

Date Tuesday 7 May 2019
Time 17:30 – 19:30 uur
Location A7.65
Contact person: Anne van den Bergh
E-mail fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Anne van den Bergh, Anna Schröder, Anna de Koning, Guillano Payne, Alexander Gritsay, Minh Quan Bao Nguyen, Latif Sleibi, Jinane Araqi, Svedha Krishnaraj, Felix Wolthuis Scheeres.
Absent: Vanessa Romeijn, Ginger Mooren.
Guests:
Secretary: Myron Warta

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Anne opens the meeting at 17:38h.

2. Setting the agenda:

1. GOV

Anne states that she had a meeting with the board and the OR. This meeting went well and the FSR has addressed many agenda points. Education improvement was discussed and put on the agenda.

Anne states that the board will send extra documents that will give an explanation.

Anna de Koning states that she would like to do education renewal and Anne will be back up.

Felix will bring forward skills education during the GOV. Latif states that he would like to do research master.

Anne states that mental health was also discussed and that the board is awaiting the memo from Jinane. Anne states that she proposes that Jinane will discuss this topic during the GOV. Jinane states that she would also like to know if the board would like to open up the workshop for the staff members. Anne states that Jinane should think about what she would like to bring forward and need to send this tomorrow to the board.

Anne states that the travel policy letter was discussed and that Nollkaemper was receptive to this topic. Anne states that this topic will be broader than just the travel policy letter, but also incosia and sustainability measures within the university. Anna Schröder states that she feels that the FSR should not discuss incosia too much. Anne states that Vanessa will take this point up during the GOV and

Alex will be backing her up.

Anne states that an interesting point was changing the 8-8-4 system, four weeks will be free from education and that three of those weeks will be used for community building. Guilliano states that he feels that a week off after the exams is good, but that the community building according to his opinion is not the right way to use these weeks off. Anne states that these weeks can be used for career building instead. Alex asks if these weeks will be four additional weeks. Anne states that it will be four additional weeks. Alex states that he would like to take up this topic during the GOV. Svedha asks if these four weeks will be spread out throughout the academic year or not. Anne states that she believes that it will be spread out throughout the academic year. Felix states that he would like to back up Alex. Anna Schröder states that she would like to talk about the medezeggenschap during the pre-GOV. Anna de Koning asks if it would be wise that for the next PV the council members have their questions ready. Anne states that this is a good idea.

2. Mental Health Proposal

Jinane states that she has restructured the proposal and there are three solutions. Jinane has merged the walls and plants under one point in the proposal. Besides this, there is the free course from Yale University and the workshops.

Anna de Koning states that this will be sent to the PPLE management and that the art wall will be in REC A, this could be contradicting. Anna de Koning states that there needs to be approval from central level to hang external artwork in REC A. Alex asks if there is approval. Jinane states that this falls under UvA facility services and that at PPLE, external art can hang in the building. Jinane states that she prefers student art, but that for the time being it is good to have something hanging on the walls.

Anna Schröder asks where the money will come from for the TDP workshops. Jinane states that the workshops will be only open for PPLE students. However if the workshop budget is approved it can be opened up for other students as well. Alex asks if the proposal can be discussed point by point. Anna Schröder states that this will be done. Jinane states that the first page is an introduction. Jinane states that it contains numbers from a university survey and that she will make a footnote to this.

Anne states that the second page is about the Yale course. Jinane states that this is free solution and that it is promising. There is nothing to lose with making this course available. Anna de Koning states that she is afraid that the PPLE management will not approve because of the low amount of credits that are awarded for it. Svedha states that it could be proposed in a way that the credits are up to the PPLE management to determine. In the worst-case scenario it can be 0.5 EC. Quan states that it is a matter of if the PPLE management is willing to give the credits or not. Quan states that the proposal can always refer to the source of the course and how universities that use it award credits for it. Svedha states that it could be wise to explain the website where the course is from. Jinane asks if it would be good for the proposal to talk about the reviews that the course got. Anna Schröder states

that it would be good to add that as well.

Anna Schröder states that under the second solution there could be added a small table of the budget that is being made. Anna Schröder states that the second solution; financing workshops for well being and that this name should be 'extend the financing..'. Jinane states that this is a proposal that will go to the PPLE management and that the version for the faculty board it will be send the same, but without solution 3.

Anne asks if the TDP workshop will be reoccurring. Jinane states that she would like to see these workshops reoccurring. Anna Schr asks what the reason is to extend the financing of these workshops. Jinane states that extending the financing will open up the workshops for law students and staff. Anna Schröder asks why the FSR already asks for more extending the budget when it is known that law students cannot participate in these workshops. Jinane states that if the money arrives in time it can be opened up for law students. Svedha states that a TDP sort of organization will be helpful to organize these workshops for law students. Jinane states that the two coming workshops are in cooperation with the FSR and that there are in the theme of mental health. Anne states that she would like to review these workshops. Svedha states that the students are reviewing all the TDP workshops.

Anna de Koning states that the FSR is not an organizing body, but that it can be helpful to create a body that can organize these workshops. Jinane states that co-hosting these workshops creates awareness for the FSR amongst the students. Anna de Koning states that for the next workshops it would be wise to not have the FSR logo in the event. Alex states that the FSR could promote more workshops organized by the management. Alex states that this implementation can win more for the FSR. Anna Schröder asks if the workshops for PPLE will take place. Jinane states that the workshops will take place. Anna Schröder asks that the extra budget is needed to open up the workshops for law students. Svedha states that an extra workshop will be given then. Anna de Koning asks if the FSR is asking for co-financing the TDP workshops by the mangement for workshops that will not be open for law students. Jinane states that the money will be used for opening up the workshops for law students. Anna de Koning states that for the law students it will be needed to have a workshop in Dutch. Jinane states that she spoke to the person that will give the workshop and that he will give a separate workshop in Dutch.

