



27th meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Date	29th of April 2022 11:30 - 13:00	Location	Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, REC A, Room BK.02
Present	Alla Molibog, Mark Sivolap, Janne Vrenken, Chiara Zuber.	Absent	Ruben Peetam, Vincent Loos, Sam Atherton, Tuncay Yazar, Roos de Rooij, Amal Zouin, Django Wagenaer, Hollando Bangun.
Guests	-	Secretary	Daniel Kraamwinkel

Agenda

Notes by the chair are written in cursive and are part of the agenda and the minutes, after publication.

1. Opening of the meeting

Janne opens the meeting at 11:34.

2. Setting of the agenda

Agenda is set.

3. Minutes of the previous meeting (13th of April 2022)

Approved by general consensus.

4. Review of the action-list

Ruben is absent.

Jane states the OER vote will take place via email since no quorum is present.

5. Updates from the Delegate to the Central Student Council

The delegate to the Central Student Council can use this agenda point to report on relevant activities at the central level.

Mark states the CSR will update its own web site and the web pages of the faculties.. The whole renovation will cost 2400 euros.

Mark states secondly, a code of conduct an admissions requirement was put off the table. Several years ago they had a Social Safety Officer on the Central level, but their contract was not extended. They are looking into why this happened. The exam idea is off the table. Alla asks what the difference is between a trust person and a safety officer. Mark states the officer is more of a supervisor on policy and safe persons are for a point of contact if anything happens. Mark adds that Sam is looking into making rules that enable the university to de-enroll students in case of social safety violations. Currently this is only possible after a criminal conviction. Alla asks who would determine what is/isn't allowed. Mark states it can be instituted per studies, so it would be up to the faculties. He names the example of a student who crushed a tutors hand and then still was in the classroom for the next couple of weeks. Alla states that there are some recommendations in place already. Mark states that it should be feasible through the admission procedure, but it is complicated. Daniel raises the issue of giving the UvA a lot of power in this case, the procedure that

is being laid out seems very vague. Alla states that this is true, but forcing a victim to sit with their aggressor is even worse. Janne proposes to wait for Sam/O&F to draft a proposal and then see what it entails to figure these issues out.

Mark states finally that the CSR has gotten a request from the tuition fees working group (ICG) about their proposal to limit the increase in tuition fees to 15%. To increase the cost only the reason of cost increase in the offering of the program could be cited. The CSR has voted on a negative advice because 15% is deemed too much and the proposal is too vague.

6. TER's: response to our advice

We've received a letter from the dean in which he responds to our advice regarding the TER. Alongside, the renewed drafts for the TER of the Law Bachelors, Dutch Law Masters and English Law Masters ([all attached to the agenda two weeks ago](#)) were sent out. We'll vote on approving these documents today.
No quorum is present, therefore the vote will take place over email.

7. Mid-term evaluation proposal

Chiara has written a proposal on mid-term evaluation policy ([will be sent out later by chiara](#))

Chiara states it is to broaden the understanding of feedback and issues. Many students have stated they don't fill the course evaluations out. This coincides with the data from the university. She also states that according to anecdotes, this is partially because students do not feel heard and their suggestions will only have effect on next year. Also, many comments are very minor, and could be easily resolved during the course. Midterm course evaluations could be the solution for this. Chiara states the proposal entails two ideas, both anonymous discussion boards on canvas and discussions during tutorials. This focuses the idea on the exchange of knowledge to improve the course.

Mark states it sounds nice and feasible. Both options have advantages. Alla seconds this.

Janne states she also really likes it, and she proposes to bring a combination combining the both to the GOV. Daniel states that since the premeeting is already had, the FSR has to send it out ASAP.

Janne states she will put the voting deadline at 17:00 today. This will happen over email because there is no quorum present.

8. Preparation of the GOV on the 16th of May

Janne states there are just two points: the diversity report and the midterm course evaluation proposal.

Daniel states the FSR should be informed next week on if Maike Steen will be able to join the meeting. If she cannot, the diversity report will not be discussed.

Daniel also states there will be an extra premeeting with the OR on the 9th of May. Looking at the agenda, it is mainly important for Janne, Chiara and Sam to be there as the chair/file holders.

9. Other points raised during the meeting / general updates to give (WVTTK)

Mark asks if it is possible for PPLE to use a budget from the FdR library to fund an online lifelong access to the library. Also, would it be possible for PPLE to buy all of the books for the students and just hand them over via the library over the years? Concerns are raised in terms of feasibility, Alla states PPLE already has access to the budget of the library but there are limits to this. Daniel suggests that if Mark wants to pursue this, it is probably most useful to contact Nils Mevius, the person in charge of the finances of PPLE.

10. Closing of the meeting

Janne closes at 12:05.

11. Results of the email votes:

OER Proposals

In favour: Janne, Chiara, Ruben, Vincent, Hollando, Mark, Tuncay, Alla, Hollando

Against: -

Abstaining: Amal, Roos, Django

Mid-Term Evaluation

In favour: Janne, Chiara, Amal, Vincent, Alla, Sam, Hollando, Mark

Against: -

Abstaining: Ruben, Tuncay, Roos, Django

In the case of absence and/or mandate, please send an e-mail to Alla.fsr.fdr@gmail.com.

