

REC A, Room A0.04
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166
(020) 525 3446
fdr@studentenraad.nl
studentenraad.nl/fdr

Friday 23 March
Date 2018
Time 15:00 – 17:00 u Location A7.65

Contact
person Alaa Khalifa
E-mail fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Alaa Khalifa, Darius Jokubauskas, Luna Evers, Bram Jaarsma, Mirte Boot, Anna Schröder, Annette Duinmeijer
Absent: Alexander van Lunteren, Leo Wiedemann
Guests: -
Secretary: Myron Warta

Agenda

- 1. Opening of the meeting**
Anna opens the meeting at 15:06.
- 2. Approval of minutes**
- PV (16/03/2018)
- 3. Setting the agenda**
- 4. To Dos**

TD's:

To Do's

TD 171106- Alaa & Darius will integrate chair and vice-chair agreements in working agreements.
– Google doc, starting to work on it.
TD 171117-04 Valentine will work on the working agreements translation the deadline is next Week – done by April 2nd.
TD 171201-09 Mirte will improve the website, the deadline is next week.
TD180302-06 Valentine update the council about sustainability cups - Green office is on it and takes it more serious. It is going in the right direction.
~~TD180310-1 Darius talk to Annette about inwerkweekend.~~
TD180310-2 Annette will write an email about studentcouncil to Jeffrey Braak or Salomons.



~~TD180310-5 Alaa on verzoek om input student assistenten / Request for input student Assistants~~

~~TD180305-8 Anna help to remember about the sterke medezeggenschap on GOV~~

TD180316-1 Alaa will find out how the honoursprogramme is evaluated.

TD180316-2 Alaa makes agenda points for OV before Thursday.

~~TD180316-3 Darius will make a doodle for the pre-OV.~~

TD180316-4 Alex and Luna will write a meeting piece on new dossier idea of contact with teachers.

~~TD180316-5 Darius will make a doodle for distribution dossier, this meeting will on the same day as the fun activity in April.~~

~~TD180316-6 Annette will contact Jeffrey Braak about the separate space for the FSR on Canvas.~~

TD180316-7 Anna will write a meeting piece on Sterke medezeggenschap in English before 4th April 12:00 pm.

5. Incoming post

16-03 Dossierhoudersoverleg BL/CILT -- File holder meeting BL/CILT.

18-03 Uitnodiging presentatie rapport "Studeren met een functiebeperking" - 5 april 14:00-16:00 uur

19-03 UB Studentenenquete uitslag

19-03 Tobi Diversity at the law faculty. *Council needs to decide on what time suits our better, wednesday morning or friday afternoon in the week of 1st of April.*

19-03 mental health reaction board → on the agenda

19-03 Honoursprogramma dossierhoudersoverleg minutes

20-03 academich sustainability event REC wide

20-03 Schoonmakersbijeenkomst 28 maart 8:00u

22-03 Brief CvB over studieplekken reaction

6. Updates

a. Studentassessors

b. CSR update

Bram states that he is talking to Tymen about the housing problem. Bram and Thymen are still talking to all parties involved in this matter. Bram and Mirte are still working on the matter of Deutsche Bank.

7. Announcements

a. NO PV next week 18-03-29

b. New PV time April: Fridays 10:00 – 12:00? Otherwise Thursdays 15-17. For now 15-17 Thursdays.

8. OV 9th of April (15-20 min)

- Pre-OV time/date Darius will do it a.s.a.p.

- Agenda points

Alaa states to send the points to her before Wednesday evening.

- To do's

No to do's.

9. OER advise/advice/advies/consejo (everyone, 15 min)



- MOER

Anna states that there was an angry response on the advise. Valentine states that the FSR could have expected that. Anna asks if the FSR replied to this response. Mirte states that the FSR looked into the reply thoroughly. Alaa states that the Dutch MOER advise was also being critical about the new MOER. A reply has to be sent before 18:00. Alaa states that the points from the Dutch advise were not taking in by the makers of the MOER. Thereby, no response was received on the advise. Bram states that the reply should contain a list of which articles are not good and why the FSR thinks so. Alaa states that the reasonable term (redelijk termijn) was changed to six months. The FSR did not like this change. The reasonable term of six months was changed in the bachelor, but not in the masters. It was discussed with Salomons what the reasonable term should entail. Bram emphasizes on the retakes before second semester. He suggests that students should have an extra change to retake an exam and continue to the second semester. Alaa states that the six month period is no longer being used to determine the reasonable term and that it is further discussed what it should entail.

Anna asks what the next thing should be that has to be discussed about the MOER. Alaa states that the FSR is still against mandatory lectures. And that she discussed with Jan Herman about the strange formulated sentence in the article about the mandatory lectures. Although there is no response yet on this matter. The formulated sentence entails the word 'uitsluitend'. This is very strict and the FSR wants this word to be left out. Bram states that he does not have a problem the word 'uitsluitend' in the sentence. Anna finds this word confusing for students and asks if Alaa and Annette need help with the proposals. Alaa states that she is not sure what the stand of the FSR will be. The whole FSR states that this should be strong. Anna states that there will be two answers on this, one for law and one from PPLE. Nevertheless these answers will be sent in one email. Valentine will mention that certain points in the MOER only rule for the PPLE. Annette states that some articles were not MOER anymore but case capon. Alaa states that the FSR is only fighting for the proposals that were send and were not accepted. The part for law students will be sent after this meeting and PPLE will be working on the answer later.