Anna Schröder asks what should be done right now to finish the proposal and send it to the PPLE mangement and later to the law faculty board. Anna Schröder states that solution 1 is clear, but that solution 2 is not clear and is not the place for the FSR to organize these. Anna Schröder states that she is in favour of promoting workshops in general, but not co-hosting. Guilliano states that he agrees with Anna Schröder in regards to the role of the FSR. Jinane states that this is a separate discussion. However the workshops will help the students with their mental health. Anna de Koning states that the faculty should host the workshops and that this should be phrased into and advice that these

workshops are helpful for the students, but that it is not the FSR's job to take up the mental health. Alex states that the FSR could do this technically, but that he agrees with Anna de Koning that the FSR has a role in advising the policy at the university. Anna de Koning states that if the FSR would like to make this sustainable the importance of hosting these workshops should be urged to the board. Anna de Koning states that a separate meeting with the board and TDP would be wise to do. Anna de Koning states that maybe having a person from TDP at one of the PV's and discusses this topic. Alex asks Jinane that the idea is to open up two workshops from TDP for law students. Jinane states that it will be two workshops for this academic year, but that the vision is to have workshops every month by TDP. Anna Schröder states that this would be great. Jinane states that TDP could do this, but that they need money to organize these. Quan states that opening up TDP for law students will not be an issue, but decisions cannot be taken without TDP in the room. Anna Schröder asks if there is a staff member of TDP. Svedha states that there is a staff member. Anna Schröder states that funding the TDP workshops could be asked in a separate proposal to the board. Anna Schröder states that she is in favour of opening up the workshops, but not funding it. Anna Schröder states that this letter should be worked on further more because it is also not on the GOV agenda. Anna Schröder states that the FSR could already be voting on parts of the letter.

Anna de Koning asks if this is for law or PPLE. Jinane states that this part will be in the letter for law and that it will be changed in asking a wall for at PPLE or hanging art in the staircase.

Alex asks what happened to the idea of having an art flash mob where students could make art and this could be hanged at the hall. Anna Schro states that the board already has said that they are not in favour of having markers around because of vandalism. Svedha states that she proposes to completely separate the letters for PPLE management and the faculty board to make it vote-able. Jinane asks if TDP should be invited for the next meeting. Anna Schröder states that this could also be done in June.

Anna Schröder states that the next council can take up the art wall, because the board is in a favour of it. Anna Schröder states that this topic will be discussed at the next PV. Quan asks if TDP has a legitimate expectation that they will receive money from the FSR. Jinane states that the money will only be used for opening up the workshops for law students. Anna Schröder asks Jinane to make a clear proposal about the two workshops in June; dates, plan and how students will be invited. Anna Schröder states that this will be voted on to ask the board in a separate letter. Jinane states that this could be asked during the GOV. Anna de Koning states that she believes that this topic is not ready to be discussed with the board during the GOV. Svedha states that the FSR first needs the approval from the board if there could be more workshops like the ones from TDP. Secondly if this could be organized within the law faculty, Quan states that at this moment the FSR could be ask for the consent for the Yale workshop and then send the whole proposal. Anna de Koning states that it should not be discussed with the OR in a GOV, because the topic is too big. Next PV it should be discussed what will be discussed during the GOV in regards to mental health.

3. Inwerkweekend

Anna Schröder states that the inwerkweekend will be from the 14-16th of June and be in Schoorl. Anna Schröder states that she would like to make working group.

14 15 16th june

- Location > Schoorl, Noord-Holland
- Ginger and Vanessa tasks?

CATERING

- 1 COOKING NIGHT 1
- 2 COOKING NIGHT 2
- 3 LUNCH AND BREAKFAST TEAM
- 4 DRINKS

Anna dK, Felix, Guilliano, Anna vdB

TRAINING

- 1 PRESENTATION
- 2 MOCK PV
- 3 MOCK OV
- 4 MOCK COMMITTEE SESSIONS

Quan, Svedha, Alex

FUNTIME ACTIVITIES

- 1 DAY AND EVENING

Jinane, Felix

LOCATION, PROGRAM AND CONTACT WITH PARTICIPANTS

Latif, Anna

BUDGET (roughly a 1000)

Quan

4. To Dos

TD's:

- 190306: Alex will set up an informal meeting with Salomons to discuss selection criteria in the bachelor program
- 190409: Sustainability dossier members will join the May 8 discussion on sustainability in higher education (see Maarten's email)
- 190430: One council member will reply to Vera Naaijkens' email regarding cum laude predicaat
- 190430: All council members will send in PV agenda points

5. Other updates

6. WVTTK

Alex states that in regards to the PPLE Forum, AIM does not want to be involved. Alex asks who would like to help out with organizing the Forum. Alex states that catering needs to be ordered, premises need to be booked and promotion. Alex states that he will invite the PPLE management. Alex states that he also needs a Google form for the students to prepare questions. Alex states that he would like to book room for 80 to 100 people. Anna Schröder asks if students would be interested to talk about these subjects. Alex states that he believes so.

Jinane states that she could help with promotion and booking the room. Anna Schröder states that she would like to moderate the Forum. Anna Schröder states that the second week of June would be a good time.

7. Closing of the meeting

Anna Schröder closes the meeting at 19:30h.

TD- Council members will have their GOV questions ready for the next PV.

TD - PR, new council will introduce themselves to the first year students PPLE/Law

TD - Anna Schröder will find first year students for interviews evaluation marketing and communication department.

TD - Vanessa will go to the discussion on sustainability in higher education on the 8th of May.

TD- Ginger will get in contact with the OC about their request to share their FB post.