



Agenda

3rd meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Date	18th of September 2025 09:30 – 11:00	Location	Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, REC A, Room A2.1
Present	Carla Gesell, Alexandra Jurasova, Anastacia Piersma, Eleonora van Koppen, Fabian Que, Johannes Hüttner, Tom Scheer.	Absent	-
Online	Vincent Nap, Wytze Adriaanse, Danial Haider, Livni Beck, Angie-lee Kruize,	Secretary	Anouchka van Wier

Notes by the chair are written in cursive and are part of the agenda and the minutes, after publication.

1. Opening of the meeting

9:43

2. Setting the agenda

3. Meeting with Radboud

Eleonora had a meeting with Radboud regarding OER infringements. During the meeting, potential policy changes were discussed, with a focus on finding workable solutions. Topics included:

- Attendance Transparency: Radboud expressed interest in making attendance requirements more transparent, though this has been a recurring promise in previous years.
- Non-Compliance Article: The discussion also addressed an article concerning oral arrangements, which they would like to revise.
- Post-Exam Discussions: PPLE's post-exam discussion policy is stricter than the model regulation. Eleonora will meet with Claudia to discuss this further.

Eleonora has made a document summarizing the meeting and will share this with the council later.

4. Student assessor recap

Livni and Fabian interviewed four candidates for the student assessor position. The interviews focused on:

- Candidates' understanding of the role
- Their connection to students and the FSR
- Their approach to conveying information
- How they would handle critical feedback toward the board

Livni and Fabian are confident that either of the final candidates would be suitable for the role. A decision is expected within a few hours.

5. Pownews

Key Points Raised:



- Tom: Supported the decision not to issue a statement. Suggested that a second statement might be advisable. Noted that the faculty has been quiet on the Israel-Gaza conflict, which could create an appearance of inconsistency and selective engagement if PowNews is acknowledged.
- Carla: Mentioned that the video in question is no longer available without an age check.
- Danial: Stated that he had no comments and found the statement well-written.

Voting:

- A vote was held on whether to vote anonymously: 6 voted against.
- Voting proceeded via email to Carla.
- Result: 3 votes in favor, 6 votes against co-signing the statement.
- 10 votes in favor of reaching out to the dean.

Follow-Up Actions:

- Carla will draft and send an email today informing that the council will not co-sign the statement.
- Carla will prepare a draft of the advice for the dean and circulate it today, allowing the rest of the council until tomorrow to review it.
- Fabian suggested including arguments even when voting against the majority, to encourage deeper discussion. Carla agreed this is a good practice moving forward.

6. Budget

Livni reported that the budget for the past two years was €12,000. Due to rising costs (e.g., accommodation), he requested a slight increase. If the budget remains capped at €12,000, the first cuts would be to the weekends and team-building activities. Livni asked if there were any questions.

Discussion:

- Tom: Questioned the high spending on the transitional and evaluation weekends, noting that 42% of the budget goes to the transitional weekend. Suggested reconsidering this allocation given budget cuts.
- Livni: Emphasized the importance of starting the year with a strong connection. Weekends in Amsterdam were less engaging. Accommodation and food are significant expenses, and historically, these weekends justify the funding received.
- Fabian: Noted that the evaluation weekend involves not just 12 but closer to 20 people, including the new board.
- Tom: Agreed that the transitional weekend is important but suggested potentially reallocating funds from the evaluation weekend to initiatives like student engagement or inclusivity.
- Livni: Asked where reallocated funds should go and how this would be justified to the board.
- Johannes: Suggested investing in increasing voter turnout and visibility (flyers, pens, stickers, highlighters), noting that €1,500 is already a good start.
- Vincent: Stated that CSR decides the overall budget and that reallocation is not always possible. Livni clarified that reallocation is allowed as long as there is proper justification. Vincent felt other categories were not underfunded.



- Carla: Reminded that hoodies fall under promotional material and agreed with Johannes. Suggested taking €250 from each weekend to allocate €500 more to promotion.
- Livni: Noted that accommodation under €5,000 is difficult to find and last year they nearly spent €6,000. Cutting funds would likely mean being limited to Amsterdam venues.
- Johannes: Suggested reducing costs by limiting participation to new council members and cutting costs for those who cannot attend.
- Carla: Asked whether money can be moved after the weekend. Livni confirmed this is possible.
- Fabian: Proposed cutting from the evaluation weekend rather than the transitional weekend if necessary.
- Livni: Suggested reducing team-building funds to €500.
- Carla: Proposed saving €250 from team building and €100 from CoBo gifts (e.g., bottles of wine).
- Livni: Agreed, noting the importance of locking in dates early to control costs. Last year was the first time both weekends stayed under budget.
- Fabian: Pointed out that the allocation for study associations is quite high (€500) given last year's activities and the fact that the other study associations get more money.

Voting Decision:

There was no consensus on holding a vote during the meeting. Some members wanted to vote immediately, while others felt that voting without more discussion and participation would be counterproductive. It was agreed that members will send their proposed amendments (with justifications) to Livni by tomorrow, after which a vote will be held at the next meeting.

7. Social activity recap

- The council reflected positively on the recent social activity — everyone enjoyed the game.
- Anastasia: Suggested not scheduling a meeting immediately afterward next time.
- Carla: Appreciated the opportunity for everyone to meet socially.
- Livni: Received a shoutout for organizing the activity.
- Winners: Angielee and Anastasia won the contest and will receive a bottle of wine.

8. CoBo

- The plan is to host the CoBo on 29 October, but there is concern about attendance since it coincides with the election evening.
- Tom: Suggested having a TV with live poll results during the event.
- Fabian: Proposed asking for RSVPs when sending the save-the-date. Highlighted that this is also a PR opportunity and friends can be invited.
- Carla: Confirmed that the date is set for the 29th and encouraged members to attend despite the overlap. The save-the-date will include photos of the council members, which will be sent for review before distribution. Also encouraged greater collaboration with other faculties and increased attendance at CoBos.

9. Other points/questions

- Alexandra: Noted that Candida (chair of the OR) would like to schedule a meeting before November 16 with the entire committee. Date to be discussed on Monday.
- Carla: Emphasized the importance of obtaining the contact sheets. Anouchka will follow up.



- Fabian: Asked whether Carla had already arranged the email domain.

10. Closing the meeting

10:45

In the case of absence and/or mandate, please send an e-mail to livni.fsr.fdr@gmail.com.

Action-list

Who?	What?	When?
Anouchka	Send reminder email for contact sheet for board and OV	ASAP
Everyone	Send proposed budget amendments (with justifications) to Livni	By tomorrow (19th of September)
Carla	Draft and send email communicating decision not to co-sign PowNews statement. Prepare and circulate draft advice for the dean.	Today
Everyone	Review Carla's advice draft and provide feedback	Tomorrow (19th of September)
Carla	Follow up on arranging email domain	ASAP

