



---

<i>Chair</i>	Maas Hermes
<i>Present</i>	Maas Hermes, Melanie Kool (until 11), Eloïse Herveet, Kasper Mooijaart, Saar Schnieders, Robin van Straaten, Joanna Ñañez, Lola Fioole, Chris de Hart, Nina Chen
<i>Absent</i>	
<i>Minutes secretary</i>	Liang Telkamp
<i>Guest</i>	Dave van Wijk, Ștefana Feciuc, Joaquim Becker

---

## 1. Opening

Chair Maas opens the meeting at 18:09.

## 2. Minutes & Action list

*The minutes of the previous plenary meeting are accepted.*

(PV230926) Action ORC - Write advice for PD BSc Informatiekunde.

Done.

(PV230926) Action Task group finances - Write advice regarding the Framework Note FNWI 2024.

Done.

(PV230926) Action Robin - Write OB piece for FSR budget.

Done.



(PV230926) Action Kasper - Check confidentiality of the vice-dean job application.

Kasper says it is not confidential.

(PV230926) Action everyone - Send teambuilding ideas to Eloise before Friday.

Done.

(PV230926) Action Eloise - Write OB piece Teambuilding.

Done.

PRO MEMORIE-01 Committee heads relay action points to absent members; in the absence of a committee head, the chairperson will do this.

PRO MEMORIE-02 Update action items in Trello once they are completed.

PRO MEMORIE-03 Saar - provide an update on the current status of UvaQ at FOC.

PRO MEMORIE-04 Make documents dyslexia-friendly.

### **3. Mail**

#### **In**

- Answers about the framework note questions
- Project coordinator strengthening !
- Introduction meeting with DT in hotel Casa

#### **Out**

- Advice framework note
- Advice PD BSc Informatiekunde

### **4. Announcements**



Kasper is still busy finishing the minutes of the first PV.

Saar introduces Ștefana and Joaquim and asks if they have speaking rights.

Kasper answers that they can just raise their hands.

Maas asks everyone if they are allowed to speak. The council agrees that they can just raise their hands and speak.

*Everyone introduces themselves for the guests.*

## **5. Updates committees, task groups, DB, Studentassessor**

*DB*

Hi everyone,

Sorry for the late DB update, there were some things I had to take care of. As you have of course heard from Melanie the policy plan themes are now known: Inclusivity, Education and Democratization & Transparency. So now it's on to writing! We've also arranged whisper translation for the BO's, so everyone can speak in their desired language. The first BO is already next week, for which all agenda points should be sent in to Eloise tonight by the latest! Tomorrow morning we'll have a preparation meeting with Kees, to discuss the agenda points. And there's of course the ACD CoBo tonight!

There's of course some other things we're doing and taking care of, but nothing big or noteworthy for this update.



See you all tonight!

Kind regards / Met vriendelijke groet,  
Kasper Mooijaart

-----  
*CSR*

Saar didn't send an update. She had the first meeting with the AI working group and it was fun. The CSR allocated the budget.

-----  
*FPC*

Hoi,

It's a new week again and that means new updates from the FPC! Unfortunately Nina couldn't join the last meeting, but the minutes have been read. Sadly enough neither Eloise, nor Nina knew that Assepoester was indeed a princess 👑. We also were planning on organizing an event soon, we were thinking of something low-key like a Mario Kart tournament with the other boards 🚗🚦. Speaking of more serious business, we more thoroughly discussed the point we wanted to discuss at the AO, namely the implementation of student psychologists on SP. Other measures that were discussed were the prolonging of the number of sessions (which is currently 5), and making it possible for student psychologists to refer a student to an external psychologist. Furthermore, regarding a social safety campaign communicated to us by Mawgosia, it is something we found a bit vague. For now we thought a training/workshop for the DB could be a nice idea. We will look further into it when the time comes.

P.S. Due to the unfortunate incident during our last meeting, a suggestion would be to watch a Disney princess movie (in dutch) 😊



Groetjes,  
Joanna

---

ORC

Hi dear FSR (Fabulous Super River-otters),

Here are the ORC updates!!!

We are still working hard on the advices for the OD's and on the Forum Education Committees. We are planning a meeting with the O&O committee of the OR and we are preparing for the BO.

We also introduced and discussed a new file! It is about the admission to master studies when you don't have a bachelor diploma on the 1st of September. Kasper is the file holder of this new file and you all can aspect a PV-piece about it soon :).

