

Date Wednesday 17 October 2018
Time 15:00-17:00u
Location A7.65
Contact person Anne van den Bergh
E-mail fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Anne van den Bergh, Anna Schröder, Anna de Koning, Ginger Mooren Guillano Payne, Alexander Gritsay, Minh Quan Bao Nguyen, Latif Sleibi, Jinane Araqi, Vanessa Romeijn, Svedha Krishnaraj, Felix Wolthuis Scheeres.
Absent:
Guests:
Secretary: Myron Warta

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Anne opens the meeting at 15:06.

Alex states that he has an announcement. Alex states that he had a meeting for the PPLE OER. The deadline for submitting the proposals for the OER is on November 12th. Alex proposes that there should be a workinggroup formed for this. Anne states that the FSR can talk about this matter later in this meeting. Alex states that he would like to have non-PPLE students in in the workinggroup.

2. Setting the agenda:

a. Feedback on October 15 GOV: thoughts/remarks/questions/concerns for the future?

Anne states that she would like to know what the other council members think of the of the GOV meeting from last Monday. Quan states that it was a bit vague and not all questions were answered and if answered these were not clear. Alex states that there should be a system in what the council can use to ask questions. Guilliano states that the council asked a lot of questions and therefor he believes that it is logical some questions stayed unanswered. Anna Schröder states that there should be held a more structured conversation. Svedha proposes that questions should be asked by theme. Alex states that the agenda should be more structured. Anne states that in the next meeting she could lead the meeting in English and the OR and board can react in Dutch. Anna Schröder states that a council member can translate the Dutch answers to English for the non-Dutch speaking members. Anna Schröder states that the council members should follow the discussions, even if it is not their dossier. Alex states that the conversation is hard to follow when it is held in Dutch.

b. Recap of informal meeting on web lecture policy

Anne states that this informal meeting went well and that there will be a short summary of it which will be sent to all council members. Anna Schröder states that during the meeting the board was represented by Salmons, van Rooij and Nollkaemper. Anna Schröder states that some personal problems were expressed regarding the web lecture policy. After that, the discussion was divided in two parts. Firstly, fixing the current problem for students who have built their lives around the web lecture policy. Anne states that Nollkaemper looked in favour of this solution, but Salomons and Van Rooij were more skeptical. Anne states that the arguments from the board were not convincing enough for the members of the FSR that were present.

Secondly, the long-term idea was discussed. For this idea there will be a working group formed that will have several meetings with the board. Felix asks if the ALF and internships were part of the subjects in the discussion with the board. Anna Schröder states that this was not discussed in depth, but it came up during the OER-meeting. Alex states that he would like to know what solutions there are and if there are concrete steps that can be taken. Anne states that there were several solutions for the long term:

1. Teachers get to say if the lectures get posted online.
2. Lectures will be in particular times on a day, for instance; in the mornings so that internships can be done during the afternoon.

Anna Schröder states that for the current second and third year students the web lecture policy can be reversed to the old policy for the coming two years. Anne states that the board was not open to a reversing of the policy for all students. Anna Schröder states that the board consented to a new meeting after the exam week. Guilliano states that the discussion on web lectures is always held about the bachelor, but not about the masters. Guilliano states that in the masters there are no concrete questions asked in the working groups and the lectures are not sufficient enough to get a good perspective of subjects discussed. Anne states that Guilliano can send this for the next PV agenda point. Anna de Koning states that it is understandable of the board that they do not want to implement the web lectures for new students. Alex states that the first year students should also get the web lectures. Anna Schröder states that these students were not promised the web lectures.

Alex asks if the council gave in to the web lectures. Anne states that this is not the case.

Anna Schröder states that there is a separate discussion about this matter for PPPE, because the exceptions to get a link for the web lectures. The board stated that for getting this link the student should have a double handicap. The dean of the student councilors decides who will get the web lectures. Anne states that it is a long process.

c. Recap of first OER meeting bachelor

Anne states that there are forms that the council members can fill in if the FSR has suggestions for the OER. Anne states that she and Alex decided that the council should come together and discuss

suggestions for the OER. Anne states that she has suggestions. Anne states that there should be a semi-reading week before the exams. Alex states that the FSR can submit as much as they want and that the CSR is also looking into suggestions for vacations and studyweeks.

Anna Schröder states that the OR asked for a translation of the OER. Anna Schröder states that José stated that the board is willing to translate the OER, but that it will arrive with delay and that it will not be a legal version. The translation will be a work-version. Anne asks the council to think about suggestions. Anna Schröder states that everyone has to read the documents.

Alex states that the OER meeting for PPLE was interesting. Alex proposes that there should be four working groups: PPLE, Bachelor, Dutch Master and English master. The deadline is the 12th of November. Alex states that he would like a non-PPLE student in the working group of PPLE-OER. Alex states that the OC has to propose the changes to the FSR, but the FSR is contacting the exam board, the faculty board does not desire this. Alex states that the program committee is willing to work with the FSR. Alex states that communication about the OER changes with the student bodies is allowed. Alex states that on the 1st of December the dean will look at all the proposals. In the third week of December there will be a Q&A session.

PPLE OER: Alex, Anna de Koning, Jinane

Bachelor OER workinggroup: Felix, Anna, Anne

Master OER: Guilliano, Anna de Koning. Ginger

Master English OER: Svedha, Latif, Quan, Vanessa.

d. Working agreements: discussion and vote (Anna S & Latif)

Latif states that he has send an email with information about the working agreements to the other members. Latif states that he would like to change page 3 about the amount of seats. Latif proposes that there should be an amendment to the article 5 about tasks and working hours. Anne asks why this should change. Latif states that there should be two heads of a dossier, one English speaking and one Dutch speaking. Felix asks in what way the working agreements will be binding. Latif states that, the working agreements will not be binding. Alex states that this matter should be discussed to the next PV to get an intensive reading. Latif states that article 12 on penalties for council members should change. Alex states that penalties should be present, because it will be helpful. Alex states that there should be a point system. Vanessa states that she agrees to the punishment, but that this should be an informal penalty. Alex states that the president and vice-president should decide the penalty. Anna Schröder states that the penalty can consist of bringing treats for the council meetings.

