



Facultaire
Studentenraad

RECHTSGELEERDHEID
UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

REC A, Room A0.04
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166
(020) 525 3446
fdr@studentenraad.nl
studentenraad.nl/fdr

Date Tuesday 30 April 2019
Time 17:30 – 19:30 uur
Location A7.65
Contact person Anne van den Bergh
E-mail fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Anne van den Bergh, Anna Schröder, Anna de Koning, Ginger Mooren, Guillano Payne, Alexander Gritsay, Minh Quan Bao Nguyen, Latif Sleibi, Jinane Araqi, Vanessa Romeijn, Svedha Krishnaraj, Felix Wolthuis Scheeres.
Absent:
Guests:
Secretary: Myron Warta

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Anne opens the meeting at 17:37h.

2. Setting the agenda:

1. Deaner Afterthoughts

Anne states that the Deaner should be discussed during the inwerkweekend with the next council, because it should be an annual event.

Anne asks if the FSR should send the students that attended the Deaner a message in which they are thanked for their participation. Guilliano states that for the next Deaner there should be an official photo moment.

2. GOV – Brainstorm on discussion topics

Anne asks if the council could think of topics to discuss during the coming GOV. Ginger asks if selection in the bachelor could be discussed during the GOV. Anna Schröder states that she would like to raise the topic of honours. Anna de Koning states that the marketing for the Law bachelor could be discussed. Anna de Koning states that during the OV this point was discussed and it stated that the program will be made harder to succeed and the marketing therefore will be different. Anna de Koning states that the FSR could ask for more information about this. Alex states that the FSR should ask about the new master program.

Anne states that the topics so far are: honours, selection, marketing strategy and update on the new

master program. Anna Schröder states that the letters on sustainability could be discussed too. Anne states that Nollkaemper has read both letters and that he is positive about both of them. Alex states that the CvB has to reply to the letter first. Vanessa states that the faculty board is not responsible to implement the sustainable travel policy. Alex states that the FSR should also think of issues in regards to the OR. Anna Schröder states that the points that have been raised previously in this meeting are also important for the OR. Ginger states that it might be wise to raise a point on informing the first year students about the UvA during the Intreeweek. Anne states that it could be good for the FSR too. Alex states that the program should be elaborated on all bodies within the university.

3. Evaluation Marketing & Communication Department: 4 students needed for an interview

Anne states that she received an email that four students are needed for the interview. Latif asks if it is in English or Dutch. Anne states that the dates are 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13 and 14th of May, but that the times at this moment are unknown. Ginger states that she would like to do an interview. Vanessa states that she could do the interview on the 8th. Anna Schröder states that they would also like to have a group conversation. Anna S states that she will ask Felix to join as well. Anne asks who more would be able and willing to do the interviews. Anna Schröder states that the delegates for the coming student elections can also be asked to do these interviews.

4. Mental Health Proposal

Jinane states that she has send the proposal to the other council members. Jinane states that she would like to know what the other council members think about the proposal. Latif asks if the 2EC credits could be given to the students. Jinane states that the university has to endorse the students to participate in these workshops. Anna Schröder states that this has to be asked to the examination board. Jinane states that the Yale workshops will be given for 7 weeks and will each be three hours long. Anna de Koning states that these workshops are not enough hours to qualify for EC credits. Jinane states that the name and appearance of the workshop is a positive point about them. Anne states that workshops should be separated from the art and plants in the building. Jinane states that it should be mentioned officially to have interactive arts in the building. Anna de Koning states that she agrees with Anne.

Anna de Koning asks who will cater these possible workshops that could be given at the university and the online workshop of Yale. Jinane states that the workshops will be collaborated with TDP and the FSR will step in when the faculty will finance half of the workshops.

Quan states that he agrees to separate these points as well. Quan states that there need to be a clear proposal and that this should be on the workshops and if there is time left to write a proposal on the interactive art wall. Jinane states that this will take longer to achieve. Jinane states that it is more practical to ask for one budget for everything, because it is clear to the board where the budget will be spend on. Jinane states that the structure in the proposal could be polished up to make it clearer.

Alex states that it is good that this topic is being discussed, but that the proposal needs to be more structured. Latif asks what Jinane priorities in her proposal and if the workshops are part of that. Jinane states that there is no priority, but that the financing for the workshops and the art are a priority. Jinane states that she reached out to Spencer from PPLE and that this proposal is received positively.

Anna Schröder states that the idea of the wall was well received by the wall and already has the blessing by the board. Therefore it should be separated from the workshops. Anna Schröder states that in regards to installing more plants/trees in the building is also a topic that the board has stated to be willing to take further. Anna Schröder states that, in her opinion, it would be better to continue the proposal on workshops and let the faculty organize these. Anna Schröder states that organizing the workshop on 18th of May is too soon. Anna de Koning states that the proposal on workshops will not go through the board before the 18th. Anna de Koning asks if the council should vote on the topic of separating the workshops and wall/plants in the Mental health proposal. Anne states to do a temperature check. Quan states that there should be two versions of these proposals with one on the wall and plants and the other on the workshops.

Svedha asks if TDP should be opened up for law students or that there should be similar body solely for law. Jinane states that she would like to see the first option. Svedha asks who will be in charge of the workshops. Jinane states that it will be TDP and the FSR.

Anna de Koning states that the FSR should write the proposal in a different tone to have the board facilitate the workshops, because it is not the FSR's job to organize these.