At last, the book club is on fire! 🔥 We have read a very helpful guideline about all our rights as student council. We would recommend everybody to read chapter II of this document. You can find the English version here: <https://lsvb.nl/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/HEARA-2018-Digital-updated.pdf> and the NL version here: [https://lsvb.nl/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/DEF20161019\\_WHWBoekje-Binnenwerk-vijfde-editie-incl-kaft.pdf](https://lsvb.nl/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/DEF20161019_WHWBoekje-Binnenwerk-vijfde-editie-incl-kaft.pdf) .

See you tomorrow <3,  
Lola

---



*Studentassessor*

Hi FSR,

Again, sorry for the late update, deadlines and two meetings on one day are no fun!

DT-Meeting

These are some of the subjects we talked about in the past DT-meeting:



Plan for improving applicants teacher education

As you all might know, there is a shortage of teachers here in the Netherlands. Less and less people are enrolling in education for this. The DT making a plan to improve the enrollment.



Communication plan technological profile

DT is making a plan for what they want to focus on with the communication as well internally as externally. And most importantly: how the FNWI wants to present itself. The focus will be technology.

Hope this email find you well, and see you all tonight!

Met vriendelijke groet,

Dave van Wijk | Studentassessor FNWI

---

## **6. Setting agenda**

### 1. Opening



2. Minutes and action list
3. Mail in/out
4. Announcements
5. Updates committees, task groups, DB en SA
6. Setting agenda
7. Unsolicited advice third party collaborations
8. Announcement of Maas [CONFIDENTIAL]
9. FSR Budget [CONFIDENTIAL]
10. FSR Trip [CONFIDENTIAL]
11. Teambuilding activity [CONFIDENTIAL]
12. A.o.b.
13. Evaluation pieces
14. Evaluation PV
15. Asking round
16. Action list
17. Pieces next agenda
18. Closing

Maas suggests doing the confidential announcement at 8.

*The agenda is set.*

## **7. Unsolicited advice third party collaborations**

### **1. Is the piece unclear?**

### **2. Is the information correct?**

### **3. Is there any information missing?**

### **4. Moment of discussion:**

**a. What do we think of the definition of greenwashing as proposed by the CSR?**

Robin says that although they are very clear of the definition he finds it hard to detect greenwashing when it is actually happening.

Ştefana answers that the CSR are no policymakers but just give guidelines. They chose this definition of greenwashing, because they would like to be more focused on genuine renewables. She explains that that does not mean that there is going to be a block of any kind of project that concerns for example, hydrogen or CO2 carbon capture. But it is more like the UvA should set their research agendas in line with a genuine green approach. So in the future, maybe the UvA is not going to just accept any projects that they're going to have coming from fossil fuel companies, but they're going to reflect and also maybe send their research directors to find more funding from research that is genuinely sustainable.

Stefana says that according to the current framework the CvB has adopted, greenwashing is made possible at the university. The CvB accepts the possibility that greenwashing is going to happen at the university and their policy right now says, stopping greenwashing whenever possible.

**b. What do we think of the demands on the functioning of the Advisory Committee?**

Kasper thinks it is a fair demand to check every project by one committee. He thinks that the committee should be very large and that it might be hard to make it binding.





Joaquim explains that the binding part is that the advice has not to be respected. It's just that the advisory committee would write an advice whether the research would be in the guidelines and there should be a binding response. And that should also be published transparently. The education director just needs to take care of that, and then write a response to why he/she thinks, why this research has to go through.

Ştefana says that it is actually a discussion that they've had with Peter Paul Verbeek, as well, he was very scared by the concept of binding. But how she chose to explain it is that the CSR, for example, has binding consenting powers over the budget, so we can record the budget, we can block it. But they also have binding advising powers, which is different from consenting. And within the case of the advisory committee, if they're going to issue a binding advice that these will have to really consider or like, strongly be influenced by it, which is the practice right now, is just that what we're asking is a formalization of practice, and a formalization of the fact that things have to give a substantiated reason for why they decided to still pursue a project, even if the advisory committee said no.

Eloïse asks whether a research project can go ahead if there is transparency.

Ştefana nodded.

Melanie asks how much effort the CSR would put in this file.

