



<i>Chair</i>	Maas Hermes
<i>Present</i>	Maas Hermes, Melanie Kool, Kasper Mooijaart, Saar Schnieders, Robin van Straaten, Joanna Ñañez, Lola Fioole (point 9), Chris de Hart (point 4), Nina Chen
<i>Absent</i>	Eloïse Herveet
<i>Minutes secretary</i>	Liang Telkamp
<i>Guest</i>	Dave van Wijk, Maarten Krugers Dagneaux

1. Opening

Chair Maas opens the meeting at 18:04.

2. Minutes & Action list

Saar I wasn't noted absent nor present.

Saar got an action point for the lettuce meet. She did send the lettuce meet, but nobody filled it in.

The minutes of the previous plenary meeting are accepted.

(PV 231010) Action everyone - Fill out the poll about the emergency response training.



(PV 231031) Action DB - Contact GAOS, Verum and Cognito about the study associations rooms.

Done. Kasper says Verum only has a closet for their archive in a room, which they cannot access themselves. They are open to a shared room.

(PV 231031) Action Kasper - Write an OB piece about the study associations room.

Next week.

PRO MEMORIE-01 Committee heads relay action points to absent members; in the absence of a committee head, the chairperson will do this.

PRO MEMORIE-02 Update action items in Trello once they are completed.

PRO MEMORIE-03 Saar - provide an update on the current status of UvA-Q at FOC.

Done.

PRO MEMORIE-04 Make documents dyslexia-friendly.

3. Mail

In

- Profile of OD SNE
- CoBo invitation of the FEB
- Update of workshops organised by the UvA
- ASVA CoBo



- AUC CoBo 7th of December
- CVs and motivation for new studentassessor
- Questions about sustainability on campus

Out

-

4. Announcements

Lola and Chris are later.

Eloïse is absent.

Maarten is the central studentassessor and is a guest during this PV.

Maarten says he advises the CvB mostly on student related topics and anything related to education and he supports the CSR.

Melanie hopes that nobody minds her eating.

Chris joins the meeting.

5. Updates committees, task groups, DB, Studentassessor

DB

Hi all,

The Daily Board's last meeting last Thursday was also (partially) online due to the storm. The most important things we discussed were (of course, again) the policy plan and how we want it to end up looking. We also



talked about the possibility of the FSR being something students could use as an orientation activity in their Academic Skills course. This is also a piece for the PV tonight, so please read it to know more. Lastly, we also (sadly) had to redistribute my DB tasks for when I leave.

A bit of a shorter update, but our next meeting is this afternoon, so if anything interesting happens there I will let you all know at the PV.

See you all tonight!

Kind regards / Met vriendelijke groet,

Kasper Mooijaart

CSR

Hi all,

As for the CSR update, I have only started yesterday, so I'm not yet updated on what is happening, but what I do know is that I will pick up the file mandatory breaks between lectures in the CSR. My first meeting about it is tomorrow and we will see from there where it goes! :)

Our PV time changed this block and is now from 13:15 to 15:15 on Thursdays. As always, feel free to stop by, but don't forget to email csr@UvA.nl if you want to do so.

Saar

FPC



Hola personitas bonitas,

Last week we had a "gesprek" once again, this time it was Eloïse and me. Due to the storm the meeting was online, but this week we will be reunited again. With the FPC we are planning on some big events coming up next month: our CoBo and Purple Friday!!! I hope you have all read the PV piece about Purple Friday, I am looking forward to the discussion points. Today we are also agendaing the mental health differences between Science Park and other campuses at the IO. We have also sent a piece for the Congo almanak (with a group picture). We have also been invited to the Theater play about social safety (more information coming up)!

P.S. Read the new TDL piece ;)

Saludos,

Joanna

ORC

Dear Fire Salamander Ravers,

The ORC distributed Kasper's files last meeting. OER process goes to Melanie, Language requirements MSc goes to Saar, Mandatory attendance and Study catalogue- and guide go to Lola, Cooperation UvA/VU & Joint Degrees goes to Robin and Transfer from BSc to MSc goes to Maas.



