



Facultaire Studentenraad

RECHTSGELEERDHEID
UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

REC A, Room A3.12
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166
(020) 525 3446
fdr@studentenraad.nl
studentenraad.nl/fdr

Date: October 17, 2019
Time: 17.00 – 19.00
Location: REC A, Room A2.04
Contact person: Tara Olsen
E-mail: fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Tara Olsen, Roos Creyghton, Samir Bougrina, Aashish Pradeep, Emma Verhulp, Guido Bakker, Rogier Simons, Nina Hol, Yoav Weinberg, Sebastiaan Saelman
Absent: Kataryzna Niedzwiecka, Fabian van Hal
Guests:
Secretary: Jeyanth Sithamparappillai

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Tara opens the meeting at 17.08.

2. Setting the agenda:

a. CSR update

Roos gives an update on CSR. A mediator was brought in the CSR to fix issues between members. Due to this situation, Roos didn't have any new updates.

Although, Roos gives an update on the faculty budget. CSR is advocating for voting rights for the medezeggenschapsorganen on faculty level at the UvA. The council is in favour of this idea. The council votes all in favour of this idea.

b. GOV agenda

i. They want to meet to discuss all the points for an hour next week (answer the email tonight)

1. I would say having 2 people per committee (excl. PR)

2. Have a spokesperson for each point

Tara states that from each committee (excl. PR) there need to be two members at the meeting on Friday with the OR. Yoav states that the topic of kwaliteitsgelden and a budget difference needs to be discussed. Yoav states that there is going a big part of the budget to the teacher. He would like to know how this spent. Yoav also states that the part of subsidies needs to be explained to the council.

Nina states that the budget on teachers is part of raising the salaries of the teachers. Yoav states that the classes are also being decreased to have better workgroups. Samir states that most of the time the rooms are always half full.

Tara states that the council also needs to look into the faculteitsreglement because this is changed. Guido will look into this and have points to discuss, namens the council.

Another topic is on the OC selection procedure. Tara states that the council should give her point of view to this selection. The council will bring something on about a less biased selection procedure. Nina, Tara and Rogier will write a short memo on this topic.

The last topic on the GOV agenda is the heidag. Yoav asks if a student should be a part of the core team. The council agrees that a student or councilmember should be part of this team.

- c. Elections 2019-2020 – *do we agree with it? Any comments, or changes we want to ask about?* → answer the email tonight

Tara asks the council if the agenda on the elections 2019/2020 is okay. The council agrees on this.

- d. Selection procedures of the OC members

- e. OV topics

- i. (Advice about being part of the core team – Heidag) → in GOV now. This topic does not need to be discussed during the OV.

- ii. (Memo / advice on Diversity reports + PPLE report)

- iii. EB Report on the law library doors

- The council agrees on discussing the evaluation of this new situation at the law library. Rogier states that some issues that needs to be discussed. This will be discussed during the OV and the PV before.

- iv. EB Room (?)

- v. Study spaces

- vi. Web lectures: what is the definition of a top sporter?

- Guido states that this topic needs to be discussed during the OV. It is important for students who are not in a condition to watch web lectures.

- f. O&F: Conceptbegroting

g. OERs

i. Dutch Bachelor

Rogier states about the new OER and his point of view. Fabian also looked into this. Rogier states that there is no clause which says anything about the minimal possibilities to prepare for an exam. An article which states that there should be at least 1 (or more) practice exam should be in chapter 5 of part B.

Rogier also states there should be consequences for publishing the results too late. Yoav states that Salomons already states there must be not too many old exams because students will only study these as a preparation for the exam. Yoav states that maybe the teachers should get more time to check the exams instead of punishing them. Rogier states that this is not the issue, teachers should have enough with the current period of checking the exams. Rogier also states that the clarity of the topic of 'personal circumstances' should be made clear. The clause on this topic states that this is exhaustive, but it is not. Rogier states that article A4.6 of the OER also needs to be changed, due to the unclarity of the results. There is unclarity of rounding up the marks or not.

Article A4.5.3B (or similar clause) needs to be specified.

ii. Dutch Masters

Nina states that she made some amendments and she will discuss this with the masters OC after the exams.

Article A4.5.3B (or similar clause) needs to be specified.

iii. English Masters

Article B3.2 should be changed because students with a bachelor in European studies can still get into the master Law and Finance, but the clause says that it's not possible.

Article A4.5.3B needs to be specified.

iv. PPLE

Roos states that a lot of words needs to be clarified which are in the OER. Tara states that the clause on students getting a reset and that the student obtained at least a 4.0, should be changed. This clause will consequences for students who are trying to graduate. Because if they do not obtain a minimum 4.0, they will need to take the course the next year.

Rogier asks Tara if other FDR students can enter the PPLE building.

Tara states that she would like to change article B.7.II.

3. To Dos

- Discuss canvas community ideas/options in Nov for the next OV so we can come with a concrete proposal
 - o OERs are really hard to find → need to find a solution
 - o Maybe a community page like PPLE community but for the rest of the faculty where all the important document can be added and available there
 - o Study associations and all can have access to have a community group on canvas
- Set up meeting with PPLE diversity committee
- **The council needs to hand in the amendments of the OER in the form that's made for this to Tara before Sunday, October 27, 2019 (PPLE OER) and Friday, November 1, 2019 (other OERs).**

To-do's from last week

- O&F set up a meeting with Hinke for floorplans.
- Committees start discussing what you want in the next OV
 - o Advices/memos should be sent by the 28th OCT
- Committee chairs – look at the studentenraad website, and make a list of all the topics that are on there and needs updating.
- Second GOV: bring up the topic of web-lectures.
- Contact Rachna in 't Veld on the spending of the core team.
- Contact Jan Dijk on study spaces and why there are more spaces needed.

4. Other updates

Tara informs the council about a situation between the FSR and Radboud Winkels. There was an issue about following courses at PPLE. The issue was that students need to pass on the first part of the course and then they can follow the second part of the course. Tara states that a member of the Inter-party contacted Radboud Winkels about this issue and received an unpleasant reaction. Tara states that has no reflection on FSR. Radboud Winkels thought that this was a reaction of the FSR, which is not true. Tara states that this issue has been solved with Radboud Winkels. Due to transparency, Tara states this situation to the council and made it clear that this was no action from the council.

5. WVTTK

6. Closing of the meeting

Tara closes the meeting at 18.55.