

REC A, Room A3.12
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166
(020) 525 3446
fdr@studentenraad.nl
studentenraad.nl/fdr

Date: Tuesday 21 January 2020
Time: 17:00 – 19:00
Location: REC A, Room A1.07
Contact person: Tara Olsen
E-mail: fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Guido Bakker, Nina Hol, Tara Olsen, Emma Verhulp, Rogier Simons, Fabian van Hal, Aashish Pradeep, Yoav Weinberg
Absent: Sebastiaan Saelman, Roos Creighton, Kataryzna Niedzwiecka, Samir Bougrina
Guests:
Secretary: Jeyanth Sithamparappillai

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Tara opens the meeting at 17.10.

2. Setting the agenda:

a. To-dos

- i.** Everyone has to prep ideas or further questions about the blueprint

b. CSR update

Tara asks if everyone read the document that was sent by Roos. It is a document on the honours program. She asks if everyone agrees with the document. Yoav states that this document is contrary to what the chair of the honours board suggested.

The document states that the current requirement for GPA of 7.5 necessary to receive the honours degree will be lowered to 7. Rogier states that a lot of students want to roll in the honours program and that lowering the GPA will conclude in a lot of students. Fabian states that the value of the honours program is important and it should not be lowered. Tara states that the FSR will reply that the GPA should not be lowered.

c. PR

i. Deaner update

PR has not planned The Deaner yet. Guido states that he will personally go to the faculty board to discuss this topic. Yoav asks Guido to report to him on the financial accountability.

d. O&O

i. Blueprint

Nina states that the core team asks the broad perspectives. Rogier states that he had discussed this during a meeting this morning. Rogier states that teachers did not have representation in the blueprint. He also states that the chair of the Program Committee is also in the core team. The terms used in the blueprint are also too vague. Rogier states that the goal of students, graduating as much as students, is not represented enough. He also states that the feedback is not discussed well enough. The blueprint has also some issues with the wishes of the Dutch Bar Association. Tara states that the main issue, motivating students to get higher grades, should be more discussed in the blueprint.

Tara states that the grades should be published more on Canvas. Students can get then get an overview of how other students presented for this course. She states that the motivation for this is that with the knowledge students could be more motivated.

Yoav states that the feedback enquires should be held at another moment than at the end of the exam. The council does not agree with this and it should be as it is.

ii. Study associations day update

e. O&F

i. Green papers

ii. Photo competition post

f. OERS

i. Masters Law OERS

Dutch and English masters.

Dutch

A-4.6 → Tara asks how this rule will be written in the Exam Regulations. Rogier states that this has been changed in some way.

B-1.1 → Rogier explains that the name has changed to Fiscaal Recht because students from the bachelor Fiscaal Recht need to follow the International track if they want to follow a master after their bachelor.

B-3.6 → The council asks if the 'taaltoets' is also enough for the requirement of this article.

English

B-3.2 → a bachelor student from European Studies got enrolled in the master Law and Finance. This is not added as an option in the OER.

Tara also asks if PPLE students should follow Corporate Law to enter the masters specified in this OER. Yoav asks what a research university is. Rogier states that the UvA is a research university. Tara asks the council if this should be more specified in the OER. The council agrees.

ii. PPLE OER

A-3.5 → Tara asks whether electives or an extra curriculum count in this article?

A-3.7 → Aashish asks if the form of standard answers could be more representative for the assignment.

B-3.6 sub 2 → Tara states that it should 6 or 12 credits instead of just only 12 credits.

3. To Dos

- O&F has to plan meeting with the diversity officer + post about the photo comp

4. Other updates

Tara states that there will be no PV next week.

5. WVTTK

Rogier has something to state on article B7.2 of the Dutch Bachelor OER. Rogier states that with the new formulation the COBEX is more positive to the student. He states that the Program Committee could not read this in this positive way. Rogier states that students who report too late on their personal circumstances, they will have a negative BSA, conform to the new article. Rogier states that due to the FSR's roll it is important that students must know about this changed article. Tara states that this change should be discussed during the next OER meeting. Tara suggests that the faculty should explicitly mention this article to the students. Rogier states that it is also the role of the FSR to inform the students on this issue.

The council states that the visibility of the student advisors should be improved as well due to this article.

Rogier states that this issue should be addressed more to students and 'promote' it on the screens on REC A. The council agrees to discuss this topic during the next GOV with the teachers as well.

Tara asks the council if they something to discuss during the meeting with all the FSR chairs and the UvA central board. The council has nothing relevant to add.

Tara asks the council what they can add to the letter on the pregnant students. Yoav explains the letter to the council. Yoav suggests that this should be discussed with CSR before the council takes action on this issue.

6. Closing of the meeting

Tara closes the meeting at 19.01.