Furthermore, Saar was to the AI conference and so we talked about a faculty AI working group with students in it as well. We prepared the IO and reviewed the FOC and there are new developments in the SNE OD advisory request again.

See you tonight!

Liefs,

Lola

Studentassessor

Dave says that ST&I has passed the TNO check. It's very exciting. Dave announced to the DT that he wants the studentassessor to be more involved at OWIDOs.

Kasper asks if the studentassessor can put things on the agenda of the OWIDO for the FSR.

Dave says he can't. But he might get the notes or the agenda of the OWIDO.

6. Setting agenda

1. Opening
2. Minutes and action list
3. Mail in/out
4. Announcements
5. Updates committees, task groups, DB en SA

6. Setting agenda
7. Faculty budget process
8. Orientation FSR in Academic Skills courses
9. Purple Friday
10. Situation FEB
11. A.o.b.
12. Evaluation pieces
13. Evaluation PV
14. Asking round
15. Action list
16. Pieces next agenda
17. Closing

Kasper wants to add the faculty budget process to the agenda.

Saar asks if people want to talk about the situation at the FEB.

People agree to discuss the situation at the FEB.

Chris got the news that another guest wants to join the meeting. She is on her way.

The agenda is set.

7. Faculty budget process

Kasper says that we should have received the budget. He says that we cannot make the deadline, since we still have not received it. He says they will discuss the 21st and 28th of November the budget of the faculty

during the PV. He is wondering if anyone is willing to join the task group that handles the budget, since it is an intensive file especially for himself.

Saar asks how many weeks and how many hours he expects from anyone who joins.

Kasper says that the PV pieces need to be written. There is a meeting with Chris Marcelis and the works council to get more explanation on the budget. On Monday there is an opportunity to ask questions and he plans a meeting with the task group.

Robin says that it does not take too much time, about 6-12 hours per week.

Kasper says it's less.

Chris says that it takes not too much time, but the piece is very dry, so it's difficult to read.

Saar wants to help with the faculty budget.

Robin asks if it is possible for English speaking people.

Kasper answers he thinks so.

Robin says that it is a regulation.

Kasper says that the DT disagreed and that they do not provide an English version.

Maas says that an English version is possible with a translation tool.

Nina wants to help if it is possible.

Kasper says that the meetings with Chris Marcelis can be in English.

8. Orientation FSR in Academic Skills courses



Melanie says that most people joined the FSR due to the elections. She says that people might have noticed that not many people signed up. She wants to incorporate the FSR in everyday life of students. She explains that that's the reason this might push more students to join or know about the FSR.

1. Is the piece unclear?

2. Is there information missing?

3. Is the information incorrect?

4. Discussion moment

a. Do you recognize the main issue of lack of visibility for the FSR?

Everyone agrees.

**b. Are there any potential disadvantages for the proposed idea?
(No opinions)**

Robin asks how many people are reasonable to join us per week. Are there any times during the year that more people are likely to join due to their schedule?

Melanie says that she wants representation in the FSR of as many programmes as possible to be as diverse as possible. She thinks they could add more people.

Robin thinks that denying people access to the meeting is not a good idea.

Maas suggests that people could send an email to join the meeting of the FSR.

Robin is opposed, because he thinks of the situation if 50 people sign up.

Nina asks how it works with confidential pieces. Do they have to move confidential pieces?

Kasper says that our plenary meetings are already open. If we treat confidential pieces, guests would have to leave.

Nina wonders how easy it is to shuffle five people, it takes time.

Kasper says that we beforehand could send what we expect of them.

Robin asks how viable this is?

Maas says that in the BSc AI they are very lenient in what you can attend. He knows from experience as a tutor that he would give a point for joining a meeting. He thinks it's viable.

Chris says you should be aware of people that are just there for a point and not pay attention.

Kasper says that chemistry students do this already for symposium etcetera.

c. Do you or did you have a skills course that requires you to complete events/information sessions?

Chris, Joanna, Saar, Melanie, Maas, Kasper, Melanie, Robin

d. Are there other ideas that should be considered?

