

REC A, Room A3.12  
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166  
(020) 525 3446  
fdr@studentenraad.nl  
studentenraad.nl/fdr

Date: 19 November 2019  
Time: 17:00 – 19:00  
Location: REC A, Room A2.15  
Contact person: Tara Olsen  
E-mail: [fsr-fdr@uva.nl](mailto:fsr-fdr@uva.nl)

Present: Nina Hol, Fabian van Hal, Rogier Simons, Sebastiaan Saelman, Tara Olsen, Yoav Weinberg, Roos Creyghton, Kataryzna Niedzwiecka, Emma Verhulp, Aashish Pradeep  
Absent: Guido Bakker, Samir Bougrina  
Guests:  
Secretary: Jeyanth Sithamparappillai

## **Agenda**

### **1. Opening of the meeting**

Tara opens the meeting at 17.05.

### **2. Setting the agenda:**

#### **a. Last weeks To Dos**

Rogier states on the “clothe idea” drive. He states that he was at a meeting at a law firm and that the idea came from a similar concept. Rogier states that there might be students who are also short on clothing and could (re-)use clothing from other students. Tara states that PR should look into this idea and implement this on the UvA. The council agrees on this idea.

Rogier states on the study associations day. He states that a day on the central level would be too big, but on the faculty level, it could be possible. Rogier states that there are numerous associations who are interested in this idea. He also states that this day should be planned more times a year. Tara states that other faculties should also organise a similar day to increase the visibility of the associations. Rogier states that we should inform on the capacity and other practical issues to organise such a day.

**b. CSR update**

Roos gives the council an update on issues that the CSR is working on. She states about the Green Paper, a document with the sustainability goals of the UvA. She also states that there is going to be a pilot on extended opening hours for the UB (University Library on the Singel). Roos states that the photos above the water pipes will be changed due to several reasons.

Roos states that the CSR also discussed on the dates for the student council election. The council suggests that the election week should be held in the week of May 11, 2020.

**c. O&F**

**i. Photo competition**

Sebastiaan states that O&F set up a meeting with Hinke and Jan to organise a photo competition. Rogier asks for more information on this competition. Yoav states that it will be most certainly an open competition. Students can create painting and photos to involve in the competition. Yoav also states that students should vote on the participants. Rogier states that this competition is a good idea but he states that only photos might be a better idea. Yoav does not agree with his point of view. Tara states that a start with a photo competition would be a good start to see how many students want to be involved in this competition. Yoav states that he likes art more but understands the point of only photos. Sebastiaan states that teacher also should be involved in this competition. Tara and Rogier state that more photos should be displayed on the wall. Rogier states that voting would not be necessary if all the photos will be displayed. Yoav agrees on this but only the photos which are appropriate enough to be displayed. The council discusses whether PPLE-students should be included in the competition. The council agrees that PPLE-students should also be involved. Nina states that a theme should be involved in the competition.

**ii. Study spaces**

Yoav states that the empty room on the ground floor has still no goal or is not decided what the goal of this room will be. Yoav states that this is an issue on the central level and suggests that Roos can discuss in the CSR meetings. Yoav states that maybe the CSR can find out what the goal is of this room on the ground floor.

**d. PR**

**i. Inwerkweekend**

Tara states that the inwerkweekend should be another idea than the basic weekend with the new and old council due to budgeteer reasons. She also states that the last inwerkweekend was not as informative as expected and it was held in June. Tara states that a few days in August would be better and that these inwerk-days should be held in August. Tara states that the TAQT training should also be brought in. Rogier agrees with this proposal and likes the idea.

He also states that the productivity would be greater if the inwerk-days would be organised on the UvA. Tara agrees on this. Katie asks for the availability of the members to organise this. Tara states that there are enough members to join the inwerk-days. Roos states that there also students who will help during the intreeweek. Tara states that this will be considered during planning. The council votes all in favour of this change.

