

Date Friday 7 December 2018  
Time 09:00-11:00 uur  
Location A6.65  
Contact person Anne van den Bergh  
E-mail fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Anne van den Bergh, Anna Schröder, Anna de Koning, Guillano Payne, Alexander Gritsay, Minh Quan Bao Nguyen, Jinane Araqi, Svedha Krishnaraj, Vanessa Romeijn, Felix Wolthuis Scheeres.  
Absent: Latif Sleibi, Ginger Mooren.  
Guests:  
Secretary: Myron Warta.

### **Agenda**

#### **1. Opening of the meeting**

Anne opens the meeting at 9:13.

#### **2. Setting the agenda:**

##### **a. Termination of the Research Masters, Vote**

Anne states that the document that the board has send was received well and she found it a good piece.

The FSR FDR decides to approve the termination of the RM as proposed by the board:

10 approves, 0 against, 0 abstaining.

##### **b. December 10 GOV Agenda Walkthrough**

Anne states that she and Anna Schröder had a good meeting with the OR. Anne states that Dirk had requested that the FSR need to watch which matters are brought to the GOV. Anna Schröder states that the FSR should understand the documents before the GOV to prevent that too many questions are being asked during the GOV. Anna Schröder states that the coming GOV will not be a discussion. Anne states that the FSR should not ask too many questions during the announcements. This can be done during the WVTTK. Anne states that when the council members have questions that these should be forwarded to her. Anna de Koning asks if the OER amendments will be discussed during the GOV. Anne states that this will be discussed, but that the questions should not be too detailed. Anna de Koning asks if the FSR can ask a question about the low number of considerations of amendments. Anne states that this can be asked. Anne states that 'het vragenuurtje' is held

during the exam week and that this is inconvenient for many council members. Anne states that this point will be raised during the WVTTK. Anna de Koning states that the FSR need to be well prepared for the OER proposals discussion, because it is the most important thing that the FSR can do in this year.

Anne states that during the announcements social security will be discussed. Anne states that there are many questions about this matter. Anne states that this matter cannot be discussed in depth during the GOV. Anne states that Dirk proposed that social security could be discussed during a separate meeting. Anna de Koning states that she agrees to this.

Alex states that he will have to leave early because he has to attend a GV meeting about the budget. Alex states that there is a lot of confusion. Alex states that Roeland had send the FSR a list of questions. The first question on the list was when the FSR had received an email of the board about the budget. Alex states that on this question Quan and Felix had answered the 4<sup>th</sup> of October. Quan states it was not exactly the 4<sup>th</sup>, because Felix stated that it could have been around the 4<sup>th</sup>. Alex asks if this email was received around this date. Quan states that the FSR never received an official request to make an advice. Alex asks the council if he should approve or vote against the budget. Anne states that the FSR should approve because the budget is fine. Alex states that there is some opposition and that the FSR should decide what to do. Anne states that Jan Dijk had send an email on the 6<sup>th</sup> of November about the budget. In this email he stated that he did not understand how the budget request could have been send sooner.

The second announcement will be on the NSE. Anne states that this should be an agenda point during the next OV. Anna Schröder states that during the NSE meetings there were few teachers present. Anna de Koning states that teachers are not suppose to participate in the NSE meetings. Anna Schröder asks why the teachers cannot participate in the NSE meetings. Anna de Koning states that this is university policy. Anne states that this could be asked during the GOV. Anna de Koning states that she is not sure if this is a good question for the GOV. Anna de Koning states that she can ask about teacher participation during the NSE meetings.

The third announcement will be on the HUB. Anna de Koning asks what should be asked to the people of the HUB. Anne states that there were questions from the OR about the start-ups, because there are investment risks. Anne states that there is some room for questions.

Anne states that the quality agreements will be discussed during the GOV. Anne states that there are questions about the skills, classes and who will take part in the taskforce. Anne states that these questions will probably be answered, but that the FSR can ask about who will be involved with the taskforce. Anne states that active learning will be improved. Svedha asks what the active learning space will entail. Anne states that this entails smaller groups and making assignments on the computer. Anna de Koning states that this is primarily done in the classes of Arthur Salomons. Felix states that active learning is also practiced in Tax law. Anne states that there will be the same in European law, that there will be weekly feedback on assignments. Jinane asks if there is a system of feedback that is incorporated by students. Anne states that the incorporation is not needed

because the pieces do not have to be improved. Vanessa states that the online feedback was also given in Contract law, but that this did not work too well. Felix states that he did not receive any feedback while he was doing contract law. Vanessa states that peer review was also a method used in the seminars. Anna Schröder states that Dirk has advised the FSR to ask questions concerning the workgroup and ask for deadlines. Anna Schröder states that commitments during the GOV should be given.

Anne states on the matter of peer feedback and the economics teacher in PPLE. Dirk stated that the GOV is not the place to ask questions about. Anne states that peer feedback can be discussed, but not in detail. Anna Schröder asks if the matter of the economics teacher can be brought to a higher platform of discussion. Quan states that it is a problem within the economics major, because these teachers should be able to teach math. Jinane states that she does not see the link between the peer feedback and economics teacher. Anne states that the FSR could ask what peer feedback entail.