Anna Schröder asks if TDP is awarding credits. Latif states that students do not receive credits for these workshops. Anna Schröder asks how a student could sign up for TDP. Quan states that this is done in a way that is similar to how students can sign it at events from a student association.

Svedha asks if the FSR is opening up TDP workshops or co-hosting the workshops of TDP. Jinane states it is co-hosting. Alex states that in regards to the workshops of Yale should be discussed more in detail during a separate meeting with the board members. Alex states that the workshops should also be a voluntary thing. Anna de Koning states that these proposals should be discussed with the board and the examinations board to do a temperature check. Anna de Koning states that even if the board and the examinations board are willing to do this it will take longer than now is envisaged. Jinane states that she will re-structure the proposal and separating workshops from walls/art supplies/plants. Anne states that Jinane should do a temperature check on the Yale workshop with the examinations board.

Anna de Koning asks if the wall will be used for art from students or supplied art from an artist. There was consent on art from students, but not on exposed art from an artist. Anna de Koning states that only one part of the wall was consented by the board to be used for the art that is made by the

students. Jinane states that the interactive part of the wall is more interesting and should be pursued. Anna de Koning states that Jan Dijk said that a specific proposal is needed for preventing vandalism.

5. Workshop Spencer & Council Budget

Anne asks how much the budget is for the workshop. Jinane states that it will be 160 euros. Quan states that the budget is quite tight, because of the coming elections. Anna Schröder states that there is a risk that law students will not attend this workshop. Anna Schröder states that JFAS is hesitant about co-hosting this workshop. Anne states that organization wise it is not smart to state that the FSR will finance an event when it is not sure that the board will lend budget for it.

Jinane asks if the FSR is not going to fund financing this workshop. Quan states that the FSR will not fund the workshop, but can promote it. Anne states that she is afraid that during Mental health week students will be confused due to the excess of workshops and that the workshop from Spencer will attract less students.

FSR decides to co-finance the TDP workshop:

1 in favour

11 against

The FSR has decided not to co-finance the TDP workshop.

6. Updates on Elections

Anna Schröder states that there will be two moderators for the elections debate, one from JFAS and one from either AIM or Room for Discussion. Anna Schröder states that the council received banners for the elections that could be re-used. There is one in Dutch and another in English. Anne asks who will be providing the voting computers. Anna Schröder states that the CSR will provide these, but that the council is responsible for the computers. Anna Schröder states that the computers are not necessary to be used for the elections because there are other means that students can use to make their vote. Anna Schröder states that she will send the schedules for the council members later.

3. To Dos

TD's:

- 190306: Alex will set up an informal meeting with Salomons to discuss selection criteria in the bachelor program
- 190409: Sustainability dossier members will join the May 8 discussion on sustainability in higher education (see Maarten's email)
- 190430: One council member will reply to Vera Naaijkens' email regarding cum laude predicaat - **Agenda point. Myron will reply: fair point, ty, we are discussing this with the board after the 20th we will go.**
- 190430: All council members will send in PV agenda points

4. Other updates

Alex states that he made a PowerPoint about social safety and sexual violence including the FSR advice on this. This PowerPoint will be showed to the CvB and will advocate for a university wide movement on these topics. Alex states that Folia will also be used to advocate for this.

PPLE Forum

Alex states that Winkels stated that the Forum could be planned. Alex states that that help is needed to organize this. Questions can be asked on the spot and in advance. Alex asks who can help with promotion, food, drinks, and moderation. Alex states that he will ask AIM to help with the event. Alex states that the Forum could be held during the first week of June. Anne asks Alex to make a to-do-list. Alex states that this event could be used in Law after the success within PPLE.

5. WVTTK

OC

Ginger states that the FSR was asked to share a FB post about the complaints on Europese Rechtsgeschiedenis to reach out to the OC. Anna Schröder states that she is against posting this, because the post is not specific enough on what kind of complaints students have to bring to the OC. Anne asks Anna Schröder if the post would be more specific, she would be in favour of posting this on Facebook. Anna Schröder states that she would consider it. Guilliano states that the FSR does not post a lot of message on Facebook. Therefore it could not harm the FSR. Anna Schröder states that the FSR could promote the OC Facebook page. Anna de Koning states that this Facebook post of the OC is in the interest of the FSR. Anne states that the Facebook post will be posted on the FSR Facebook page, but in a more specific form.

PPLE Economics test

Anna Schröder states that at Deaner there was a student from PPLE that felt that the admissions test for the Economic track does not gives a test result. Svedha states that the admissions test is going to be binding because the majority that failed the admissions test struggled with the Major Economics. Anna de Koning states that she did the test and that it is reasonable that it is binding, because it is a very tough test. Quan states that in regards to the Economic major math is very important. Quan states that many students do not know a simple differentiation and that the level of the major is higher than making that. Therefore he believes that the admissions test is necessary. Anne states that this point will not be further discussed, because importance of the admissions test has been showed.

Complaints about lecture hall

Vanessa states that she has contacted Jan Dijk about the complaints and he has send the email address that can be used by students to send their complaints. Vanessa states that Jan Dijk has already send the complaints to this email address. Anne states that this email address will be shared in the WC-Krant and on

Facebook.

6. Closing of the meeting

Anne closes the meeting at 19:25h.

TD - PR, new council will introduce themselves to the first year students PPLE/Law

TD - Anna Schröder will find first year students for interviews evaluation marketing and communication department.

TD - Vanessa will go to the discussion on sustainability in higher education on the 8th of May.

TD- Ginger will get in contact with the OC about their request to share their FB post.