**ii. Sweaters**

Fabian states that there will be sweaters designed for all the members of the council with everyone's name. Tara discusses the design idea with the council. Emma and Rogier state that the logo of the faculty student council should be also on the sweaters. Yoav asks if the colours are different. Fabian states that the colour of the text is all the same. Tara asks if there should be an English text on the sweaters. The council agrees that only Dutch text is enough. Yoav would like to have the functions of all members on the sweaters. The council agrees on this. Emma asks if the sweaters will be vegan. Fabian states that this will be discussed with the designers. The council has a vote on the colour of the sweaters. The sweaters will be in light blue and white letters.

**iii. Meet and greet in January**

Aashish states there will be a meet and greet with students in the Moot Court in January. Rogier states that the whole council should be at this meet and greet. Tara states that there should be promotion for this day and this should be put on the WC-krant and in the student newsletter.

**e. O&O**

**i. Study association day**

**ii. Web lecture survey**

Rogier states that the questions for the surveys are decided by the O&O-committee. Rogier explains the questions to the council. He also states that there will be a QR-code available to the survey. He states that he will share this with the student-mentors. Tara asks is there is a distinction between bachelor and master students. Katie states that it is important to share the survey with a lot of students as possible and the survey should also be shared with the law students who spammed the FSR-email.

**f. GOV agenda ideas**

**i. Budget**

Tara states that the OR will also discuss this topic during the next GOV. The faculty board will respond to the advice on the budget.

**ii. ~~Follow up on the OC selection procedure~~**

Rogier states that this topic does not need to be discussed during the next GOV. He states that he will discuss this out of the meeting.

- iii. Follow up on diversity officer
- iv. Follow up on the re-accreditation report
- v. ~~Masters (0-6-8-10 grading)~~ → If information is received by the students who complained.

g. Onderwijsvisie UvA – 2/12/19

Tara asks the council who would like to go to this meeting.

### 3. To Dos

- **20191130** PR will look into the “clothe idea” drive.
- **20191119** The secretary and Aashish will check the availability for the Moot Court in mid-January.
- **20191126** PPLE-members will inform on the situation with Radboud Winkels.
- **20191126** E-mail the Master’s Program-Director (Mireille van Eechoud) on the grading issue.

### 4. Other updates

### 5. WVTTK

Fabian states that the Rechtswinkel Amsterdam would like to be promoted in the WC-krant and on the social media pages of FSR. Rogier does not agree on this because the Rechtswinkel Amsterdam is not part of the law faculty (UvA). Fabian states that all students who work at the Rechtswinkel Amsterdam do not make any profit so that they should be in the WC-krant and on the social media of FSR. Tara states that the Rechtswinkel Amsterdam could pay for the promotions because they are not in the faculty. Rogier states that associations from within the faculty should be in the WC-krant and not organisations out of the faculty. Fabian does not see any harm in promotion the Rechtswinkel Amsterdam. Tara states that it should be also a point of the English associations because only law study associations would be unfair for the non-Dutch students. Rogier states that anything could be promoted as long as the organisations are linked to the faculty.

Roos states that the council should be more active on the Instagram account of the council. Nina states that everyone should do this once. Tara states that the PR-members should look into this and should be more active on the take-over concept.

Rogier states that the logo of the UvA is messy on some of the ‘spreekgestoeltes’ in the lecture halls (REC A and C). He states that this should be discussed during the Rondvraag in the GOV.

Rogier states that answering the e-mails should be sorted better. He states that it should be sorted by UvA-accounts and non-UvA-accounts. The secretary will sort this out in the document on Google Drive.

Rogier states on the issue of the exam of a first-year bachelor course. These students are suffering from mistakes that were made by the teachers. Rogier states that the students should have been informed better on this issue. Credits were taken away from students and students got lower marks than the first marks they got before. The council is surprised by this issue and suggest that it needs to be addressed with the board. Tara and Rogier address that the communication with the students should have been better, especially that it concerns first-year students. Rogier also states that he will discuss this issue with the OC.

**6. Closing of the meeting**

Tara closes the meeting at 19.15.