Nina says that people could help with events setting up, cleaning up and joining events. Then they could actively participate.

Kasper says that we can more actively invite students to the meeting with the dean.

e. What are the next steps that can be undertaken to achieve said idea?

Kasper says that he needs to know whether courses would provide points for participating in FSR events. He wants to do a sample test in a couple of programs.

Robin asks if we should ask the OC and ask if the OC should take part. And he asks what to do if some courses accept and some not.

Melanie says we can ask the OC what they think. She says that usually people who join the FSR know something about the FSR. It usually goes via people they know. She wants to expand it a bit. She says its fine, since there are multiple ways.

Kasper says that it is already the case that there are more people from one programme.

Chris says that it is because of Liang that there are so many BSc AI students in the FSR.

Nina worries about the logistics. Where would they sit?

Robin says that study associations have meetings at lecture rooms for all their members.

Chris says that you should contact the programme coordinator for every programme.

*Action Melanie: Send e-mails to programme coordinators to ask whether it is possible to host an event for colloquium points.

Dave says that **via** has someone who deals with the symposium people. He explains that they have an inhouse day from the Dutch NAO team. So it is possible.

Chris says that a VIA_@scN'+G might be useful for some **via** students.

Saar says that the Dutch NAO team was fun, but it was very fun since it was 45 minutes and a nice demonstration. She thinks that an inhouse day is very nice, but a PV of two hours is long.

Melanie says that they could think about 'talk with the council', what happened in the past. No one showed up during 'talks with the council'.

Robin talked with Daan Jellema. In his opinion nobody showed up during 'talks with the council', but the promotion was lacking. He thinks it is possible, but he doesn't know how to provide more information compared to committee markets. He also says that in the last budgets the money for talks with the council was not spent.

The meeting is adjourned at 18:40.

The meeting is reopened at 18:50.

Lola joins the meeting.

9. Purple Friday

1. Is the piece clear?

Robin wants more clarification about rainbow washing.

Joanna thinks that it is meant to say that people say that they are LHBTQI+ friendly, but they are not.

Nina says that we only support LHBTQI+ at purple friday and not furthermore. It depends on how we act after purple friday.

Chris says that purple friday is a nice day to organise something special for students.

Nina says that Eloïse wants to specify this is not the only thing we do.

Saar comments that rainbow washing is only for commercial ends.

2. Is any information missing?

3. Is the information correct?

4. Are there any pros and/or cons missing?

Dave thinks a pro is that it is very slay.

5. Are the pros and/or cons correct?

6. Discussion items

- What do members think of organising an event on Purple Friday?

Kasper is in favour, but we are going on evaluation weekend on purple friday. We have to take that into account or people choose to stay here.

Chris wants to mention that we need to look at the availability of everyone. He says to not do anything after 5 or 6 pm

Robin stipulates that the piece requests presence after 5 or 6 pm.

Joanna wants to start earlier during the day.

Nina says that she thought it would be something during the day.

The FPC is surprised to see that it is in the evening in the piece.

Kasper says that ACD is hosting a 'Sinterklaar borrel'. The FSR can do a daytime event which leads into the ACD borrel.



Chris says that you should be careful, since the FSR did that last year and working with ACD did not go well. He doesn't want to do something with ACD, since they are not open to the FSR.

Robin didn't know that the FSR had something to do with the 'borrel' last year. He talked to Lucas and Lucas said that he can host a 'purple Sinterklaar borrel'. He asks whether we want to support that kind of event as an organisation that needs to be there for every student, including international students.

Nina thinks it's better to host an event individually. She suggests a wish tree.

Lola is against 'purple Sinterklaar borrel'.

Chris says that every association should get money to do something for purple friday, but not mix our event with theirs.

Robin is in favour of funding and supporting the drinks during purple friday. He is also in favour of hosting their own event.

Melanie says that going to evaluation weekend takes a long time by public transport.

- What do members think of the activities we have planned?

Chris talked to Eloïse, he thinks it is a bit much, since there isn't any preparation and hosting it in a month is a bit much.