Guilliano states that the penalty should be reasoned for a good cause. Anna Schröder states that this matter should be discussed in the next meeting. Alex states that the council members should be present during every meeting. Anna Schröder states that this is going to be a nudge for coming on

time. Anna Schröder states that art. 11, about bringing the laptops to the meeting could change. Anne states that council members should not use their phones during the meeting.

e. Suggestions for More Selective Education (Alex)

Alex asks if the members have read the documents. Alex states that from the GOV it was made clear to him that a more selective environment can solve problems such as diversity, small-scale education and the quality of education. Ginger asks what form the selective the education will get. Alex states that the form of this type of education will have to be discussed with the faculty board. Alex states that he does not want a numerous fixus. Latif states that the selective education can be used against students. Alex states that for PPLE this could be a solution for the dropouts. Anna de Koning states that she agrees that it is a solution for the problem, but that a fruitful discussion is far away if there is no concrete plan for the selection. Anna de Koning states that it should be researched if selective education is possible without changing the Dutch educational laws. Alex states that he would like to talk with the board members to see if this is feasible and if they are open to it. Alex states that the selective education gives more certainties. Guilliano states that the selective education is an open environment and that this can be jeopardized. Alex states that the selective education does not entail mandatory presence. Guilliano states that students need to have the freedom to choose their own path. Latif states that he would like to know if the selective education would entail fewer lectures. Alex states that it will not entail fewer lectures, but that the small-scale education will be more applied.

Svedha states that if the education is going to be more selective and runs on merits and there should be looked at the program, because in the Netherlands there is no class in high school on writing motivation letters. Svedha states that the interview should not entail the background of students. Alex states that everything is still open to be discussed.

Quan states that UvA-Matching is used now to select students. Anna Schröder states that Uva-Matching determines if a study fits to a future-student. Quan states that a lot of money is spend on UvA-Matching and this money could be used for a different selection program. Anna de Koning states that firstly it should be researched if a selective program is possible under Dutch law. Anna de Koning states that the study Law in the Netherlands is seen as an 'I do not know what to do else, so I am going to do Law'-study. Anna de Koning states that it should be examined if motivation beforehand can be a requirement for coming students.

Alex states that the selection criteria give a view of how suitable the person is for the study.

Guilliano states that students should be able to enroll. Felix states that art. 2.6 say if the university gets fewer students then the university will get less financial aid. Alex states that this plan should be discussed first with the board and other university bodies involved. Anna Schröder states the first year and BSA as their current way of selection. Alex states that this is not fair and that students should be selected before the beginning of the year. Alex states that it is misleading and Anna Schröder agrees. Anne states that Dutch culture is not a culture that would be in favour of

implementing a selective system. Felix states that the matching is not a good indicator for future performances of students. Alex states that in PPLE there are students who do tests, fail them and still enroll in the study and do the class that they failed and have a horrible time.

Anna Schröder states that a file should be made on this matter.

f. Sustainability meetings (Vanessa)

Vanessa states that there will be two sustainability meetings. Vanessa states that she and Jinane will attend these meetings. Vanessa states that the CSR is going to talk to the policy makers and they would like to have input from the FSR's. Felix states that a lot of plastic is used, because he got a plastic water bottle in a plastic bag and the bottle is not even recyclable. Anna Schröder states that if the Minsk meeting is brought up, Vanessa and Jinane should mention that the FSR is in favour of flying to Minsk. Anne states that a survey showed that the law faculty is the worst at separating waste and she would like to see documents on this. Felix states that there should be a change with the cups, because the coffee is too hot to hold it in one cup. Therefore many students use two cups. Ginger states that the cups are now thrown in the 'restafval trashcans' and the food packages are made of both plastic and cardboard. Anna Schröder states that Vanessa could contact University of Wageningen, which is the greenest university in the Netherlands.

Jinane states that she had a meeting about mental health. There are facilities that are used for this, but only for students that have study related stress. There is a new system implemented which is being used to measure if students need mental help. This system uses colors to measure it. This system is based on colors from green to red. Svedha states that she has doubts about the capability of psychologists at the university that deal with students that are in the red zone. Quan states that there should be contemplation rooms. Jinane states that this should be a good solution and that they are already in the building J/K. Anne states that in the US they have events during the exam week to relieve stress.

Anna de Koning states that the signs on the trashcans should be improved.

3. To Dos

TD's:

- 181017: All working groups established at previous OV (Study Places, REC Accessibility, Canvas) will update FSR during next PV on new developments
- 181017: All FSR members will consider possible OER amendments
- 181017: PR will write a contribution to the next edition of Studentennieuws
- 181017: PR will update the FSR website: <http://www.studentenraad.nl/rechtsgeleerdheid/>
- 181017: an FSR delegation will get in touch with Erik van Vonno to arrange a meeting on any JB issues we may have. Guillianio and Felix will contact Erik

4. Other updates

Next week, 31st of October there will not be a PV due to the Exam week.

In the second period of the first semester the PV meetings will be on Fridays from 9-11.

Ginger states that she is going to have a meeting on accessibility and she would like to make this an agenda point for the next PV meeting.

Guilliano states that he would like to raise a point on the bicycle-coaches for the next PV meeting.

5. WTTK

COBO FMG

6. Closing of the meeting

Anne closes the meeting at 16:57.

TD- council members should come up with suggestions for the working agreements.