

Date Friday 11 January 2019
Time 13:00 – 15:00 uur
Location A6.65
Contact person Anne van den Bergh
E-mail fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Anne van den Bergh, Anna de Koning, Ginger Mooren, Guillano Payne, Alexander Gritsay, Minh Quan Bao Nguyen, Latif Sleibi, Vanessa Romeijn, Svedha Krishnaraj, Felix Wolthuis Scheeres.
Absent: Anna Schröder, Jinane Araqi
Guests:
Secretary: Myron Warta

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Anne opens the meeting at 13:00h.

2. Setting the agenda:

a. January 21, 2019 GOV: OER Proposals (accepted & rejected – NB Soeharno's OER proposal); Note on Inclusivity; NSE Report; Education Year Plans.

Anne states that during the pre-POV meeting with Jose the OER was discussed. Some proposals that were not sent are accepted. Anne states that the FSR will go over the different groups and their proposals and which ones got rejected. Anne states that she would like to start with Dutch law bachelors. Anne states that the Fiscal law proposal got rejected because student advisors do not mind the transitional policies therefore it is not necessary for it to change. Felix states that the student advisors were not fully aware of the matter therefore they provided the wrong advice. It should be clearly stated in the OER.

Anne states that the FSR should send an unsolicited advice regarding the student advisors and the necessity of a clear format to prevent misunderstandings.

Quan states that the PPLE advisors are performing excellent. Quan states that this is maybe due to training that they get and that this can be provided for the other student advisors too. Anne states that one of the proposals about exam dates was not accepted nor rejected. Svedha states that this is specified on the rejected proposals of PPLE. Anne states that the proposal about having a gap between tutorial and exam dates. This proposal was rejected due to booking problems it increases chances of overlap. The proposal regarding the awarding of ECTS for internships was rejected, because it is not in line with the goals that are to be achieved with the bachelor. Vanessa states that a student can get 30 ECTS for studying abroad, but you only can get 6 or 3 for a full-time internship.

Anne states that the participation in tutorials is still up to the student. It depends on the nature of the course. The mandatory English aspect is to be included as a proposal and the board seem to be considering this. This would prepare students for an English legal environment. Anne states that the FSR can give its advice on this

proposal. Quan states that the students should have a firm base on the English terminology, as it is part of their second-year courses. Alex states that he agrees with Quan and that the FSR should take this up. Anne states that those against the proposal may create unnecessary confusion. Alex states that the FSR need to look at what the OC can do because they may have right of consent while we have advice.

b. Prep for Q&A Session OER (BA + Masters) January 14, 13:00h @REC A7.65

Anne states that there was also a text specification amendment. Replacing “it” with “examination”. Anne states that the FSR will have the Q&A on Monday the 14th of January.

Alex states that for next Q&A the FSR should have send two FSR representatives. Two OC members, two board members, and two examination board members will be present.

Anne states that the person in charge of honours said that they proposed a system where they could make up lost ECTS for students who are general council members (not just the chair). This proposal was rejected. Anne states that the FSR should try to maintain this proposal.

Alex states that the FSR should try to talk with OC before the Q&A. In that way there will be no misunderstandings during the meeting. Anne states that she would like to talk about the English masters. Vanessa states that the FSR should ask questions about the colour coding utilized in the drafts for our better understanding. Anne asks who could attend the Q&A for English masters. Vanessa and Quan indicate that they can attend the Q&A session.

Alex states that during the Q&A PPLE, the OC did not show support for the proposals of the FSR. A late proposal was made for two economic courses to be swapped. The FSR talked with economic students and they have indicated that this swap would increase the student’s workload, so the FSR should not accept it. Anne states that regarding the proposal of having obtained a 4 to be allowed for a third examination opportunity. It was indicated that a 4 is necessary. Regarding having to pass for example LJMI to take LJMI, the FSR asked for it to be removed or make sure it is enforced. The FSR agrees that it should be enforced.

Alex states that in regards to the lectures, attendance should not be mandatory; this is seen as encouraging students not to attend. Regarding lecture recordings to be released two weeks before, the faculty would like it to be left at the discretion of the course coordinators. The board does not want it to be included in the OER. There is agreed upon to consider a pilot, the FSR must take this up and make an effort to make this pilot happen. Anne states that the FSR will discuss the OER and about how to increase the student welfare in the meeting with Radboud. Anne states that during her meeting with the OC, it was indicated that the board is trying to change the amount of days correction from 18 to 14 working days. OC believes that it could create repercussions; it could actually lead for it to be even later.

c. Diversity Committee Selection Recap (Latif)

Latif states that Guillano took part in the first round and he was present in the second round. Latif states that there are six candidates, three from the faculty and three students. Latif states that the FSR need three persons and that it has agreed on accepting two by the end of the meeting. The FSR did not have set requirements for the candidates. Latif states that there is no compensation for the candidates in this committee. The dean has given the committee a list on what he expects from the diversity committee. Latif states that it is a well-known fact that the UvA does not have a diverse staff, so this point will be a priority. Latif states that the FsR room was proposed as a contemplation room. If a good alternative is given for us to get another office then we can consider this.

d. Law Library – Gate Policy? → OC Collaboration

Anne states that the FSR has discussed the gate policy for the law library. The attending members of the OER proposal meetings seem to be on the side of the board.

e. OC Meetings – Updates

Alex states that for the PV on the 25th of January the FSR should invite the student assessors.

Anne states that the FSR need to contact English OC to stay in contact, Svedha will do this. The FSR also needs to stay in contact with the Dutch Masters. Vanessa will maintain contact.

3. To Dos

TD's:

- 190111: The sustainability dossier will reply to the FSR FMG email re: the sustainable travel policy letter
- 190111: The sustainability dossier will get in touch with The Green Office and set up a meeting in January/February
- 190111: 2-3 council members will set up an informal meeting with the board to discuss social safety at our faculty
- 190111: Felix and Guilliano will get in touch with Yacintha Habicht (OC) to set up a meeting regarding the JB and availability of study places
- 190111: All council members will send in PV agenda points

4. Other updates

Alex states that regarding vision of education, the CSR proposed the plans to the CvB. Alex states that the FSR should discuss these plans in the next PV meetings, so that the FSR can eventually bring it up at the OV. Alex states that the vision of education would like to look at controlling the English programs. The other programs depend on their context and their faculty. Latif states that the FSR should look at the combination of these factors. Factors to be considered should be which ones would work best for which context. Vanessa states that the FSR need to discuss the sustainability policy letter, which was sent to the FSR on whether or not the FSR should enforce it.

Alex states that the FSR has discussed it on the CSR on whether the FSR could compromise it and it seems like those in charge of the letter are not very keen on it.

5. WTTK

6. Closing of the meeting

Anne closes the meeting at 15:00h.