Melanie thinks it is a lot of effort to do purple waffles.

Chris says to pre-purchase the waffles. He wants to say that people need to do it, so he asks for the availability of council members.

Robin has seminar which he might can skip.



Lola has mandatory classes from 9-5.

Nina has lab work the whole day.

Melanie wants to be on time for the evaluation so she might leave earlier than 5.

Kasper isn't FSR anymore by then.

Maas can be present.

Saar is available all day, but doesn't want to be there all day.

Joanna is available till 13.

Chris is available till three.

Nina wants to do the wishtree, a suggestion box and there might be waffles.

Dave says that purple friday is to raise awareness, and he questions whether these events focus on that.

Nina thinks lectures are not well attended, she thinks a small event like this is better to build up to next year.

Dave thinks the lectures were well-attended.

Chris says one lecture was not well attended.

Joanna doesn't know whether Betabreak hosts something

Lola knows that Eloïse is very stressed about this event. She wants events with more depth and to educate people about queer culture. She suggests book recommendations and tips on where to go.

Robin says that organising an event to promote UvA Pride, he thinks that would give depth. He likes the idea of the button machine.



- Do members have activity ideas that we have not thought of?

Kasper was wondering if any other activities are planned by other bodies of the UvA.

Chris would have to mail communication, he vaguely remembers some things.

*Action Chris: Mail communication about events planned on purple friday.

Nina loves the booklist idea. She would like to host closed spaces meetings for people to interact with each other on the matter.

Maas says that the availability might make it hard.

Lola wants to project the flag on the building, that would be very cool and a big statement.

Joanna doesn't think it is dark before five, they were planning to do things during daytime.

Melanie likes Nina's idea for the meeting rooms to share experiences.

- What do members think of freeing themselves until 6 or 8pm while we have the evaluation weekend the same night?

Robin is okay by helping organise closed room stuff even after 5 pm and arriving later at evaluation weekend.

Melanie says they are likely to borrow two cars. She says that only the keys for the house need to be picked up in time.

7. Voting

Vote proposal: The FSR FNWI 23/24 votes in favour of organising an event for Purple Friday.



In favor: 8 Against: 0 Blank: 0 Withhold: 2

The vote proposal is accepted.

Kasper withholds because he isn't part of the council by that time, not because he is against purple friday.

*Action FPC: Host an event for purple friday.

Chris wants to mention that he will be mentioning purple friday tomorrow during the meeting with study associations.

10. Situation FEB

Saar explains that last Friday, there was a graduation ceremony at the FEB. And a Palestinian student spoke at the graduation. He spoke about his experience with the war and his family still being there. And then a Jewish family or someone from the Jewish family stood up and said, what about the hostages? And then presumably, they walked away. Then they went to the media, and they said that. The media reported that the negative spoken from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free, which was mentioned by the students. That was implied. The dean of the FEB immediately gave his excuses or something like that never had to happen. He sent an email to everyone with his excuse. There is a lot of miscommunication going on. The student who spoke, his full name is in the Israelian times, which is not good safety wise with a war going on.

Kasper likes to add that he read in the Telegraaf that our Robbert Dijkgraaf apparently urged everyone who was present and felt attacked by the speech to report it to the police.



Saar says that this Thursday there is a meeting with the FSR FEB and the dean of the FEB. She asks whether we want to share our opinion on this as a council.

Melanie didn't follow it very much, she doesn't know all the events. She doesn't want to form an opinion right now.

Robin looked at the news, he doesn't know why that slogan is hateful.

Saar says it's a difficult matter, because it's a sentence that has been used for a long time. And when the first time it was used, it didn't necessarily mean, eradicate Israel, but now Hamas is using it in that way. So that's why there's a lot of contention because some people use it and just say, I don't necessarily want to. But then a lot of Israelis and a lot of Jewish people do think that it means that people mean that they want to eradicate Israel, because that's also a way in which the sentence is usually used.

Kasper says that the slogan was a matter in court whether it is punishable, a Dutch judge ruled it was not.