Ştefana says it is a file right now and started the previous year and that there is a lot of communication with the CvB. So initially the stance of the



CSR was cutting ties with sharpness. But right now they had to take a different stance because the CvB is very hard on not cutting ties. So right now they're just trying to work with the CvB to figure out how they can just change something and just continue doing the things as they are under different names.

Robin says that he didn't see how much money from Shell went to research.

Ştefana says that that is a problem. There is no transparency. It is also hard to collect this information. She doesn't know the exact numbers.

Kasper says first, second and third cash flows. NWO consortia. Exact numbers aren't there, but collaborations are. There is an estimation, but not specific for each party.

### **c. What do we think of the demands on the transparency measures?**

Eloïse says that the demands are very fair. There were requests for information six months ago, but it took very long.

Maas thinks that the transparency measures are a big change and asks if the CSR looked at other universities and how they do it? He thinks that it is a lot and that the UvA thinks it might be a too big step.

Ştefana says that the CvB actually agreed with most of the transparency measures. They promised that they're going to have some kind of release of information.

Kasper thinks that the main concern is that the live blog of what is happening is a lot of work and he has rather a comprehensive report at the end just like the CVBE does. The description of the process would be sufficient, live report is a lot of work to maintain.

Eloïse thinks that the live report is for transparency.

Ştefana says that the live reporting comes from a response of the CvB that they only want to do an annual report. With annual reports, the projects have already started, so you can't really backtrack. Live reporting should prevent that. It is more that researchers already start a project and get a backlash later. It is for academic oversight, we want an interdisciplinary panel. When it comes to fossil fuel you need the perspective of multiple faculties.

Saar asks why the CSR and the COR need to be in the committee.

Joaquim answers that it is to keep the democratic integrity.

Ştefana says that the CSR shouldnt be inquisitive, more focus on the PHD council and the COR. And second she says that the framework that they have right now is not a framework that functions.

Kasper explains that a technocracy is an organization system where policy is made by experts in their fields, thus non democratic but only experts.

#### **d. What do we think of the demands regarding dual-use?**

Kasper thinks it might be a bit difficult because when you publish any paper with any results, that's public information, and any party can or can



use that and read that. So dual-use is almost inevitable. If Shell finds something useful, they can use it. He does very much agree with the fact that their involvement in things that aren't directly fossil fuels is dubious because they can influence it so that it can very subtly benefit them. So that's definitely a good point. And he agrees, but the dual-use angle might be a bit difficult, like just the financial involvement and their back end involvement in the programme and research.

Ştefana says that there was more about the advice and did not want to get too technical. In the drafts that they had they also provide licensing and dual-use. At the UvA only exclusive or not exclusive. But she understands his concern.

Kasper says that the intention behind the research matters. The results can be used anywhere, but the intention matters. If the research aims at forensics, but Shell wants it to make it applicable to fossil fuel, then it would be very bad.

Robin asks if the advisory committee can only give non-binding advice. Ştefana explains that this is an advice, thus the UvA should respond accordingly.

Ştefana says that if anybody has questions, they can always email her.

*Ştefana and Joaquim leave the meeting.*

## **8. Announcement of Maas [CONFIDENTIAL]**

## **9. FSR Budget [CONFIDENTIAL]**



*The meeting was adjourned at 19:11.*

*The meeting resumed at 19:19.*

## **10. FSR Trip [CONFIDENTIAL]**

*Melanie leaves the meeting.*

## **11. Teambuilding activity [CONFIDENTIAL]**

*\*Action Eloïse: Send a Datumprikker for the teambuilding activity.*

*\*Action Eloïse: Arrange the teambuilding activity.*

### **12. A.o.b.**

### **13. Evaluation pieces**

Kasper says they were all good.

### **14. Evaluation PV**

### **15. Asking round**

Chris asks if we agree on black for informal clothing?

No one objects.

Chris will send a poll in the Telegram for the color of the logo on the informal clothing.

Maas asks if the folder in the mail was useful. People are happy with the folder.

## **16. Action list**



(PV 231003) Action Robin - Send the proposed budget to Kees with a small explanation.

(PV 231003) Action Melanie - Create Google Forms with the dates.

(PV 231003) Action Eloïse - Send a Datumprikker for the teambuilding activity.

(PV 231003) Action Eloïse - Arrange the teambuilding activity.

**17. Pieces next agenda**

**18. Closing**

Maas closes the meeting at 20:04.