about it separately.

Lola: Can we vote on the different articles separately?

Kasper: Yes.

Maas: Looking at the time, if it is okay, I want to move on.

**4.a.III. Article 14.14, Tied proposal popularity in layered voting**

**4.a.IV. Article 14.15, Tied voting proposals in layered voting**

**4.b. Work Agreements**



#### **4.b.I. Article 2.3.1 Presence in council chamber**

Kasper: Last week, there were multiple articles. We always want to have everyone present and have people at events. Especially having always someone in the chamber.

Saar: I'm not going to be there, so it depends on you guys. I'm not going to sit there a lot.

Robin: I like the way it is now. We aim to have ... It could be less.

Melanie: I like it as well. In case we would be absent for a week, we could talk about it and remind people to be present sometimes.

#### **4.b.II. Article 2.3.3. Usage of storage bins**

Saar: I think that the entire thing can be scratched.

Chris: I agree, just don't be annoying.

Kasper: I think that it is for a longer time, that you do not take a desk if you are not back for an hour or more. If you leave your stuff, people can move your stuff.

Robin: If people leave their stuff at the table and it is being moved, it can be seen as rude. I would like to have a consensus.

Saar: If you are away for a longer time, then tidy up.

Maas: That's already there.

Saar: I think the phrasing is weird. Just say tidy up. Be responsible for your own stuff. It is as well for a neat council room.

Nina: Maybe we can put a time limit.

Saar: It is more about room for others.

Kasper: Do you have phrasing?

Saar: I can think about it.

\*Action Saar: Rephrase article 2.3.3. and send it to Kasper.

Robin: If there is stuff at the table, then you are allowed to move some stuff.

Chris: This discussion is way too long, just put the stuff on the couch.

#### **4.b.III. Article 3 Plenary meeting agreements**

Saar: I want to propose to not have PMs during exam week.

Maas: Realistically you cut off a lot of meetings. I don't know.

Chris: If you can't make it, just say so.

Kasper: Everyone understands. Something might turn up that needs to be discussed even during exam weeks. It's fine if you are very busy.

Saar: For me personally, overall workload, If there is a meeting, then I'm trying to make it. Without the meetings during exam week it would be easier for me.

#### **Working Hours (from the mail)**

Melanie: I'm still confused. Working hours are 9-20, but we have PM till 20. The PM can be longer. It is a working rule, but how strict are we?

Robin: I think we're gonna have a short sentence like unless agreed upon otherwise, or something like that.

Saar: Maybe add a specific clause. If there is a planned meeting that extends

Melanie: It says we don't have to work on the weeknd. But PV submitting is during the weekend.

Kasper: It doesn't say that you can't work on the weekend, but there is no obligation. You still have the whole weekend. If you want to work on the weeknd or evening, just do so. But you are not obliged.

Melanie: I want to stipulate this because sometimes we work together on a piece.

Maas: The main thing is that it is expected that you don't have to be available.

Melanie: We have to change the emergency email voting.

Saar: There is a clause in case of emergencies.

Kasper: Mail Voting is till 22:00 and from 8:00

### **Language policy (from the mail)**

#### **4.b.IV. Article 3 Plenary meeting alcohol and drugs policy**

#### **4.b.V. Article 3 Plenary meeting Student assessor membership**

Kasper: For confidential pieces we sign a confidentiality agreement with the student assessor.

#### **4.b.VI. Article 7.1, 7.5 & 7.8 Usage of communication channels**

Kasper: Article 7.5 mentions emails are leading, but I think that Telegram is mostly leading.

Maas: I don't think we use whatsapp for council stuff.

Robin: I think that the last message sent is leading.

Kasper: Then nothing is leading. There is also the 7.1 that says that doodles will be sent through email. Forms and doodles are sent via Telegram. That could be changed.

#### **4.c. Other proposals?**

Maas: Write an opinion and decision making piece for next week.

*The council takes a short break between 19:26 and 19:31.*

## **9. Policy plan**

Saar: I was thinking that the policy plan is just for the CoBo, but can't we send it to the DT?

Melanie: We already sent it to the DT and other organisations.

Robin: I wanted to look into programmes for dyslexia. It is too late to add anything.

Maas: This is something about the content of the policyplan, this is mainly about the form and if we want to do this.