Anne states that the FSR had questions about the improvement of the master thesis trajectory. Anne states that Benjamin van Rooij stated that the new trajectory would be made in a group. Guilliano states that this manner feels like the methods used at HBO. Anne states that questions could be asked during the GOV about this trajectory. Svedha asks what the trajectory would entail. Anna Schröder states that within the group of four students they will support each other on individual thesis. Anne states this feels as if students do the work of teachers. Jinane states that this is also done at PPLE in groups of twelve students and this is suppose to help students to do better. Svedha states that the group can consist of students from another major. Jinane states that this method not too bad. Svedha states that the group meetings will not affect your grade. Guilliano states that this should be asked during the GOV.

Anne states that there are questions regarding the lecture professionalization and professional development. Anna de Koning states that the professionalization of lectures en development will give teachers more time during the working hours to give feedback to students. Anna Schröder states that Dirk Korf stated that it can work negatively for students because these courses for teachers will take time of teaching. Anna de Koning states that the budget on this professionalization is good.

Anne asks who would want to take responsibility for the quality agreements during the GOV. Anna Schröder states that she would like to do that. Anne de Koning states that she will support Anna Schröder.

Anne states that the year plan raised several questions. Anne states that a question can be asked about the Law and new Technology professor. Anne states that diversity policy will be moved to the next GOV. Social security is mentioned in the year plan and maybe this is the place where questions about this matter can be asked. For example: measures that are already taken and future policy regarding social security. Anna Schröder states that the OR will ask questions about the work pressure of teachers. Anne asks who would be interested in taken up the year plan during the GOV. Jinane states that she will take this topic. Vanessa states that she will

support Jinane.

Anne states that the OER will be discussed during the GOV. Anne states that the dean will talk about the OER proposals. Anne states that the questions from the FSR are: Why so few proposals were selected? How are the proposals selected? And if not selected are the proposal reviewed? Anna de Koning states that the FSR should not go into discussion about the proposals. Svedha asks if there will be a second Q&A session. Anne states that she does not know that. Anne asks who would want to take up this point. Svedha states that she will take up this point during the GOV. Guilliano states that he will support Svedha.

Anne states that during the WTVVK the FSR could ask if meetings can be scheduled outside of the exam week.

Anne states that council members have to use 'we' when they bring a point during the GOV.

**c. NSE Update + Pop-up Borrel (AnnadK, AnnaS)**

Anna Schröder states that together with Quan and Alex have talked about the NSE and Pop-Up Borrel and how to involve students with the NSE. Anna de Koning asks if there is a budget to provide drinks. Anna Schröder states that there is no date for the NSE yet. Quan states that the meetings of the NSE are leading up to fixing a date soon. Anna Schröder states that she and Jinane had a meeting with Rosanne Wollrabe of JFAS about involving students with the NSE. Anna Schröder states that Rosanne Wollrabe proposed a video about what the NSE is and how students should fill it in. Anna Schröder states that PR will work on this event.

Anna de Koning states that she had send a report about all the sub committee-meetings she attended. The report is a concept report containing all the recommendations. Anna de Koning states that the report shows all the relevant results per problem and committee. The second document contains the recommendations. Anna de Koning states that she is glad with the recommendations that are made and thinks that these will be sufficient. Anna de Koning states that it will be a challenge to make teachers commit to and incorporate these recommendations. Anna de Koning states that the review period was the lowest rated matter in the NSE. Anne asks if this review period is different from other universities. Anna de Koning states that the review period is not so different from other universities. Anna de Koning states that the effect of 8-8-4 is, that after the results students have to schedule their retakes immediately.

**d. Updates on Last Week's Action Points (see below)**

**e. Coffee Dates**

Anna Schröder states that everyone has filled in the schedule and appointments are being made.

**3. To Dos**

TD's:

- 181207: All council members will prepare assigned GOV agenda topics
- 181207: One web-lecture working group member will contact the board re: agenda point d

- 181207: All council members will set up coffee dates with the DB
- 181207: All council members will send in PV agenda points

#### 4. Other updates

Alex states that he would like to talk about his meeting with Benjamin van Rooij. Anna Schröder states that this can be done during the coffee dates.

Quan states that he cannot make the GOV meeting, because he has a tutorial during that time and has reached the limit of missed classes.

Anna de Koning asks about the FSR sweaters. Jinane states that the council needs to accommodate the budget and make a final decision for the logo. Anna Schröder states that the money for the sweaters can be used for a council dinner instead of sweaters.

#### 5. WTTK

#### 6. Closing of the meeting

Anne closes the meeting at 10:52.

#### **NB: 181130 PV Action Points:**

- Felix & Anna – get in touch with legal hub. **Felix got in contact.**
- Anna, Anna & Vanessa – update FSR on NSE meetings. **Was received.**
- Latif – inquire about TDP.
- Alex – will send an email to ask to have the OER meeting moved to a week earlier. **Alex has send it, but the reply was that the meeting could not be moved.**
- Alex – will send an email to OC PPLE to ask to attend their meetings. **Alex has send it and will be meeting on the 13<sup>th</sup> of December.**
- Ginger – will compile a list of FSR OER proposals to check off during the GOV.
- Felix & Quan – will reply to Roeland's questions about the budget process. **Done.**
- Svedha – will email 2<sup>nd</sup> year student back. **Done.**
- Ginger & Vanessa– will write a sign with walk-in hours for the FSR room. **Concepts are made.**
- Anne – will remind the board not to organize meetings during the exam week. **Done.**
- Anne – will let Jose know Guilliano would like to be on the selection committee for the diversity committee. **Anne has send Jose the email.**