



13th meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Date	December 7th, 2021 13.00-15.00	Location	Roeterseilandcampus A
Present	Ruben Peetam, Alla Molibog, Sam Atherton, Mark Sivolap, Janne Vrenken, Django Wagenaer.	Absent	Tuncay Yazar, Hollando Bangun, Chiara Züber, Roos de Rooij, Amal Zouin, Vincent Loos.
Guests	-	Secretary	Daniel Kraamwinkel

Agenda

Notes by the chair are written in cursive and are part of the agenda and the minutes, after publication.

1. Opening of the meeting

Ruben opens the meeting at 13:07
Tuncay is absent without a mandate.
Vincent is absent without a mandate.
Chiara is absent and mandates Sam.
Amal is absent and mandates Janne.
Roos is absent and mandates Janne.
Ruben congratulates the royal highness with her 18th birthday.

2. Setting of the agenda

Agenda is set.

3. Minutes of the previous meeting (November 30th)

No requests for changes by members of the Student Council have been received.
Approved by evident majority.

4. Review of the action-list

Mark states he asked Manish about the central diversity officers. Manish stated there is no actual place of that at the central level. Ruben states that the FSR will then push for a faculty officer instead.
Alla wrote her advice and it will be discussed below.
Sam emailed the USC student.

5. General updates or statements

a. Central Student Council

The delegate to the Central Student Council can use this agenda point to report on relevant activities at the central level.
Ruben states the CSR had a meeting this morning.
Mark states there are three updates.

First of all, they are opening a file for jobs and internships for students. Janne asks how this is different from the current platform. Mark states that the current platform offers too little and employers cannot find students in the current system. Therefore the main goal will be to improve on the current platform. Secondly, the O&O committee is looking into the use of numerus fixus for international students.

Thirdly, they are writing an unsolicited advice on the exam week. They will ask the COR and FSRs to sign it. Ruben asks if could this have been done earlier. Mark states that this came up after they had a meeting with the CVB last time, who referred them back to the deans. However, this time they will push harder because the route through the deans also does not seem to work. Ruben states that a potential vote on cosigning will happen through email.

6. COVID

Related to the Joint Meeting, but to be considered as a separate agenda point. It has been requested that the current state of the COVID-measures is discussed during the Joint Meeting. A draft has been made regarding the primary stance of the Student Council, requesting the faculty board in particular to draw up scenario's for different measures. After consideration in the Student Council and the Joint Meeting, a final advice can be written if necessary.

It is proposed that the Student Council approves the document.

Ruben states there are a couple of points to consider.

First of all, he states that the exam policy will not be updated by the faculty nor by the CVB.

Secondly, he states that Alla has drafted a proposal asking for an ABC-policy outline, and either an online option or an extra resit opportunity. He states it is almost finished.

Alla states that she would like to discuss some things in detail. The masters programme committee had a meeting with, amongst others, Mireille van Eechoud. During this meeting, van Eechoud stated that they will not be offering any alternatives to the regular exam. If individual students do have a problem they can ask the student advisers. Apparently there are rooms available for students with health risks but the faculty does not want to advertise this. Alla states that one of the study advisers actually did not know this policy exists. Therefore, it is unclear how official this policy is. Ruben states he will ask the exam board if they will not respond he will ask again at the GOV on Monday.

Alla states furthermore that there are individual staff members who are creating the extra exam opportunities that students need. However, because this is not a faculty policy these teachers are not being paid for this and therefore have to do it in their own time. Alla proposes to reach out to the OR to see if it could be asked at the GOV if teachers could be compensated for this through the NPO gelden. There is general consensus on this idea. Janne and Alla will schedule a meeting with Korf to try to arrange this.

Janne raises the point that the faculty board will probably try to counter by saying that at home exams will cause more stress. Ruben states that covid also causes a lot of stress. Daniel states that this especially goes for this exam week, since students who test positive cannot spend the holidays with their families. Ruben furthermore states that the faculty board (if they do it) should be reminded that the FSR is there to represent students and their interests and therefore is in the best position to interpret the needs of students. Janne agrees.

Janne proposes to also look at what other universities are doing. Ruben states that due to the union of universities most are more or less on the same wavelength.

