



6th meeting of the Faculty Student Council of Law

Agenda

Notes by the chair are written in cursive and are part of the agenda and the minutes, after publication.

Date September 28th 2026 11:00 – 12:00
Present Anton Siemonsma, Felicia Taruna,
Hanna van den Brink, Parham Jam,
Roza Ali, Tess Maliepaard, Nuno
Ploeger, Safir Pavel

Guest
Online

Location Online
Absent Angie-lee Kruize, Fabian Que,
Livni Beck

Secretary Dina Mrabet

In the case of absence and/or mandate, please send an e-mail to fabian.fsr.fdr@gmail.com.

1. Opening of the meeting

11:05

2. Setting the agenda

3. Signing the Green Commitment of the Green Office

Parham, also on behalf of Fabian, raised several formal and textual issues in the Green Commitment, including references to a missing page 4, incorrect dates in Article 12, and some grammatical and wording issues.

Hanna, Safir and Tess supported signing the Commitment despite these formal issues, noting the value of showing solidarity with the Green Office and supporting sustainable practices. Danial noted that the FSR had signed the Commitment in previous years and supported signing it again.

→ The Council voted to sign the Green Commitment. **Seven members** present voted in favour.

4. Transparency issues at PPLE

Safir raised concerns regarding transparency and communication within PPLE, following concerns expressed by students and staff regarding the PPLE Dean. She explained that staff members were preparing a letter requesting clarification from the Dean regarding his position on the Israel-Palestine conflict and his potential past involvement in the IDF, and that they intended to approach both the OR and FSR regarding the letter.

The Council discussed the importance of transparency and dialogue, while noting that the FSR could not decide on supporting or signing the letter before seeing its contents. Tess and Parham raised concerns about making judgements about the Dean based solely on his background and emphasised the importance of reviewing the letter before taking a position.



Anton noted that the purpose of the proposed letter would be to request transparency rather than to make a judgement about the Dean. He also noted that, while a Dean's personal political views should ideally not be relevant to their position, the FSR could have a role in addressing concerns regarding the working environment of students and staff. Danial also supported further discussion and noted the importance of giving concerns raised by students and staff a place within the Council.

→ It was agreed that the matter will be discussed further once the draft letter is available.

5. Financial training medezeggenschap

Date: 9th of October.

It is established that everyone has received an e-mail about this.

Parham discussed the possibility of joining a training together with the CSR. Anton noted that there are no clear rules preventing FSRs and the CSR from joining each other's trainings, although this was based on his understanding rather than a confirmed rule. He also noted that the format and group size of a training should be taken into account. The Council agreed that joining a training together with the CSR could be beneficial.

→ The council will follow up on the practical arrangements.

6. Working agreement follow-up

Tess noted that, as Fabian is currently unavailable, Hanna and Safir would otherwise be working on the working agreement without an experienced Council member. The Council discussed possible ways to support them.

Danial suggested contacting other FSRs to obtain examples of their working agreements. Hanna suggested involving Livni, and noted that she could also contact other faculties to request their working agreements. Tess offered to contact the Economics and Business FSR and suggested contacting other FSR chairs as well.

→ It was agreed that Tess, Hanna and Safir would coordinate on this. Tess will create a group chat and involve Fabian as supervisor.

7. TACT trainings follow-up

The Council discussed the results of the poll on the available TAQT trainings. The two trainings receiving the most votes were **Harvard Negotiations** (six votes) and **Debating** (six votes). These were therefore selected as the Council's two trainings.

The Council also discussed the possibility of joining a **Participation Rights and Duties** training if another Council organises one, as this training received three votes.



8. FSR budget: additional funding

Roza reported that an additional €1,000 could likely be added to the FSR budget, as similar additional funding had been provided in previous years but had not been used. The Council agreed that this was settled.

9. Other points

- Compensation: Roza explained that Council members will need to complete an individual form with their bank details and submit it together with their *geloofsbrief* in order to receive their compensation. She is still waiting to receive the relevant form from the administration and will follow up on this. Anton clarified that the larger part of the compensation needs to be requested separately, while the amount processed through the form is €130 per month.
- Scheduling of PV Meetings: Parham suggested scheduling the weekly PV meetings directly in Teams, so that Council members can access the meetings through their Teams calendars without needing a new link each time. Roza supported the suggestion, noting that she had experienced recurring problems accessing the meetings through the Google Calendar links.
- Rights: Nuno had a conversation with Marianna and Pim about printing and coffee rights. The printing vouchers are available in the FSR room. The coffee card from last year is still missing, and the Council is currently contacting former Council members to locate it.
- PR Engagement Table – 30 September: Safir asked the other members, especially O&O, to join. Unfortunately, not all members will be in Amsterdam on that date.
→ Hanna asked the members to check their availability for the other dates listed in the PR Year Plan.

10. Closing the meeting

11:54

Action-list

Who?	What?	When?
Tess, Hanna, Safir & Fabian	Tess, Hanna and Safir will coordinate on finalising the working agreement. Tess will create a group chat and involve Fabian as supervisor.	(due date still needs to be established)
Everyone	Give feedback and input on the working agreement	As soon as possible
Everyone	Agree on a date and time for the Harvard Negotiations and Debating trainings and register by emailing the two email addresses provided in the CSR email.	Upcoming block



Deadlines

When	What?
23-10-2026	Advise on the curriculum changes to the Bachelor's programmes in Law and Tax Law
23-10-2026	Internal deadline O&O: OER amendment proposals
30-10-2026	Internal deadline FSR: OER amendment proposals
15-11-2026	Definite deadline: OER amendment proposals