Nina says that she is pro Palestina, there is a massive and intense campaign of misinformation against Palestinian people. This is incredibly dangerous for the student to have his full name and misinformation to be spread so widely. We cannot partake, but a lot of people online who have gone to support Palestine, have been receiving death threats and have been experiencing a lot of really terrible scary things. We also have a lot of Palestinian students within our university. Making statements like pro Palestine or ceasefire or not even that far, doesn't mean that we're anti Israel.



Melanie asks what the widespread email from the dean was about.

Maarten says the mail included that he was sorry that this happened.

Dave says that the UvA only stated to not make political statements during graduation. He reads the article of the Parool:

In een mail die afgelopen weekend door de UvA naar de aanwezigen is verstuurd, is namens de faculteit officieel excuses gemaakt. 'Bij de afhandeling van het incident zijn ernstige fouten gemaakt waarvoor geen excuus bestaat,' valt te lezen in het bericht. 'Helaas hebben we een platform geboden om deze politieke boodschap te geven, en op het moment dat de toespraak plaatsvond, werd er niets gedaan om deze tegen te houden, terwijl vooral degenen die slachtoffer werden aan hun lot werden overgelaten,' aldus de UvA.

Kasper translates the message: that the graduation is not the place for the political statement as such. It shouldn't have happened. We apologise for not intervening and it won't happen again. There would be measures taken to prevent this from happening in the future.

Melanie says that as far as she knows, in her opinion, that statement isn't that bad. She says that as far as she understands the dean withheld from the political view.

Lola reacts that there is freedom of speech. She explains that the student is attacked with help from the UvA and that his family is in very big danger. She thinks that we should protect the students.

Saar thinks that one of the main problems is that the dean reacted with misinformation without checking. She says that the slogan can be threatening for people who are jewish.



Melanie doesn't understand if the dean is misinformed, then she doesn't know why the focus is so much on the dean.

Maarten agrees, he doesn't see clearly what the UvA did wrong. The speech was political and shouldn't be given during the graduation. He understands it is scary for the boy in question.

Nina doesn't think that a student is talking about his own personal life, she doesn't think the speech to be difficult, but she understands he wants to make a stance. The main issue is the misinformation and the response to the misinformation. She thinks UvA has to do something with this.

Kasper agrees with Nina and Lola. He thinks that the graduation ceremony is a place to say something about your own life as long as you do not be hateful towards others. He thinks the UvA has to protect all students, since their name is dragged due to publicity and media.

Lola wants to say if you are pro Palestine then you are not anti Jews. If you are from Palestine and there is a genocide happening to your people, then of course you are going to say something about it since it is on the top of your mind. From the river to the sea is not that political, you can be political.

Maarten says that the whole speech was a great speech from his heart. To use the slogan he made it political, he knew that the slogan made it political. Telegraaf and Israelian times see that as getting rid of Israel. The fallback is much worse than he could have known before. The fallback is not because of what UvA did. The story is out there now, UvA called to explain but it is difficult.



Melanie agrees with Maarten. She thinks UvA has to protect their students. If information made their way to Times of Israel, that caused the threat. There is little for us, it escalated way more than it should have.

Lola thinks the dean can apologise. If you spread misinformation about a student, you can at least apologise. The slogan is not to throw away Israel, that is not what people want. Pro-palestine activists are not pro hamas.

Nina can understand both sides of the slogan, but it becomes political due to the context. It is showing pride in your culture, she thinks he doesn't deserve the threats, she knows UvA cannot do anything against the threats, but minimise the misinformation.

Robin asked for context. He thinks that the slogan becomes really politicised and a really depolarising thing. He says because it's mainly in the news and in the political parties. It is banned from being used because they voted on it to be hate speech. The 'Tweede Kamer' said it to be hate speech not the judge. He would assume that the international students wouldn't necessarily know those intricacies about this.

Melanie still doesn't know enough to make a statement. This is way bigger than only one student. She thinks that it is important that the university detaches the issue as soon as possible. She also hopes that the university can protect students.

