



## 22nd meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Date	8th of March 2022 17:00 - 19:00	Location	Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, REC A, Room A3.07
Present	Ruben Peetam, Alla Molibog, Sam Atherton, Mark Sivolap, Janne Vrenken, Chiara Zuber, Roos de Rooij, Amal Zouin, Hollando Bangun	Absent	Vincent Loos, Tuncay Yazar, Chiara Zuber, Django Wagenaar.
Guests	-	Secretary	Daniel Kraamwinkel

### Agenda

*Notes by the chair are written in cursive and are part of the agenda and the minutes, after publication.*

#### 1. Opening of the meeting

Janne opens the meeting at 17:06.

#### 2. Setting of the agenda

Janne states that the Tax law professor point to next week. She states she is still making a timeline to have a proper discussion. She is now looking into the topic and she thinks the FSR should take a stricter stance. So far no hard stance was taken because it is not under the FSR's jurisdiction and no clear damage has occurred. Janne states she will try to finish the overview this week and it will be discussed next week.

Ruben states that last Thursday they had the faculty debate on the topic. The faculty commission has issued a report which shows the underlying problems – he would like the FSR to include this in their recommendations since it focuses on the big picture. He will send the proposal to all council members.

Ruben also states that O&O would like to investigate the topic and make a recommendation on the topic.

Sam asks why it was brought up in parliament. Janne states because it is a general issue at universities.

Janne also states that the request from Folia for the FSR to make a statement on this topic will be touched upon after next PV.

Mark states that he will receive the response from the COR hopefully this week.

#### 3. Minutes of the previous meeting (1st of March 2022)

The minutes are approved by general consensus.

#### 4. Review of the action-list

Alla emailed José, it was discussed at the OV (see point 6).

Ruben will discuss the third exam option at WVTTK.

#### 5. Updates from the Delegate to the Central Student Council

*The delegate to the Central Student Council can use this agenda point to report on relevant activities at the central level.*



Mark states that Yuri and Manniche from the CSR have met with Ten Dam about her car expenses. In short, she stated it was due to the emergency circumstances. She also stated the UU rector claimed 30k for similar expenses so this was not too bad. The CSR is not satisfied with this response but has no further steps planned for now.

Mark also states that he is meeting with the job board on Friday, if you have a question please inform him. Alla asks what the meeting entails. Mark states he is meeting with the contact person to see how the system can be clarified to the students.

Mark also states that they are working on implementing the free menstrual products at the entire university. Sam asks if someone needs to pick it up at FSR level. Alla states that it is university-wide so we cannot add anything besides advertisement.

Fourthly, the CSR has asked how much money the FSR-FdR spends each year. Since this information is confidential, it will be released only upon formal request.

## 6. Short evaluation of the OV of March 7th, 2022

*An evaluation of the OV will be held here. We'll determine our further action points and discuss the outcomes of the meeting shortly.*

Janne asks about the NPO gelden if someone could clarify what the movie initiative at PPLE entails. Sam states that as an effort to increase community feelings, PPLE would like students to make movies about students and staff, a vlog of sorts. Janne states she does not see the point of this and asks what the PPLE delegates think. The PPLE delegates state that they also do not see the use of it, it is money that can be spend in already existing community-improving networks. General consensus on going for bringing this up in the next meeting the delegates have with Winkels.

Ruben states he would like to go on record to approve this idea, he applauds the dean of PPLE. Sam states she disagrees with Ruben.

Janne also asks what was discussed on Ukraine. Alla states that it was nice to see that the board has been acting very quickly on this. It is also being put into practice by PPLE handing out a lot of extensions. Her only concern is that the financial support will be too little, there is not much to be done about this however without help by the state. The FSR will keep an eye on the situation but it looks like the faculty is doing well.

Janne asks Mark to make sure the concerned students are responded to.

Ruben asks if everyone is clear on that you can change your name quite easily through studielink for your official papers. Sam states this will indeed be communicated by the faculty board.

## 7. Update on the integration of Blind grading

*Chiara would like to give the Council an update on the integration of our Blind Grading proposal*

This point is pushed to next week because Chiara is ill.

## 8. Other points raised during the meeting / general updates to give (WVTTK)

Ruben states the following on the third exam option.

“Article 7.4, second paragraph of the Act on Higher Education and Academic Research states that a programme will be structured in such a way that a student is able to achieve the amount of credits that have been assigned to the academic year. In the normal situation, this criterium is met by offering each student a regular opportunity at the end of the course and one resit opportunity within the same academic year, for those students who were unable to attend the first opportunity and those students who failed the exam. Within the College of Law and the Graduate School, this has been established in article A-4.5 of the OER. It is possible for students who have already finished the rest of the programme to be granted an extra opportunity so no delay occurs.

Case NL/CBHO/2021.105 is about a student who was registered for three opportunities in the same course, within the period January to May 2021. On all three occasions, the student was unable to attend due to

COVID-related symptoms and the concurring regulation that a student with such symptoms was not allowed to visit the campus. A request for a fourth opportunity in the same year was denied by the Board of Examination and Board of Appeal for Examinations and the student was referred to the first opportunity in the next academic year. The Board of Appeal for the Higher Education confirmed this; there was already an extra opportunity for all students and the student would not have any delay by making the exam again in the following academic year.

This case and other provisions that have been explained at the meeting show that the baseline for students to complete the course is two opportunities – provided the student fails the first opportunity – and that the granting of an extra opportunity outside of the regular framework is among other things dependent on the personal circumstances of the student, the risk of delay or suspension and other accommodating measures such as the lowering of the BSA. The COVID-scenario plan lists these measures and it is up to the Board of Examination in each case to determine whether the student position has been protected sufficiently.”

There is general consensus on trying to add part of this analysis to the COVID-scenario plan. Ruben adds that this is what Van Eechoud meant at the GOV by saying the two opportunities are not a clear-cut right. Ruben states he will make a knowledge clip for the canvas page to communicate this policy to students and will send the recommendation for the covid plan.

Janne states that PR had a discussion about the Deaner, does the FSR want to organize it? Alla states that the faculty board is very excited about it. General consensus on organizing it. Janne states PR will do that.

Alla wants to encourage everyone once again to come to the OV. If you are not present, please let Alla or Janne know.

## 9. Final questions

-

## 10. Closing of the meeting

Janne closes the meeting at 17:42.

*In the case of absence and/or mandate, please send an e-mail to [Alla.fsr.fdr@gmail.com](mailto:Alla.fsr.fdr@gmail.com).*

## Action-list

Who?	What?	When?
Janne	Fix tax law professor situation overview	By next PV
Ruben	Send the report by the faculty commission on the tax law prof	ASAP
Daniel	Email Folia about tax prof	ASAP
Ruben	Make knowledge clip about the third chance appeal process for the FSR canvas page	In due time
Ruben	Send recommendation on putting the third chance in the covid plan to the board	In due time



PR	Organize Deaner	In due time
----	-----------------	-------------