### **Discussion points**

#### **1. Is the piece clear?**

#### **2. Is the information correct?**

### **3. Is there information missing?**

### **4. Are the options correct?**

### **5. Are there options missing?**

Saar: For the part of the content of the policy plan, I was missing maybe an option D, which in my mind would look like? We outlined three or four important themes, like education, transparency, accessibility, something like that. And then we make some goals, accordingly and then we make them aligned with these themes, because now it's either we write down everything, or we write down the big things.

Maas: How would the committees work on that?

Saar: More individual. Education is mostly ORC.

Melanie: I can include it and if you would send pros and cons.

Eloïse: I think it is good to have some main goals and big things and then separate them into smaller stuff.

Melanie: If anyone has suggestions, please send them.

### **6. Are the pros and cons correct?**

### **7. Are there pros and cons missing?**

### **8.a. Would you like to write a policy plan (yes/no) or an alternative?**

Kasper: I think it is good for ourselves as well and get it on paper. As well for transparency sake and throughout the year to look at that, and look at if we've done that or not.

Eloïse: It's good to have it.

### **8.b. What do we think about the content of the policy plan of FSR 22/23?**

Maas: Talk about it in the committees and between committee heads.

Saar: We can strive to be a bit idealistic in the year plan.

Melanie: Good question, what can you name ideal.

Saar: For example, mandatory feedback of a course.

### **8.c. What do we think about the layout of the policy plan of FSR 22/23?**

Nina: It might be nice to have bullet points at the beginning of the document.

Kasper: I think that we didn't do that, because if there are only bullet points at the beginning, the stop after the bullet points.

Nina: I think it might be a good idea if you put bullet points at the beginning, rather than the end, because I think some people will open the document and just see text and then just close it again. But if they have bullet points, they can actually read them at the bare minimum.

Eloïse: Sometimes people do not have time to read 15 pages. It is nice to be short at the start.

Maas: I think a good thing to keep in mind is who do we write this for?

Also, if we do write this for ourselves, which is a big part too, I think.

Yeah, it's always good to keep in mind again, who do we read this for?

Which is of course, like, we know, we have certain people to study associations who are going to read this, but then why should they read this? I think those are things we should really keep in mind while putting so much time and effort into this.

Melanie: Policy summary is a good idea.

Kasper: Every committee had a summary of half a page.

Dave: This will be published for everyone? Then it might be better to start with a summary.

Nina: At worst, an abstract in the beginning and bullet points at the end.

Maas: Maybe a general text about the student council. It might be a nice document to have, and if you are interested.

Eloïse: Write flyers and use this as PR.

Kasper: Something at the beginning as one page summary and in addition at the end of each part bullet points.

\*Action Melanie: Write the OB piece about the policy plan.

Melanie: Before Friday if people have suggestions.

## **8.d. What do you think about the proposed options?**

### **10. Evaluation weekend [CONFIDENTIAL]**

### **11. A.o.b.**

### **12. Evaluation pieces**

Kasper: Maybe the poll could have been more prepared.

Melanie: I wanted to.

Eloïse: Kasper's piece could have been more prepared.

### **13. Evaluation PV**

Kasper: It was very chaotic.

Maas: We learn from mistakes.

### **14. Asking round**

Maas: First time for Liang.

Nina: Thanks for voting me in.

Dave: Thanks for voting me in.

### **15. Action list**

(PV230830) Action Chris - Discuss with the new FPC how to present the promotion of the 'joint degrees' questionnaire to the next council meeting.

(PV 230912) Action Saar - look up dates for third party fossil fuels and notify the DB.

(PV 230912) Action Saar - Rephrase article 2.3.3. and send it to Kasper.

(PV 230912) Action Melanie - Write the OB piece about the policy plan.



(PV 230912) Action Melanie/Eloïse - Write the OB piece about the evaluation weekend.

### **16. Pieces next agenda**

Kasper: Final HR piece, team building piece, OB evaluation weekend, full BOB OD Logic, policy plan, FSR budget, Council clothing, framework nota

Chris: Is it possible next week?

Robin: I'm sending an email tomorrow about the declaration forms and compensation for the council.

Melanie: Lola and Saar, that's the moment you can get your costs.

### **17. Closing**

Chair Maas closes the meeting at 20:18.