Kasper thinks that what the 'Tweede Kamer' voted for, it doesn't matter. The parlement can't just overrule the judge. Besides he thinks that recently the slogan has been used political context to polarise, but more widespread it is a cry for help for genocide. For him it doesn't mean it

should be banned. For Ukraine, no one would say it is controversial. He doesn't think one politician should be banned.

Robin says it was voted to not use it in parliament. A couple of people got banned from parliament. That's why people see it as a polarising statement. A judge ruled it wasn't hate speech it can't be accounted as being hate speech. People can't use it for reporting. Dijkgraaf says open discussion. He thinks it is insane.

Maarten asks what you want to do.

Maas says that it might be nice to have on the site what the university should do. What did the dean do, how the university should handle it. Then we can add something in a constructive manner.

Kasper says we can talk further in another pv, if we want to make a statement we should limit it to something we can say that UvA should protect all students no matter their political view, background and applies to this situation.

Robin thinks they can do in support of the FEB, show our support demanding an apology for putting students in harm.

Melanie thinks that the UvA can't do anything about it, since the student spoke and it was published. Additionally she still feels that it is a bit aggressive and I would rather not be physically there, she doesn't want to intimidate people of the university.

Kasper doesn't think that the UvA attribute to the misinformation, besides not protecting that student.

Robin asks what Kasper meant by protecting.

Kasper says that the UvA made clear they support the Israeli family, denounced the speech of the student, which either intentional or not, caused the student to be dragged through the dirt, they should now have done that.

Lola asks whether Melanie rather not receive activist messages. It is very close to her heart, so she sent it to all her friends.

Melanie says it was one of the few sources she had. It is a common action which they could partake in. She doesn't mind the messages,

Robin says he would want to see more proof of email and others, but building upon assumptions, it didn't become clear.

Maas says it should be a nice piece with information.

Lola asks if they have contact with the FEB. She wants to support or not the FEB.

Maas agrees to have contact with the FEB.

*Action Kasper: Contact the FEB to show our participation about the situation during the graduation.

Kasper asks whether people want to see the email to the whole council.

Maas says yes.

Melanie asks whether we want to send the email a few things we talked about, that we want students to be sage and that we want to include more or less freedom of speech.

Kasper doesn't like the idea since it is talking without saying anything.

Melanie no statements yet.

11. A.o.b.

Maarten says that the CSR is working on new profiling funds. It is not final, the CSR has to vote it in.

12. Evaluation pieces

Melanie says there were a lot of questions from the committee self, she asks if they have read it before.

Chris says he did, but nothing changed.

Robin said she had a good point about UvA Pride but did not sum it up.

Saar says as a student council we cannot rainbow wash.

13. Evaluation PV

Kasper says it was fun, although it took longer than expected.

14. Asking round

Melanie sent something in the group chat overview Datumprikker and forms, in the drive folder is an overview. She's looking into the trip, she had trouble selecting houses for the dates. Melanie asks whether people are fine with going a weekend away instead of Wednesday till Sunday.

Kasper says that we wouldn't get money for the faculty for this, a weekend is cheaper depending on the house.

People are fine with going on a weekend.

Chris says that his opinion about the trip changes when there is no funding from the faculty.

Melanie already asked whether without funding was okay for everyone.

Robin wants screenshot of declarings please



15. Action list

(PV 231010) Action everyone - Fill out the poll about the emergency response training.

(PV 231031) Action Kasper - Write an OB piece about the study associations room.

(PV 231107) Action Melanie - Send e-mails to programme coordinators to ask whether it is possible to host an event for colloquium points.

(PV 231107) Action Chris - Contact communication about events planned on purple friday.

(PV 231107) Action FPC - Host an event for purple friday.

(PV 231107) Action Kasper - Contact the FEB to show our participation about the situation during the graduation.

PRO MEMORIE-01 Committee heads relay action points to absent members; in the absence of a committee head, the chairperson will do this.

PRO MEMORIE-02 Update action items in Trello once they are completed.

PRO MEMORIE-03 Make documents dyslexia-friendly.

16. Pieces next agenda

Study Associations meeting room

17. Closing

Chair Maas closes the meeting at 19:59.