

REC A, Room A3.12
Nieuwe Achtergracht 166
(020) 525 3446
fdr@studentenraad.nl
studentenraad.nl/fdr

Date: Tuesday 12 May 2020
Time: 17:00 – 19:00
Location: Online, on Zoom
Contact person: Tara Olsen
E-mail: fsr-fdr@uva.nl

Present: Nina Hol, Yoav Weinberg, Katarynza Niedzwiecka, Fabian van Hal, Samir Bougrina, Tara Olsen, Emma Verhulp, Sebastiaan Saelman
Absent: Rogier Simons, Roos Creyghton, Guido Bakker, Aashish Pradeep
Guests:
Secretary: Jeyanth Sithamparappillai

Agenda

1. Opening of the meeting

Tara opens the meeting at 17.06.

2. Setting the agenda:

a. To-dos

b. CSR update

Tara states that the CSR has sent two advises on proctoring to the central board. She states that this topic will be discussed next week during the OV with CSR and the central board. She also states that a lot of students emailed CSR on proctoring. She also states that there is no decision made yet for period 5 and she will have a meeting with the faculty board tomorrow. Nina states that proctoring will not be used for the Burgerlijk Procesrecht exam in two weeks. Yoav states that he would like to attend the meeting with the faculty board. He states that he heard from a student from the Vrije Universiteit that the software of proctoring was a lot of stress. He also states that the student had a lot of problems during the making of the exam. Tara states that she has a similar story from a student from FEB. She states that the system kept on crashing which caused problems to make the exam. She also states that students who had extra time did not have extra time due to this software. Katie states that she also knows a student from FEB who had similar problems with proctoring. Yoav states that the council should emphasize the fact that there were already exams made without proctoring and the validity of these exams are

the same as the ones made with proctoring. Tara states that proctoring will not take all the fraud from students away, so this is not the only option for the university to guarantee the quality of the exams.

Samir asks if the CSR considered hiring a lawyer to fight the decision on proctoring. Tara states that the CSR discussed this option. Samir asks why the student councils were not asked for their stance on proctoring and what the legal options are for the student councils. Tara states that this was also discussed and she states that it takes time to discuss this decision with all the councils. Emma states that a DPIA from the AP could be done for this issue. Samir asks if the decision made by the CvB is rightful. Tara states that the CvB has their legal staff as well and they must have informed them on this decision.

Samir states that he did not receive anything yet from the UvA or CSR on mental health. He asks if the CvB of CSR discussed the topic of mental health. Tara states that the CSR tried to contact students and get the resources on mental health but this is still in progress. Samir also states that the problems of students during corona is not highlighted enough. He states that the UvA could do more to help the students.

c. Elections money

Tara states that everyone received an email about the campaign and elections budget. She states that the party chairs are discussing the student elections. She asks if anyone has any ideas on the PR. She states that she, Emma and Rogier will discuss the elections on our faculty.

d. GOV topics

- i.** Chief diversity officer letter
- ii.** Other questions/issues we want to discuss

Tara states that this GOV will be different from other GOV's. She also states that it is important that the council decides who talks on which points. Samir asks some clarification on the email sent by the OR. Nina states that before every GOV there is a pre-meeting. She states that the questions sent by the OR were after this pre-meeting and the FSR should also discuss some points before the meeting. Nina explains the questions from the OR to the non-Dutch members of the council. Katie asks if everyone is coming to the GOV. Tara states that everyone is expected to come but they should mute themselves during the meeting. Samir states that the changing of the study guides has a connection with the question of the education will be on campus or not. Samir also asks what the consequences are for the exams (still online possible?) if education is started given on the university. Samir would like to know the data on the presence of students during the online lectures. He also states that he would like to know how many students passed/failed the online exams.

Questions from FSR:

- Does the board know how many students/family members of a student that have been sick that would affect a student's ability to study?
- Are you evaluating the new online form of education?
- Are there any numbers/statistics on the block 4 exams? Did the normal amount of student pass? Was it deemed successful? Is it like with other years? Is there any actual data on this?

- Are there any new softer regulations regarding Cum Laude?
- Chief diversity office: psychologists need to check in more on students /especially those that are at risk?

Spokespersons on the questions from OR:

- 1) Nina/Rogier
- 2) Tara
- 3) Samir
- 4) Tara
- 5) Tara
- 6) Tara
- 7) Nina
- 8) Nina
- 9) Nina
- 10) Nina
- 11) Nina
- 12) Nina

e. Questions to the Dean

3. To Dos

4. Other updates

5. WTTK

6. Closing of the meeting

Tara closes the meeting at 18.45.