10. PPLE sub council

(Valentine, Mirte, Alaa)

Valentine states that he Alaa and Anna had a meeting, but that there were not a lot of answers. Maybe sending a follow-up-email would help. The board is not sure if the disband clause could fit in the faculteitsreglement. The board came up with a different idea, but it was strongly watered down. The four PPLE members could disband at any moment. Agreement



was formed on veto or instemmingsrecht for PPLE members in the council. Anna asks what is needed. Mirte replies that they need new working agreements. These working agreements need to be looked into internally. Also there has to be a summary made about this meeting and then sent it to the board. Alaa asks that instead of the disband clause, there should be a review moment. This idea was put forward. Valentine states that the review would not work because only the new council would have a deciding vote.

Bram states that this is very destabilizing on the short term and that it should be looked into thoroughly for the long term. Anna states that this mandate should be clear and on paper so that the new council is aware of these points. Only this matter is two full years away from applying. Valentine states that we are never sure how this plan will work out for the long haul. Mirte and Valentine both state that the disband plan should be discussed with everyone.

Anna asks if we need to make a decision in this PV. Bram states that the one sided part of the disband function of PPLE is against the faculteitsreglement. Alaa agrees with this. Mirte and Valentine state that PPLE is preparing on a worst-case scenario.

Alaa states that the sub council plan is already too far for the faculteitsreglement and the disbanding option for PPLE is farther than that. The board is not sure if it is even possible to implement it. Anna states that if we could get the CAP in the FR, than it should work for the elections for 2019. Valentine states that there needs to be a level of co-dependency and security for PPLE, because PPLE are a minority. Bram states that PPLE has distrust towards law. There are checks and balances, which help PPLE for a conflict of interest. Salomons has also stated this. Mirte states that PPLE has no distrust, but PPLE is making sure that the future of their position in the council is secure. Alaa states that the disbanding function is legally not binding in the faculteitsreglement. Furthermore Alaa states that the PPLE councilmembers do not cooperate to find a solution. Darius states that this matter is not a personal thing, but that this is to make safeguards for PPLE for future councils.

11. Transparency vacancies

Alaa states that this is about student assistants. Alaa has been asked to be a mentor and this is without transparency vacancies. Alaa was not asked to apply, but was asked if she wanted to do the job by the teacher. There were three other student assistants asked, of which one was a mentor in several other courses. There was no online job offer presented by the UvA. Teachers pick out students privately and this creates an unfair recruiting process.

12. Mental Health

Darius is happy with the reply from the board and that they will pay more attention to the mental health week. Luna adds that these commitments are promising.



13. WVTTK.

Poor ratings masters at UvA

Anna states that she thinks that the board will probably be willing to talk about this matter with the FSR during the OV. Alaa states that the poor ratings are mainly 1's or 2's and that students also take into account the building facilities to rate the master.

Raise and bonuses for teachers in PPLE coming from middelenwet studievoorschotten

PPLE is facing the problem of losing good tutors, because of the heavy workload teachers at PPLE have compared to tutors from the UvA. Also this extra workload is unpaid. This is the reason why tutor leave PPLE and that there is a shortage on good tutors, which affects the quality of learning. This matter was made into a draft email. Bram states that the money should be spend on other factors, because it is not legal by UvA rules and even by Dutch laws. Anna asks if the money in the MWS can be used in amounts. Mirte and Valentine both state that the money is not enough to be spend on this matter, but that it could always help. Darius states that teachers like to teach in PPLE, but that certain courses have so much workload that they have to do a lot of unpaid work. Simple adding more teachers is not an adequate solution, because it will result in a cut down of working hours. This will result in less payment for teachers. Bram states that paying the PPLE teachers more or giving them a bonus is not in interests of FSR and could not be viewed as solidarity. It is a problem across the board that teacher salaries are being cut. It is not in the interest of the faculty to give PPLE special attention. Furthermore Bram states that the situation is comparable to other faculties, for instance Humanities faculty, but giving extra money to PPLE teachers would fall badly with the other faculties. Valentine states that the email will be changed; the bonus will be left out, because it is illegal. Mirte states that the paying of teachers would fit under that the quality of teaching. Bram replies to this with a solution that giving the teachers of PPLE an extra paid working hour. This could be considered as feedback and that in the email a reference to the core ambition of the MWS should be added. Mirte and Valentine agree with this point made by Bram.

14. Closing of the meeting

Anna closes the meeting at 17:00.

Pro Memorie:

1. **Answer** the incoming posts on your own if it regards your dossier
2. If you say you will go to a meeting/CoBo, you are expected to be there or find a replacement on your own



3. Finish your **To Do's** before the next PV
4. Alaa sends the PV agenda to the assessors (weekly).
5. If there is anything the next council should know or pay attention to, council members should save memo's in the drive.

TD180323-1 Leo create a meeting piece.

TD180323-2 Valentine will go to rapport presentation

TD180323-3 Anna finds out about the cleaningsmeeting

TD180323-4 Valentine will write a new draft email incorporating Mirte's email and adding the suggestion of Bram.