Ruben states that the FSR will try to put the above into action. The advice is passed by evident majority.

Ruben states that he will shortly explain the Coronapass topic to ensure that all councilmembers are up to speed when asked about it. He states that there is a public health act, article 58ra lid 4, that stipulates that the consent of the CSRs of all universities is needed before a coronapass would be introduced. However, this is going to change: the government is removing this right of consent. The Coronapass will then be introduced via another bill. The universities are not super happy with the current Coronapass proposal because it is little nuanced and conflicts with the right to education. Ruben states that this topic will be discussed at Thursday's chair meeting of the FSR chairs.

Janne asks if it would make sense for the FSR to write an opinion on this, Ruben states not at all because it is a government policy. He states if it is up to the CVB there will be no coronapass, but they will follow the central guidelines. Janne states she thinks it could still be nice to express an opinion as the FSR. Ruben states it falls under the jurisdiction of the CSR, therefore any action from them should be awaited. Janne agrees.

7. Final preparations for the Joint Meeting of December 13th

The premeeting was held on November 29th. The Joint Meeting will be presided over by the chair of the Student Council. The Student Council is asked to consider the following agenda points. See also the attachments.

- a. Recommendations regarding blind grading

The Student Council has approved and filed its recommendations.

Chiara or Sam will present it.

- b. Recommendations regarding accessibility

The Student Council has approved and filed its recommendations.

Chiara or Sam will present it.

- c. Contemplation room

The faculty board has been asked to give an update on the contemplation room.

Sam will ask for it.

- d. Year plans (drafts)

To be discussed.

Ruben states the student council refrains from its right to weigh in. Vincent will ask some clarification questions.

- e. Evaluation of the Academic Excellence Track

To be discussed.

Ruben states this is for the honours programme in masters. Ruben states that the one thing to be noted here is that this is not regulated with the honours agreement with the VU, this is separate. It is a well written evaluation so the council will just extend its compliments.

Janne asks about what the precise plan for the diversity body is. Ruben states it is a good question because the elitism of honours programmes and diversity do not necessarily seem to go together. Therefore he will ask questions about this.

- f. Herziening bachelor

To be discussed.

Ruben states this is the final doc before the OER proposals. Ruben states he will discuss it with Vincent, but it looks okay. If any questions come up he will ask for it. Only thing that still really seems out of order is the required courses of the 5th semester which risk study delay. O&O will prepare for this.

- g. Other matters related to the Joint Meeting

Ruben cannot make it to the meeting. Alla will take over as chair and his points will be divided amongst Alla and Vincent.

Janne states she loves how well prepped the council is, she concludes her compliment by stating "general yay".



- h. Covid
Alla will present it.

8. Meeting of the Chairs of the Student Councils on December 9th

The Chairs (and vice-Chairs) of the eight Student Councils will meet to discuss central and/or inter-faculty affairs. This agenda point can be used to inform the Student Council in more detail on the upcoming meeting.
Discussed above. Besides corona what will be discussed is the FNWI recruitment issue. However, this is not very relevant for the FSR-FdR.

9. Public Relations

The Committee for Public Relations may use this agenda point to discuss relevant topics with the Student Council.

Janne states first that a doodle for the open day will be sent shortly. They want to have a presentation and PR will reach out to councilmembers for that.

Secondly, this Thursday the PR coffee moment will take place. It will take place from 14:00 to 16:00, please try to make it.

Thirdly, as an internal event PR believes it would be nice to have a little lunch in January. A doodle will be sent out for this as well.

Fourthly, text PR your birthday because they want to do something fun for everyone.

10. Other points raised during the meeting (WVTTK)

Ruben states that next week is the last meeting of the year. He declares it a Christmas sweater day. The ugliest sweater will win a prize.

11. Final questions

12. Closing of the meeting

Closing of the meeting at 14:07.

In the case of absence and/or mandate, please send an e-mail to ruben.fsr.fdr@gmail.com.

Action-list

Who?	What?	When?
All	Send birthday to PR	In due time
All	Wear ugly (Christmas) sweater to the final PV of the calendar year	Next PV